

Contents

(a) time to pst

(b) bipartite & regular graphs

(1) controllable vertices

Since to parfect state transfer

Time to perfect state transfer if there is ab-pot at time t, $e_{k}e_{b}^{T}=\sum_{r,s}e^{ir(\theta_{r}-\theta_{s})}\xi_{k}\xi_{s}\xi_{s}^{r}\xi_{r},$ implying that eit (0, - 0) ==+ (+1, s in the eigenvalue support) and ezition - 0, Henre a is periodic, with mininum period being the least τ such that $\tau(o_r, o_s)$ is an integer spectral radius multiple of T. Note that this happens if & only if T(g-Q,) is an integer multiple of T, for all .

Since $\partial_r = a + b_r f A$ we have $\partial_r - \partial_r = (b_r - b_r) T g$. If g is the ged of S(Q-o,): r=1,...] then the time to perfect

state transfer is 2TT, which is at most 2TT.

Monogamy

Leama (A Kag) 18 a, b, c E V (X) and there is pst from

a to b and from a to (, then b=c.

Proof The time to perfect state transler, is determined by

the elgenvalue support. So is ab-pst occurs ab time T

the se does graps. Therefore bac. 2

Bipartite & regular graphs

Lemma If X is bipartite. The eigenvalue support of

a vertex is closed under multiplication by -1.

Proof Let D be the diagonal mater's with

diagonal entries II such that DAD = - A. As we saw

 \square

DED = E-o and therefore it Esea = 0, that

E. to = DE DE + 0.

Lenna Assume X is bipartite. If the Jertex a in X is periodic, its eigenvalue support consists either of integers, or of integer nulbiples of NA for some square-free integer A.

Proof Suppose the ergenvalue support S of a contrains a non-integer

eigenvalue. The each element in S can be written in the form

É (a+b, JA).

Since S is closed under multiplication by -1, we have $\alpha = 0$. If $\theta = \frac{b\sqrt{a}}{2} \in S$ then $\theta' = \frac{b^{2}}{4}$ is a rational algebraic integer, hence is an integer. As A is square-free, it is not distrible by 4. So b is even. I

Lemma Assume X is connected and its spectral radiuse is an integer. If a is a periodic vertex in X, all elements of its eigenvalue support are integers. Front Assume by way of antrodiction that & lies in the eigenvalue support Soba and Of a. Then O is q quadratic integer and there is a field automorphism at order two mapping q in S to Ty for each y in S

We may assume 070.

Then

De E C

and, asper,

 $\frac{\overline{\partial}-\varrho}{\overline{\rho}-\varrho} = \frac{\partial-\varrho}{\overline{\partial}-\varrho},$

Therefore $(\overline{\theta} - \rho)^2 = (\theta - \rho)^2$ and $(\overline{\theta} - \theta)(\overline{\theta} + \theta - 2\rho) = 0$.

Hence p = + (0+0).

Since e is the spectral radius, this implies

that Q=p. Bul Of Z. \square

Controllable vertices

Let X be a graph on a vertices. If z E R" define

the walk matrix Wz to be

[3 A3 ··· A~3]

The pain (A,3) is antrollable if Wz is invertible.

In the cases of interest to us 3 will be the

characteristic vector of a subset Sol V(X); usually

S=for for some vertex, or S=V(X).

Deep work of Dehrad & Touri tells us that

(a) almost all graphs are controllable

(6) For almost graphs X, all pairs (X, (u)) (for vin V(X))

are controllable.

We say a vertex a is controllable if (X, Fu)) is

and X itself is controllable if (X, VB)) is When

SEVIX) we write the walk matrix as Wy. Its

ij-entry is the number of walks in the graph of

length j-1 that start at the vertex i and end in S.

Lemma If (X, S) is controllable, then any automorphism

of X that fixes S is the identity.

Proof Assume Pir a permutation matrix that commutes

with A. If es is the characteristic vector of 5, then

P fixes S if & Only if Pes=es. Honce

PA'es = A'Pes = A'es

and therefore PWs = Ws.

Thus if Wp is invertible, P=I.

Corollary IS X is controllable, Aut (X) = ().

 \mathcal{D}

Lemma Lebs= {v,,-,v,} be a set of vectors in Rn and assume Q: = v.v.". Then S spans & subspace of dimension & if & only if the matrices Q: span a subspace of dimension k? in Matar (R). Proof We show that the vector V, ..., v, are linearly independent if & only if the matrices viv, T are Kineovly independent.

If vision are linearly dependent, the matrices visut

(wf S) are linearly dependent.

So we assume visor are linearly independent.

Then there is a dual basis w, w, in R, i.e., a

set of vectors $w_1, ..., w_n$ such that $v_i w_j = \delta_{ij}$.

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} u_{1}^{r} \\ \vdots \\ k_{r} \end{array} \right)^{r} \left[\begin{array}{c} u_{1} \\ \cdots \\ u_{m} \end{array} \right] = \underline{T}_{m}$

If there were scalars and such that

 $\sum_{i,j}^{T} a_{ij} v_i v_j^{T} = 0$

Hen

 $0 = w_r^T \left(\sum_{i,j}^T a_{i,j} v_i v_j^T \right) w_s = \sum_{i,j}^T a_{i,j} w_r^T v_i v_j^T w_s = a_{r,s}$

 \square

For GIL r & S.

Corollary Assume Sir a subset of V(X) with

characteristic vectors. Then (X,S) is controllable

if a only if the matrices A'35 A' (Osijan) forma

basis for Matin (R). ប

Corchary if S is controllable (A,35) = Mathew (R)

S=V&) 33=5

We aim to prove that controllable vertices cannot

be periodic. For this, we need: ,n23 Lemma Let X be a graph on a vertices. If a is the minimum distance between two exervalues of X, then

$$0^{-1} < \frac{12}{n+1}$$

Proof Assume that the eigenvalues of X in non-increasing

arder are 0,2. = 20, Define

signed Cartesian product M = A@I - I@A.

The eigenvalues of M are 0;-0; (151,54).

Now $M^2 = A^2 e_1 + I e_1 A^2 - 2 A e_1$

tr (ABB) = tr (A) tr (B)

18 m= (E(X)), then

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (0, -\theta_i)^2 = tr(M^2) = 2ntr(A^2) = 4mn$

Therefore

 $\int \frac{n^2 (n-1)^2}{6} \leq 4mn = 2n^2(n-1)$

This prover or 5 h+1.

To get the strict inequality, note that is equality

holds, then m=(n) and X=Kn, but T(Kn)=D

D

(if nes),

Theorem Assume X is a connected graph on at least

four vartices. If a in V/X) is controllable, it is not

periodic.

Proof Assume n= |V(X)|. Assume by way of antrodiction

that a is controllable. Then the walk matrix

 $[e_a, Ae_a, \dots, A^m e_a]$

is invertible. Since the vectors E.g. form a basis

for the column space, the eigenvalue support of a has size n, and consists of all eigenvalues of X, Assume a is controllable, or 2, and therefore (by the above lemma), n SIO. Now use a computer. I

Remark A vertex a is controllable if and only it

& (Xia, t) and @ (X, t) are coprime

Exerolise

