

The Pila-Wilkie Thm

Setting: $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = (\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \dots)$ an o-minimal expansion of the reals field of reals.

Suppose $q = a/b$ in lowest terms with $b \neq 0$, then the height of q is $H(q) = \max\{|a|, |b|\}$.

If $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, put $H(q) = \max\{H(q_1), \dots, H(q_n)\}$.

For $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, and $H \geq 1$, let

$$X(\mathbb{Q}, H) = \{q \in X \cap \mathbb{Q}^n : H(q) \leq H\}.$$

Aim of Pila-Wilkie is to give good bounds on

$$\# X(\mathbb{Q}, H) \quad \text{as} \quad H \rightarrow \infty.$$

thm (Pila) Suppose $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is analytic and transcendental. Let $X = \text{graph } f$. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $c > 0$ st for $H \geq 1$,

$$\# X(\mathbb{Q}, H) \leq c H^\epsilon$$

In general, a set X might contain a rational line in which case it will have lots of rational points. Following Pila, we define:

algebraic part of X : $X^{\text{alg}} = \text{union of all connected infinite } \overline{\mathbb{R}}\text{-def subsets of } X$

transcendental part of X : $X^{\text{trans}} = X \setminus X^{\text{alg}}$

X^{alg} could be quite complicated.

thm (Pila-Wilkie) Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is definable. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $c > 0$ st for all $H \geq 1$,

$$\# X^{\text{trans}}(\mathbb{Q}, H) \leq c H^\epsilon.$$

Two main ingredients for the proof:

thm Suppose $X \subseteq (0, 1)^n$ is def., $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist

$f_1, \dots, f_k: (0, 1)^{\dim X} \rightarrow X$ c^r definable maps

st. $|f_i^{(k)}(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in (0, 1)^{\dim X}$, $|k| \leq r$

and $\cup \text{Im } f_i = X$.

(Earlier versions of this due to Yafaev, Gromov)

Thm $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k < n$. For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $c, \varepsilon > 0$ with the following property. If $f: (0,1)^k \rightarrow (0,1)^n$ is a C^r map with $|f^{(k)}(x)| \leq 1$ for $x \in (0,1)^k$, $|d| \leq r$, and $X = \text{Im } f$ then for $H \geq 1$, the set $X(\mathbb{Q}, H)$ is contained in at most cH^ε algebraic hypersurfaces of degree at most d , and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.
(Has original work of Bombieri & Pila).

Some references:

- Pila-Wilkie (2006)
- Bhargava - van den Dries (2021)
Neer giving seminar on Tuesday at 10:10am.
- Binyamini & Narkar (2021)

Remarks.

- (i) The cH^ε is best possible. Examples by Sumner, Pila showing cH^ε is best possible in \mathbb{R}^n .
- (ii) Hope to do better, say for $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}} = (\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \text{exp})$. Wilkie conjectures a bound of the form $c(\log H)^k$ for definable sets in \mathbb{R}_{exp} . Binyamini - Narkar (2017) prove such bounds for $(\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \text{exp}_{[0,1]}, \text{sin}_{[0,1]})$. For certain other classes of sets bounds of this form are known.
- (iii) The constant c is ineffective.
- (iv) There are various stronger results, e.g. bounds on
- $$\# X^{\text{trans}}(d, H) = \# \left\{ \alpha \in X : \begin{array}{l} [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}] \leq d \\ H(\alpha) \leq H \end{array} \right\} \leq cH^\varepsilon \quad \text{when } c = c(d).$$

Here we hope for (in nice structures)

$$c d^k (\log H)^L$$

Such bounds also have applications.

(v) Improvement to parametrization result.

Now work in $M = (M, <, +, \cdot, 0, 1, \dots)$ σ -minimal expansion of a field. Let $I = (0, 1)$ (in M).

defn A basic cell $C \subseteq I^n$ is a product of n copies of I or $\{0\}$. A continuous map $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ is cellular if

(i) $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, and for each i the function f_i depends only on (x_1, \dots, x_i) .

So $f(x) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_1, x_2), \dots, f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$.

(ii) for each i , and each $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) \in \text{proj.}$ of C to first $i-1$ coordinates, the function

$$x_i \mapsto f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i)$$

is strictly increasing.

Rmk Suppose $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\gamma: C' \rightarrow C$ are cellular. Then so is $f \circ \gamma: C' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$.

With $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, $x \in C'$.

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ \gamma)_1(x) &= f_1(\gamma_1(x_1), \dots, \gamma_n(x_1)) \\ &= f_1(\gamma_1(x_1)) && \text{since } f \text{ is cellular} \\ &= f_1(\gamma_1(x_1)) && \text{--- } \gamma \text{ ---} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ \gamma)_2(x) &= f_2(\gamma_1(x_1), \dots, \gamma_n(x_1)) \\ &= f_2(\gamma_1(x_1), \gamma_2(x_1, x_2)) \\ &= f_2(\gamma_1(x_1), \gamma_2(x_1, x_2)) \end{aligned}$$

$$E_j \quad (f \circ \gamma)_2(x_1, x_2) = f_2(\gamma_1(x_1), \gamma_2(x_1, x_2))$$

is strictly increasing in x_2 .

Notation For $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$

we put

$$\|F\|_r = \max_{|x| \leq r} \sup_{\substack{x \in U \\ i=1, \dots, m}} |D^{(x)} F_i(x)|$$

$\alpha!$

Defn Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{I}^n$ det.

A cellular r -parameterization is a finite set \mathcal{F} of definable \mathbb{C}^r cellular maps st.

$\|f\|_r \leq 1$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and such that

$$\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \text{Im } f = X.$$

Suppose $Y \subseteq \mathbb{I}^m$, $F: X \rightarrow Y$ det.

A cellular r -parameterization of F is a cellular r -parameterization \mathcal{F} of X such that

$$\|F \circ f\|_r \leq 1$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Thm (Parameterization, following Bierman & Norrish)
 $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(I)_n If $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $X \subseteq \mathbb{I}^n$ is det. then X has a cellular r -parameterization.

(II)_n If $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{I}^n$, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{I}^m$, $F: X \rightarrow Y$ det. then F has a cellular r -parameterization.

We can almost compose cellular r -param.

Sup \mathcal{F} is a c.r.p of $X \subseteq \mathbb{I}^n$
 and that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f: C \rightarrow X$

we have a c.r.p \mathcal{F}_f of C .

Let $g \in \mathcal{F}_f$. Computation shows that

$$\|g \circ f\|_r \leq c_{n,r}$$

Cover $C' = \text{dome}$ with $(c+1)^k$ boxes, where
 $k = \dim C'$, $c = c_{n,r}$, each box is a translate
of $(0, 1/c)^k$. Then composing with linear maps
for each box, we get a bound of 1 in place of $c_{n,r}$.
We call this trick at the end 'linear substitution'.