Loctute 7 Last time we introduced R-domains and R-Hardy fields and generalized to this setting earlier results on Hausdorff fields and Hardy fields. Ayhan and Elliot commented to me about the co-colled "cheep frick," and in fact I swept under the reg an important fact, namely that T=Th(IR) has definable Skokin functions. I will briefly teturn to the in the Question session on Thursday. Improved Terminology: ge G oscillabe: (=) g to and glil=0
for arbitrarily large t (In our terminology of last time: 9 oscillates (=) (9,0) is not total.) Some consequences of what we did let time: (4.3.2) Corollary Let H be an R-Hardy field, Land g & C. In and of the following situations g-h does not oscillate, for all hEH, and H[9], is again an R-Hardy field, and $H[g]_{\chi}^{T} = H[g]_{\tilde{g}} =$ U {f(9,,...,9,19) { f: R -1 R is 0-definable,}.

912-19 6 H (i) ge K ge e' and g' f H (ie^) g = et with fe H (iii) g=logf with f#H. (iv) If The nonoscillation claims were already established for real closed Herdy fields. The rest is en exercise along the lines of the proof of Lemma 4.3.1. (4.4) First. Order ODE's over R- Hardy Fields (4.4.1) Let H de an R-Hardy Field, $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ definable, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{C}(u)$ définable. Suppose him, heth, y ce' are such that eventually $(h(t), \eta(t)) \in U, \quad \eta'(t) = \mathcal{L}(h(t), \eta(t)).$ Then y lies in an 12-Hardy field extension of H. Pf Much like that of the semialgebraic cace (3.1.1): first extend H so that IR = H = T. Then show: 4-h does not oscillate, for every het. Then we have the R-domain Hing = Hing Se. Now show HISTE is an P-Hardy field, by an argument different (a bid) from the semialgebrair case. B. gs The ODE y xy = h over Hardy Fields Here we meet an interesting phenomenon & Let H be a Hardy field. Then for some het there is exactly sol's one hyee (of y"+y=h) that hies in a Hordy fld extension of H, and for other he H there are infinitely many such solutions, The reason to focus on istand 2 nd order linear ODE o is that they control to a large extent the entire story about solving algebraic ODE's over Hardy fields. Original Source for 95 M. Boshernitzan, Second Order Differential Fquations over Hardy Fields, Jo London Meth. Soc. 35 (1987) 109-120. Note: get oscillates, Hanso Loes g'e C. (S.1) The ODE y'= D(y) with 3 se mi algebraic orer H (5, 1.1) <u>lemma</u> Suppose hé c'oscillates. Then (is) below: (i) there are artichrarily large s with h'(s) =0, h(s)20 large s mith (ic) .. h(s)=0, Ke)<0 In case (i) there are also arbitrarily large s with h'(s) = 0 and h(s) = 0. In case [is] there are also arbitrarily large s with h)(s) = 0 and 4(s) 20. If Exercise. 8 (5.1.2) Lemna Let H de a Hardy field and f,g & e' such that fi generates a Hausdorff field H(f) over H and 96 H142 to K= H(f.9): ak = K+Kf' PE Enough to show q' \ K+Kf' since we can then use this for any elt. of K instead of 9. Usual rules: 2 H(f) = H(f)+ H(f)f', Let P(y) & Har[4] Le the minimum por, of 9 over H(f). Then P(g)=0 gires g'=-P(g). Now p⁸(9) + \(\inf \alpha + \kf'.9 \) \(\inf \kf'.9 \) Also P'G) & K. So g' & K+Kf_B (5.1.3) Theorem Let H be a real closed Hardy field and suppore g & e generales a Hausdorff field H(g) over H with $g' \in H(g)'$ g generates a Hardy field H(9,9,9") over H.