

A tale of two analyticities

Sean Howe

(speaking on joint work with Christian Klevdal)

2021-07-12

Fields Institute Number Theory Seminar

I - A fairy tale about reality

II - Reality about a fairy tale

I - A fairy tale about reality

Some non-mathematical definitions

Reality

Nice familiar algebraic and analytic geometry over \mathbb{C} !

Fairy Tale

1 b: a story in which improbable events lead to a happy ending

merriam-webster.com

(we'll assume some big conjectures!)

The setup

General:

- ▶ $S/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an algebraic variety.
- ▶ $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a smooth proper family of algebraic varieties.
- ▶ \tilde{S} is the universal cover of $S(\mathbb{C})$.

Example (The Legendre family)

- ▶ $S = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$
- ▶ X_λ is the elliptic curve defined by $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$, i.e.

$$X = V(ZY^2 - X(X-Z)(X-\lambda Z)) \subset \underbrace{S}_{[\lambda:1]} \times \underbrace{\mathbb{P}^2}_{[X:Y:Z]}$$

- ▶ $\tilde{S} \cong \mathbb{H}$, the upper half plane.

The period mapping

General:

- ▶ Fix $s_0 \in S(\mathbb{C})$, $0 \leq k \leq 2 \dim X_{s_0}$, and a trivialization

$$\mathbb{Q}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(X_{s_0}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

- ▶ Let $m_p = \dim H^{k-p}(X_{s_0}, \Omega^p)$
- ▶ Let \mathcal{FI} be the variety classifying decreasing flags of subspaces in \mathbb{Q}^n with $\dim F^p/F^{p+1} = m_p$.
- ▶ We obtain a *period map*

$$\pi : \tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{FI}(\mathbb{C})$$

measuring the position of the Hodge filtration with respect to the continuation of the chosen trivialization.

The period mapping - example

Example (The Legendre family continued)

- ▶ On X_λ , we have the holomorphic differential form dx/y .
- ▶ Integration of dx/y induces an element

$$\int_{\bullet} \frac{dx}{y} \in H^1(X_\lambda(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}(H_1(X_\lambda(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}).$$

- ▶ If we fix a trivialization of $\mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow H^1(X_1(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ then we obtain by continuation, for any $\tau \in \tilde{S}$, a trivialization

$$\varphi_\tau : \mathbb{Q}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X_{\lambda(\tau)}, \mathbb{Q})$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{F}I = \mathbb{P}^1$, and

$$\pi(\tau) = \varphi_\tau^{-1} \left(\left\langle \int_{\bullet} \frac{dx}{y} \right\rangle \right) \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

- ▶ π identifies $\tilde{S} = \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$.

A classical question

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{F}l(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow u & & \\ S(\mathbb{C}) & & \end{array}$$

Question (Informal)

Which $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}-)$ algebraic conditions on the Hodge filtration induce $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}-)$ algebraic conditions on S ?

Question (Formal)

For which irreducible $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}-)$ algebraic subvarieties $Z \subset \mathcal{F}l_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the analytic subset $u(\pi^{-1}(Z))$ a $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}-)$ algebraic subvariety of S ?

The question for the Legendre family

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H} & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \\ \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} & & \end{array}$$

The question

$Z \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ irreducible subvariety means

1. Z is a point
2. $Z = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$

Only interesting question: when are both τ and $\lambda(\tau)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$?

Theorem (Schneider¹, 1937)

Both τ and $\lambda(\tau)$ are in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ is a quadratic imaginary field (if and only if $K \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}(X_{\tau}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.)

¹Schneider's result is usually formulated with j instead of λ , but equivalent!

What we know - I

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{F}l(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow u & & \\ S(\mathbb{C}) & & \end{array}$$

The Hodge locus

- ▶ Suppose t is a weight zero tensor on $\mathbb{Q}^n = H^k(X_{s_0}, \mathbb{Q})$. The *Hodge locus* $\text{Hdg}(t) \subseteq \mathcal{F}l$ is the locus where $t \in \text{Fil}^0$.
- ▶ The *Hodge conjecture* predicts that $\pi^{-1}(\text{Hdg}(t))$ is the locus of τ such that t , up to Tate twist, is represented by an algebraic cycle on $X_{u(\tau)}^m$, $m \gg 0$
- ▶ Can also interpret using Mumford-Tate groups = “Galois group of a Hodge structure”.

What we know - II

Theorem (Cattani-Deligne-Kaplan, 1995)

1. *For any t , $u(\pi^{-1}(\text{Hdg}(t)))$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebraic subvariety of S .*
2. *(Weil) If the Hodge conjecture holds, then it is $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebraic.*

Moreover...

