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Chapter 1
Introduction

## What is the Dirichlet space?

The Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$
$\mathcal{D}$ is the set of $f$ holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ whose Dirichlet integral is finite:

$$
\mathcal{D}(f):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)<\infty
$$

## What is the Dirichlet space?

## The Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$

$\mathcal{D}$ is the set of $f$ holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ whose Dirichlet integral is finite:

$$
\mathcal{D}(f):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)<\infty
$$

- If $f(z)=\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{k} z^{k}$, then $\mathcal{D}(f)=\sum_{k \geq 0} k\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}$.

Consequently $\mathcal{D} \subset H^{2}$.

- $\mathcal{D}$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ given by

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}:=\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\mathcal{D}(f)=\sum_{k \geq 0}(k+1)\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}
$$

## History and motivation

## Very very brief history of $\mathcal{D}$ :
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## History and motivation

## Very very brief history of $\mathcal{D}$ :

- Beurling (1930's-1940's)
- Carleson (1950's-1960's)
- ...

Some reasons for studying $\mathcal{D}$ :

- Potential theory, energy, capacity
- Geometric interpretation, Möbius invariance
- Weighted shifts, invariant subspaces
- Borderline case, still many open problems


## What to study?

## Some topics of interest:

- Boundary behavior
- Zeros
- Multipliers
- Reproducing kernel
- Interpolation
- Conformal invariance
- Shift-invariant subspaces


## Where to find out more about $\mathcal{D}$ ?

## Survey articles:

- W. Ross, The classical Dirichlet space, Recent advances in operator-related function theory, 171-197, Contemp. Math., 393, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- N. Arcozzi, R. Rochberg, E. Sawyer, B. Wick, The Dirichlet space: a survey, New York J. Math. 17A (2011), 45-86.


## Monographs:

- O. El-Fallah, K. Kellay, J. Mashreghi, T. Ransford, A primer on the Dirichlet space, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014
- N. Arcozzi, R. Rochberg, E. Sawyer, B. Wick, The Dirichlet space and related function spaces, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2019.

Chapter 2
Capacity

## Energy

Let $\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{T}$.

## Energy of $\mu$

$$
I(\mu):=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \frac{2}{|\lambda-\zeta|} d \mu(\lambda) d \mu(\zeta)
$$

- May have $I(\mu)=+\infty$.
- Formula for $I(\mu)$ in terms of Fourier coefficients of $\mu$ :

$$
I(\mu)=\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|\widehat{\mu}(k)|^{2}}{k}+\mu(\mathbb{T})^{2} \log 2
$$

## Capacity of compact sets

## Capacity of compact $F \subset \mathbb{T}$

$$
c(F):=1 / \inf \{I(\mu): \mu \text { is a probability measure on } F\} .
$$
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## Capacity of compact $F \subset \mathbb{T}$

$$
c(F):=1 / \inf \{I(\mu): \mu \text { is a probability measure on } F\} .
$$

Elementary properties:

- $F_{1} \subset F_{2} \Rightarrow c\left(F_{1}\right) \leq c\left(F_{2}\right)$
- $F_{n} \downarrow F \Rightarrow c\left(F_{n}\right) \downarrow c(F)$
- $c\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right) \leq c\left(F_{1}\right)+c\left(F_{2}\right)$


## Examples:

- $c(F) \leq 1 / \log (2 / \operatorname{diam}(F))$
- $c(F)=0$ if $F$ is finite or countable
- $c(F) \geq 1 / \log (2 \pi e /|F|)$. In particular $c(F)=0 \Rightarrow|F|=0$.
- $c(F)>0$ if $F$ is the (circular) middle-third Cantor set.


## Capacity of general sets

## Inner capacity of $E \subset \mathbb{T}$

$$
c(E):=\sup \{c(F): \text { compact } F \subset E\}
$$

Outer capacity of $E \subset \mathbb{T}$

$$
c^{*}(E):=\inf \{c(U): \text { open } U \supset E\}
$$

- $c^{*}\left(\cup_{n} E_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{n} c^{*}\left(E_{n}\right) \quad$ (not true for $\left.c(\cdot)\right)$.
- $c^{*}(E)=c(E)$ if $E$ is Borel (Choquet's capacitability theorem)
- A property holds q.e. if it holds outside an $E$ with $c^{*}(E)=0$.


## Equilibrium measures

Let $F$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{T}$. Recall that $c(F):=1 / \inf \{I(\mu): \mu$ is a probability measure on $F\}$.

Measure $\mu$ attaining the inf is called an equilibrium measure for $F$.

