

Localization and cyclic homology of crossed products with algebraic groups

Victor Nistor

Institut Élie Cartan de Lorraine, Université de Lorraine

September 29, 2021, Toronto

Cyclic homology at 40
– achievements and further prospects –



Abstract: “Localization and cyclic homology of crossed products with algebraic groups”

As the title suggests, I will talk about:

- **Topic:** Hochschild, cyclic, and per cyclic homologies of $A \rtimes G := \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G; A)$, with G real algebraic (\mathbb{R} -points).
- $G =$ compact Lie group or classical group ($SL_n(\mathbb{R}), \dots$) ...
- **Method:** localization wrt max ideals of $R(G) := \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^G$.
- **Applications:** connections localization, completion, and orbital integrals.

Summary

- 1 Introduction, reminiscences, and a historical perspective
- 2 Cyclic homology of crossed-products and localization
- 3 Algebraic groups

Reminiscences

Celebrating 40 years of **Connes' talk on cyclic homology** + results > 30 years old.

⇒ **Reminiscences:**

1981: beginning my mathematical research life.

Study groups:

- Algebraic topology (with V. Patrângenaru)
- Scattering theory (with V. Georgescu and V. Iftimie)
- Operator algebras and K -theory (with **D. Voiculescu**, M. Putinar, and S. Popa).

Two mantras

Fortuitous circumstances for appreciating the emerging “non-commutative mathematics”

Two mantras were common at that time:

- C^* -algebras are “non-commutative spaces” (i.e. extends categ. of loc. comp. spaces; Dixmier’s book) and
- K -theory pertains to “non-commutative” algebraic topology (Atiyah’s and Karoubi’s books, the articles of Pimsner and Voiculescu).

Motivation for crossed-products

From these study groups we learned that:

- C^* -algebras provide a convenient framework to study both locally compact spaces **and** locally compact groups.
- identifying the K -groups of group C^* -algebras (or of crossed-products) is an important question that would complete the program started in algebraic topology.
(I learned about Baum-Connes conjecture only a bit later.)

“ C^* -algebras and differential geometry”

Turning point: Voiculescu’s suggestion to study Connes’ art.

“ C^* -algèbres et géométrie différentielle”

(Rieffel’s nc tori + jump from nc topology to nc diff geom :-))

This paper + work of Pimsner and Voiculescu: **motivation to study the cyclic homology of crossed products.**

Other available papers: Baum, Burghelea, Connes, Karoubi, ...
 (sadly, Feigin-Tsygan and Brylinski papers were not available)
 No email, no internet ...

Summary

- 1 Introduction, reminiscences, and a historical perspective
- 2 Cyclic homology of crossed-products and localization**
- 3 Algebraic groups

Disclaimer :-)

Concentrate on: **results available at that time** (≤ 30 y)

More (incl. more recent) results on crossed products in talks of:

- Consani
- Connes
- Cuntz
- Higson
- Moscovici
- Pflaum
- Piazza
- Tang

and others ... (no reference \neq mine)

Crossed-products (discrete groups)

- A = complex algebra
- Γ = group
- group morphism $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$.

Definition

The **crossed product algebra** $A \rtimes \Gamma$ for Γ discrete is the algebra generated linearly by $a\gamma$, $a \in A$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, with

$$a\gamma b\gamma' := a\alpha_\gamma(b)\gamma\gamma'.$$

Thus, for $\Gamma = \text{discrete}$: $A \rtimes \Gamma = A \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ **as vector spaces.**

(Later, if G is a *Lie group*: $A \rtimes G := C_c^\infty(G; A)$.)

(De)localization

Basic observation (Burghelea, Feigin-Tsygan, Karoubi) :

The *Hochschild* complex of $A \rtimes \Gamma$ = direct sum of complexes indexed by the conj. classes $\langle \gamma \rangle$.

- 1 $((A \rtimes \Gamma)^{\otimes(q+1)}, b) =$ Hochschild complex.
- 2 $\langle \gamma \rangle := \{g\gamma g^{-1} \mid g \in \Gamma\} =$ conj. class.
- 3 $((A \rtimes \Gamma)_{\gamma}^{\otimes(q+1)}, b) \subset ((A \rtimes \Gamma)^{\otimes(q+1)}, b) =$ generated by

$$a_0 \gamma_0 \otimes a_1 \gamma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \gamma_k \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_k \in \langle \gamma \rangle.$$

Also for cyclic.

