

# Majorization in $C^*$ Algebras

Paul Skoufranis

York University

May 23, 2023

## Question

Given a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  and a self-adjoint operator  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$ , is it possible to describe

$$\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T)) = \overline{\text{conv}(\{U^* T U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})\})}$$

using spectral data?

Elements of  $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T))$  are 'averages' of elements of  $\mathcal{U}(T)$ . Thus we think of  $T$  'majorizing' elements of  $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T))$ .

# Dixmier Property

## Definition

A unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is said to have the *Dixmier Property* if  $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T)) \cap Z(\mathfrak{A}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

# Dixmier Property

## Definition

A unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is said to have the *Dixmier Property* if  $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T)) \cap Z(\mathfrak{A}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

## Theorem (Haagerup, Zsidó; 1984)

A unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the Dixmier property if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has at most one tracial state.

# Dixmier Property

## Definition

A unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is said to have the *Dixmier Property* if  $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T)) \cap Z(\mathfrak{A}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

## Theorem (Haagerup, Zsidó; 1984)

A unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the Dixmier property if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has at most one tracial state.

## Theorem (Archbold, Robert, Tikuisis; 2017)

A unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the Dixmier property if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the following properties:

- $\mathfrak{A}$  is weakly central,
- every simple quotient of  $\mathfrak{A}$  has at most one tracial state, and
- every extreme tracial state of  $\mathfrak{A}$  factors through some simple quotient.

## Theorem (Haagerup; 2015), (Kennedy; 2015)

Let  $G$  be a discrete group. Then  $C_r^*(G)$  is simple if and only if for all self-adjoint  $T \in C_r^*(G)$  and for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$  such that

$$\left\| \tau(T) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(g_k)^* T \lambda(g_k) \right\| < \epsilon.$$

## Definition

A map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  is said to be a:

- *quantum channel* if  $\Psi$  is completely positive and trace-preserving.

## Definition

A map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  is said to be a:

- *quantum channel* if  $\Psi$  is completely positive and trace-preserving.
- *unitary channel* if  $\Psi(T) = U^* T U$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  where  $U$  is a unitary.

## Definition

A map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  is said to be a:

- *quantum channel* if  $\Psi$  is completely positive and trace-preserving.
- *unitary channel* if  $\Psi(T) = U^* T U$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  where  $U$  is a unitary.
- *mixed unitary quantum channel* if  $\Psi$  is a convex combination of unitary channels.

Hence mixed unitary quantum channels have the form

$T \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^m t_k U_k^* T U_k$  where  $t_k \in [0, 1]$  are such that  $\sum_{k=1}^m t_k = 1$  and  $U_1, \dots, U_m$  are unitaries.

## Definition

A map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  is said to be a:

- *quantum channel* if  $\Psi$  is completely positive and trace-preserving.
- *unitary channel* if  $\Psi(T) = U^* T U$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  where  $U$  is a unitary.
- *mixed unitary quantum channel* if  $\Psi$  is a convex combination of unitary channels.

Hence mixed unitary quantum channels have the form

$T \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^m t_k U_k^* T U_k$  where  $t_k \in [0, 1]$  are such that  $\sum_{k=1}^m t_k = 1$  and  $U_1, \dots, U_m$  are unitaries.

Thus describing  $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T))$  describes the set of outputs of  $T$  under all mixed unitary quantum channels.

# Characterizations of $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(B))$ - Matrix Algebras

## Theorem (Various)

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be self-adjoint with eigenvalues

$$a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq b_n$$

respectively. The following are equivalent:

- 1  $A \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(B))$ .
- 2 there exists a double stochastic matrix  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $X\vec{b} = \vec{a}$ .
- 3  $\sum_{k=1}^m a_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^m b_k$  for all  $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with equality when  $m = n$ .
- 4  $\text{Tr}(f(A)) \leq \text{Tr}(f(B))$  for all  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and convex.
- 5 there exists a unital, trace-preserving, completely positive map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $\Psi(B) = A$ .
- 6 There exists unitaries  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $U^*AU = E_D(V^*BV)$  where  $E_D : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  is the expectation onto the diagonal.

# Eigenvalue Functions in $\text{II}_1$ Factors

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor with tracial state  $\tau$ .