## Proposition

If $c(F)>0$, then $F$ admits a unique equilibrium measure.
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Let $F$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{T}$. Recall that

$$
c(F):=1 / \inf \{I(\mu): \mu \text { is a probability measure on } F\} .
$$

Measure $\mu$ attaining the inf is called an equilibrium measure for $F$.

## Proposition

If $c(F)>0$, then $F$ admits a unique equilibrium measure.

## Fundamental theorem of potential theory (Frostman, 1935)

Let $\mu$ be the equilibrium measure for $F$, and $V_{\mu}$ be its potential, i.e.

$$
V_{\mu}(z):=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \frac{2}{|z-\zeta|} d \mu(\zeta)
$$

Then $V_{\mu} \leq 1 / c(F)$ on $\mathbb{T}$, and $V_{\mu}=1 / c(F)$ q.e. on $F$.

## Chapter 3

Boundary behavior

## Preliminary remarks

- Every $f \in \mathcal{D}$ has non-tangential limits a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$ (as $f \in H^{2}$ ).
- There exists $f \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}|f(r)|=\infty$.


## Preliminary remarks

- Every $f \in \mathcal{D}$ has non-tangential limits a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$ (as $f \in H^{2}$ ).
- There exists $f \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}|f(r)|=\infty$.

Example: Consider

$$
f(z):=\sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{z^{k}}{k \log k}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{D}(f)=\sum_{k \geq 2} k \frac{1}{(k \log k)^{2}}=\sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k(\log k)^{2}}<\infty
$$

but

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} f(r) \geq \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k \log k}=\infty
$$

## Beurling's theorem

Theorem (Beurling, 1940)
If $f \in \mathcal{D}$ then $f$ has non-tangential limits q.e. on $\mathbb{T}$.

## Beurling's theorem

```
Theorem (Beurling, 1940)
If f}\in\mathcal{D}\mathrm{ then }f\mathrm{ has non-tangential limits q.e. on }\mathbb{T}\mathrm{ .
```


## Remarks:

- Beurling actually proved his result just for radial limits
- Beurling's theorem is sharp in the following sense:


## Theorem (Carleson, 1952)

Given compact $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ of capacity zero, there exists $f \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}|f(r \zeta)|=\infty$ for all $\zeta \in E$.

## Capacitary weak-type and strong-type inequalities

Notation: Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, we write $f^{*}(\zeta):=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} f(r \zeta)$. Also $A, B$ denote absolute positive constants.

Weak-type inequality (Beurling, 1940)

$$
c\left(\left|f^{*}\right|>t\right) \leq A\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} / t^{2} \quad(t>0) .
$$

Corollary

$$
\left|\left\{\left|f^{*}\right|>t\right\}\right| \leq A e^{-B t^{2} /\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}} \quad(t>0) .
$$
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Notation: Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, we write $f^{*}(\zeta):=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} f(r \zeta)$. Also $A, B$ denote absolute positive constants.

Weak-type inequality (Beurling, 1940)

$$
c\left(\left|f^{*}\right|>t\right) \leq A\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} / t^{2} \quad(t>0) .
$$

Corollary

$$
\left|\left\{\left|f^{*}\right|>t\right\}\right| \leq A e^{-B t^{2} /\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}} \quad(t>0)
$$

## Strong-type inequality (Hansson, 1979)

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} c\left(\left|f^{*}\right|>t\right) t d t \leq A\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}
$$

## Douglas' formula

Theorem (Douglas, 1931)
If $f \in H^{2}$, then

$$
\mathcal{D}(f)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\frac{f^{*}(\lambda)-f^{*}(\zeta)}{\lambda-\zeta}\right|^{2}|d \lambda||d \zeta| .
$$

## Douglas' formula

## Theorem (Douglas, 1931)

If $f \in H^{2}$, then

$$
\mathcal{D}(f)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\frac{f^{*}(\lambda)-f^{*}(\zeta)}{\lambda-\zeta}\right|^{2}|d \lambda||d \zeta| .
$$

## Corollary

If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then $f$ has oricyclic limits a.e. in $\mathbb{T}$.

non-tangential approach region

oricyclic approach region

## Exponential approach region

## Theorem (Nagel-Rudin-Shapiro, 1982)

If $f \in \mathcal{D}$ then, for a.e. $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, we have $f(z) \rightarrow f^{*}(\zeta)$ as $z \rightarrow \zeta$ in the exponential approach region

$$
|z-\zeta|<\kappa\left(\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{-1}
$$

## Remarks:

- Approach region is 'widest possible'.
- This is an a.e. result (not q.e.).


## Carleson's formula

Notation: Let $f \in H^{2}$ with canonical factorization $f=B S O$. Let $\left(a_{n}\right)$ be the zeros of $B$, and $\sigma$ be the singular measure of $S$.

