

On the Hochschild homology of convolution algebras of proper Lie groupoids

Markus J. Pflaum¹

University of Colorado Boulder

October 1, 2021

Fields Institute Conference

Cyclic Cohomology at 40: achievements and future prospects

¹joint with H. Posthuma and X. Tang

Fundamental Observation

Groupoids are ubiquitous in topology and geometry, their convolution algebras carry all the relevant information (e.g. CONNES, NG).

Goals:

- Attempt to determine the cyclic (co)homology of convolution algebras of proper Lie groupoids.
- Use the obtained knowledge to derive general index theorems for such groupoids by noncommutative means.

Status:

- So far, the full cyclic (co)homology has not been determined.
- Many important steps have been made, in particular by CONNES, FEIGIN, TSYGAN, BRYLINSKI, NISTOR, BURGHELEA, BLOCK, GETZLER, WASSERMANN, NEST, CRAINIC, MOERDIJK, PONGE,...
- The Hochschild homology of transformation groupoids of \mathbb{S}^1 -actions has been determined in P-POSTHUMA-TANG arXiv:2009.03216.

Introduction

Setup

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a proper Lie groupoid and s, t its source and target maps, respectively. Consider its smooth convolution algebra $A_c = (\mathcal{C}_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(G), \star)$, where \star is the convolution product defined for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(G)$ by

$$f_1 \star f_2(g) = \int_{G(t(g), -)} f_1(h) f_2(h^{-1}g) d\lambda^{t(g)}(h) \text{ for all } g \in G .$$

Hereby, $(\lambda^x)_{x \in M}$ denotes a fixed smooth left Haar system on G .

Question

What are $HH_\bullet(A_c)$, $HC_\bullet(A_c)$, and $HP_\bullet(A_c)$?

The Connes–Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg Theorem

Theorem (Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg)

Let A be a commutative algebra over the field \mathbb{K} which is essentially of finite type (i.e. the localization of a finitely generated algebra) and smooth over \mathbb{K} (i.e. its module of Kähler forms $\Omega^1(A/\mathbb{K})$ is a projective A -module). Then there is an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$HH_{\bullet}(A) \cong \Omega^{\bullet}(A/\mathbb{K}) \quad \text{where } \Omega^k(A/\mathbb{K}) = \Lambda^k \Omega^1(A/\mathbb{K}) .$$

Theorem (Manifold version by A. Connes)

Let M be a smooth manifold and $A = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$. Then

$$HH_{\bullet}(A) \cong \Omega^{\bullet}(M) .$$

This observation lies at the foundation of Noncommutative Geometry.

Smoothness and Hochschild homology

Theorem (Avramov, Iyengar, Rodicio, Vigué-Poirrier et. al.)

Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic zero. Let A be a commutative \mathbb{K} -algebra essentially of finite type. Then A is smooth over \mathbb{K} if and only if $HH_n(A) = 0$ for n sufficiently large.

Conclusion

Hochschild homology serves as a detector for singularities.

Conjecture

Hochschild homology serves as a detector for strata.

Convolution sheaf

Given a proper Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, let $X = M/G$ be its orbit space, and $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ the canonical projection.

- The commutative locally ringed space $(X, \mathcal{C}_X^\infty)$, where $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty(U)$ for $U \subset X$ open is given by the space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\pi^{-1}(U))^{\text{inv}}$ of smooth functions invariant under the groupoid action, is a differentiable (stratified) space in the sense of Spallek.
- For each open $U \subset X$ the space

$$\mathcal{A}(U) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(s^{-1}\pi^{-1}(U)) \mid \text{supp } f \text{ is longitudinally compact}\}$$

is a (locally convex topological and bornological) vector space.

Hereby, a subset $K \subset G$ is called *longitudinally compact*, if for every compact subset $C \subset M/G$ the intersection $K \cap s^{-1}\pi^{-1}(C)$ is compact.

- The convolution product \star endows $\mathcal{A}(U)$ with an algebra structure.

Convolution sheaf

Proposition

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a proper Lie groupoid and $X = M/G$ its orbit space. Then the following holds true:

- The assignment $U \mapsto \mathcal{A}(U)$ with $U \subset X$ open comprises a fine sheaf of algebras over X .
- In addition, the sheaf \mathcal{A} carries naturally the structure of a \mathcal{C}_X^∞ -module sheaf.
- The space $\mathcal{A}_c(X)$ of global sections of \mathcal{A} with compact support coincides with the smooth convolution algebra A_c of G .

We call \mathcal{A} the convolution sheaf of G , and its space $A = \mathcal{A}(X)$ of global sections also smooth convolution algebra.

