

2. Descriptive Set Theory

Main reference for this lecture: Moskowakis;

Definition. A subset A of X has the perfect set property if either A is countable or A has a perfect subset.

2.1 Theorem. Σ_1^1 sets are universally measurable, have the property of Baire and the perfect set property.

Definition. A pointclass is a collection of pointsets in various spaces.

Definition. A pointclass Γ has the separation property if any pair of disjoint Γ sets can be separated by a Δ set, where $\Delta = \Gamma \cap \check{\Gamma}$ ($\check{\Gamma}$ = complements of Γ sets)

2.2 Theorem. Σ_1^1 and Π_2^1 have separation property; Π_1^1 and Σ_2^1 do not.

Remark. The axiom $V = L$ implies that there is a Δ_2^1 well-ordering of \mathbb{R} .

2.3 Theorem. $V = L$ implies:

- (i) There is a Σ_2^1 set which is not Lebesgue measurable and does not have the Baire property.
- (ii) There is a Π_1^1 set violating the perfect set property.
- (iii) For $n \geq 2$, Π_n^1 has separation, Σ_n^1 does not.

Definition. By Projective Determinacy (PD) we abrieviate the principle that all games $G(\mathbb{N}, X)$ where X is projective, are determined.

2.4 Theorem.

- (i) PD implies that all projective sets are universally measurable, have the Baire property and have the perfect set property.
- (ii) The pointclasses $\Sigma_1^1, \Pi_2^1, \Sigma_3^1, \Pi_4^1, \Sigma_5^1$. etc. have separation, the others do not.

Remark. Recall that a subset A of ω^ω determines a game of perfect information between two players:

I a_0 a_2 a_4 \dots

II a_1 a_3 a_5 \dots

• Π_n^1 - determinacy: For any Π_n^1 set $A \subset \omega^\omega$, the game A is determined, i.e. either player I or player II has a winning strategy. Note that $\forall n$ Σ_n^1 determinacy $\iff \Pi_n^1$ determinacy.

• PD $\iff \forall n$ Π_n^1 determinacy.

Definition. A $*$ -game for $A \subset 2^\omega$

I s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots

II i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots

The player I plays $s_n < 2^{<\omega}$ (i.e. finite sequence from 2); II plays $i_n \in \{0, 1\}$; After ω moves we get $x = s_0 \hat{\ } i_0 \hat{\ } s_1 \hat{\ } i_1 \hat{\ } s_2 \hat{\ } i_2 \dots \in 2^\omega$. We say that I wins the round of the game iff $x \in A$.

Remark. This is a game on a countable set.

2.5 Theorem.

- (i) If I has a winning strategy, then A has a perfect subset.

(ii) If II has a winning strategy, then A is countable.

Proof.

(i) Let σ be a winning strategy for I . Define $f: 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ as follows: $f(y)$ is the outcome of the game, when I follows σ and II plays $(i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots) = y$; f is one-to-one and continuous. Since σ is a winning strategy, $\text{Im}(f)$ is in A . So A has a perfect subset.

(ii) Let τ be a winning strategy for II . Let $p = \langle s_0, i_0, \dots, i_n \rangle$ be a position in the game in which it is I 's turn to move. Let $x \in 2^\omega$. We say that τ rejects x at p if:

- p is an initial segment of x ;
- for any s_{n+1} played by I , τ calls for II to play an i_{n+1} such that $p \hat{\ } s_{n+1} \hat{\ } i_{n+1}$ is not an initial segment of x ;

For any $x \in A$, there is a position p such that τ rejects x at p - if not I could play so that the outcome is x , thus defeating the allegedly winning strategy τ . There are countably many positions. Two points cannot be rejected at the same position. So A is countable. \square

Remark. Note that Γ determinacy implies that Γ sets have the perfect set property. Furthermore: Γ determinacy implies that $\exists^{\omega^\omega} \Gamma$ sets have the perfect set property. For example Π_n^1 determinacy implies that Σ_{n+1}^1 sets have the perfect set property, (closed set) - determinacy implies that Σ_1^1 sets have the perfect set property.

Unfolding the quantifiers: $A(x) \iff (\exists y \in \omega^\omega) B(x, y)$

I	$s_0, y(0)$	$s_1, y(1)$	$s_2, y(2)$	\dots
II	i_0	i_1	i_2	\dots

At the outcome we get $x = s_0 \hat{\ } i_0 \hat{\ } s_1 \hat{\ } i_1 \dots$. Player I wins iff $(x, y) \in B$. Determinacy of the game implies that A has the perfect set property (see the proof of 2.5).

Effective methods

- Recursion theory - computability theory; recursive partial functions on the integers $f: \omega^n \rightarrow \omega$; recursively presented Polish spaces;
- This is an alternative approach to recursively presented Polish spaces; work with finite products of ω , ω^ω , 2^ω (all Polish spaces are Borel isomorphic);
- Consider a canonical bases: $\{B_n\}$ (determined by finite sequences) and recall that the open sets (or the Σ_1^0 sets) are sets of the form $\cup B_{f(n)}$, for some function $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$. The effectivley open sets (= Σ_1^0 sets) are sets of the form $\cup B_{f(n)}$, where $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is a recursive function. Furthermore one defines the lightface (or Kleene) classes in the following way:

$$\Sigma_0^1 = \text{all effectivley open sets,}$$

$$\Sigma_{n+1}^0 = \exists^{\omega} \neg \Sigma_n^0,$$

$$\Pi_n^0 = \neg \Sigma_n^0,$$

$$\Delta_n^0 = \Sigma_n^0 \cap \Pi_n^0.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1^1 &= \exists^{\omega^\omega} \Pi_1^0, \\ \Sigma_{n+1}^1 &= \exists^{\omega^\omega} \neg \Sigma_n^1, \\ \Pi_n^1 &= \neg \Sigma_n^1, \\ \Delta_n^1 &= \Sigma_n^1 \cap \Pi_n^1.\end{aligned}$$

