

On reconstruction of non- \aleph_0 -categorical continuous theories, groupoids, Skolem functions and the Lelek fan

Conference in honour of Ehud Hrushoski, Fields Institute

Itai Ben Yaacov



Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1



Institut Camille Jordan

2021-12-13

Continuous first order logic is to Boolean first order logic as $[a, b]$ is to $\{T, F\}$

- Structures are complete bounded metric spaces, $d(x, y)$ replaces $x = y$.
- Formulas are real-valued, uniformly continuous, bounded.
- Connectives are continuous, quantifiers are sup and inf.
- Contains Boolean first order logic: $\{0, 1\}$ -valued structures.

Abstract continuous model theory: generalise classical results/tools – and deal with “surprises”

Compactness, Löwenheim-Skolem, stability, \aleph_0 -stability, Morley, ...	✓
Strongly minimal sets, Baldwin-Lachlan	✗(?)
Omitting types, Ryll-Nardzewski	✓
\aleph_0 -categorical reconstruction (Coquand, a.k.a. Ahlbrandt-Ziegler)	✓
No 2 models	✗
Skolem functions: “choosing witnesses”	✗
My topic today: non- \aleph_0 -categorical reconstruction	...

Definition

Let T be an \aleph_0 -categorical theory (**complete in a countable language**). Let M be any countable model of T and $G(T) = \text{Aut}(M)$, equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence.

Theorem (Coquand, published by Ahlbrandt & Ziegler ; B. & Kaïchouh)

- Let T and T' be \aleph_0 -categorical. Then $G(T) \simeq G(T')$ as topological groups if and only if T and T' are bi-interpretable.
- Same, for continuous logic (replace countable with **separable**).

Moreover:

- We can characterise groups of the form $G(T)$ (Polish, Roeolcke precompact, ...)
- From $G = G(T)$ we can explicitly **reconstruct** a theory T' bi-interpretable with T .

Theorem (Coquand, published by Ahlbrandt & Ziegler ; B. & Kaïchouh)

" \aleph_0 -categorical T (up to bi-interpretation)" equals "Roelcke-precompact Polish group $G = G(T)$ ".

- Connection between model theory (in T) and dynamics (of $G(T)$) – Ibarlucía, Tsankov, B., and others.
- In one direction: subjects many non-locally-compact Polish groups “of interest” to model-theoretic treatment, e.g., Property (T) for Roelcke-precompact groups (Ibarlucía).
- In the other direction: Ibarlucía’s (re-)proof of the preservation of NIP under randomisation: if T is countably/separably categorical and NIP (or stable), then so is T^R .

Theorem (Coquand, published by Ahlbrandt & Ziegler ; B. & Kaïchouh)

“ \aleph_0 -categorical T (up to bi-interpretation)” equals “Roelcke-precompact Polish group $G = G(T)$ ”.

- Connection between model theory (in T) and dynamics (of $G(T)$) – Ibarlućía, Tsankov, B., and others.
- In one direction: subjects many non-locally-compact Polish groups “of interest” to model-theoretic treatment, e.g., Property (T) for Roelcke-precompact groups (Ibarlućía).
- In the other direction: Ibarlućía’s (re-)proof of the preservation of NIP under randomisation: if T is **countably/separably categorical** and NIP (or stable), then so is T^R .

But:

- Specific to \aleph_0 -categorical theories.

In order to cover non- \aleph_0 -categorical theories, we need topological **groupoids** of isomorphisms between countable / separable structures.

Definition

- A **groupoid** is a set \mathbf{G} equipped with a partial composition law and total inversion map, satisfying appropriate axioms [e.g., all isomorphisms of a small category].
- Its **basis** is $\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{G}) = \{e \in \mathbf{G} : e^2 = e\}$ [all identity morphisms \simeq all objects].
- The **source** of $g \in \mathbf{G}$ is $s_g = g^{-1}g \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{G})$ [source object].
- The **target** of $g \in \mathbf{G}$ is $t_g = gg^{-1} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{G})$ [target object].

$\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{G})$ is a singleton if and only if $(\mathbf{G}, \cdot, {}^{-1})$ is a group.

Definition

A **topological groupoid** is a groupoid equipped with a topology, such that the composition (on its domain) and inversion are continuous.

It is **open** if in addition, the source map $s: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{G})$ is open (equivalently, the target map, equivalent, the composition law).

Theorem (B.)

To every theory T (complete, in a countable language \mathcal{L} , in **Boolean** logic) we can associate a topological groupoid $\mathbb{G}(T)$ such that:

- $\mathbb{G}(T)$ is an open topological groupoid over the Cantor space: $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{G}) \simeq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- It is a complete bi-interpretation invariant for T :
 T and T' are bi-interpretable if and only if $\mathbb{G}(T) \simeq \mathbb{G}(T')$.