Theorem (Carleson, 1960)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(f)= & \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\left(\left|f^{*}(\lambda)\right|^{2}-\left|f^{*}(\zeta)\right|^{2}\right)\left(\log \left|f^{*}(\lambda)\right|-\log \left|f^{*}(\zeta)\right|\right)}{|\lambda-\zeta|^{2}} \frac{|d \lambda|}{2 \pi} \frac{|d \zeta|}{2 \pi} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\sum_{n} \frac{1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|\zeta-a_{n}\right|^{2}}+\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{2}{|\lambda-\zeta|^{2}} d \sigma(\lambda)\right)\left|f^{*}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \frac{|d \zeta|}{2 \pi} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Corollary 1

If $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}$ then so does its outer factor.

## Carleson's formula

Notation: Let $f \in H^{2}$ with canonical factorization $f=B S O$. Let $\left(a_{n}\right)$ be the zeros of $B$, and $\sigma$ be the singular measure of $S$.
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\begin{aligned}
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## Corollary 1

If $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}$ then so does its outer factor.

## Corollary 2

The only inner functions in $\mathcal{D}$ are finite Blaschke products.

## Some further developments

- Chang-Marshall theorem (1985):

$$
\sup \left\{\int_{\mathbb{T}} \exp \left(\left|f^{*}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d \theta: f(0)=0, \mathcal{D}(f) \leq 1\right\}<\infty
$$

- Trade-off between approach regions and exceptional sets. Borichev (1994), Twomey (2002)

Chapter 4
Zeros

## Preliminary remarks

A sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ (possibly with repetitions) is:

- a zero set for $\mathcal{D}$ if $\exists f \in \mathcal{D}$ vanishing on $\left(z_{n}\right)$ but $f \not \equiv 0$;
- a uniqueness set for $\mathcal{D}$ if it is not a zero set.


## Proposition

If $\left(z_{n}\right)$ is a zero set for $\mathcal{D}$, then $\exists f \in \mathcal{D}$ vanishing precisely on $\left(z_{n}\right)$.
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## Proposition

If $\left(z_{n}\right)$ is a zero set for $\mathcal{D}$, then $\exists f \in \mathcal{D}$ vanishing precisely on $\left(z_{n}\right)$.

It is well known that $\left(z_{n}\right)$ is a zero set for the Hardy space $H^{2}$ iff

$$
\sum_{n}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)<\infty .
$$

What about the Dirichlet space?

## The three cases

## Case I (obvious)

$\sum_{n}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)=\infty \Rightarrow\left(z_{n}\right)$ is a uniqueness set for $\mathcal{D}$.
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## Case II (Shapiro-Shields, 1962)

$\sum_{n} 1 /\left|\log \left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)\right|<\infty \Rightarrow\left(z_{n}\right)$ is a zero set for $\mathcal{D}$.

## The three cases

## Case I (obvious)

$\sum_{n}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)=\infty \Rightarrow\left(z_{n}\right)$ is a uniqueness set for $\mathcal{D}$.

## Case II (Shapiro-Shields, 1962)

$\sum_{n} 1 /\left|\log \left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)\right|<\infty \Rightarrow\left(z_{n}\right)$ is a zero set for $\mathcal{D}$.

## Case III (Nagel-Rudin-Shapiro, 1982)

If $\left(z_{n}\right)$ satisfies neither condition, then there exist a zero set $\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and a uniqueness set $\left(z_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $\left|z_{n}\right|=\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|=\left|z_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|$ for all $n$.

Thus, in Case III, the arguments of $\left(z_{n}\right)$ matter. Back to this later.

## Boundary zero sets

Let $E$ be a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$. It is called a Carleson set if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left(\frac{2}{\operatorname{dist}(\zeta, E)}\right)|d \zeta|<\infty
$$

## Theorem (Carleson 1952)

If $E$ is a Carleson set, then $\exists f \in A^{1}(\mathbb{D})$ with $f^{-1}(0)=E$.

## Boundary zero sets

Let $E$ be a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$. It is called a Carleson set if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left(\frac{2}{\operatorname{dist}(\zeta, E)}\right)|d \zeta|<\infty
$$

```
Theorem (Carleson 1952)
If E is a Carleson set, then \existsf\in\mp@subsup{A}{}{1}(\mathbb{D})\mathrm{ with }\mp@subsup{f}{}{-1}(0)=E\mathrm{ .}
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Theorem (Carleson 1952, Brown-Cohn 1985) } \\
& \text { If } c(E)=0 \text {, then } \exists f \in \mathcal{D} \cap A(\mathbb{D}) \text { with } f^{-1}(0)=E \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Boundary zero sets

Let $E$ be a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$. It is called a Carleson set if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left(\frac{2}{\operatorname{dist}(\zeta, E)}\right)|d \zeta|<\infty
$$