Subcomplex

$$\mathbf{d}_i : a_0 \gamma_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \gamma_k \mapsto a_0 \gamma_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i \alpha_{\gamma_i}(a_{i+1}) \gamma_i \gamma_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \gamma_k$$

$$\gamma := \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_k \mapsto \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_k =: \gamma$$

(product of the i and $i + 1$ components). However,

$$\mathbf{d}_k : a_0 \gamma_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \gamma_k \mapsto a_k \alpha_{\gamma_k}(a_0) \gamma_k \gamma_0 \otimes a_1 \gamma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k-1} \gamma_{k-1}$$

$$\gamma := \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_k \mapsto \gamma_k \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{k-1} = \gamma_k \gamma \gamma_k^{-1}.$$

Conjugation needed also the **cyclic permutation** (Connes):

$$\mathbf{t}_k : a_0 \gamma_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \gamma_k \mapsto a_k \gamma_k \otimes a_0 \gamma_0 \otimes a_1 \gamma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k-1} \gamma_{k-1}$$

$$\gamma := \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_k \mapsto \gamma_k \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{k-1} = \gamma_k \gamma \gamma_k^{-1}.$$

Direct sum decomposition

We let

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(A \rtimes \Gamma)_\gamma := H_*((A \rtimes \Gamma)_\gamma^{\otimes(q+1)}, b).$$

We define $\mathrm{HC}_*(A \rtimes \Gamma)_\gamma$ and $\mathrm{HP}_*(A \rtimes \Gamma)_\gamma$ similarly.

Decomposition ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$):

$$\mathrm{HH}_k(A \rtimes \Gamma) \simeq \bigoplus_{\langle \gamma \rangle \in \langle \Gamma \rangle} \mathrm{HH}_k(A \rtimes \Gamma)_\gamma.$$

Cyclic and periodic cyclic homologies: similar decompositions.
(Cohomology: direct product instead.)

Q: how to extend this to non-discrete groups? **A:** **Localization.**

The role of centralizers

$\mathrm{HH}_q(A \rtimes \Gamma)_\gamma$: localization, derived functors, centralizers, ...

Important special case: $A = \mathbb{C}$, and hence $A \rtimes \Gamma = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$.

Centralizer of $x \in \Gamma$:

$$\Gamma_x := \{g \in \Gamma \mid gx = xg\}$$

$\mathrm{HH}_q(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma])_\gamma$, $\mathrm{HC}_q(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma])_\gamma$, $\mathrm{HP}_q(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma])_\gamma$: in terms of gr. homology

$$\mathbf{H}_*(\Gamma_\gamma / (\gamma)), \quad (\gamma) = \{\gamma^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

(Burghelea, Connes, Feigin-Tsygan, Karoubi, Nest, ...).

Recurring theme: different behavior for γ torsion or not.

Localization as fractions

“**Localization**” in proper sense of comm. alg. (orb. integrals).

$R =$ ring, $R \supset \mathfrak{m} =$ maximal ideal, $M = R$ -module.

The **localization** $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of M at \mathfrak{m} is the set of fractions

$$M_{\mathfrak{m}} := \left\{ \frac{f}{s} \mid f \in M, s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m} \right\} \simeq R_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_R M.$$

Proposition (ex. Atiyah and MacDonald)

The R -module morphism $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is an isomorphism iff all

$$f_{\mathfrak{m}} : M_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow M'_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

are isomorphisms (\mathfrak{m} ranges through the maximal ideals of R).

Class functions

Ring of *class functions* $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (conj. invariant):

$$R(\Gamma) := \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma)^\Gamma := \{f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}^\Gamma.$$

$R_c \subset R(\Gamma) :=$ class f. supp. on **fin. many** conj. classes (Γ disc.)

All maximal ideals $R_c \not\subset \mathfrak{m} \subset R(\Gamma)$ are:

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_\gamma := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma)^\Gamma \mid f(\gamma) = 0\}.$$

Remark

Assume $M \simeq \bigoplus_{\langle \gamma \rangle \in \langle \Gamma \rangle} M_{\langle \gamma \rangle}$. Then

- $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_\gamma \Rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq M_{\langle \gamma \rangle}$
- $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ otherwise (i.e. if $R_c \subset \mathfrak{m}$, \mathfrak{m} “at infinity”).

