## The Betti map - a survey

#### Yves André - Paris Sorbonne, CNRS

#### Fields Institute, Number Theory Seminar, 6/12/2021

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Yves André - Paris Sorbonne, CNRS The Betti map

Weil: heights of rational points of algebraic varieties (for long, the only proper concept of Diophantine Geometry), and the height machinery:

 $(X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic} X) \mapsto (h_{X,\mathcal{L}} : X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{R})$  up to O(1), with functoriality and positivity properties.

Normalized heights:

- Néron-Tate height:  $\hat{h}_X$  when X is an abelian variety [there is a fiberwise variant, for an abelian scheme with a symmetric relatively ample line bundle],

- Faltings height:  $h_F$  when X is a modular space for abelian varieties.

Two apex of this first branch:

- Mordell conjecture (Faltings' Thm):

C smooth projective curve of genus > 1 over a number field F: then  $|C(F)| < \infty$ 

(uses  $h_F$  as a main tool; Vojta's proof uses  $\hat{h}_{Jac C}$ ).

- Bogomolov conjecture (Ullmo-Zhang's Thm): A abelian variety/ $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $X \subset A$  irreducible, not contained in any proper algebraic subgroup. Then for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}), \hat{h}_A(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$  is not Zariski-dense in X.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

This branch of Diophantine Geometry has grown up around questions of effectivity and uniformity...

The younger branch grew out of the Manin-Mumford, André-Oort, Zilber-Pink and similar conjectures.

Typically, one considers a *countable* collection of subvarieties  $Z_{\alpha}$  of a variety *X* defined over a field *F* of char. 0, and one looks at the union or the intersection of the  $Z_{\alpha}$ .

*Unlikely* refers to phenomena which occur because the collection  $Z_{\alpha}$  is infinite, while standard Algebraic Geometry would rule them out if the collection were finite.

Usual context: X is a subvariety of an ambient variety Y there is a notion of *special subvarieties*, and one looks at

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

 $\cup_{Z_{\alpha} \text{special} \subset X} Z_{\alpha} \text{ or } X \cap (\cap_{Z_{\alpha} \text{special}} Z_{\alpha}).$ 

New tools.

Using tame geometry:

- Pila-Wilkie counting theorem (Pila-Zannier method)
- Functional transcendence (Ax-Lindeman-Schanuel type theorems)
- bi-algebraicity formalism.

Besides:

- the Betti map (Masser-Zannier, ...).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

These powerful methods are reshaping Diophantine Geometry and displacing its frontiers: growing influence of this younger branch (unlikely intersections) on Hodge theory, cf. B. Klingler's talk. Besides, the restriction to varieties defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is no longer a distinctive feature...

Still, this branch remains strongly tied to the circle of conjectures which gave rise to it. Moreover, a unification with the older branch (rational points) is in progress, around questions of uniformity, as we shall see at the end of the talk.

 $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ : abelian scheme/ $\mathbb{C}$  of relative dim. g,  $\xi : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ : a section.

Torsion values of  $\xi$ :  $\xi^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{tor} \ (\cong \mathcal{A}_{tor} \cap \xi(S)).$ 

Heuristic dichotomy: under "natural non-degeneracy conditions", either

*i*) if dim S < g, then  $\xi^{-1} A_{tor}$  is algebraic:  $\exists n, \ \xi^{-1} A_{tor} = \xi^{-1} A[n]$ , or

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

*ii*) if dim  $S \ge g$ , then  $\xi^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{tor}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$ .

# Ex. 1: Poncelet game.

 $C \neq C'$ : two conics.

Take a point  $P_0 \in C$  and a line  $L_0$  passing through  $P_0$  and tangent to C'; it cuts C at  $P_1$ . Iterate:

$$(*) \quad (L_i, P_i) \rightsquigarrow (L_i, P_{i+1}) \rightsquigarrow (L_{i+1}, P_{i+1}) \dots$$

The game ends when one comes back to the initial  $(L_0, P_0)$ . Poncelet proved that whether the game ends or not does not depend on  $(L_0, P_0)$ .

Sketch of proof (Jacobi): the incidence curve

$$D \subset C^{\vee} \times C, \{(L, P), P \in L\}$$

is of genus 1, hence a torsor under an elliptic curve *A*. (\*) is a composition of involutions, hence a translation by some  $\xi \in A$  independent of the initial data. Clearly the game ends iff  $\xi \in A_{tor}$ . Now let (C, C') move (up to iso): the parameter space *S* is open in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . The elliptic curve *A* is replaced by an elliptic scheme  $\mathcal{A} \to S$  and  $\xi$  by a section.

Here dim S = 2 > g = 1, and it turns out that  $\xi^{-1}A_{tor}$  is dense in  $S(\mathbb{C})$ : for almost all (C, C'), the Poncelet game ends.