```
Theorem (Carleson 1952)
If E is a Carleson set, then \existsf\in\mp@subsup{A}{}{1}(\mathbb{D})\mathrm{ with }\mp@subsup{f}{}{-1}(0)=E\mathrm{ .}
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Theorem (Carleson 1952, Brown-Cohn 1985) } \\
& \text { If } c(E)=0 \text {, then } \exists f \in \mathcal{D} \cap A(\mathbb{D}) \text { with } f^{-1}(0)=E
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## Boundary zero sets

Let $E$ be a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$. It is called a Carleson set if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left(\frac{2}{\operatorname{dist}(\zeta, E)}\right)|d \zeta|<\infty
$$

## Theorem (Carleson 1952)

If $E$ is a Carleson set, then $\exists f \in A^{1}(\mathbb{D})$ with $f^{-1}(0)=E$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Theorem (Carleson 1952, Brown-Cohn 1985) } \\
& \text { If } c(E)=0 \text {, then } \exists f \in \mathcal{D} \cap A(\mathbb{D}) \text { with } f^{-1}(0)=E
\end{aligned}
$$

- Neither result implies the other.
- Clearly, if $|E|>0$, then $E$ is a boundary uniqueness set for $\mathcal{D}$. But there also exist closed uniqueness sets $E$ with $|E|=0$.


## Arguments of zero sets

We return to zero sets within $\mathbb{D}$, now considering their arguments.

## Theorem (Caughran, 1970)

Let $\left(e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{T}$. The following are equivalent:

- $\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ is a zero set for $\mathcal{D}$ whenever $\sum_{n}\left(1-r_{n}\right)<\infty$.
- $E:=\overline{\left\{e^{i \theta_{n}}: n \geq 1\right\}}$ is a Carleson set.


## Arguments of zero sets

We return to zero sets within $\mathbb{D}$, now considering their arguments.

## Theorem (Caughran, 1970)

Let $\left(e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{T}$. The following are equivalent:

- $\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ is a zero set for $\mathcal{D}$ whenever $\sum_{n}\left(1-r_{n}\right)<\infty$.
- $E:=\overline{\left\{e^{i \theta_{n}}: n \geq 1\right\}}$ is a Carleson set.


## Example of a Blaschke sequence that is a uniqueness set for $\mathcal{D}$

$$
z_{n}:=\left(1-\frac{1}{n(\log n)^{2}}\right) e^{i / \log n}
$$

## Arguments of zero sets

We return to zero sets within $\mathbb{D}$, now considering their arguments.

## Theorem (Caughran, 1970)

Let $\left(e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{T}$. The following are equivalent:

- $\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ is a zero set for $\mathcal{D}$ whenever $\sum_{n}\left(1-r_{n}\right)<\infty$.
- $E:=\overline{\left\{e^{i \theta_{n}}: n \geq 1\right\}}$ is a Carleson set.


## Example of a Blaschke sequence that is a uniqueness set for $\mathcal{D}$

$$
z_{n}:=\left(1-\frac{1}{n(\log n)^{2}}\right) e^{i / \log n}
$$

There is still no satisfactory complete characterization of zero sets.

## Some further developments

- Carleson sets as zero sets for $A^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ Taylor-Williams (1970)

Chapter 5

## Multipliers

## Preliminary remarks

## Proposition

$\mathcal{D}$ is not an algebra.
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- By closed graph theorem, $\mathcal{D}$ isomorphic to a Banach algebra.
- $f \mapsto f(z)$ is a character, so $|f(z)| \leq$ spectral radius of $f$.


## Preliminary remarks

## Proposition

$\mathcal{D}$ is not an algebra.

Proof: Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is an algebra.

- By closed graph theorem, $\mathcal{D}$ isomorphic to a Banach algebra.
- $f \mapsto f(z)$ is a character, so $|f(z)| \leq$ spectral radius of $f$.
- Therefore every $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is bounded. Contradiction.


## Multipliers

## Definition

A multiplier for $\mathcal{D}$ is a function $\phi$ such that $\phi f \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$. The set of multipliers is an algebra, denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$.
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Remark: In the case of Hardy spaces, $\mathcal{M}\left(H^{2}\right)=H^{\infty}$.
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## Multipliers

## Definition

A multiplier for $\mathcal{D}$ is a function $\phi$ such that $\phi f \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$. The set of multipliers is an algebra, denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$.

Remark: In the case of Hardy spaces, $\mathcal{M}\left(H^{2}\right)=H^{\infty}$.
When is $\phi$ a multiplier of $\mathcal{D}$ ?