Moreover, from $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(T)$, given as a topological groupoid, we can explicitly construct a theory T' that is bi-interpretable with T .

\aleph_0 -categorical case, reformulated

Say $a = (a_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$ enumerates $M \models T$. Then a “codes” M , and as a topological group

$$G(T) = \underset{g}{\text{Aut}(M)} \quad \underset{\mapsto}{\simeq} \quad \left\{ \underset{\text{tp}(ga, a)}{\text{tp}(a, b) : \text{tp}(a) = \text{tp}(b) \text{ and } \text{dcl}(a) = \text{dcl}(b)} \right\} \subseteq S_{2 \times \mathbb{N}}(T)$$

Group law: $\text{tp}(a, b) \cdot \text{tp}(b, c) = \text{tp}(a, c)$.

\aleph_0 -categorical case, reformulated

Say $a = (a_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$ enumerates $M \models T$. Then a “codes” M , and as a topological group

$$G(T) = \text{Aut}(M) \underset{g}{\simeq} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{tp}(a, b) : \text{tp}(a) = \text{tp}(b) \text{ and } \text{dcl}(a) = \text{dcl}(b) \\ \text{tp}(ga, a) \end{array} \right\} \subseteq S_{2 \times \mathbb{N}}(T)$$

Group law: $\text{tp}(a, b) \cdot \text{tp}(b, c) = \text{tp}(a, c)$.

General approach: a “good” set D of codes for models \implies a topological groupoid

$$\mathbb{G}_D(T) = \{ \text{tp}(a, b) : a, b \in D \text{ and } \text{dcl}(a) = \text{dcl}(b) \} \subseteq S(T).$$

$$\text{law} \quad \text{tp}(a, b) \cdot \text{tp}(b, c) = \text{tp}(a, c)$$

$$\text{basis} \quad \mathbb{B}_D(T) = \{ \text{tp}(a, a) : a \in D \} \simeq \{ \text{tp}(a) : a \in D \} = S_D(T)$$

All that's left is to find D ...

Definition

Fix a sequence of formulas $\Phi = (\varphi_n)$, such that $\forall x_{<n} \exists y \varphi_n(x_{<n}, y)$ is valid. Define $D_\Phi \subseteq M^\mathbb{N}$:

$$a = (a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \in D_\Phi \iff \varphi_n(a_{<n}, a_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

Assuming Φ is rich enough: D_Φ is a good set of codes for models!

- Every $a \in D_\Phi$ enumerates a model.
- Every countable model is enumerated by a member of D_Φ .
- D_Φ is type-definable, in infinitely many variables.

Definition

Fix a sequence of formulas $\Phi = (\varphi_n)$, such that $\forall x_{<n} \exists y \varphi_n(x_{<n}, y)$ is valid. Define $D_\Phi \subseteq M^\mathbb{N}$:

$$a = (a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \in D_\Phi \iff \varphi_n(a_{<n}, a_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

Assuming Φ is rich enough: D_Φ is a good set of codes for models!

- Every $a \in D_\Phi$ enumerates a model.
- Every countable model is enumerated by a member of D_Φ .
- D_Φ is type-definable, in infinitely many variables.
- In fact it is **definable** in the sense of continuous logic (AKA **strictly pro-definable** by Hrushovski-Loeser): every projection of D_Φ to finitely many coordinates is definable. (The projection to the first n coordinates is defined $\bigwedge_{k < n} \varphi_k(x_{<k}, x_k)$.)

Definition

Fix a sequence of formulas $\Phi = (\varphi_n)$, such that $\forall x_{<n} \exists y \varphi_n(x_{<n}, y)$ is valid. Define $D_\Phi \subseteq M^\mathbb{N}$:

$$a = (a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \in D_\Phi \iff \varphi_n(a_{<n}, a_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

Assuming Φ is rich enough: D_Φ is a good set of codes for models!

- Every $a \in D_\Phi$ enumerates a model.
- Every countable model is enumerated by a member of D_Φ .
- D_Φ is type-definable, in infinitely many variables.
- In fact it is **definable** in the sense of continuous logic (AKA **strictly pro-definable** by Hrushovski-Loeser): every projection of D_Φ to finitely many coordinates is definable. (The projection to the first n coordinates is defined $\bigwedge_{k < n} \varphi_k(x_{<k}, x_k)$.)

Do D_Φ and $\mathbb{G}_{D_\Phi}(T)$ depend on Φ ?

Proposition (Uniqueness of D_Φ and $\mathbb{G}_{D_\Phi}(T)$)

If Φ and Ψ are both rich, then there exists a definable bijection $D_\Phi \simeq D_\Psi$. Consequently, $\mathbb{G}_{D_\Phi}(T) \simeq \mathbb{G}_{D_\Psi}(T)$.

