

# Topological rigidity of the first Betti numbers and Ricci flow smoothing

Shaosai Huang

(Joint work with Bing Wang)

University of Wisconsin - Madison

*sshuang@math.wisc.edu*

February 24, 2021

# Gromov's almost flat manifold theorem

## Theorem (Gromov, 1978; Ruh, 1982)

There is a dimensional constant  $\varepsilon(n) \in (0, 1)$  such that if a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfies

$$\text{diam}(M, g)^2 \max_{\wedge^2 TM} |\mathbf{K}_g| \leq \varepsilon^2, \quad (1)$$

then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to an almost flat manifold.

- An *almost flat manifold* (a.k.a. *infranil manifold*) is by definition of the form  $N/\Gamma$ , where  $N$  is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$  with  $[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap N] < w(n)$ , the uniform bound here is part of Gromov's theorem.

# Gromov's almost flat manifold theorem

## Theorem (Gromov, 1978; Ruh, 1982)

There is a dimensional constant  $\varepsilon(n) \in (0, 1)$  such that if a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfies

$$\text{diam}(M, g)^2 \max_{\wedge^2 TM} |\mathbf{K}_g| \leq \varepsilon^2, \quad (1)$$

then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to an almost flat manifold.

- An *almost flat manifold* (a.k.a. *infranil manifold*) is by definition of the form  $N/\Gamma$ , where  $N$  is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$  with  $[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap N] < w(n)$ , the uniform bound here is part of Gromov's theorem.
- The theorem is striking in that pure curvature and diameter information determines the diffeomorphism type of the manifold.

# Gromov's almost flat manifold theorem

## Theorem (Gromov, 1978; Ruh, 1982)

There is a dimensional constant  $\varepsilon(n) \in (0, 1)$  such that if a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfies

$$\text{diam}(M, g)^2 \max_{\wedge^2 TM} |\mathbf{K}_g| \leq \varepsilon^2, \quad (1)$$

then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to an almost flat manifold.

- An *almost flat manifold* (a.k.a. *infranil manifold*) is by definition of the form  $N/\Gamma$ , where  $N$  is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$  with  $[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap N] < w(n)$ , the uniform bound here is part of Gromov's theorem.
- The theorem is striking in that pure curvature and diameter information determines the diffeomorphism type of the manifold.
- Its importance is more apparent as the "collapsing fibers" in the collapsing geometry with bounded *sectional* curvature.

# Moduli spaces of Riemannian manifolds

We consider the following collections of complete Riemannian manifolds:

- $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  denotes the collection of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvature bounded between  $\pm 1$ , and diameter bounded from above by  $D \geq 1$ .

# Moduli spaces of Riemannian manifolds

We consider the following collections of complete Riemannian manifolds:

- $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  denotes the collection of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvature bounded between  $\pm 1$ , and diameter bounded from above by  $D \geq 1$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D, \nu)$  denotes the sub-collection of manifolds in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  with volume bounded below by  $\nu > 0$ .

# Moduli spaces of Riemannian manifolds

We consider the following collections of complete Riemannian manifolds:

- $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  denotes the collection of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvature bounded between  $\pm 1$ , and diameter bounded from above by  $D \geq 1$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D, \nu)$  denotes the sub-collection of manifolds in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  with volume bounded below by  $\nu > 0$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$  denotes the collection of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds  $(M, g)$  with  $\mathbf{Rc}_g \geq -(n-1)g$ .

# Moduli spaces of Riemannian manifolds

We consider the following collections of complete Riemannian manifolds:

- $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  denotes the collection of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvature bounded between  $\pm 1$ , and diameter bounded from above by  $D \geq 1$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D, \nu)$  denotes the sub-collection of manifolds in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  with volume bounded below by  $\nu > 0$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$  denotes the collection of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds  $(M, g)$  with  $\mathbf{Rc}_g \geq -(n-1)g$ .
- Equip these collections with the (pointed) Gromov-Hausdorff topology, then they become pre-compact among metric spaces.



# Moduli spaces of Riemannian manifolds

We consider the following collections of complete Riemannian manifolds:

- $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  denotes the collection of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvature bounded between  $\pm 1$ , and diameter bounded from above by  $D \geq 1$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D, \nu)$  denotes the sub-collection of manifolds in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  with volume bounded below by  $\nu > 0$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$  denotes the collection of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds  $(M, g)$  with  $\mathbf{Rc}_g \geq -(n-1)g$ .
- Equip these collections with the (pointed) Gromov-Hausdorff topology, then they become pre-compact among metric spaces.
- Due to the various curvature conditions, we expect to get better understanding of the weak limits and improve the regularity of the topology.

# Cheeger's finiteness theorem

$\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  is a very large moduli space, but for any  $k \leq n$ , the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, v)$  is very small:

## Theorem (Cheeger 1970)

*The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, v)$  has only finitely many diffeomorphism classes. The Gromov-Hausdorff topology is the same as the  $C^{1, \frac{1}{2}}$  Cheeger-Gromov topology.*

- If  $\{M_i\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, v)$  and  $M_i \rightarrow X$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, then  $X \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, v)$ , and the convergence topology is improved to the  $C^{1, \frac{1}{2}}$  Cheeger-Gromov sense.

# Cheeger's finiteness theorem

$\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  is a very large moduli space, but for any  $k \leq n$ , the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$  is very small:

## Theorem (Cheeger 1970)

*The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$  has only finitely many diffeomorphism classes. The Gromov-Hausdorff topology is the same as the  $C^{1, \frac{1}{2}}$  Cheeger-Gromov topology.*

- If  $\{M_i\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$  and  $M_i \rightarrow X$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, then  $X \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$ , and the convergence topology is improved to the  $C^{1, \frac{1}{2}}$  Cheeger-Gromov sense.
- Can think of the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$  for  $k \leq n$  as the “minimal models” of manifolds in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$ .