The Hochschild homology sheaf

Let \mathcal{A} be the convolution sheaf of a proper Lie groupoid.

Denote by $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathcal{A})$ the presheaf on X assigning to an open $U \subset X$ the $(k+1)$ -fold complete bornological tensor product $\mathcal{A}(U)^{\hat{\otimes}(k+1)}$. In general, $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathcal{A})$ is not a sheaf. Denote by $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_k(\mathcal{A})$ the sheafification of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathcal{A})$.

Since the Hochschild boundary commutes with the restriction maps, one obtains a complex of sheaves

$$(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}), b) .$$

The Hochschild homology sheaf $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ is now defined as the homology sheaf of $(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}), b)$ that means

$$\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_k(\mathcal{A}) := \ker (b : \hat{\mathcal{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{k-1}(\mathcal{A})) / \text{im} (b : \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}_k(\mathcal{A})) .$$

By construction, the stalk $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_k(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{O}}$, $\mathcal{O} \in X$ coincides with the k -th Hochschild homology $HH_k(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}})$ of the stalk $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Localization result

Observation

For arbitrary sheaves of bornological algebras \mathcal{A} over a differentiable space X the Hochschild homology of the global section algebra $HH_k(\mathcal{A}(X))$ need in general not coincide with the space $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_k(\mathcal{A})(X)$ of global sections of the Hochschild homology sheaf.

Theorem (PPT)

For the convolution sheaf \mathcal{A} of a proper Lie groupoid, $A = \mathcal{A}(X)$ its global space of sections over the orbit space and $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ the Hochschild homology sheaf the following natural identification holds true:

$$HH_\bullet(A) \cong \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})(X) = \Gamma(X; \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})) .$$

Localization result

Proof (idea):

1. Step. Localization in first component. The action

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(X) \times C_k(A) \rightarrow C_k(A), (\varphi, a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k) \mapsto (\varphi a_0) \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k.$$

commutes with the Hochschild boundary, hence induces a chain map $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X) \times C_\bullet(A) \rightarrow C_\bullet(A)$.

2. Step. Localization around diagonal à la N. Teleman.

Fix a smooth function $\varrho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with support in $(-\infty, \frac{3}{4}]$ and $\varrho(r) = 1$ for $r \leq \frac{1}{2}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ denote by ϱ_ε the rescaled function $\varrho(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2})$.

Define functions $\Psi_{k,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X^{k+1})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and by

$$\Psi_{k,\varepsilon}(x_0, \dots, x_k) = \prod_{j=0}^k \varrho_\varepsilon(d^2(x_j, x_{j+1})),$$

where $x_0, \dots, x_k \in X$, $x_{k+1} := x_0$ and d is a metric on X such that d^2 is smooth. One checks that the $\Psi_{k,\varepsilon}$ form a chain map.

Localization result

Proof (idea):

3. Step. One constructs homotopies $(H_{k,\varepsilon})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$(bH_{k,\varepsilon} + H_{k-1,\varepsilon}b)c = c - \Psi_\varepsilon c$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in C_k(A)$.

4. Step. One concludes that a cycle $c \in C_k(A)$ whose support does not meet the diagonal is a Hochschild boundary.

5. Step. Verify that the chain map

$$\eta : C_\bullet(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \hat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})), \quad c \mapsto ([c]_\emptyset)_{\emptyset \in X}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

6. Step. Using hypercohomology of sheaves and that $\hat{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ is a complex of fine sheaves one derives the claim. \square

Computation at a stalk

Proposition (PPT)

For every orbit $\mathcal{O} \in X$ there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$L_{\bullet, \mathcal{O}} : \hat{C}_{\bullet, \mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}(A_{G_x \times N_x \mathcal{O}}),$$

*where $x \in \mathcal{O}$, $N_x \mathcal{O}$ denotes the normal space to the orbit at x , and $A_{G_x \times N_x \mathcal{O}} = (C^\infty(G_x \times N_x \mathcal{O}), *)$ is the smooth convolution algebra of the transformation groupoid $G_x \times N_x \mathcal{O}$.*

Conclusion

A crucial step towards understanding the Hochschild homology of proper Lie groupoids is to have an expression for the Hochschild homology of convolution algebras of linear compact group actions.

Note: The “gluing problem” for the stalks still remains, even when the homology of the stalks is understood.

Group action case

Existing work on finite group action case

- WASSERMANN 88': determined the cyclic homology for the case of finite reflection groups
- BRYLINSKI–NISTOR 94': the finite group action case was covered by their computation of the cyclic homology of étale groupoids
- PONGE 17',18': constructed a quasi-isomorphism of twisted mixed complexes from which the finite group action case can be derived as well; see also his talk at this conference.