So we can use localization to study $\mathrm{HH}_*(A \rtimes \Gamma)$: (Γ still discrete)

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(A \rtimes \Gamma)_\gamma \simeq \mathrm{HH}_*(A \rtimes \Gamma)_{\mathfrak{m}_\gamma} .$$

The same holds for cyclic and periodic cyclic homology.

We will use a similar approach for the crossed-products with Lie groups using the ring of smooth class functions.

Algebraic groups: nice conjugacy classes.

Summary

- 1 Introduction, reminiscences, and a historical perspective
- 2 Cyclic homology of crossed-products and localization
- 3 Algebraic groups**

Crash course in algebraic geometry

Algebraic groups: nice orbits and class functions.

\mathbb{K} = algebraically closed field.

Definition

A \mathbb{K} **(affine) algebraic variety** $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ is the set of common zeroes of a set of polynomials $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{K}[X] := \mathbb{K}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$.

If $k \subset \mathbb{K}$ is a subfield and if $\mathbb{P} \subset k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$, we say that \mathbb{V} is *defined over* k . Its *k-points*:

$$\mathbb{V}(k) := \mathbb{V} \cap k^n.$$

(Dual def. in terms of alg. $\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{V}]$, as for comm. C^* -alg.)

Algebraic groups

An **algebraic group** \mathbb{G} = algebraic variety that is a group s.t. the operations are rational (= algebraic) functions.

(Equivalently, $\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{G}]$ is a suitable Hopf algebra.)

Many examples:

- 1 $SL_n(k)$, $GL_n(k)$, ...
- 2 All compact Lie gr. are **real alg. gr.** ($k = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$).
- 3 The Heisenberg group

$$H_3 := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Non-algebraic groups

- 1 $\{0\} \neq Z \subset H_3$ discr central $\Rightarrow H_3/Z$ is **not algebraic**.
- 2 Neither is $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, the universal cover of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.
- 3 A discrete group is algebraic if, and only if, it is finite.
- 4 (Connes: foliations, Rieffel: nc tori) Let $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and

$$F_\theta := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} e^{it} & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta t} & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \mid t, x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

- F_θ is **not real algebraic**
- Its conjugacy classes: a highly non-separated space
- some conjugacy classes are not locally closed in F_θ .

Crossed-product

Algebraic groups are smooth. A real algebraic group (the real points of a complex algebraic group) is a **Lie group**.

Assume G is a Lie group with action $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ s.t.

- 1 A is complete, topological algebra.
- 2 α_g is continuous and unital for each $g \in G$
- 3 $G \ni g \rightarrow \alpha_g(a) \in A$ is smooth for each $a \in A$.

The **(smooth) crossed product** of A with G is defined as

$$A \rtimes G := C_c^\infty(G, A)$$

with the convolution product

$$\varphi * \psi(g) = \int_G \varphi(h) \alpha_h(\psi(h^{-1}g)) dh.$$

Cyclic object

We now turn to the **cyclic homology** of $A \rtimes G$, $G = \mathbb{G}(k)$.

Mostly $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and $k = \mathbb{R}$ (**real** algebraic groups).

(The p -adic case is simpler and more complete!)

First question: “what is the right” tensor product?

Detailed analysis: Cuntz, Meyer, ...

Our choice: **most straightforward cyclic object** (projective tensor product):

$$A^\# := (C_c^\infty(G^{n+1}, A^{\widehat{\otimes} n+1})).$$

The localization at a conjugacy class

The cyclic object

$$A^\# := (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G^{n+1}, A^{\widehat{\otimes} n+1})).$$

is a **module over the ring of (smooth) class functions**:

$$R(G) := \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^G.$$

The maximal ideals of $R(G)$ “not at infinity” are again

$$\mathfrak{m}_\gamma := \{f \in R(G) \mid f(\gamma) = 0\}.$$

(Class functions that vanish on a *semi-simple* element γ of G .
 “not at infinity” = “not containing R_c ” \Leftrightarrow closed)

Let $G = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R})$ be a **connected, real algebraic** group, K a **maximal** compact subgroup, and $q = \dim(G/K)$.

Theorem (V.N. '93)

The Hochschild, cyclic, and per. cyclic homology groups of $A \rtimes G := \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G; A)$ are $R(G)$ -modules s.t. for \mathfrak{m} maximal,

$$\mathrm{HP}_*(A \rtimes G)_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \mathrm{HP}_{*+q}(A \rtimes K)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{HP}_*(A \rtimes G)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ if \mathfrak{m} is not elliptic (not in K).

