



L18/03

Declare a O_1 -matrix in \mathcal{O} to be minimal if it cannot be written as the sum of two non-zero matrices in \mathcal{O} .

Then the minimal O_1 -matrices in \mathcal{O} span \mathcal{O} and are linearly independent. \square

If B_1, \dots, B_m is a basis for \mathcal{O} , we arrange it so the elements are ordered lexicographically. This justifies the use of 'unique' in the statement of the theorem.

• If B_1, \dots, B_m is the canonical basis for \mathcal{C} , then I is a sum of basis elements (and these are necessarily diagonal).

If I is actually a basis element, then \mathcal{C} is said to be

homogeneous. Two claims:

Lemma A commutative coherent algebra is homogeneous \square

Lemma If the coherent algebra \mathcal{C} is homogeneous, all matrices in \mathcal{C} have constant row sum. \square

If G is a permutation group, then $\text{Comm}(G)$ is homogeneous if & only if G is transitive. If G is transitive, the orbitals of G are the basis for $\text{Comm}(G)$. (Note that even if G is transitive, $\text{Comm}(G)$ need not be commutative.)

A commutative coherent algebra is usually referred to as an **association scheme**.

The ^{set of} λ elements of the canonical basis of a coherent algebra is known as a **coherent configuration**.

This is a set $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$ of 0 -matrices such that

(a) If $i \neq j$, then $B_i \circ B_j = 0$

(b) $B_i^T \in \mathcal{B} \quad \forall i$

(c) $\sum_i B_i = J$

(d) I is a sum of elements in \mathcal{B} .

integers

(e) there are scalars $\beta_{ij}(k)$ such that

$$B_i B_j = \sum_k \beta_{ij}(k) B_k.$$

Isomorphisms of coherent algebras

An algebra is a ring, and so homomorphisms of algebras are ring homomorphisms. However coherent algebras have extra structure, so more detail is needed.

An **isomorphism** β from a coherent algebra \mathcal{B} to a coherent algebra \mathcal{D} is a linear map such that:

(a) β is a ring homomorphism

$$(b) \beta(A \circ B) = \beta(A) \circ \beta(B).$$

\mathcal{M} algebra of matrices

What are the linear maps $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Typical: $M \rightarrow MA$ $M \in \mathcal{M}$ similarity

$M \rightarrow AMB$ "

$M \rightarrow \sum A_i M B_i$ "

Like to say: invertible linear map \leftrightarrow similarity

Neether-Skolem

Lemma If $\beta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a homomorphism of coherent algebras, then $\beta(J) = J$.

Proof We have $\beta(J) = \beta(J \circ J) = \beta(J) \circ \beta(J)$ and so $\beta(J)$ is a 0-1-matrix. Further $n\beta(J) = \beta(nJ) = \beta(J^2) = \beta(J)^2$ and so each row of $\beta(J)$ sums to n . Hence $\beta(J) = J$. \square

There are two special kinds of isomorphisms of coherent algebras:

(1) **Similarity**: there is an invertible matrix S such $\beta(M) = S^{-1}MS$, $\forall M$.

(2) **Permutation equivalence**: as in (1), but with S a permutation matrix.

Whatever version of isomorphism we use,
an isomorphism $\beta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ maps the canonical basis
of \mathcal{C} to that of \mathcal{D} .

If X & Y are strongly regular graphs with the
same parameters, their coherent algebras are
similar.

$I A J^{-1}A$

Quantum permutations

A quantum automorphism of X is a quantum permutation P such that

magic unitary

$$P(A(X) \otimes I_d) = (A(X) \otimes I_d)P$$

If Y is a second graph and

$$P(A(X) \otimes I_d) = (A(Y) \otimes I_d)P$$

then X & Y are quantum isomorphic.

Lemma If P is a quantum permutation then it is unitary.

$$\text{Also } P(J \otimes I_d) = J \otimes I_d.$$

□

Lemma If P and Q are quantum permutations, so are $P * Q$ & $P \# Q$.

If P & Q commute with $A(X)$, so do $P * Q$ and $P \# Q$. □

We define the **quantum automorphism group** of X to be the set of quantum permutations that commute with $A(X)$.

Theorem If ρ is a quantum isomorphism of index d from X to Y and D is a $d \times d$ density matrix, then $\langle \rho, D \rangle$ is a fractional isomorphism from X to Y .

If $AR = RB$, then $ARR^T = RBR^T$; as RBR^T is symmetric,

A & RR^T commute.

Corollary If X is controllable, its quantum automorphism group is trivial.

Theorem The commutant of a set of quantum permutations of order $n \times n$ is Schur-closed.

Proof It suffices to prove this when the set is a singleton.

The ij -block of $(M \otimes I)P$ is

$$\sum_r M_{ir} P_{rj}$$

scalar projection

and, by hypothesis, this is equal to the ij -block of $P(M \otimes I)$:

$$\sum_r P_{ir} M_{rj}$$

Now

$$\sum_r M_{ir} P_{rj} \sum_s N_{is} P_{sj} = \sum_r (M_{ir} \overset{(MON)_{ir}}{\downarrow} N_{ir}) P_{rj}$$

and the right side here is the ij -block of $((MON) \circ I)P$. Similarly

$$\sum_r M_{rj} P_{ir} \sum_s N_{sj} P_{is} = \sum_r (M_{rj} N_{rj}) P_{ir}$$

Since the left sides of the last two equations are equal,

the result follows. □

$$(MON) \circ I \neq \text{Comm}(P)$$

Lemma Let ρ be a quantum permutation. The commutant of ρ is $*$ -closed.

Proof Since the entries of ρ are Hermitian,

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(M^* \otimes I))_{x,y} &= \sum_r \rho_{xr} M_{ry}^* = \sum_r (\rho_{xr} M_{ry})^* \\ &= (\rho(M \otimes I))_{x,y}^* \end{aligned}$$

and so if ρ and $M \otimes I$ commute

$$((\rho(M \otimes I))_{x,y})^* = ((M^* \otimes I)\rho)_{x,y}.$$

Hence $M \otimes I \in \text{Comm}(P)$ if & only if $M \in \text{Comm}(P)$. \square

Corollary The commutant of a set of $n \times n$ quantum permutations is a coherent algebra.

(You should show that the commutant is transpose-closed if & only if it is $*$ -closed.)

$\text{Aut}(X) = \text{perms in } \text{Comm}(A) \cap \text{perms in } \text{Comm}(J)$

$= \text{perms in } \text{Comm}(A, J)$

$\approx \dots \approx$ Cohomol algebra generated by A & J

Group Rings