## Definition

For a self-adjoint operator  $T \in \mathfrak{M}$ , the *eigenvalue function* of  $T$  is defined for  $s \in [0, 1)$  by

$$\lambda_T^\tau(s) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mu_T((t, \infty)) \leq s\}.$$

For example, if  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  are pairwise orthogonal projections such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ , if  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_n$ , if  $T = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k P_k$ , and if  $s_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \tau(P_j)$ , then

$$\lambda_T^\tau(s) = a_k \quad \text{for all } s \in [s_{k-1}, s_k).$$

# Schur Horn Theorem - $\text{II}_1$ Factors

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor with tracial state  $\tau$  and let  $T, S \in \mathfrak{M}$  be self-adjoint.

## Theorem (Hiai, Nakamura; 1991)

*The following are equivalent:*

- 1  $S \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T))$ .
- 2  $\int_0^y \lambda_S^\tau(x) dx \leq \int_0^y \lambda_T^\tau(x) dx$  for all  $y \in [0, 1]$  with equality at  $y = 1$ .
- 3  $\tau((S - \alpha)_+) \leq \tau((T - \alpha)_+)$  and  $\tau((-S - \alpha)_+) \leq \tau((-T - \alpha)_+)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Schur Horn Theorem - $\text{II}_1$ Factors

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor with tracial state  $\tau$  and let  $T, S \in \mathfrak{M}$  be self-adjoint.

## Theorem (Hiai, Nakamura; 1991)

*The following are equivalent:*

- 1  $S \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T))$ .
- 2  $\int_0^y \lambda_S^\tau(x) dx \leq \int_0^y \lambda_T^\tau(x) dx$  for all  $y \in [0, 1]$  with equality at  $y = 1$ .
- 3  $\tau((S - \alpha)_+) \leq \tau((T - \alpha)_+)$  and  $\tau((-S - \alpha)_+) \leq \tau((-T - \alpha)_+)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Theorem (Ravichandran; 2014)

$S \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T))$  if and only if whenever  $\mathcal{A}$  is a MASA of  $\mathfrak{M}$  containing  $S$  and  $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is the conditional expectation onto  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists a  $R \in \mathcal{U}(T)$  such that  $E_{\mathcal{A}}(R) = S$ .

## Theorem (Kennedy, Skoufranis; 2017)

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a type  $II_1$  factor with tracial state  $\tau$  and let  $T, S \in \mathfrak{M}$  with  $S$  normal. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1  $S \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\{UTV \mid U, V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{M})\})$ .
- 2  $\int_0^y \lambda_{|S|}^\tau(x) dx \leq \int_0^y \lambda_{|T|}^\tau(x) dx$  for all  $y \in [0, 1]$ .
- 3 If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a MASA of  $\mathfrak{M}$  containing  $S$  and  $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is the conditional expectation onto  $\mathcal{A}$ , then there exists a

$$R \in \overline{\{UTV \mid U, V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{M})\}}$$

such that  $E_{\mathcal{A}}(R) = S$ .

# A Characterization of $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T))$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{A})$  denote all ‘unbounded traces’; that is, all maps  $\tau : \mathfrak{A}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

- $\tau(T + S) = \tau(T) + \tau(S)$  for all  $T, S \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ ,
- $\tau(\alpha T) = \alpha\tau(T)$  for all  $T \in \mathfrak{A}_+$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $0 \cdot \infty = 0$ ),
- $\tau(X^*X) = \tau(XX^*)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ , and
- $\tau$  is lower semicontinuous.

# A Characterization of $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T))$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{A})$  denote all ‘unbounded traces’; that is, all maps  $\tau : \mathfrak{A}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

- $\tau(T + S) = \tau(T) + \tau(S)$  for all  $T, S \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ ,
- $\tau(\alpha T) = \alpha\tau(T)$  for all  $T \in \mathfrak{A}_+$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $0 \cdot \infty = 0$ ),
- $\tau(X^*X) = \tau(XX^*)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ , and
- $\tau$  is lower semicontinuous.