# Cheeger's finiteness theorem

$\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  is a very large moduli space, but for any  $k \leq n$ , the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, v)$  is very small:

## Theorem (Cheeger 1970)

*The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, v)$  has only finitely many diffeomorphism classes. The Gromov-Hausdorff topology is the same as the  $C^{1, \frac{1}{2}}$  Cheeger-Gromov topology.*

- If  $\{M_i\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, v)$  and  $M_i \rightarrow X$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, then  $X \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, v)$ , and the convergence topology is improved to the  $C^{1, \frac{1}{2}}$  Cheeger-Gromov sense.
- Can think of the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, v)$  for  $k \leq n$  as the “minimal models” of manifolds in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$ .
- Question: How can we relate a generic element in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  to one of the “minimal models”?

# Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem

This question is answered by the Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem:

## Theorem (Fukaya, 1987)

*Given  $D \geq 1$  and  $\nu > 0$ , there is some  $\varepsilon(n, \nu) \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $d_{GH}(M, N) < \varepsilon$  for some  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  and  $N \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$  with  $k \leq n$ , then there is a surjective  $C^1$  map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  which is an almost Riemannian submersion; moreover, the fibers of  $f$  are diffeomorphic to an almost flat manifold.*

- We say that  $M$  collapses to  $N$  since  $\dim N < \dim M$ , and  $M$  looks, to the naked eyes, like the lower dimensional manifold  $N$ .

# Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem

This question is answered by the Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem:

## Theorem (Fukaya, 1987)

*Given  $D \geq 1$  and  $\nu > 0$ , there is some  $\varepsilon(n, \nu) \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $d_{GH}(M, N) < \varepsilon$  for some  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  and  $N \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$  with  $k \leq n$ , then there is a surjective  $C^1$  map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  which is an almost Riemannian submersion; moreover, the fibers of  $f$  are diffeomorphic to an almost flat manifold.*

- We say that  $M$  collapses to  $N$  since  $\dim N < \dim M$ , and  $M$  looks, to the naked eyes, like the lower dimensional manifold  $N$ .
- The curvature and metric information determines the diffeomorphism type of  $M$  according to the “minimal model”  $N$ :  $M$  can be thought of as a smooth family of almost flat manifolds parametrized over  $N$ .

# Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem

This question is answered by the Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem:

## Theorem (Fukaya, 1987)

*Given  $D \geq 1$  and  $\nu > 0$ , there is some  $\varepsilon(n, \nu) \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $d_{GH}(M, N) < \varepsilon$  for some  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(n, D)$  and  $N \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$  with  $k \leq n$ , then there is a surjective  $C^1$  map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  which is an almost Riemannian submersion; moreover, the fibers of  $f$  are diffeomorphic to an almost flat manifold.*

- We say that  $M$  collapses to  $N$  since  $\dim N < \dim M$ , and  $M$  looks, to the naked eyes, like the lower dimensional manifold  $N$ .
- The curvature and metric information determines the diffeomorphism type of  $M$  according to the “minimal model”  $N$ :  $M$  can be thought of as a smooth family of almost flat manifolds parametrized over  $N$ .
- This theorem provides the basic picture of the collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature; whose structure are locally modeled on singular infranil fiber bundles over orbifolds with corners.

# Collapsing geometry with Ricci curvature bounds

- The collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature is well-understood thanks to the works of Cheeger, Fukaya, Gromov, and Rong, etc.



# Collapsing geometry with Ricci curvature bounds

- The collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature is well-understood thanks to the works of Cheeger, Fukaya, Gromov, and Rong, etc.
- How about the collapsing geometry of manifolds with Ricci curvature conditions, such as the collapsing of Ricci flows and elements in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ ?

# Collapsing geometry with Ricci curvature bounds

- The collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature is well-understood thanks to the works of Cheeger, Fukaya, Gromov, and Rong, etc.
- How about the collapsing geometry of manifolds with Ricci curvature conditions, such as the collapsing of Ricci flows and elements in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ ?
- Motivation 1: Weaker curvature assumptions, therefore more natural.

# Collapsing geometry with Ricci curvature bounds

- The collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature is well-understood thanks to the works of Cheeger, Fukaya, Gromov, and Rong, etc.
- How about the collapsing geometry of manifolds with Ricci curvature conditions, such as the collapsing of Ricci flows and elements in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ ?
- Motivation 1: Weaker curvature assumptions, therefore more natural.
- Motivation 2 - mathematical physics: the SYZ conjecture in mirror symmetry is about the collapsing geometry of Calabi-Yau threefolds.

# Collapsing geometry with Ricci curvature bounds

- The collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature is well-understood thanks to the works of Cheeger, Fukaya, Gromov, and Rong, etc.
- How about the collapsing geometry of manifolds with Ricci curvature conditions, such as the collapsing of Ricci flows and elements in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ ?
- Motivation 1: Weaker curvature assumptions, therefore more natural.
- Motivation 2 - mathematical physics: the SYZ conjecture in mirror symmetry is about the collapsing geometry of Calabi-Yau threefolds.
- Motivation 3 - algebraic geometry: the abundance conjecture in Kähler geometry is about the long-time collapsing behavior of Kähler-Ricci flows with nef initial data.