- Necessary condition: $\phi \in \mathcal{D} \cap H^{\infty}$
- Sufficient condition: $\phi^{\prime} \in H^{\infty}$

To completely characterize multipliers, we introduce a new notion.

## Carleson measures

## Definition

A measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is a Carleson measure for $\mathcal{D}$ if $\exists C$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f|^{2} d \mu \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} \quad(f \in \mathcal{D})
$$

## Carleson measures

## Definition

A measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is a Carleson measure for $\mathcal{D}$ if $\exists C$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f|^{2} d \mu \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} \quad(f \in \mathcal{D}) .
$$

With this notion in hand, it is quite easy to characterize multipliers:

## Proposition

$\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ iff both $\phi \in H^{\infty}$ and $\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2} d A$ is a Carleson measure for $\mathcal{D}$.

## Carleson measures

## Definition

A measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is a Carleson measure for $\mathcal{D}$ if $\exists C$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f|^{2} d \mu \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} \quad(f \in \mathcal{D}) .
$$

With this notion in hand, it is quite easy to characterize multipliers:

## Proposition

$\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ iff both $\phi \in H^{\infty}$ and $\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2} d A$ is a Carleson measure for $\mathcal{D}$.

Begs a new question: how to characterize Carleson measures?

## Characterization of Carleson measures

Let $\mu$ be a finite positive measure on $\mathbb{D}$.
$S(I):=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 1-|I|<r<1, e^{i \theta} \in I\right\}$.
Carleson (1962): $\mu$ is Carleson for $H^{2}$ iff $\mu(S(I))=O(|I|)$.
When is $\mu$ a Carleson measure for $\mathcal{D}$ ?

## Characterization of Carleson measures

Let $\mu$ be a finite positive measure on $\mathbb{D}$.
$S(I):=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 1-|I|<r<1, e^{i \theta} \in I\right\}$.
Carleson (1962): $\mu$ is Carleson for $H^{2}$ iff $\mu(S(I))=O(|I|)$.
When is $\mu$ a Carleson measure for $\mathcal{D}$ ?

## Theorem (Wynn, 2011)

The condition $\mu(S(I))=O(\psi(|I|))$ is:
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## Theorem (Stegenga, 1980)

$\mu$ is a Carleson measure for $\mathcal{D}$ iff there is a constant $A$ such that, for every finite set of disjoint closed subarcs $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}$ of $\mathbb{T}$,
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$$
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If $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}$, then $f(w)=\left\langle f, k_{w}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$, where
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k_{w}(z):=\frac{1}{\bar{w} z} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{w} z}\right) \quad(w, z \in \mathbb{D}) .
$$

The function $k_{w}$ is called the reproducing kernel for $w$.
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Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ and define $M_{\phi}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ by $M_{\phi}(f):=\phi f$. Then
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$$

## Multipliers and reproducing kernels

If $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}$, then $f(w)=\left\langle f, k_{w}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$, where

$$
k_{w}(z):=\frac{1}{\bar{w} z} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{w} z}\right) \quad(w, z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

The function $k_{w}$ is called the reproducing kernel for $w$.

## Proposition

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ and define $M_{\phi}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ by $M_{\phi}(f):=\phi f$. Then

$$
M_{\phi}^{*}\left(k_{w}\right)=\overline{\phi(w)} k_{w} \quad(w \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Proof: For all $f \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$
\left\langle f, M_{\phi}^{*}\left(k_{w}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}=\left\langle\phi f, k_{w}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}=\phi(w) f(w)=\phi(w)\left\langle f, k_{w}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}=\left\langle f, \overline{\phi(w)} k_{w}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}
$$

## Pick interpolation

Problem: Given $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, does there exist $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ with $\left\|M_{\phi}\right\| \leq 1$ such that $\phi\left(z_{j}\right)=w_{j}$ for all $j$ ?
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## Theorem (Agler, 1988)

$\phi$ exists iff the matrix $\left(1-\bar{w}_{i} w_{j}\right)\left\langle k_{z_{i}}, k_{z_{j}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$ is positive semi-definite.

- Necessity is a simple consequence of the preceding proposition. The same argument works for any RKHS.
- Sufficiency is a property of the Dirichlet kernel ('Pick property').