These should be the “only” algebraic conditions on the Hodge filtration that impose algebraic conditions on S (i.e. anything else should be explainable in terms of these).

What we know - III

Some closely related notions

1. Special (irreducible components...) and weakly special subvarieties (products with points...)
2. Bialgebraic subvarieties (careful when $\pi_{d\mathbb{R}}$ is not injective...)

Some more results

- ▶ \mathbb{C} -bialgebraic is equivalent to *weakly* special very generally (Klingler, 2017).
- ▶ In the abelian type Shimura case, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -bialgebraic = special. (Ullmo-Yafaev, 2012 – the crucial case of points is a result of Cohen and Shiga-Wolfart generalizing Schneider's result).
- ▶ If special points are $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebraic then special subvarieties are $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebraic (Klingler, Otwinowska, Urbanik 2020).

What we know if we believe **everything**...

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{F}l(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow u & & \\ S(\mathbb{C}) & & \end{array}$$

Hodge loci are algebraic on S

“Everything” includes the Hodge conjecture, thus we already know the Hodge loci cut out $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebraic conditions on S .

Theorem (conditional sideways $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -Ax-Lindemann; well-known?)

Suppose the Grothendieck period conjecture and the Hodge conjecture hold. If $Y \subset S$ is a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebraic subvariety and \tilde{Y} is a connected component of $u^{-1}(Y(\mathbb{C}))$, then

$\overline{\pi(\tilde{Y})}^{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-Zar}} = \text{Hdg}(t)$ for some t (t cuts out generic MT group).

Proof Sketch (still assuming **everything**)

1. Reduce to the Hodge-generic case:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \underbrace{\mathcal{F}l_G(\mathbb{C})}_{\substack{G=\text{Stab}(t) \\ \text{Generic MT group}}} = \text{Hdg}(t) \subset \mathcal{F}l(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow u & & \\ Y(\mathbb{C}) & & \end{array}$$

2. Andre: There is a point $y \in Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ with $MT(\pi(\tilde{y})) = G$.
3. Grothendieck Period Conjecture $\implies \pi(\tilde{y})$ is a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -generic point in $\mathcal{F}l_{MT(\pi(\tilde{y}))}(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark on Ullmo-Yafaev's bi-algebraicity for Shimura varieties

Replace 2-3 with Deligne-Andre theorem to find enough monodromy to get a weakly special subvariety, then use weaker transcendence result (Wustholz) via Cohen/Shiga-Wolfart.

II - Reality about a fairy tale

Some non-mathematical definitions

Reality

We prove a result unconditionally.

Fairy Tale

1 a: a story (as for children) involving fantastic forces and beings (such as fairies, wizards, and goblins)

merriam-webster.com

(the world we work in is a bit more exotic!)

p -adic cohomology (Scholze, Bhatt-Morrow-Scholze)

Some fields

- ▶ $\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ – like \mathbb{Q}_p but start with $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ instead of \mathbb{F}_p .
- ▶ $\overline{\check{\mathbb{Q}}}_p$ – an algebraic closure. \mathbb{C}_p is the p -adic completion of $\overline{\check{\mathbb{Q}}}_p$.
- ▶ $B_{\text{dR}} \cong \mathbb{C}_p((t))$ abstractly. $B_{\text{dR}} \supset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}((t))$ canonically.

Cohomology

- ▶ $X/\overline{\check{\mathbb{Q}}}_p$ smooth proper rigid analytic variety.

$$c_{\text{dR}} : H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}} \cong H_{\text{dR}}^i(X) \otimes_{\overline{\check{\mathbb{Q}}}_p} B_{\text{dR}}$$

- ▶ X/\mathbb{C}_p smooth proper rigid analytic variety.

$$H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}} = \mathbb{M}_{\text{dR}}[1/t], \quad \mathbb{M}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_{\text{dR}}^+ \cong \mathbb{C}_p[[t]]} \mathbb{C}_p = H_{\text{dR}}^i(X).$$

Lattices give rise to trace **Hodge-Tate filtration** on $H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p$ and **Hodge filtration** on $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X)$.

The setup

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text{HT}}} & & \\ & \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\pi'_{\text{HT}}} & \text{Gr}_{\mu} & \xrightarrow{\text{BB}} & \mathcal{F}l_{\mu} \\ & \downarrow u & & & & \\ & S & & & & \end{array}$$

1. $S/\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ a smooth rigid analytic variety.
2. X/S is a smooth proper family of rigid analytic varieties.
3. \tilde{S}/S any profinite étale *diamond* trivializing cover for the local system $H^i(X_s, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.
- 5a. $\pi_{\text{HT}} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_{\mu}$ measures the position of the *Hodge-Tate filtration* with respect to the trivialization.
- 5b. Upgrade: $\pi'_{\text{HT}} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mu}$ (moduli space of lattices).