\implies **canonical** topological groupoid

$$\mathbb{G}(T) = \mathbb{G}_{D_\Phi}(T) = \{\text{tp}(a, b) : a, b \in D_\Phi \text{ and } \text{dcl}(a) = \text{dcl}(b)\}$$

basis: $\mathbb{B}(T) = \{\text{tp}(a, a) : a \in D_\Phi\} \simeq S_{D_\Phi}(T) \simeq \text{Cantor}.$

It is Polish ($\text{dcl}(a) = \text{dcl}(b)$ is G_δ) and open (since D_Φ is definable).

Theorem (Restated)

The topological groupoid $\mathbb{G}(T)$ is a complete bi-interpretation invariant for T .

Moreover, a theory bi-interpretable with T can be explicitly reconstructed from $\mathbb{G}(T)$.

Now, let's just generalise this to continuous logic.

Recall the construction of D_Φ

Each $\exists y \varphi_n(x_{<n}, y)$ is valid, and

$$a = (a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \in D_\Phi \iff \varphi_n(a_{<n}, a_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

This, or something similar, must also work in continuous logic... right?

Recall the construction of D_Φ

Each $\exists y \varphi_n(x_{<n}, y)$ is valid, and

$$a = (a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \in D_\Phi \iff \varphi_n(a_{<n}, a_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

This, or something similar, must also work in continuous logic... right?

WRONG

- Boolean logic: if $\exists y \varphi(x, y)$ is valid, then $\{(a, b) : \varphi(a, b)\}$ is a definable set, that projects onto the first coordinate.
- Continuous logic: if $\inf_y \varphi(x, y) = 0$, then $\{(a, b) : \varphi(a, b) = 0\}$ need **not** be a definable set, and (in a non-saturated model) the projection need **not** be onto.

Definition

Let D an interpretable sort in T . It is a **universal Skolem sort** if it is “like the set D_Φ ”, i.e., if “it is easy to construct definable Skolem functions from D ”.

Proposition

If T admits two universal Skolem sorts D and D' , then there exists a definable bijection $\sigma: D \simeq D'$.

Theorem (B.)

Assume that T admits a universal Skolem sort D . Then it is a set of codes for models, (i.e., $\text{dcl}(a) = \text{dcl}(M)$), and the topological groupoid $\mathbb{G}(T)$ is a complete bi-interpretation invariant for T :

$$\mathbb{G}(T) = \mathbb{G}_D(T) = \{\text{tp}(a, b) : a, b \in D \text{ and } \text{dcl}(a) = \text{dcl}(b)\}$$

basis: $\mathbb{B}(T) \simeq \mathbb{S}_D(T) \simeq \text{Cantor}$.

Theorem (B.)

Assume that T admits a universal Skolem sort D . Then $\mathbb{G}(T) = \mathbb{G}_D(T)$ is a complete bi-interpretation invariant for T .

- If T is Boolean, then D_Φ is universal Skolem (case already covered).
- If T is \aleph_0 -categorical, and $\text{dcl}(a) = \text{dcl}(M)$, then $D_0 = \{b : \text{tp}(a) = \text{tp}(b)\}$ is definable, and $D_0 \times 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is universal Skolem. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{G}(T) = 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{G}(T) \times 2^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

- [J. Muñoz] If T admits a universal Skolem sort, then so does its Keisler randomisation T^R .

But:

- There exist theories which do not admit one (e.g., the theory of $[0,1]$ equipped with the unary identity predicate and the 0/1 distance).

The problem(s)

In continuous logic, if $\inf_y \varphi(x, y) = 0$, then

- A the set $\{(a, b) : \varphi(a, b) = 0\}$ need **not** be a definable set, and
- B the projection on the first coordinate need **not** be onto (in a non-saturated model).

The problem(s)

In continuous logic, if $\inf_y \varphi(x, y) = 0$, then

- A the set $\{(a, b) : \varphi(a, b) = 0\}$ need **not** be a definable set, and
- B the projection on the first coordinate need **not** be onto (in a non-saturated model).

The solution (almost)

- B allow an error, considering $\{(a, b) : \varphi(a, b) \leq 1\}$.
- A allow a **variable** error, considering $D = \{(r, a, b) : \varphi(a, b) \leq r\}$. This set D is **definable**:
*if (r, a, b) is **logically** close to D (i.e., $\varphi(a, b) \leq r + \varepsilon$),
then it is **metrically** close to D (e.g., to $(r + \varepsilon, a, b) \in D$).*

The problem(s)

In continuous logic, if $\inf_y \varphi(x, y) = 0$, then

- A the set $\{(a, b) : \varphi(a, b) = 0\}$ need **not** be a definable set, and
- B the projection on the first coordinate need **not** be onto (in a non-saturated model).

