

Decidability and definability in unramified henselian valued fields

§0 Classical results

Notation: (K, v) valued field
 K_v residue field
 vK value group

Def: A valued field (K, v) of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ is called **unramified** if $v(p)$ is the minimum positive element of vK .

Theorem (Ax-Kochen / Ershov) Let $(K, v), (L, w)$ be two unramified henselian valued fields with perfect residue fields K_v, L_w .

Then:

$$\underbrace{(K, v) \equiv (L, w)}_{\text{in } \mathcal{L}_{\text{val}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}} \cup \{v\}} \iff \underbrace{K_v \equiv L_w}_{\text{in } \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}} \text{ and } \underbrace{vK \equiv wL}_{\text{in } \mathcal{L}_{\text{ag}}}$$

Proof sketch:

" \Rightarrow " obvious

(res. field & value group are interpretable)

" \Leftarrow " Assume $K_v \equiv L_w$ and $vK \equiv wL$.

Taking ultraproducts of (K, v) and (L, w) , we may assume:

$$(K, v), (L, w) \text{ } \kappa_1\text{-saturated}$$
$$K_v \cong L_w, \quad vK \cong wL$$

Let \hat{v}, \hat{w} denote the finest proper coarsening of v resp. w

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K & & L \\
 \downarrow \hat{v} & & \downarrow \hat{w} \\
 K\hat{v} & & L\hat{w} \\
 \downarrow \bar{v} & & \downarrow \bar{w} \\
 K_v & \cong & L_w
 \end{array}$$

Then: $(K\hat{v}, \bar{v})$ unramified, value group $\cong \mathbb{Z}$
 with $\bar{v}(p) \rightarrow 1$
 and complete.

Fact (Hass-Schmidt, Teichmüller, Witt)

Given a perfect field k of $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$
 there is a unramified complete discrete
 valued field $(W(k), v_k)$ with residue field k
 $(W(k), v_k)$ is unique up to unique isom.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K & & L \\
 \downarrow \hat{v} & & \downarrow \hat{w} \\
 K\hat{v} & \cong & L\hat{w} \\
 \downarrow \bar{v} & & \downarrow \bar{w} \\
 K_v & \cong & L_w
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{char}(K, K\hat{v}) = \text{char}(L, L\hat{w}) = (0, 0), \quad K\hat{v} \cong L\hat{w}, \quad \hat{v}K \cong \hat{w}L$$

$$\text{AKE}_{0,0} \Rightarrow (K, \hat{V}) \cong (L, \hat{W})$$

J. Robinson: (K, v) henselian, unramified. Then \mathcal{O}_v is \mathbb{Z} -ring-definable via the formula
$$\varphi(x) \equiv \exists y (y^2 = 1 + px^2) \quad (\text{for } p \neq 2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (K, v) \cong (L, w) \quad \square$$

In a similar vein, one gets:

$(K, v), (L, w)$ unramified henselian, Kv perfect

Ax-Kochen / Ershov

- $(K, v) \subseteq (L, w)$ and $Kv \leq Lw$ and $vK \leq wL$
 $\Rightarrow (K, v) \leq (L, w)$

Paš

- Kv is stably embedded in (K, v) as a pure field.

Bélair:

- Kv NIP $\Rightarrow (K, v)$ NIP

TODAY: How about imperfect residue fields?

jt work with J. Anscombe

§1 Cohen rings and imperfect residue fields

Fact (Hasse-Schmidt, Cohen)

Given a field k of $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ there is a unramified complete discrete valued field $(C(k), v_k)$ with residue field k , called a Cohen field over k .
Any two Cohen fields over k are isomorphic.

Corollary (AKE for imperfect residue fields)

Let (k, v) and (L, w) be two henselian unramified valued fields.

Then:

$$\underbrace{(K, v) \cong (L, w)}_{\text{in } \mathcal{K}^{\text{val}} = \mathcal{K}^{\text{ring}} \cup \{0\}} \iff \underbrace{Kv \cong Lw}_{\text{in } \mathcal{K}^{\text{ring}}} \text{ and } \underbrace{vK \cong wL}_{\text{in } \mathcal{L}^{\text{alg}}}$$

Note: $(C(k), v_k)$ is no longer rigid. So, in order to reprove the other classical results, we need to understand morphisms.

Def: Let (C, v) be a complete discrete unramified valued field with residue field k .
Let $\beta \subseteq k$ be a p -basis. A map $s: \beta \rightarrow C$ s.t. $\text{res}(s(b)) = b$ for all $b \in \beta$ is called a **map of representatives**.

fact (Cohen, building on Teichmüller)

Assume $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$, and let (C_1, v_1) , (C_2, v_2) be Cohen fields over k .

Let $\beta \subseteq k$ be a p -basis and $s_i: \beta \rightarrow C_i$ be maps of representatives.

Then, there is a unique isom. of valued fields $\phi: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ s.t. $s_1 \circ \phi = s_2$.

(consequences: (with some TLC))

(K, v) , (L, w) unramified henselian

AKE_{\leftarrow} : $(K, v) \subseteq (L, w)$, $Kv \leq Lw$, $vK \leq wL$
 $\Rightarrow (K, v) \leq (L, w)$

stable embeddedness:

Kv is stably embedded in (K, v) as a pure field.

NIP transfer:

Kv NIP $\Rightarrow (K, v)$ NIP

§2 Finitely ramified henselian valued fields

Def: (K, v) of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ is **finitely ramified** if $(0, v(p)] \in vK$ is finite.

Note: In order to understand $\text{Th}(K, v)$, we need to fix a degree of ramification $e \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ (i.e. $(0, v(p)]^v$ has e -many elements)

Well-known: AKE \equiv fails

e.g.

$\mathbb{Q}_3(\sqrt{3}), \mathbb{Q}_3(\sqrt{6})$ are distinct extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p with $e=2$, residue field \mathbb{F}_3 , value group \mathbb{Z} .

Example (stable embeddedness of Kv fails)

[$p \neq 2$]

$K = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ with α_1, α_2 alg. indep.

no ex. $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(K)$ $\bar{\sigma}(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2$

(K, v) unram. hens., $Kv = K$, $a_1 \in K$ with $\text{res}(a_1) = \alpha_1$

any element with $\text{res}(a_2) = \alpha_2$

$L := K(\sqrt{pa_1})$

$\Rightarrow v$ extends uniquely to L , (L, v) is fin. ramified, $Lv = K$, $[vL : vK] = 2$

Then: L contains no square root of pa_2

$\Rightarrow \bar{\sigma}$ does not lift to $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L)$

Since O/W , we claim that $\vartheta(\sqrt{pa_1})$
is a root of pa_2 for some lift a_2 of α_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{res}(\vartheta(\sqrt{pa_1})^2/p) &= \text{res}(\vartheta(a_1)) = \bar{\vartheta}(\text{res}(a_1)) \\ &= \bar{\vartheta}(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

[This example works just as well with
perfect residue field.]

We can prove nonetheless:

NIP: (K, v) finitely ramified, henselian
 $Kv \text{ NIP} \Rightarrow (K, v) \text{ NIP}$

AKE_≤: $(K, v) \subseteq (L, w)$ finitely ramified of
ramification degree $e > 0$. Then

$Kv \subseteq Lw$ and $vK \subseteq wL \Rightarrow (K, v) \subseteq (L, w)$.