## Theorem (Ng, Robert, Skoufranis; 2018)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $T, S \in \mathfrak{A}$  be self-adjoint. The following are equivalent:

- $S \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(T))$ .
- $\tau((S - \alpha)_+) \leq \tau((T - \alpha)_+)$  and  $\tau((-S - \alpha)_+) \leq \tau((-T - \alpha)_+)$  for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Convex Hulls of Joint Unitary Orbits

What about normal operators? More generally, what about commuting self-adjoint operators?

# Convex Hulls of Joint Unitary Orbits

What about normal operators? More generally, what about commuting self-adjoint operators?

## Definition

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. An  $m$ -tuple  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathfrak{A}^m$  is said to be an *abelian family* if  $A_k$  is self-adjoint and  $A_k A_j = A_j A_k$  for all  $1 \leq j, k \leq m$ . The *(joint) unitary orbit* of  $\vec{A}$  is

$$\mathcal{U}(\vec{A}) = \{(U^* A_1 U, \dots, U^* A_m U) \mid U \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ is unitary}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^m.$$

Thus

$$\text{conv}(\mathcal{U}(\vec{A})) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k t_i \vec{C}_i \mid \begin{array}{l} k \in \mathbb{N}, \{\vec{C}_i\}_{i=1}^k \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\vec{A}), \\ \vec{t} \in \mathbb{R}^k \text{ a probability vector} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Can  $\overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(\vec{A}))$  be characterized using spectral data?

## Definition

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be abelian families in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

It is said that  $\vec{A}$  is *tracially majorized* by  $\vec{B}$ , denoted  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{Tr}} \vec{B}$ , if for every tracial state  $\tau : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and every continuous convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have that

$$\tau(f(A_1, \dots, A_m)) \leq \tau(f(B_1, \dots, B_m)).$$

# Joint Majorization - Doubly Stochastic Majorization

## Definition

Let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be abelian families in  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Using simultaneously diagonalizable, we can write

$$A_j = U^* D_j U \quad \text{and} \quad B_j = V^* D'_j V$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$  for some unitary matrices  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and diagonal matrices  $D_j$  and  $D'_j$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the  $n \times m$  matrices whose  $j^{\text{th}}$  columns are the diagonal entries of  $D_j$  and  $D'_j$  respectively.

It is said that  $\vec{A}$  is (*doubly stochastic*) majorized by  $\vec{B}$ , denoted  $\vec{A} \prec \vec{B}$ , if there exists a  $X \in DS_n$  such that  $XB = A$ .

## Theorem (Various)

Let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be abelian families in  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1  $\vec{A} \in \text{conv}(\mathcal{U}(\vec{B}))$ .
- 2  $\vec{A} \prec \vec{B}$ .
- 3  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{Tr}} \vec{B}$ .
- 4 There exists a unital, trace-preserving, (completely) positive map

$$\Phi : C^*(A_1, \dots, A_m) \rightarrow C^*(B_1, \dots, B_m)$$

such that  $\Phi(B_k) = A_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .

## Theorem (Argerami, Massey; 2008)

Let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be abelian families in a  $\|_1$  factor  $\mathfrak{M}$  with tracial state  $\tau$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1  $\vec{A} \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(\vec{B}))$ .
- 2  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{Tr}} \vec{B}$ .
- 3 There exists a unital, trace-preserving, (completely) positive map

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$$

such that  $\Phi(B_k) = A_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .

## Theorem (Hu, Lin; 2020)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital, separable, simple,  $C^*$ -algebra with tracial rank zero. For any normal operators  $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $A \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(B))$  if and only if there exists a sequence of unital completely positive maps  $\Psi_n : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  such that

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Psi_n(B) - A\| = 0$ , and
- $\tau(\Psi_n(C)) = \tau(C)$  for all  $\tau \in T(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $C \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

## Theorem (Hu, Lin; 2020)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital, separable, simple,  $C^*$ -algebra with tracial rank zero. For any normal operators  $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $A \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(B))$  if and only if there exists a sequence of unital completely positive maps  $\Psi_n : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  such that

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Psi_n(B) - A\| = 0$ , and
- $\tau(\Psi_n(C)) = \tau(C)$  for all  $\tau \in T(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $C \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

## Theorem (Mootoo, S; 2022)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be abelian families in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\vec{A} \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(\vec{B}))$ , then  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{Tr}} \vec{B}$ .