## Interpolating sequences

A sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is an interpolating sequence for $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ if

$$
\left\{\left(\phi\left(z_{1}\right), \phi\left(z_{2}\right), \phi\left(z_{3}\right), \ldots\right): \phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})\right\}=\ell^{\infty}
$$
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A sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is an interpolating sequence for $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ if

$$
\left\{\left(\phi\left(z_{1}\right), \phi\left(z_{2}\right), \phi\left(z_{3}\right), \ldots\right): \phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})\right\}=\ell^{\infty}
$$

## Theorem (Marshall-Sundberg (1990's), Bishop (1990's), Bøe (2005))

The following are equivalent:

- $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is an interpolating sequence for $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$;
- $\sum_{n} \frac{\delta_{z_{n}}}{\left\|k_{z_{n}}\right\|^{2}}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-Carleson measure and $\sup _{\substack{n, m \\ n \neq m}} \frac{\left|\left\langle k_{z_{n}}, k_{z_{m}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}\right|}{\left\|k_{z_{n}}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}\left\|k_{z_{m}}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}}<1$.


## Factorization theorems

We say $f$ is cyclic for $\mathcal{D}$ if $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}) f}=\mathcal{D}$.

- Clearly $f$ cyclic $\Rightarrow f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The converse is false.
- $f$ is cyclic for $H^{2}$ iff $f$ is an outer function (Beurling).
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#### Abstract

'Inner-outer' factorization (Jury-Martin, 2019) If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then $f=\phi g$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ and $g$ is cyclic in $\mathcal{D}$.


## Smirnov factorization (Aleman-Hartz-McCarthy-Richter, 2017)

If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then $f=\phi_{1} / \phi_{2}$, where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ and $\phi_{2}$ is cyclic in $\mathcal{D}$.

## Factorization theorems

We say $f$ is cyclic for $\mathcal{D}$ if $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}) f}=\mathcal{D}$.

- Clearly $f$ cyclic $\Rightarrow f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The converse is false.
- $f$ is cyclic for $H^{2}$ iff $f$ is an outer function (Beurling).

> 'Inner-outer' factorization (Jury-Martin, 2019)
> If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then $f=\phi g$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ and $g$ is cyclic in $\mathcal{D}$.

## Smirnov factorization (Aleman-Hartz-McCarthy-Richter, 2017)

If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then $f=\phi_{1} / \phi_{2}$, where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ and $\phi_{2}$ is cyclic in $\mathcal{D}$.

## Corollary

Given $f \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ with the same zero set. Consequently, the union of two zero sets is again one.

## Some further developments

- Further characterizations of multipliers and Carleson measures for $\mathcal{D}$ Arcozzi-Rochberg-Sawyer (2002)
- Reverse Carleson measures Fricain-Hartmann-Ross (2017)
- Corona problem for $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ Tolokonnikov (1991), Xiao (1998), Trent (2004)


## Chapter 6

## Conformal invariance

## Preliminary remarks

Let $\phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $f: \phi(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic functions.
Write $n_{\phi}(w)$ for the number of solutions $z$ of $\phi(z)=w$.
Change-of-variable formula

$$
\mathcal{D}(f \circ \phi)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\phi(\mathbb{D})}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} n_{\phi}(w) d A(w)
$$
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Let $\phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $f: \phi(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic functions.
Write $n_{\phi}(w)$ for the number of solutions $z$ of $\phi(z)=w$.
Change-of-variable formula

$$
\mathcal{D}(f \circ \phi)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\phi(\mathbb{D})}\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} n_{\phi}(w) d A(w)
$$

## Corollary 1

If $\phi$ is injective, then $\mathcal{D}(\phi)=($ area of $\phi(\mathbb{D})) / \pi$.

## Corollary 2

If $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\phi \in \operatorname{aut}(\mathbb{D})$, then $f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}(f \circ \phi)=\mathcal{D}(f)$.
This last property more-or-less characterizes $\mathcal{D}$.

## Characterization of $\mathcal{D}$ via Möbius invariance

## Notation:

- $\mathcal{H}:=$ a vector space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$
- $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle:=$ a semi-inner product on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{E}(f):=\langle f, f\rangle$.


## Theorem (Arazy-Fisher 1985, slightly modified)

Assume:

- if $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\phi \in \operatorname{aut}(\mathbb{D})$, then $f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{E}(f \circ \phi)=\mathcal{E}(f)$;
- $\|f\|^{2}:=|f(0)|^{2}+\mathcal{E}(f)$ defines a Hilbert-space norm on $\mathcal{H}$;
- convergence in this norm implies pointwise convergence on $\mathbb{D}$;
- $\mathcal{H}$ contains a non-constant function.

Then $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}(\cdot) \equiv a \mathcal{D}(\cdot)$ some constant $a>0$.