On the contrary, if one considers simultaneous games, with  $(C_1, C_2)$  fixed and C' varying in a pencil, one gets  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  restricted to a line in  $S \times S$ , and expects that there are only finitely many C' such that this simultaneous Poncelet game ends.

Y: K3 surface, C: curve on Y.

If C is rational, any two points are obviously rationally equivalent on Y. But there are other such curves with this property (so-called "constant cycle curves").

Let *D* be a very ample divisor on *Y*,  $\overline{S} \to |D|$  the universal curve,  $S \to |D|^0$  the restriction over the regular locus, and  $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{Pic}^0(S/|D|^0) \times_{|D|^0} S$  the pull-back of the jacobian fibration. Let  $\xi : S \to \mathcal{A}$  be the section

$$s = (C, x \in C) \mapsto \xi(s) := [(D.D)x - D_{|C}].$$

One can show that the torsion-value locus is 1-dimensional. One conjectures that this locus projects via  $S \rightarrow Y$  to a countable union of constant cycle curves *C*.

Dichotomy: *i*) (Fekete-Szegö): if b - a < 4, there are finitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates are in [a, b],

*ii*) (Robinson): if b - a > 4, there are infinitely many of those. Robinson generalized *ii*) to the union of disjoint intervals  $[a_i, b_i]$ .

He introduced the hyperelliptic curve *C* with affine equation  $y^2 = \Pi(x - a_i)(x - b_i)$ , its jacobian *A* (an abelian scheme parametrized by the space *S* of tuples  $(a_i, b_i)$ ), and the section  $\xi := [\infty_+] - [\infty_-]$  (cf. Serre's Bourbaki talk). The main point is that  $\xi^{-1}A_{tor}$  is dense in  $S(\mathbb{R})$ .

The Betti map is the natural tool to study such questions and many others in the cadet branch of unlikely intersections.

Setting:

 $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}$ : abelian scheme of relative dim. g, with a given section  $\xi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}$ .

The Betti map of  $(\mathcal{A}, \xi)$  is a *real-analytic multivalued map* from  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ .

- when *S* is a point, this is just the coordinates of a point of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}) \cong H_1(A, \mathbb{R})/H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$  in a mesh of the lattice  $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ . NB. These coordinates are in  $\mathbb{Q}$  iff  $\xi$  is a torsion point.

- in general, let  $\Gamma \triangleleft \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  maximal acting trivially on  $H_1(\mathcal{A}_s)$ .  $S_{\Gamma} := \widetilde{S}/\Gamma$ .

There is a real-analytic splitting

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}} \cong \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} \times (\mathcal{H}_{1}(\mathcal{A}_{s}, \mathbb{R})/\mathcal{H}_{1}(\mathcal{A}_{s}, \mathbb{Z})),$$

and  $\xi$  gives rise to

$$\beta_{\Gamma}: S_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow H_1(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathbb{R})/H_1(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathbb{Z})$$

which lifts to the real-analytic multivalued map

$$\beta: S \cdots \longrightarrow H_1(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

with complex-analytic fibers. This is the Betti map.

NB:  $\xi^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{tor} \cong \beta^{-1}\mathbb{Q}^{2g}$ . Therefore, if dim  $S \ge g$  and  $\beta$  is generically submersive, i.e. rk. d $\beta = 2g$ , then  $\xi^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{tor}$  is dense in  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . Remarks.

- Manin (when proving Mordell in the function field case): *rk.*  $d\beta = 0$  *iff*  $\xi$  *is torsion.* 

- monodromy of  $\beta_{\Gamma} \colon \Gamma \to H_1(A_s, \mathbb{Z})$ :

Thm (A.) Assume (\*\*) : A/S has no fixed part, and  $\mathbb{Z}\xi$  is Zariski-dense in A.

Then Im  $\Gamma$  is Zariski-dense in  $H_1(A_s)$ .

Q.: is actually Im  $\Gamma$  a subgroup of finite index of  $H_1(A_s, \mathbb{Z})$ ? (natural question directly related to the congruence subgroup problem).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

One cannot expect any direct relation between the Betti map (which is only multivalued and real-analytic) and classical maps from Algebraic Geometry, but...

what is relevant is not the Betti map itself, but its generic rank.

It makes sense to compare for instance rk d $\beta$  and the rank of the Kodaira-Spencer map attached to  $\mathcal{A} \to S$ 

(or better, to the Kodaira-Spencer map attached to the relative 1-motive  $[\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathcal{A}]/S$  - the name "Betti map" refers to the "Betti realization" of Deligne 1-motives).

 $\theta: T_S \to Lie \mathcal{A} \otimes Lie \mathcal{A}^{\vee}$ , the Kodaira-Spencer map (*S* affine). Any invariant relative 1-form  $\omega$  provides by contraction a map

$$\theta^{\omega}: T_{\mathcal{S}} \longrightarrow Lie \mathcal{A}^{\vee}.$$

Thm (A., Corvaja, Zannier): under condition (\*\*), if for some  $\omega$ , rk  $\theta^{\omega} = g$ , then rk d $\beta = 2g$ .