# Joint Majorization - Partial $C^*$ -Algebra Results

## Theorem (Hu, Lin; 2020)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital, separable, simple,  $C^*$ -algebra with tracial rank zero. For any normal operators  $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $A \in \overline{\text{con}}(\mathcal{U}(B))$  if and only if there exists a sequence of unital completely positive maps  $\Psi_n : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  such that

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Psi_n(B) - A\| = 0$ , and
- $\tau(\Psi_n(C)) = \tau(C)$  for all  $\tau \in T(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $C \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

## Theorem (Mootoo, S; 2022)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be abelian families in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\vec{A} \in \overline{\text{con}}(\mathcal{U}(\vec{B}))$ , then  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{Tr}} \vec{B}$ .

To what extent does the converse of the above theorem hold in an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra?

## Theorem (Mootoo, S; 2022)

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be abelian families in  $C(\mathcal{X}, M_n)$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{pt}} \vec{B}$ .
- 2  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{Tr}} \vec{B}$ .
- 3  $\vec{A} \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(\vec{B}))$ .

## Theorem (Mootoo, S; 2022)

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be abelian families in  $C(\mathcal{X}, M_n)$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{pt}} \vec{B}$ .
- 2  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{Tr}} \vec{B}$ .
- 3  $\vec{A} \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(\vec{B}))$ .

## Theorem (Mootoo, S; 2022)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital, separable, subhomogeneous  $C^*$ -subalgebra. Suppose  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  are abelian families in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1  $\vec{A} \prec_{\text{Tr}} \vec{B}$ .
- 2  $\vec{A} \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{U}(\vec{B}))$ .

What about non-abelian  $m$ -tuples?

What about non-abelian  $m$ -tuples?

Theorem (Helton, Klep, McCullough; 2017)

*Given  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , there exists a unital, completely positive, trace-preserving map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $\Psi(B_k) = A_k$  for all  $k$  if and only if a specific semidefinite programming problem has a solution.*

What about non-abelian  $m$ -tuples?

**Theorem (Helton, Klep, McCullough; 2017)**

*Given  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , there exists a unital, completely positive, trace-preserving map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $\Psi(B_k) = A_k$  for all  $k$  if and only if a specific semidefinite programming problem has a solution.*

**Theorem (Gour, Jennings, Buscemi, Duan, Marvian; 2018)**

*Given  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\vec{B}$  quantum majorizes  $\vec{A}$  (i.e. there exists a completely positive, trace-preserving map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $\Psi(B_k) = A_k$  for all  $k$ ) if and only if specific entropy conditions hold.*

## Theorem (Kennedy, Marcoux, S; 2023)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $\tau$  be a tracial state on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be  $m$ -tuples in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . There exists a [unital] trace-preserving, completely positive map

$$\Psi : C^*(B_1, \dots, B_m) \rightarrow C^*(A_1, \dots, A_m)$$

such that  $\Psi(B_k) = A_k$  for all  $k$  if and only if  $\vec{A} \prec_{nc} \vec{B}$ .

## Theorem (Kennedy, Marcoux, S; 2023)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $\tau$  be a tracial state on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be  $m$ -tuples in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . There exists a [unital] trace-preserving, completely positive map

$$\Psi : C^*(B_1, \dots, B_m) \rightarrow C^*(A_1, \dots, A_m)$$

such that  $\Psi(B_k) = A_k$  for all  $k$  if and only if  $\vec{A} \prec_{nc} \vec{B}$ .

- $\vec{A} \prec_{nc} \vec{B}$  is a direct generalization of tracial majorization to the non-commutative context.

## Theorem (Kennedy, Marcoux, S; 2023)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $\tau$  be a tracial state on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and let  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $\vec{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be  $m$ -tuples in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . There exists a [unital] trace-preserving, completely positive map

$$\Psi : C^*(B_1, \dots, B_m) \rightarrow C^*(A_1, \dots, A_m)$$

such that  $\Psi(B_k) = A_k$  for all  $k$  if and only if  $\vec{A} \prec_{nc} \vec{B}$ .

- $\vec{A} \prec_{nc} \vec{B}$  is a direct generalization of tracial majorization to the non-commutative context.
- In the non-abelian setting, majorization is about quantum channels; not mixed unitary quantum channels.

Thanks for Listening!