# Collapsing geometry with Ricci curvature bounds

- The collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature is well-understood thanks to the works of Cheeger, Fukaya, Gromov, and Rong, etc.
- How about the collapsing geometry of manifolds with Ricci curvature conditions, such as the collapsing of Ricci flows and elements in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ ?
- Motivation 1: Weaker curvature assumptions, therefore more natural.
- Motivation 2 - mathematical physics: the SYZ conjecture in mirror symmetry is about the collapsing geometry of Calabi-Yau threefolds.
- Motivation 3 - algebraic geometry: the abundance conjecture in Kähler geometry is about the long-time collapsing behavior of Kähler-Ricci flows with nef initial data.
- The general expectation is a singular fibration structure over a singular base (the base maybe topologically regular).

# Collapsing fibers: Almost Ricci-flat manifolds

The following is an attempt to generalize Gromov's almost flat manifolds theorem to the setting of almost Ricci-flat manifolds.

## Theorem (Dai-Wei-Ye, 1996)

*There is a dimensional constant  $\varepsilon(n) \in (0, 1)$  such that if a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with conjugate radii bounded below by 1 satisfies*

$$\text{diam}(M, g)^2 \max_M |\mathbf{Rc}_g|_g \leq \varepsilon^2,$$

*then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to an almost flat manifold.*

- The point-wise lower bound of conjugate radii is hard to check.

# Collapsing fibers: Almost Ricci-flat manifolds

The following is an attempt to generalize Gromov's almost flat manifolds theorem to the setting of almost Ricci-flat manifolds.

## Theorem (Dai-Wei-Ye, 1996)

*There is a dimensional constant  $\varepsilon(n) \in (0, 1)$  such that if a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with conjugate radii bounded below by 1 satisfies*

$$\text{diam}(M, g)^2 \max_M |\mathbf{Rc}_g|_g \leq \varepsilon^2,$$

*then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to an almost flat manifold.*

- The point-wise lower bound of conjugate radii is hard to check.
- The proof is based on a Ricci flow smoothing technique, our improvement of which will be discussed later.

# Collapsing fibers: Almost Ricci-flat manifolds

The following is an attempt to generalize Gromov's almost flat manifolds theorem to the setting of almost Ricci-flat manifolds.

## Theorem (Dai-Wei-Ye, 1996)

*There is a dimensional constant  $\varepsilon(n) \in (0, 1)$  such that if a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with conjugate radii bounded below by 1 satisfies*

$$\text{diam}(M, g)^2 \max_M |\mathbf{Rc}_g|_g \leq \varepsilon^2,$$

*then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to an almost flat manifold.*

- The point-wise lower bound of conjugate radii is hard to check.
- The proof is based on a Ricci flow smoothing technique, our improvement of which will be discussed later.
- The almost flatness of a (new) mixed curvature condition is introduced by Kapovitch recently, and a similar result is obtained.



# Collapsing fibers: Colding-Gromov gap theorem

Instead of the pointwise conjugate radii lower bound, Colding proved the following theorem which only assumes a very natural topological condition.

**Theorem (Colding, 1997; Cheeger-Colding, 1997)**

*There is a dimensional constant  $\varepsilon(n) \in (0, 1)$  such that if a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfies*

$$\text{diam}(M, g)^2 \mathbf{Rc}_g \geq -\varepsilon^2 g \quad \text{and} \quad b_1(M) = n,$$

*then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a flat torus.*

- This theorem can be viewed as a quantitative version of the Bochner technique, or the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem.

# Collapsing fibers: Colding-Gromov gap theorem

Instead of the pointwise conjugate radii lower bound, Colding proved the following theorem which only assumes a very natural topological condition.

## Theorem (Colding, 1997; Cheeger-Colding, 1997)

*There is a dimensional constant  $\varepsilon(n) \in (0, 1)$  such that if a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfies*

$$\text{diam}(M, g)^2 \mathbf{Rc}_g \geq -\varepsilon^2 g \quad \text{and} \quad b_1(M) = n,$$

*then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a flat torus.*

- This theorem can be viewed as a quantitative version of the Bochner technique, or the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem.
- The diffeomorphism version of this theorem is proven by Cheeger and Colding based on the Reifenberg method.

# Topological rigidity of the first Betti number

Recently, Bing Wang and I obtained the following torus bundle theorem for manifolds in  $\mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ .

## Theorem (H.-Wang, 2020)

*Given  $D \geq 1$  and  $\nu > 0$ , there is a constant  $\varepsilon(n, D, \nu) \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $d_{GH}(M, N) < \varepsilon$  for some  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$  and  $N \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$  with  $k \leq n$ , then  $b_1(M) - b_1(N) \leq n - k$ . Moreover, if the equality holds, then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to an  $(n - k)$ -torus bundle over  $N$ .*

# Topological rigidity of the first Betti number

Some comments:

- This theorem is in the same spirit as Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem, which can be thought as a parametrized version of Gromov's almost flat manifolds theorem: our theorem could be thought as a parametrized version of the Colding-Gromov gap theorem.

# Topological rigidity of the first Betti number

Some comments:

- This theorem is in the same spirit as Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem, which can be thought as a parametrized version of Gromov's almost flat manifolds theorem: our theorem could be thought as a parametrized version of the Colding-Gromov gap theorem.
- Notice that if  $M$  is an  $(n - k)$ -torus bundle over  $N$  and  $N$  is aspherical, then by the homotopy long exact sequence we have  $b_1(M) - b_1(N) = n - k$ . The theorem tells the inverse, whence serving as a topological rigidity theorem for manifolds in  $\mathcal{M}_{RC}(n)$  that are sufficiently close to the "minimal models" in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense.