## Composition operators

Given holomorphic $\phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, define $C_{\phi}: \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ by

$$
C_{\phi}(f):=f \circ \phi .
$$

If $\phi \in \operatorname{aut}(\mathbb{D})$ then $C_{\phi}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. For which other $\phi$ is this true?
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## Theorem (MacCluer-Shapiro, 1986)

$$
C_{\phi}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \Longleftrightarrow \int_{S(I)} n_{\phi} d A=O\left(|I|^{2}\right)
$$

## Corollary (El-Fallah-Kellay-Shabankhah-Youssfi, 2011)

Conditions for $C_{\phi}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ :

- necessary: $\mathcal{D}\left(\phi^{k}\right)=O(k)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- sufficient: $\mathcal{D}\left(\phi^{k}\right)=O(1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.


## Weighted composition operators

## Theorem (Mashreghi-J. Ransford-T. Ransford, 2018)

Let $T: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ be a linear map. The following are equivalent:

- T maps nowhere-vanishing functions to nowhere-vanishing functions.
- $\exists$ holomorphic functions $\phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
T f=\psi \cdot(f \circ \phi) \quad(f \in \mathcal{D})
$$

## Some further developments

- Compact composition operators on $\mathcal{D}$ MacCluer, Shapiro (1986)
- Composition operators in Schatten classes Lefèvre, Li, Queffélec, Rodríguez-Piazza (2013)
- Geometry of $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ when $C_{\phi}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt Gallardo-Gutiérrez, Gonzalez (2003)

Chapter 7
Weighted Dirichlet spaces

## The $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ spaces

## Definition

For $-1<\alpha \leq 1$, write $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ for the set of holomorphic $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ with

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(f):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d A(z)<\infty
$$

## The $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ spaces

## Definition

For $-1<\alpha \leq 1$, write $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ for the set of holomorphic $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ with

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(f):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d A(z)<\infty
$$

## Properties:

- $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\left(\sum_{k} a_{k} z^{k}\right) \asymp \sum_{k} k^{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}$
- $\mathcal{D}_{0}=\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{1} \cong H^{2}$
- If $0<\alpha<1$, then $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ is 'akin' to $\mathcal{D}$ (using Riesz capacity $c_{\alpha}$ ).
- If $-1<\alpha<0$, then $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ is a subalgebra of the disk algebra.


## The $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ spaces

Given a finite positive measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{T}$, write $P \mu$ for its Poisson integral:

$$
P \mu(z):=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|\zeta-z|^{2}} d \mu(\zeta) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$
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- If $\mu=d \theta / 2 \pi$, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}=\mathcal{D}$, the classical Dirichlet space.
- If $\mu=\delta_{\zeta}$, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is the local Dirichlet space at $\zeta$, denoted $\mathcal{D}_{\zeta}$.
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\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(f):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} P \mu(z) d A(z)<\infty .
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- If $\mu=d \theta / 2 \pi$, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}=\mathcal{D}$, the classical Dirichlet space.
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## Properties of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ (Richter-Sundberg, 1991)

- $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \subset H^{2}$ and is Hilbert space w.r.t. $\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}}^{2}:=\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(f)$.
- Douglas formula: if $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$, then $f^{*}$ exists $\mu$-a.e. and

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\left|f^{*}(\lambda)-f^{*}(\zeta)\right|^{2}}{|\lambda-\zeta|^{2}} \frac{|d \lambda|}{2 \pi} d \mu(\zeta)
$$

Special case: $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta} \Longleftrightarrow f(z)=a+(z-\zeta) g(z)$ where $g \in H^{2}$, and then $\mathcal{D}_{\zeta}(f)=\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2}$.

- Carleson formula for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(f)$.
- Polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$.
- $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\left(f_{r}\right) \leq 4 \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(f)$ (where $f_{r}(z):=f(r z)$ ).

Can replace 4 by 1 (Sarason 1997, using de Branges-Rovnyak spaces).

## Some further developments

- Capacities for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$. Chacón (2011), Guillot (2012)
- Estimates for reproducing kernel and capacities in $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$. El-Fallah, Elmadani, Kellay (2019)
- Superharmonic weights

Aleman (1993)

- $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ has the complete Pick property Shimorin (2002)


## Chapter 8

## Shift-invariant subspaces

## Preliminary remarks

## Notation:

- $T$ a bounded operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$
- Lat $(T, \mathcal{H}):=$ the lattice of closed $T$-invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$.
- $M_{z}:=$ the shift operator (multiplication by $z$ ).
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## Theorem (Beurling, 1948)

If $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat}\left(M_{z}, H^{2}\right) \backslash\{0\}$, then $\mathcal{M}=\theta H^{2}$ where $\theta$ is inner.