The question

Some remarks

1. $\mathcal{F}I_\mu$ and S are rigid analytic varieties over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.
2. \tilde{S} typically departs from this world (lives somewhere between rigid analytic varieties and perfectoid spaces).
3. Gr_μ also departs from this world, but rigid analytic subvarieties make sense still. In fact, they are just the rigid analytic subvarieties of $\mathcal{F}I_\mu$ satisfying Griffiths transversality.
4. In situations related to abelian varieties (or p -divisible groups), μ is *miniscule* so $\mathcal{F}I_\mu = \text{Gr}_\mu$.

Question

Which rigid analytic conditions on the Hodge-Tate filtration (or lattice) induce rigid analytic conditions on S ?

Example (The Legendre family)

For the Legendre family we have $\text{Gr}_\mu = \mathcal{F}I_\mu = \mathbb{P}^1$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\pi'_{\text{HT}} = \pi_{\text{HT}}} & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} & & \end{array}$$

$$\text{For } \tau \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p), \lambda(\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(\tau)) = \begin{cases} \text{Profinite set} & \text{if } \tau \notin \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \\ \text{Dense interior} & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p). \end{cases}$$

Theorem (H., 2018 - local p -adic Schneider)

For $x \in \tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}_p)$, $\tau := \pi_{\text{HT}}(x)$,

$$\tau \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ and } \lambda(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \Leftrightarrow [\mathbb{Q}_p(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = 2,$$

$$(\Leftrightarrow \text{End}(X_\lambda[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{Q}_p(\tau)).$$

How to generalize?

Work locally

1. Want to work only with S where the connection on de Rham cohomology is flat (+ a bit more).
2. Example: in the reduction disk of a point in the Legendre family (ordinary $\leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, supersingular $\leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$).
3. Have this behavior locally on reduction disks for a smooth proper formal model.
4. **Compare analyticity of period domain for Hodge filtrations to analyticity of period domain for Hodge-Tate filtrations.**

The universal case for p -divisible formal groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text{HT}}} & \mathcal{F}l_{\text{HT}} \\ \downarrow \pi_{\text{dR}} & & \\ \mathcal{F}l_{\text{Hodge}}^{b\text{-adm}} & & \end{array}$$

- ▶ d =dimension, n =height.
- ▶ $\mathcal{F}l_{\text{Hodge}}$ and $\mathcal{F}l_{\text{HT}}$ both (classical) Grassmannians for d -dimensional subspaces of n -dimensional vector space.
- ▶ b encodes Newton polygon, b -admissible locus is open.
- ▶ Image of π_{HT} is locally closed, open if b semistable/isoclinic.

Theorem (H., Klevdal - Rough version)

If S is a smooth rigid analytic variety over a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_{\text{Hodge}}^{b\text{-adm}}$, and \tilde{S} is a connected component of $\pi_{\text{dR}}^{-1}(S)$, then $\pi_{\text{HT}}(\tilde{S}) \subset \mathcal{F}l_G$, G the generic MT group, and any rigid analytic subset of $\mathcal{F}l_G$ containing $\pi_{\text{HT}}(\tilde{S})$ has non-empty interior.

In general

- ▶ $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{G,\mu,b}$ moduli of mixed characteristic local shtuka (allow G/\mathbb{Q}_p arbitrary linear algebraic! No reason for local MT groups to be reductive here, even in case above)
- ▶ The flag varieties are replaced by diamond affine Grassmannians
- ▶ Maps from smooth rigid analytic subvarieties still make sense! (They correspond exactly to maps to the flag variety satisfying Griffiths transversality).
- ▶ For b -basic, can swap π_{HT} and π_{dR} – get bialgebraicity/ “Ax-Lindemann” type results for this (most important) case.

Proof sketch

1. Reduce to Hodge generic case.
2. Find $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -point in Hodge generic locus (we have to do some work in general here, though in some “structurally polarized” cases the Hodge generic locus is just a dense open!)
3. Observe that Fontaine’s crystalline comparison theorem is a strong version of a local Grothendieck Period Conjecture.

$$c_{\mathrm{dR}} : H^i(X_s, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes B_{\mathrm{dR}} \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X_s) \otimes B_{\mathrm{dR}}$$

For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/K)$,

$$\sigma(c_{\mathrm{dR}}) = c_{\mathrm{dR}} \circ \rho(\sigma)^{-1}$$

for $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/K) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with open image where G is the Mumford-Tate group of the local p -adic Hodge structure (this Mumford-Tate group makes sense not just at $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -points!).

Thanks for coming!

- ▶ Questions? (if time)
- ▶ Contact: sean.howe@utah.edu
- ▶ Preprint available soon we hope!