# Topological rigidity of the first Betti number

Some comments:

- This theorem is in the same spirit as Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem, which can be thought as a parametrized version of Gromov's almost flat manifolds theorem: our theorem could be thought as a parametrized version of the Colding-Gromov gap theorem.
- Notice that if  $M$  is an  $(n - k)$ -torus bundle over  $N$  and  $N$  is aspherical, then by the homotopy long exact sequence we have  $b_1(M) - b_1(N) = n - k$ . The theorem tells the inverse, whence serving as a topological rigidity theorem for manifolds in  $\mathcal{M}_{RC}(n)$  that are sufficiently close to the "minimal models" in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense.
- The proof is based on the Ricci flow smoothing technique.

# Topological rigidity of the first Betti number

Some consequent works:

- We were later able to localize the Ricci flow smoothing technique and prove a singular fiber bundle theorem when the collapsing limit is actually an orbifold.

# Topological rigidity of the first Betti number

Some consequent works:

- We were later able to localize the Ricci flow smoothing technique and prove a singular fiber bundle theorem when the collapsing limit is actually an orbifold.
- In a forthcoming joint work with Xiaochun Rong, we are able to show that if the manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfying the assumptions of the fiber bundle theorem (esp. with  $b_1(M) = b_1(N) + \dim M - \dim N$ ) and in addition has *almost non-negative* Ricci curvature  $\mathbf{Rc}_g \geq -\delta g$ , then it is homeomorphic to the product  $N \times \mathbb{T}^{\dim M - \dim N}$ .



# Ricci flow smoothing: Existence and regularity

- On a closed manifold  $M$  of dimension  $m$ , a smooth family of metrics  $g(t)$  is called a Ricci flow solution if it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2R_{ij},$$

where  $R_{ij}$  is the Ricci curvature. Hamilton (1982) showed the short time existence of the Ricci flow given any such initial data  $(M, g)$ .

# Ricci flow smoothing: Existence and regularity

- On a closed manifold  $M$  of dimension  $m$ , a smooth family of metrics  $g(t)$  is called a Ricci flow solution if it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2R_{ij},$$

where  $R_{ij}$  is the Ricci curvature. Hamilton (1982) showed the short time existence of the Ricci flow given any such initial data  $(M, g)$ .

- Shi's estimate (1989): the Riemannian curvature satisfies the following bound:

$$\sup_M |\mathbf{Rm}_{g(t)}| \leq Ct^{-1} \quad (2)$$

whenever the flow exists up to time  $t$ .

# Ricci flow smoothing: The basic idea

- We will start a Ricci flow from the collapsing initial data  $(M, g)$ , which is a closed manifold.

# Ricci flow smoothing: The basic idea

- We will start a Ricci flow from the collapsing initial data  $(M, g)$ , which is a closed manifold.
- In view of Shi's estimate, the evolved metric should have better regularity — especially, it enjoys uniformly bounded sectional curvature.

# Ricci flow smoothing: The basic idea

- We will start a Ricci flow from the collapsing initial data  $(M, g)$ , which is a closed manifold.
- In view of Shi's estimate, the evolved metric should have better regularity — especially, it enjoys uniformly bounded sectional curvature.
- We would like to appeal to the classical theory of collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature (notably Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem) to obtain a fiber bundle structure for  $M$ .

# Ricci flow smoothing: The basic idea

- We will start a Ricci flow from the collapsing initial data  $(M, g)$ , which is a closed manifold.
- In view of Shi's estimate, the evolved metric should have better regularity — especially, it enjoys uniformly bounded sectional curvature.
- We would like to appeal to the classical theory of collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature (notably Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem) to obtain a fiber bundle structure for  $M$ .
- However, notice that in Shi's estimate, the shorter the existence time of the flow is, the worse the curvature bound is (by the nature of the heat flow). So the key difficulty is to obtain a Ricci flow existence time lower bound, uniformly depending on the given info.: Ricci lower bound, collapsing to a lower dimensional “minimal model”, and Betti number condition.

# Ricci flow smoothing: The basic idea

- We will start a Ricci flow from the collapsing initial data  $(M, g)$ , which is a closed manifold.
- In view of Shi's estimate, the evolved metric should have better regularity — especially, it enjoys uniformly bounded sectional curvature.
- We would like to appeal to the classical theory of collapsing geometry with bounded sectional curvature (notably Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem) to obtain a fiber bundle structure for  $M$ .
- However, notice that in Shi's estimate, the shorter the existence time of the flow is, the worse the curvature bound is (by the nature of the heat flow). So the key difficulty is to obtain a Ricci flow existence time lower bound, uniformly depending on the given info.: Ricci lower bound, collapsing to a lower dimensional “minimal model”, and Betti number condition.
- Another technical point is that the evolved metric should still be very collapsed, this follows from a distance distortion estimate.

# Ricci flow smoothing: The pseudo-locality theorem

## Theorem (Perelman, 2002; Tian-Wang, 2015)

For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , there are positive constants  $\varepsilon_P = \varepsilon_P(n, \alpha)$  and  $\delta_P = \delta_P(n, \alpha)$  such that if  $(M, g)$  is a Ricci flow solution define for  $t \in [0, T]$  with each time slice  $(M, g(t))$  being a complete Riemannian manifold, and if one of the conditions holds for  $p \in M$ :