Group action case

Existing work in compact Lie group case

- NISTOR 93': determined localization of periodic cyclic homology of crossed products by algebraic groups at conjugacy classes; see also his talk at this conference.
- In the unpublished preprint *Algebras associated with group actions and their homology* from 87', Brylinski considered the compact Lie group action case and stated that in this case the k -th Hochschild homology coincides with the space of basic relative k -forms.
- Also in the compact Lie group action case, Block–Getzler constructed in *Equivariant cyclic homology and equivariant differential forms* 94' an equivariant Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg map, showed that it is a quasi-isomorphism and derived from this the periodic cyclic homology of the convolution algebra.

Group action case

Equivariant Hochschild chain complex

Consider a complete bornological algebra B with a smooth G -action. Denote by $G \ltimes B = (\mathcal{C}^\infty(G, B), *)$ its smooth convolution algebra. Its Hochschild homology is related to the equivariant Hochschild chain complex $C_k^G(B) := \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, B^{\hat{\otimes}(k+1)})^{\text{inv}}$ equipped with the twisted Hochschild differential

$$(b^{\text{tw}} f)(g) := \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i b_i(f(g)) + (-1)^{k+1} b_{k+1}^{g^{-1}}(f(g)) ,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, B^{\otimes(k+1)})$, $g \in G$ and

$$b_k^g(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k) := (g \cdot a_k) a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k-1} \quad \text{for } a_i \in B .$$

We will later specialize to the case where $B = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ for an action groupoid $G = G \ltimes M$.

Group action case

Proposition (Brylinski 87', PPT)

Given a complete bornological algebra B with a smooth G -action, the Eilenberg–Zilber map induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\sim : C_{\bullet}(G \ltimes B) \longrightarrow C_{\bullet}^G(B) \cong (C_{\bullet}(B, G \ltimes B))^{\text{inv}} .$$

The explicit formula is given by mapping a chain $F \in C_k(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G, B))$ to the equivariant Hochschild chain $\tilde{F} \in C_k^G(B)$ defined by $\tilde{F}(g) :=$

$$\int_{G^k} (g^{-1}h_1 \cdots h_k \otimes 1 \otimes h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_1 \cdots h_{k-1}) F(h_k^{-1} \cdots h_1^{-1}g, h_1, \dots, h_k) dh .$$

Group action case

Twisted Connes–Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem

Let h be an orthogonal transformation on some \mathbb{R}^d , $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ an open ball, and ${}^h\mathcal{C}^\infty(V)$ be the space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(V)$ with the h -twisted bimodule structure

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \hat{\otimes} {}^h\mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \rightarrow {}^h\mathcal{C}^\infty(V),$$
$$f \otimes a \otimes f' \mapsto \left(V \ni v \mapsto f(hv) a(v) f'(v) \in \mathbb{R} \right).$$

To compute the *twisted* Hochschild homology $H_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^\infty(V), {}^h\mathcal{C}^\infty(V))$ use the Connes–Koszul resolution

$$\Gamma^\infty(V \times V, E_d) \xrightarrow{i_Y} \dots \xrightarrow{i_Y} \Gamma^\infty(V \times V, E_1) \xrightarrow{i_Y} \mathcal{C}^\infty(V \times V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where E_k is the pull-back of the bundle $\Lambda^k T^*\mathbb{R}^d$ along the projection $p_2 : V \times V \rightarrow V$ on the second factor, and Y is vector field $Y : V \times V \rightarrow p_2^*(T\mathbb{R}^d)$, $(v, w) \mapsto w - v$.

Group action case

Twisted Connes–Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem

Theorem (Twisted CHKR theorem)

Let $\iota_h : V^h \hookrightarrow V$ and $\pi_h : V \rightarrow V^h$ be the canonical injection of and orthogonal projection onto the fixed point subspace V^h , respectively, and $Y_h : V \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^d$, $v \mapsto v - hv$. The following chain maps ι_h^* and π_h^* then are quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega^d(V) & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_h}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_h}} & \Omega^1(V) & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_h}} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \\ \downarrow \iota_h^* & & & & \downarrow \iota_h^* & & \downarrow \iota_h^* \\ \Omega^d(V^h) & \xrightarrow{0} & \dots & \xrightarrow{0} & \Omega^1(V^h) & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(V^h) \\ \downarrow \pi_h^* & & & & \downarrow \pi_h^* & & \downarrow \pi_h^* \\ \Omega^d(V) & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_h}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_h}} & \Omega^1(V) & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_h}} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \end{array}$$

Hence $H_k(\mathcal{C}^\infty(V), {}^h\mathcal{C}^\infty(V)) \cong \Omega^k(V^h)$.