Proof: Cyclic, precyclic, and quasicyclic objects.

A similar result holds for *cohomology*.

I assume that the result should be true without localization in view of recent results of A. Afgoustidis.

Orbital integrals

$\mathrm{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}^\infty(K)) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(K)^K$ with zero B and hence

$$\mathrm{HP}_*(\mathcal{C}^\infty(K)) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(K)^K \otimes \mathbb{C}[\sigma], \quad \deg \sigma = 2.$$

Remark

If $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ are maximal in R and $M = R$ -module:

$$M_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}'M_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq (M/\mathfrak{m}'M)_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \begin{cases} M/\mathfrak{m}M & \text{if } \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence rather few orb. integr. $\in \mathrm{HP}_k(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))/\mathfrak{m}_\gamma \mathrm{HP}_k(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))$.

Talks of Pflaum, Piazza, and Tang for more on orb. int.

$$(M/\mathfrak{m}'M \simeq R/\mathfrak{m}' \otimes_R M \text{ and } M_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq R_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_R M)$$

Theorem \Rightarrow that, unlike in the discrete case,
*the relation between the homology groups of $A \rtimes G$ and
 the differentiable homology of G is complicated.*

Again, I defer to the talks of Pflaum, Posthuma, and Tang, but
 see also the work of Crainic and Moerdijk.

Question. To determine the homological invariants of the $A \rtimes F_\theta$
 (and of F_θ). In particular, to determine the space of class
 functions $R(F_\theta) := \mathcal{C}^\infty(F_\theta)^{F_\theta}$.

Elliott-Nest-Natsume analog of Connes' Thom isomorphism
 theorem for crossed products with \mathbb{R} . In that case, $HP_q(A \rtimes \mathbb{R})$
 has a non-zero localization only at the identity $0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Assume $R = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_x := \{f \mid f(x) = 0\}$.

$M/\mathfrak{m}_x M$ gives “the values” at x of the elements of M .

Considering **only the quotients** $M/\mathfrak{m}_x M$ **would not suffice.**

However, the closely related **completion wrt** \mathfrak{m} :

$$\widehat{M}_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varprojlim M/\mathfrak{m}^n M$$

would suffice, since it is “stronger” than the localization:

$$(\widehat{M}_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq (\widehat{M}_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \widehat{M}_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

(localization uses the **blue** \mathfrak{m}).

$\widehat{M}_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_R M$ similarly to $M_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq R_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_R M$.

Localization and germs

The localization $M_{m,x}$ corresponds to taking germs at x .

Germs at x are equivalence classes of restrictions to (arbitrarily small) neighborhoods of x .

More generally, homologies (Hochschild, cyclic, ...) can be restricted to open, conjugacy G -invariant open subsets (of G).

Proposition

Let G be real algebraic and $x \in G$ be semisimple. If $V \subset G_x$ is a small G_x -invariant neighborhood of x and $U \subset G$ is the associated tubular neighborhood, then

$$\mathrm{HP}_*(A \rtimes G)_U \simeq \mathrm{HP}_*(A \rtimes G_x)_V.$$

Further reductions

We can sometimes further reduce our calculations to commutative groups. Let

- 1 K be a connected, compact Lie group.
- 2 $H \subset K$ be a maximal torus;
- 3 W be the Weyl group of the pair (K, H) .

Theorem

$$HP_*(A \rtimes K) \simeq HP_*(A \rtimes H)^W.$$

It generalizes $R(K) = R(H)^W$.

Interest of periodic cyclic hom. calculations

The periodic cyclic hom. of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ is “close” to the **cohomology of the spectrum of the group G** (tempered, admissible, ...)

The philosophy of Noncommutative geometry (Connes, Karoubi, Tsygan, ...)

Lie groups: the exact relation between cyclic homology and the cohomology of the spectrum is yet to be fully understood (as far as I know).

Cohomology of the spectrum

p -adic groups: the situation is **simpler/better**:

- Many conjugation invariant open **and** closed subsets.
- $C^\infty(G)$ = the space of *locally constant functions*.
- A clear relation between periodic cyclic hom. and the cohomology of the spectrum.

(True even in the more general setting of finite-type algebras: Kazhdan-V.N.-Schneider, building on results of Grothendieck and Feigin-Tsygan.)

Thank you very much!