- 1 (Perelman)  $\mathbf{R}_{g(0)} \geq -1$  on  $B_{g(0)}(p, 1)$  and  $I_{B_{g(0)}(p, 1)} \geq (1 - \delta_P)I_n$ , or
- 2 (Tian-Wang)  $\mathbf{Rc}_{g(0)} \geq -\delta_P g(0)$  on  $B_{g(0)}(p, 1)$  and  $|B_{g(0)}(p, 1)|_{g(0)} \geq (1 - \delta_P)\omega_n$ ,

where  $I_n$  and  $\omega_n$  stands for the isoperimetric constant and volume of the  $n$ -Euclidean unit ball, respectively, and  $I_\Omega$  denotes the isoperimetric constant for the domain  $\Omega \subset M$ , then

$$\forall t \in (0, \varepsilon_P^2], \quad \sup_{B_{g(t)}(p, \varepsilon_P)} |\mathbf{Rm}_{g(t)}|_{g(t)} \leq \alpha t^{-1} + \varepsilon_P^{-2}. \quad (3)$$



## Lemma (Huang-Kong-Rong-Xu, 2018)

Let  $(M, g(t))$  be a smooth Ricci flow solution such that

- 1 the initial data  $g(0)$  satisfies  $\mathbf{Rc}_{g(0)} \geq -(n-1)g(0)$  and  $|B_{g(0)}(p,1)|_{g(0)} \geq \nu > 0$  for all  $p \in M$ ,
- 2 the space-time curvature is bounded as  $|Rm_{g(t)}|_{g(t)} \leq \alpha t^{-1}$ ,

then for any  $x, y \in M$  satisfying  $d_{g(0)}(x, y) \leq \sqrt{t}$ , we have

$$|d_{g(0)}(x, y) - d_{g(t)}(x, y)| \leq \Psi_D(\alpha|\nu)\sqrt{t}.$$

- $\Psi_D(\alpha|\nu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . Here we can take  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  arbitrarily small.

## Lemma (Huang-Kong-Rong-Xu, 2018)

Let  $(M, g(t))$  be a smooth Ricci flow solution such that

- 1 the initial data  $g(0)$  satisfies  $\mathbf{Rc}_{g(0)} \geq -(n-1)g(0)$  and  $|B_{g(0)}(p,1)|_{g(0)} \geq \nu > 0$  for all  $p \in M$ ,
- 2 the space-time curvature is bounded as  $|Rm_{g(t)}|_{g(t)} \leq \alpha t^{-1}$ ,

then for any  $x, y \in M$  satisfying  $d_{g(0)}(x, y) \leq \sqrt{t}$ , we have

$$|d_{g(0)}(x, y) - d_{g(t)}(x, y)| \leq \Psi_D(\alpha|\nu)\sqrt{t}.$$

- $\Psi_D(\alpha|\nu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . Here we can take  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  arbitrarily small.
- The space-time curvature bound is natural.

## Lemma (Huang-Kong-Rong-Xu, 2018)

Let  $(M, g(t))$  be a smooth Ricci flow solution such that

- 1 the initial data  $g(0)$  satisfies  $\mathbf{Rc}_{g(0)} \geq -(n-1)g(0)$  and  $|B_{g(0)}(p,1)|_{g(0)} \geq \nu > 0$  for all  $p \in M$ ,
- 2 the space-time curvature is bounded as  $|Rm_{g(t)}|_{g(t)} \leq \alpha t^{-1}$ ,

then for any  $x, y \in M$  satisfying  $d_{g(0)}(x, y) \leq \sqrt{t}$ , we have

$$|d_{g(0)}(x, y) - d_{g(t)}(x, y)| \leq \Psi_D(\alpha|\nu)\sqrt{t}.$$

- $\Psi_D(\alpha|\nu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . Here we can take  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  arbitrarily small.
- The space-time curvature bound is natural.
- Here the uniform estimate depends on the volume lower bound (i.e. non-collapsing) of the initial data — same issue for the pseudo-locality theorem: the initial data should locally look almost like the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space!

## Key difficulty: collapsing initial condition

- In our situation, the  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$  may be very collapsed, since it is Gromov-Hausdorff close to a lower dimensional manifold  $N$  — the locally almost  $n$ -Euclidean conditions may drastically fail.

## Key difficulty: collapsing initial condition

- In our situation, the  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{RC}(n)$  may be very collapsed, since it is Gromov-Hausdorff close to a lower dimensional manifold  $N$  — the locally almost  $n$ -Euclidean conditions may drastically fail.
- While we can start the Ricci flow with initial data  $(M, g)$ , the possible collapsing may destroy the uniform estimates in the pseudo-locality theorem, or the distance distortion estimate.

## Key difficulty: collapsing initial condition

- In our situation, the  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{RC}(n)$  may be very collapsed, since it is Gromov-Hausdorff close to a lower dimensional manifold  $N$  — the locally almost  $n$ -Euclidean conditions may drastically fail.
- While we can start the Ricci flow with initial data  $(M, g)$ , the possible collapsing may destroy the uniform estimates in the pseudo-locality theorem, or the distance distortion estimate.
- Once we have started the flow, we can pull it back to the universal covering space  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$ . Here we let  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  denote the covering map, and let  $\tilde{g}(t) := \pi^*g(t)$  denote the covering flow.

# Key difficulty: collapsing initial condition

- In our situation, the  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{RC}(n)$  may be very collapsed, since it is Gromov-Hausdorff close to a lower dimensional manifold  $N$  — the locally almost  $n$ -Euclidean conditions may drastically fail.
- While we can start the Ricci flow with initial data  $(M, g)$ , the possible collapsing may destroy the uniform estimates in the pseudo-locality theorem, or the distance distortion estimate.
- Once we have started the flow, we can pull it back to the universal covering space  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$ . Here we let  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  denote the covering map, and let  $\tilde{g}(t) := \pi^*g(t)$  denote the covering flow.
- Instead of showing uniform estimate for the Ricci flow  $(M, g(t))$ , we will consider the covering flow  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}(t))$ .