## Preliminary remarks

## Notation:

- $T$ a bounded operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$
- Lat $(T, \mathcal{H}):=$ the lattice of closed $T$-invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$.
- $M_{z}:=$ the shift operator (multiplication by $z$ ).

```
Theorem (Beurling, 1948)
If \mathcal{M}\in\operatorname{Lat}(\mp@subsup{M}{z}{},\mp@subsup{H}{}{2})\{0}, then }\mathcal{M}=0\mp@subsup{H}{}{2}\mathrm{ where }0\mathrm{ is inner.
```

Analogue for $\operatorname{Lat}\left(M_{z}, \mathcal{D}\right)$ ?

## The shift operator on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$

Write $(T, \mathcal{H}):=\left(M_{z}, \mathcal{D}\right)$. Clearly:
(1) $\left\|T^{2} f\right\|^{2}-2\|T f\|^{2}+\|f\|^{2}=0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
(2) $\cap_{n \geq 0} T^{n}(\mathcal{H})=\{0\}$.
(3) $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{H} \ominus T(\mathcal{H}))=1$.
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## Theorem (Richter, 1991)

Let $T$ be an operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying (1),(2),(3). Then there exists a unique finite measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{T}$ such that $(T, \mathcal{H})$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left(M_{z}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\right)$.

## Invariant subspaces of $\left(M_{z}, \mathcal{D}\right)$

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat}\left(M_{z}, \mathcal{D}\right)$.

- Clearly $\left(M_{z}, \mathcal{M}\right)$ satisfies properties (1),(2).
- If $\mathcal{M} \neq\{0\}$, then (3) also holds (Richter-Shields 1988).
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Let $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Lat}\left(M_{z}, \mathcal{D}\right)$ and let $\phi \in \mathcal{M} \ominus M_{z}(\mathcal{M})$ with $\phi \not \equiv 0$. Then:

- $\phi$ is a multiplier for $\mathcal{D}$.
- $\mathcal{M}=\phi \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ where $d \mu:=\left|\phi^{*}\right|^{2} d \theta$.
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## Corollary

$\mathcal{M}$ is cyclic (i.e. singly generated as an invariant subspace).

## Cyclic invariant subspaces

Problem: Given $f \in \mathcal{D}$, identify $[f]_{\mathcal{D}}$, the closed invariant subspace of $\mathcal{D}$ generated by $f$.
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Theorem (Richter-Sundberg 1992)
Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ have inner-outer factorization $f=f_{i} f_{o}$. Then

$$
[f]_{\mathcal{D}}=f_{i}\left[f_{0}\right]_{\mathcal{D}} \cap \mathcal{D}=\left[f_{o}\right]_{\mathcal{D}} \cap f_{i} H^{2} .
$$
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It remains to identify $\left[f_{o}\right]_{\mathcal{D}}$. We might expect that $\left[f_{o}\right]_{\mathcal{D}}=\mathcal{D}$. However, another phenomenon intervenes, that of boundary zeros.
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## Theorem (Carleson 1952)

$\mathcal{D}_{E}$ is closed in $\mathcal{D}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_{E} \in \operatorname{Lat}\left(M_{z}, \mathcal{D}\right)$.

## Corollary

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and let $E:=\left\{f^{*}=0\right\}$. Then $[f]_{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{E}$.

Open problem
Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ be outer and let $E:=\left\{f^{*}=0\right\}$. Then do we have $[f]_{\mathcal{D}}=\mathcal{D}_{E}$ ? In particular, if $c(E)=0$, then do we have $[f]_{\mathcal{D}}=\mathcal{D}$ ?

Special case where $c(E)=0$ is a celebrated conjecture of Brown-Shields

## Brown-Shields conjecture

$f \in \mathcal{D}$ is cyclic for $\mathcal{D}$ if $[f]_{\mathcal{D}}=\mathcal{D}$. Necessary conditions for cyclicity:

- $f$ is outer;
- $E:=\left\{f^{*}=0\right\}$ is of capacity zero.
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These conditions are also sufficient.
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Partial results:
Theorem (Hedenmalm-Shields, 1990)
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## Theorem (El-Fallah-Kellay-Ransford, 2009)

If $f \in \mathcal{D} \cap A(\mathbb{D})$ is outer and if $E:=\{f=0\}$ satisfies, for some $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\left|E_{t}\right|=O\left(t^{\epsilon}\right)\left(t \rightarrow 0^{+}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{1} d t /\left|E_{t}\right|=\infty
$$

then $f$ is cyclic.

## Some further developments

- Shift-invariant subspaces and cyclicity in $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ Richter, Sundberg (1992)
Guillot (2012)
El-Fallah, Elmadani, Kellay (2016)
- Optimal polynomial approximants Catherine Bénéteau and co-authors (2015 onwards)
- Cyclicity in Dirichlet spaces on the bi-disk Knese-Kosiński-Ransford-Sola (2019)


## Conclusion: a shameless advertisement