The solution (almost)

- B allow an error, considering $\{(a, b) : \varphi(a, b) \leq 1\}$.
- A allow a **variable** error, considering $D = \{(r, a, b) : \varphi(a, b) \leq r\}$. This set D is **definable**:
*if (r, a, b) is **logically** close to D (i.e., $\varphi(a, b) \leq r + \varepsilon$),
then it is **metrically** close to D (e.g., to $(r + \varepsilon, a, b) \in D$).*

New problem

For this to work, r must not be bounded, and by compactness, we must allow $r = \infty$.
But... with infinite error, the condition $\varphi(a, b) \leq r$ is meaningless.

Definition (Reminiscing of Summer 2004...)

Let X be a set. We define

$$*X = ([0, 1] \times X) / \sim = \{[\alpha, x] : \alpha \in [0, 1], x \in X\}$$

where we identify $[0, x] = 0$ regardless of x .

Definition

Say $\inf_y \varphi_n(x_{<n}, y) = 0$ for each n , and $\Phi = (\varphi_n)$ is sufficiently rich. Define $D_\Phi^* \subseteq *M^\mathbb{N}$ by:

$$[\alpha, a] \in D_\Phi^* \iff \varphi_n(a_{<n}, a_n) \leq 1/n\alpha.$$

- D_Φ^* is definable (same argument as in the previous slide)
- If $[\alpha, a] \in D_\Phi^*$ and $\alpha > 0$ (finite error), then $[\alpha, a]$ codes a model: $\text{dcl}([\alpha, a]) = \text{dcl}(M)$.
- There exists a unique root $0 = [0, a] \in D_\Phi^*$. It codes nothing: $\text{dcl}(0) = \text{dcl}(\emptyset)$.

Definition

Say $\inf_y \varphi_n(x_{<n}, y) = 0$ for each n , and $\Phi = (\varphi_n)$ is sufficiently rich. Define $D_\Phi^* \subseteq {}^*M^\mathbb{N}$ by:

$$[\alpha, a] \in D_\Phi^* \iff \varphi_n(a_{<n}, a_n) \leq 1/\alpha.$$

Theorem (B.)

The definable set $D^* = D_\Phi^*$ is unique, up to definable bijection. The groupoid $\mathbb{G}^*(T) = \mathbb{G}_{D^*}(T)$ is a complete bi-interpretation invariant for T :

$$\mathbb{G}^*(T) = \mathbb{G}_{D^*}(T) = \{\text{tp}(a, b) : a, b \in D^* \text{ and } \text{dcl}(a) = \text{dcl}(b)\}$$

basis:

$$\mathbb{B}^*(T) \simeq S_{D^*}(T) \simeq \text{the Lelek fan } L.$$

A quick reminder about the Lelek fan?

- A **fan** is a connected subset of $*2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- If the endpoints are dense, then it is a **Lelek fan**, and is unique up to homeomorphism.

Hypothesis	\aleph_0 -categorical \mathcal{T} $D_0 = \text{type of a model}$	a universal Skolem sort D exists	General case
Groupoid invariant	$G(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Aut}(M) = G_{D_0}(\mathcal{T})$ (group)	$G(\mathcal{T}) = G_D(\mathcal{T})$	$G^*(\mathcal{T}) = G_{D^*}(\mathcal{T})$
Basis	$S_{D_0}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Point}$	$S_D(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Cantor}$	$S_{D^*}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Lelek fan}$
Reconstruction	recover $\text{Th}(D_0)$	recover $\text{Th}(D)$	recover $\text{Th}(D^*)$

Hypothesis	\aleph_0 -categorical \mathcal{T} $D_0 = \text{type of a model}$	a universal Skolem sort D exists	General case
Groupoid invariant	$G(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Aut}(M) = G_{D_0}(\mathcal{T})$ (group)	$G(\mathcal{T}) = G_D(\mathcal{T})$	$G^*(\mathcal{T}) = G_{D^*}(\mathcal{T})$
Basis	$S_{D_0}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Point}$	$S_D(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Cantor}$	$S_{D^*}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Lelek fan}$
Reconstruction	recover $\text{Th}(D_0)$	recover $\text{Th}(D)$	recover $\text{Th}(D^*)$

Each case generalises the previous ones

- \aleph_0 -categorical \rightsquigarrow a Universal Skolem sort D :

$$D = 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times D_0$$

$$G(\mathcal{T}) = 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times G(\mathcal{T}) \times 2^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

- Universal Skolem sort $D \rightsquigarrow$ general case

$$D^* = (L \times D) / \sim \quad (= (L \times D_0) / \sim).$$

$$G^*(\mathcal{T}) = (L \times G(\mathcal{T}) \times L) / \sim \quad (\text{almost}).$$

Thank you