# Key difficulty: collapsing initial condition

- In our situation, the  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$  may be very collapsed, since it is Gromov-Hausdorff close to a lower dimensional manifold  $N$  — the locally almost  $n$ -Euclidean conditions may drastically fail.
- While we can start the Ricci flow with initial data  $(M, g)$ , the possible collapsing may destroy the uniform estimates in the pseudo-locality theorem, or the distance distortion estimate.
- Once we have started the flow, we can pull it back to the universal covering space  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$ . Here we let  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  denote the covering map, and let  $\tilde{g}(t) := \pi^*g(t)$  denote the covering flow.
- Instead of showing uniform estimate for the Ricci flow  $(M, g(t))$ , we will consider the covering flow  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}(t))$ .
- In view of the pseudo-locality theorem and the distance distortion estimate, we will need to prove the almost locally Euclidean condition for the initial data  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ .



# Almost locally Euclidean universal covering spaces

The key lemma is the following almost locally Euclidean condition for the universal covering of  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ , provided the topological information encoded in the first Betti numbers.

## Lemma (H.-Wang, 2020)

For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  fixed, there are  $\delta_{ALE} \in (0, 1)$  and  $r_{ALE} \in (0, 1)$ , solely determined by  $\varepsilon$ ,  $n$ ,  $D$  and  $\nu$ , to the following effect: if  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$  and  $(N, h) \in \mathcal{M}_{Rm}(k, D, \nu)$  with  $k \leq n$  satisfy

- 1  $d_{GH}(M, N) < \delta$  for some  $\delta \leq \delta_{ALE}$ , and
- 2  $b_1(M) - b_1(N) = n - k$ ,

then for any  $r \in (0, r_{ALE}]$  and  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$  we have

$$|B_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{p}, r)|_{\tilde{g}} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\omega_n r^n. \quad (4)$$

# Pseudo-local fundamental group

- This lemma is based on the  $\varepsilon$ -regularity theorem due to Naber and Zhang for Ricci curvature. To state their result let us first define the pseudo-local fundamental group.

# Pseudo-local fundamental group

- This lemma is based on the  $\varepsilon$ -regularity theorem due to Naber and Zhang for Ricci curvature. To state their result let us first define the pseudo-local fundamental group.
- Given  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ , for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and any  $p \in M$ , the *pseudo-local fundamental group* at  $p$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p)$ , is defined as  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p) := \text{Image} [\pi_1(B_g(p, \delta), p) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p)]$ .

# Pseudo-local fundamental group

- This lemma is based on the  $\varepsilon$ -regularity theorem due to Naber and Zhang for Ricci curvature. To state their result let us first define the pseudo-local fundamental group.
- Given  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ , for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and any  $p \in M$ , the *pseudo-local fundamental group* at  $p$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p)$ , is defined as  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p) := \text{Image} [\pi_1(B_g(p, \delta), p) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p)]$ .
- If  $\pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{p}) \rightarrow (M, g, p)$  is the pointed Riemannian universal covering, then the restriction  $\pi : \pi^{-1}(B_g(p, 2))_0 \rightarrow B_g(p, 2)$  is a normal covering whose deck transformation group  $G$  is a sub-group of  $\pi_1(M, p)$ .

# Pseudo-local fundamental group

- This lemma is based on the  $\varepsilon$ -regularity theorem due to Naber and Zhang for Ricci curvature. To state their result let us first define the pseudo-local fundamental group.
- Given  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ , for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and any  $p \in M$ , the *pseudo-local fundamental group* at  $p$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p)$ , is defined as  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p) := \text{Image} [\pi_1(B_g(p, \delta), p) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p)]$ .
- If  $\pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{p}) \rightarrow (M, g, p)$  is the pointed Riemannian universal covering, then the restriction  $\pi : \pi^{-1}(B_g(p, 2))_0 \rightarrow B_g(p, 2)$  is a normal covering whose deck transformation group  $G$  is a sub-group of  $\pi_1(M, p)$ .
- For this normal covering we can consider the following group  $\hat{G}_\delta(p) := \langle \gamma \in \pi_1(M, p) : d_{\tilde{g}}(\gamma \cdot \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) < 2\delta \rangle$ .

# Pseudo-local fundamental group

- This lemma is based on the  $\varepsilon$ -regularity theorem due to Naber and Zhang for Ricci curvature. To state their result let us first define the pseudo-local fundamental group.
- Given  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{Rc}(n)$ , for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and any  $p \in M$ , the *pseudo-local fundamental group* at  $p$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p)$ , is defined as  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p) := \text{Image} [\pi_1(B_g(p, \delta), p) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p)]$ .
- If  $\pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{p}) \rightarrow (M, g, p)$  is the pointed Riemannian universal covering, then the restriction  $\pi : \pi^{-1}(B_g(p, 2))_0 \rightarrow B_g(p, 2)$  is a normal covering whose deck transformation group  $G$  is a sub-group of  $\pi_1(M, p)$ .
- For this normal covering we can consider the following group  $\hat{G}_\delta(p) := \langle \gamma \in \pi_1(M, p) : d_{\tilde{g}}(\gamma \cdot \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) < 2\delta \rangle$ .
- It can be easily seen that  $\hat{G}_\delta(p) = \tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p)$ .