(the proof takes advantage of the fact that  $\beta$  is only real-analytic, by using the double action of monodromy on the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic part).

# Generic submersivity of the Betti map (sequel).

To go further, one needs functional transcendence (Ax-Schanuel).

Thm (A., Corvaja, Gao, Zannier): Assume End  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}$ , d := dimIm  $(S \to \mathscr{A}_g) \ge g$ , and  $\xi$  non-torsion. Then rk d $\beta = 2g$ ; a fortiori  $\xi^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{tor}$  is dense in  $S(\mathbb{C})$ .

Sketch: *i*) End  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d \ge g \Rightarrow \text{Im} (S \to \mathscr{A}_g)$  is not contained in any special subvariety  $\neq \mathscr{A}_g$ .

*ii*) If rk d $\beta$  < 2g, by the previous theorem and an analysis of the Kodaira-Spencer map, one can find an analytic subvariety  $Z \subset \mathscr{H}_g \subset \mathscr{H}_g^{\vee}$  (Siegel space and its compact dual), Z lying above Im  $(S \to \mathscr{A}_g)$ , dim Z = d - g + 1, dim  $Z^{Zar} \leq \dim \mathscr{H}_g - g$ . *iii*) This contradicts the Ax-Schanuel theorem (à la Mok-Pila-Tsimerman) in the bi-algebraic situation  $Z \subset \mathscr{A}_g \times \mathscr{H}_g^{\vee}$ : dim  $Z_{\mathscr{A}_g \times \mathscr{H}_g^{\vee}}^{Zar} \geq \dim Z + \dim Z^{biZar}$ .

By using a mixed version of Ax-Schanuel, Gao later obtained the optimal statement.

 $\mathcal{A} \to S$ : abelian scheme of relative dim. *g*. One of the most important application of the Betti map in Diophantine Geometry is the notion of *non-degenerate* closed subvariety *X* of dimension  $d \leq g$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  (Habegger, Gao).

Another look at the Betti map: the Betti foliation

$$b: \mathcal{A} \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2g} = \mathbb{R}^{2g} / \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$$

(real-analytic multivalued rigidification of  $\mathcal{A}$ , viewed as a fixed torus).

Here, there is no section, but by base-change  $X \to S$  one gets one and  $b_{|X}$  is then the same as the previous betti map  $\beta$ ; conversely, starting from  $(\mathcal{A}, \xi)$ , one may take  $X = \xi(S)$  and again  $b_{|X} \equiv \beta$ .

 $X \subset A$  is a *nondegenerate subvariety* when db<sub>|X</sub> has generically maximal rank 2*d*, i.e.  $b_{|X}$  is *generically immersive*.

One can analyse the locus where  $rk db_{|X}$  is not maximal - in simple cases, in terms of special subvarieties (i.e. in terms of torsion cosets in fibers) (Gao).

Nondegeneracy may be seen as a (substitute of a) *bigness* condition for some appropriate line bundle (essentially the polarization restricted to X).

### 1. The geometric Bogomolov conjecture

 $\mathcal{A} \to S/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ : abelian scheme without fixed part (for simplicity).  $\hat{h}_{\mathcal{A}}$ : fiberwise Néron-Tate height (associated to a symmetric relatively ample line bundle).

 $X \subset A$ , closed irreducible subvariety, not generically contained in any proper algebraic subgroup.

Thm (Cantat-Gao-Habegger-Xie). For some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}), \hat{h}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$  is not Zariski-dense in X.

Relies upon a height inequality (à la Silverman).  $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} S, X \subset \mathcal{A}$  of dim.  $d \leq g$  as before,  $h_S$ : a height on S.

Thm (Dimitrov-Gao-Habegger). There are constants c, c' s. t.

$$\hat{h}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \geqslant c \, h_{\mathcal{S}}(\pi(x)) - c'$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

for every x where the Betti map  $b_{|X}$  has maximal rank 2d.

#### 2. Uniform Mordell conjecture

C: smooth projective curve of genus g > 1 over a number field F.

Thm (Dimitrov-Gao-Habegger/Kühne).  $|C(F)| \leq c(g)^{1+rk \operatorname{Jac} C(F)}$ .

Vojta's approach +

*i*) Geometric criterion of nondegenerate subvarieties applied to the universal Jacobian and to related constructions (à la Faltings-Zhang).

*ii*) The height inequality (à la Silverman) on any given nondegenerate subvariety, as before,

*iii*) An equidistribution result (à la Ullmo-Zhang) on any given nondegenerate subvariety.

[In fact, the thm. is deduced from a uniform Mordell-Lang conjecture for curves embedded in their jacobians over any field of char. 0.]