Note the analogy with the bold face (i.e. Borel and Luzin) pointclasses:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\Pi_0^1 = \text{complement of } \Sigma_1^0 & \Pi_0^1 = \text{complement of } \Sigma_1^0 \\ \Sigma_0^2 = \exists^\omega \Pi_1^0 & \Sigma_0^2 = \exists^\omega \Pi_1^0 \\ \Sigma_1^1 = \exists^{\omega^\omega} \Pi_1^0 & \Sigma_1^1 = \exists^{\omega^\omega} \Pi_1^0\end{array}$$

Hyperarithmetic = Effectively Borel

- The bold face classes are ω^ω - parametrized: i.e. there exists a pointset $U \subset \omega^\omega \times X$, which is universal for $\Sigma_n^1[X]$.
- The lightface classes are ω -parametrized.

2.6 Theorem. *Let Γ be Σ_n^0 , Π_n^0 , Σ_n^1 or Π_n^1 . Let Y be a recursively presented Polish space. Then there exists $U \subset \omega \times Y$ such that U is in Γ and every Γ subset of Y is a vertical section of U .*

Consider Σ_1^0 - the effectivley open sets in ω^ω . Define $U(n, x) \iff \exists i[\varphi_n(i) \downarrow \& \varphi_n(i) < x]$, where φ_n is a recursive partial function from ω into $\omega^{<\omega}$ with index n . If $U(n, x, y)$ is universal for $\Pi_1^0[(X \times Y)]$ then $V(n, x) \iff \exists y U(n, x, y)$ is universal for $\Sigma_1^1[X]$. This is a good parametrization (have recursive $S - m - n$ functions).

Claim. If A and B are Σ_1^1 , so is $A \cap B$.

Furthermore this holds uniformly. That means that if $U(n, x)$ are universal sets there is a recursive function $f: \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $U_{f(i,j)} = U_i \cap U_j$ for all i, j .

Definition. The Gandy-Harrington topology (on a recursively presented Polish topology) is the topology whose basis is the Σ_1^1 sets.

Two important properties:

- It is second countable, strong Choquet and finer than the usual topology.
- The bases (i.e. the Σ_1^1 sets) have a good parametrization.

2.7 Theorem. *There exists a Gandy-Harrington comeager subset of ω^ω which is a Polish space in its relative topology.*

Separation: Two disjoint Σ_1^1 sets can be separated by a Δ_1^1 set.

Effective version: Two disjoint Σ_1^1 sets can be separated by a Δ_1^1 set.

Effective Theory

In \mathbb{R} or ω^ω , or 2^ω the points become interesting! A Δ_1^1 -point $x \in \omega^\omega$: $\{x\}$ is $\Delta_1^1 \iff \{x\}$ is $\Sigma_1^1 \iff (x \text{ is a } \Delta_1^1 \text{ subset of } \omega^2)$.

2.8 Theorem. (*Effective Perfect Set Theorem*) If A is Σ_1^1 , then either A has a perfect subset or all members of A are Δ_1^1 points.

2.9 Theorem. Π_1^1 is closed under quantification of the form $(\exists x \in \Delta_1^1(y))$. That is, if $A(x, y)$ is Π_1^1 and $B(y) \iff (\exists x \in \Delta_1^1(y))A(x, y)$, then B is Π_1^1 .

From Theorem 2.9 one can deduce that $\exists!$ Borel is Π_1^1 . If it is unique, it is Δ_1^1 .

Ordinal Codes

Identify every point $x \in 2^\omega$ with a binary relation \leq_x on ω , namely $\leq_x = \{(n, m) \in \omega^2 : x(\langle n, m \rangle) = 1\}$. Then let $WO = \{x : \leq_x \text{ is a well-ordering}\}$. Note that WO is Π_1^1 . For every $x \in WO$ denote by $|x|$ the corresponding ordinal.

Definition. If $\alpha < \omega_1$, α is a recursive ordinal if there is a recursive $x \in 2^\omega$ with $|x| = \alpha$.

Remark. Δ_1^1 ordinals are recursive ordinals and form a countable initial segment of ω_1 .

Definition. Denote by ω_1^{CK} the least non-recursive ordinal and by ω_1^X the least non-recursive in X ordinal.

Remark. Every nonempty Π_1^0 subset of 2^ω has a Δ_1^1 element. The statement is false for ω^ω .

2.10 Theorem.

- (i) $\forall \Pi_1^1$ set A there is a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $f^{-1}[WO] = A$.
- (ii) $\forall \Pi_1^1$ set $A \subset X$ there is a recursive function $f: X \rightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $f^{-1}[WO] = A$.

2.11 Theorem. (*Gandy Basis Theorem*) Any nonempty Σ_1^1 set contains a point X such that $\omega_1^X = \omega_1^{\text{CK}}$.

2.12 Claim. There exist a Π_1^1 set $P \subset \omega^\omega$, a Π_1^1 set $Q \subset \omega \times \omega^\omega$ and a Σ_1^1 set $R \subset \omega \times \omega^\omega$ such that:

- (i) $\forall i \in P, Q(i, x) \iff R(i, x)$.
- (ii) $\forall \Delta_1^1$ set $D \subset X$ there exists $i \in P$ such that $D(x) \iff Q(i, x)$.