## Theorem (Naber-Zhang, 2016)

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta(n, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $(M, g, p)$  is a pointed Riemannian  $n$ -manifold with  $\mathbf{Rc}_g \geq -(n-1)g$ , and  $B_g(p, 2) \Subset B_g(p, 4)$ , then for any normal covering  $\hat{\pi} : (W, \hat{p}) \rightarrow (B_g(p, 2), p)$  with  $\hat{\pi}(\hat{p}) = p$ , covering metric  $\hat{g}$  and deck transformation group  $G$ , if  $d_{GH}(B_g(p, 2), \mathbb{B}^k(2)) < \delta$ , then the group  $\widehat{G}_\delta(p)$  is almost nilpotent with nilpotency rank not exceeding  $m - k$ ; moreover, if  $\text{rank } \widehat{G}_\delta(p) = n - k$ , then for some  $r \in (\delta, 1)$  it holds that  $d_{GH}(B_{\hat{g}}(\hat{p}, r), \mathbb{B}^n(r)) < \varepsilon r$ .

- Here as just discussed, we will take  $\hat{\pi}$  as the restriction of the universal covering map  $\tilde{\pi}$  to the preimage of a geodesic ball.

## Theorem (Naber-Zhang, 2016)

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta(n, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $(M, g, p)$  is a pointed Riemannian  $n$ -manifold with  $\mathbf{Rc}_g \geq -(n-1)g$ , and  $B_g(p, 2) \Subset B_g(p, 4)$ , then for any normal covering  $\hat{\pi} : (W, \hat{p}) \rightarrow (B_g(p, 2), p)$  with  $\hat{\pi}(\hat{p}) = p$ , covering metric  $\hat{g}$  and deck transformation group  $G$ , if  $d_{GH}(B_g(p, 2), \mathbb{B}^k(2)) < \delta$ , then the group  $\widehat{G}_\delta(p)$  is almost nilpotent with nilpotency rank not exceeding  $m - k$ ; moreover, if  $\text{rank } \widehat{G}_\delta(p) = n - k$ , then for some  $r \in (\delta, 1)$  it holds that  $d_{GH}(B_{\hat{g}}(\hat{p}, r), \mathbb{B}^n(r)) < \varepsilon r$ .

- Here as just discussed, we will take  $\hat{\pi}$  as the restriction of the universal covering map  $\tilde{\pi}$  to the preimage of a geodesic ball.
- In this case we have  $\text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p) \leq n - k$  and the equality gives for any  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$  that

$$d_{GH}(B_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{p}, r), \mathbb{B}^n(r)) < \varepsilon r.$$



# Almost nilpotent groups

- If  $\Gamma$  is an almost nilpotent group, then it admits a polycyclic decomposition

$$\Gamma \cong \Gamma_l \triangleright \Gamma_{l-1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \Gamma_1 \triangleright \Gamma_0 = \{e\}.$$

such that  $\Gamma_{j-1} \triangleleft \Gamma_j$  and  $\Gamma_j/\Gamma_{j-1}$  is cyclic or finite for each  $1 \leq j \leq l$ .

# Almost nilpotent groups

- If  $\Gamma$  is an almost nilpotent group, then it admits a polycyclic decomposition

$$\Gamma \cong \Gamma_l \triangleright \Gamma_{l-1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \Gamma_1 \triangleright \Gamma_0 = \{e\}.$$

such that  $\Gamma_{j-1} \triangleleft \Gamma_j$  and  $\Gamma_j/\Gamma_{j-1}$  is cyclic or finite for each  $1 \leq j \leq l$ .

- The nilpotency rank of  $\Gamma$  is defined as

$$\text{rank } \Gamma := |\{1 \leq j \leq l \mid \Gamma_j/\Gamma_{j-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}\}|.$$

# Almost nilpotent groups

- If  $\Gamma$  is an almost nilpotent group, then it admits a polycyclic decomposition

$$\Gamma \cong \Gamma_l \triangleright \Gamma_{l-1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \Gamma_1 \triangleright \Gamma_0 = \{e\}.$$

such that  $\Gamma_{j-1} \triangleleft \Gamma_j$  and  $\Gamma_j/\Gamma_{j-1}$  is cyclic or finite for each  $1 \leq j \leq l$ .

- The nilpotency rank of  $\Gamma$  is defined as

$$\text{rank } \Gamma := |\{1 \leq j \leq l \mid \Gamma_j/\Gamma_{j-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}\}|.$$

- The nilpotency rank of an almost nilpotent group is independent of the polycyclic decomposition.

# Almost nilpotent groups

- If  $\Gamma$  is an almost nilpotent group, then it admits a polycyclic decomposition

$$\Gamma \cong \Gamma_l \triangleright \Gamma_{l-1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \Gamma_1 \triangleright \Gamma_0 = \{e\}.$$

such that  $\Gamma_{j-1} \triangleleft \Gamma_j$  and  $\Gamma_j/\Gamma_{j-1}$  is cyclic or finite for each  $1 \leq j \leq l$ .

- The nilpotency rank of  $\Gamma$  is defined as

$$\text{rank } \Gamma := |\{1 \leq j \leq l \mid \Gamma_j/\Gamma_{j-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}\}|.$$

- The nilpotency rank of an almost nilpotent group is independent of the polycyclic decomposition.
- Intuitively, for  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\delta(p)$ , its nilpotency rank counts how many independent and non-trivial circles are present in  $B_g(p, \delta)$ .

# Outline of the proof—fiber bundle structure

- Here we notice that the assumptions of Naber-Zhang's Theorem are purely local, and the major work in the proof is to localize the topological information encoded in the first Betti number, which is global in nature.