The inertia groupoid

Definition

Given a proper Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, its loop space is defined as

$$\Lambda_0 G := \{g \in G_1 \mid s(g) = t(g)\} .$$

The groupoid acts by conjugation on the loop space. The corresponding action groupoid is the inertia groupoid

$$\Lambda G := G \ltimes \Lambda_0 G .$$

The orbit space of the inertia groupoid is called the inertia space.

Example

If $G = G \times M$ is an action groupoid, then

$$\Lambda_0 G = \{(g, p) \in (G \times M) \mid gp = p\} \subset G \times M .$$

The inertia groupoid

- The connected components of the loop space of a proper étale Lie groupoid are manifolds. Therefore the corresponding inertia groupoid is again proper étale, and the inertia space is an orbifold in this case.
- In general, the loop and inertia spaces are locally semialgebraic, so are Whitney stratified space. A Whitney stratification can be explicitly given, the so-called orbit Cartan stratification (Farsi–P–Seaton 15').

Group action case

The inertia space of circle action on the plane

Basic relative forms

Consider the group action case, i.e. $G = G \ltimes M$.

- space of relative forms on the loop space:

$$\Omega_{\text{rel}, \Lambda_0}^k(G \ltimes M) := \Gamma^\infty(\Lambda^k s^* T^* M) / J_{\Lambda_0} \Gamma^\infty(\Lambda^k s^* T^* M) + d_{\text{rel}} J_{\Lambda_0} \wedge \Gamma^\infty(\Lambda^{k-1} s^* T^* M),$$

where J_{Λ_0} is the vanishing ideal of the loop space. This is Grauert–Grothendieck’s approach to forms on singular spaces, cf. PPT 17’.

- space of horizontal relative forms:

$$\Omega_{\text{hrel}, \Lambda_0}^k(G \ltimes M) := \left\{ \omega \in \Omega_{\text{rel}, \Lambda_0}^k(G \ltimes M) \mid \iota_{\xi_M} \omega = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \text{Lie}(G) \right\}$$

- space of basic relative forms:

$$\Omega_{\text{brel}, \Lambda_0}^k(G \ltimes M) := \left(\Omega_{\text{hrel}, \Lambda_0}^k(G \ltimes M) \right)^G$$

Brylinski's conjecture

Observe that one has a morphism of sheaves defined over open invariant $V \subset X$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{k,V/G} : C_k(\mathcal{C}^\infty(V), \mathcal{A}(V/G)) &\rightarrow \Omega_{\text{rel}, \Lambda_0}^k(\Lambda_0(G \ltimes V)), \\ f_0 \otimes f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_k &\mapsto [f_0 d(s^* f_1) \wedge \dots \wedge d(s^* f_k)]_{\Lambda_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (Brylinski 87')

Let M be G -manifold and regard $\Omega_{\text{hrel}, \Lambda_0}^\bullet(\Lambda_0(G \ltimes M))$ as a chain complex endowed with the zero differential. Then the chain map

$$\Phi_{\bullet, M/G} : C_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^\infty(M), \mathcal{A}(M/G)) \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{hrel}, \Lambda_0}^\bullet(\Lambda_0(G \ltimes M))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Verified cases

Brylinski's conjecture has been verified in the following cases:

- finite group actions
(Brylinski–Nistor 94', Brodzki–Dave–Nistor 17', ...)
- group actions with only one isotropy type (folklore?, PPT)
- circle actions (PPT)

Problem

The difficulty to prove Brylinski's claim are

- 1 *that the twisted CHKR quasi-isomorphisms do not depend "continuously" on the transformation h ,*
- 2 *the complicated singular structure of the inertia space.*

The circle action case

The proof in the circle action case is already non-trivial. The methods applied are the following:

- reduction to the linear circle action case by the slice theorem and localization
- reduction to the case where the isotropy group is \mathbb{S}^1 , i.e. to $\mathbb{S}^1 \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$
- use the Connes–Koszul resolution to get an expression for the homology $H_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \mathbb{S}^1 \ltimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$
- apply methods from real algebraic geometry (jets and infinitely flat functions) and reduce the claim to germs/jets at the origin
- use complex coordinates and further methods from real algebraic geometry such as Malgrange’s preparation theorem to locally describe the vanishing ideal of the inertia space and the vector field Y_h
- use all of this to identify the homology $H_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \mathbb{S}^1 \ltimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$ with the graded vector space of horizontal relative forms.