# Outline of the proof—fiber bundle structure

- Here we notice that the assumptions of Naber-Zhang's Theorem are purely local, and the major work in the proof is to localize the topological information encoded in the first Betti number, which is global in nature.
- Besides the pseudo-local fundamental group at every point, we also consider the group  $H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , generated by singular homology classes with a representation by a geodesic loop of length not exceeding  $10\varepsilon$ . Under the assumption  $d_{GH}(M, N) < \varepsilon$ , it is then shown that

$$b_1(M) - b_1(N) = \text{rank } H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

# Outline of the proof—fiber bundle structure

- Here we notice that the assumptions of Naber-Zhang's Theorem are purely local, and the major work in the proof is to localize the topological information encoded in the first Betti number, which is global in nature.
- Besides the pseudo-local fundamental group at every point, we also consider the group  $H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , generated by singular homology classes with a representation by a geodesic loop of length not exceeding  $10\varepsilon$ . Under the assumption  $d_{GH}(M, N) < \varepsilon$ , it is then shown that

$$b_1(M) - b_1(N) = \text{rank } H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

- For  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(m)$  sufficiently small, generalizing the work of Colding and Naber, it is shown that

$$\text{rank } H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(p) \leq n - k$$

for any  $p \in M$ .

# Outline of the proof—fiber bundle structure

- The assumption  $b_1(M) - b_1(N) = n - k$  ensures that

$$n - k = \text{rank } H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(p) = n - k.$$

By Naber-Zhang's Theorem, the universal covering of  $M$  locally resemble the  $n$ -Euclidean space.



# Outline of the proof—fiber bundle structure

- The assumption  $b_1(M) - b_1(N) = n - k$  ensures that

$$n - k = \text{rank } H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(p) = n - k.$$

By Naber-Zhang's Theorem, the universal covering of  $M$  locally resemble the  $n$ -Euclidean space.

- By Colding's volume continuity theorem, we have every point in  $M$  satisfies the assumption of the pseudo-locality theorem, applied to the covering Ricci flow  $\tilde{g}(t)$ . Therefore, the covering Ricci flow exists at least to time  $\varepsilon_P^2$ , and the distance distortion estimate applies for  $\tilde{g}(\varepsilon_P^2)$ .

# Outline of the proof—fiber bundle structure

- The assumption  $b_1(M) - b_1(N) = n - k$  ensures that

$$n - k = \text{rank } H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(p) = n - k.$$

By Naber-Zhang's Theorem, the universal covering of  $M$  to locally resemble the  $n$ -Euclidean space.

- By Colding's volume continuity theorem, we have every point in  $M$  satisfies the assumption of the pseudo-locality theorem, applied to the covering Ricci flow  $\tilde{g}(t)$ . Therefore, the covering Ricci flow exists at least up to time  $\varepsilon_p^2$ , and the distance distortion estimate applies for  $\tilde{g}(\varepsilon_p^2)$ .
- The Riemannian metric  $\varepsilon_p^{-2}g(\varepsilon_p^2)$  is sufficiently collapsed by the distance distortion estimate, and has bounded sectional curvature. Therefore, by Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem we obtain the bundle structure.

# Outline of the proof—fiber bundle structure

- The assumption  $b_1(M) - b_1(N) = n - k$  ensures that

$$n - k = \text{rank } H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(p) = n - k.$$

By Naber-Zhang's Theorem, the universal covering of  $M$  locally resemble the  $n$ -Euclidean space.

- By Colding's volume continuity theorem, we have every point in  $M$  satisfies the assumption of the pseudo-locality theorem, applied to the covering Ricci flow  $\tilde{g}(t)$ . Therefore, the covering Ricci flow exists at least up to time  $\varepsilon_p^2$ , and the distance distortion estimate applies for  $\tilde{g}(\varepsilon_p^2)$ .
- The Riemannian metric  $\varepsilon_p^{-2}g(\varepsilon_p^2)$  is sufficiently collapsed by the distance distortion estimate, and has bounded sectional curvature. Therefore, by Fukaya's fiber bundle theorem we obtain the bundle structure.
- We are yet to check that each fiber  $F_p$  is a flat torus, rather than a generic almost flat manifold.

# Outline of the proof—torus bundle structure

- $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho) \cong \pi_1(F_\rho, \rho)$ .

# Outline of the proof—torus bundle structure

- $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho) \cong \pi_1(F_\rho, \rho)$ .
- But then by the Hurewicz theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}n - k &= \text{rank } H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\leq \text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho) / \left( [\pi_1(M, \rho), \pi_1(M, \rho)] \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho) \right) \\ &\leq \text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho) \leq n - k.\end{aligned}$$

This forces the almost nilpotent group  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho)$  to be a finitely generated abelian group.

# Outline of the proof—torus bundle structure

- $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho) \cong \pi_1(F_\rho, \rho)$ .
- But then by the Hurewicz theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}n - k &= \text{rank } H_1^\varepsilon(M; \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\leq \text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho) / \left( [\pi_1(M, \rho), \pi_1(M, \rho)] \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho) \right) \\ &\leq \text{rank } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho) \leq n - k.\end{aligned}$$

This forces the almost nilpotent group  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}(\rho)$  to be a finitely generated abelian group.

- Each fiber  $F_\rho$  has abelian fundamental group, and thus it has to be a finite quotient of the  $(n - k)$ -torus.

# Outline of the proof—torus bundle structure

- If  $F_p$  is not diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{T}^{n-k}$ , that means there is a finite order action of some element in  $\pi_1(F_p, p)$ . But since  $\pi_1(F_p, p) \cong \tilde{\Gamma}_\varepsilon(p)$  and  $M$  is a smooth manifold, there must be certain finite order action by some  $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\varepsilon(p)$  on an invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $p \in M$  that fixes the central fiber, i.e. the fibration  $F|_U : U \rightarrow F(U) \subset N$  is  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\varepsilon(p)$  equivariant with  $\gamma.F_p = F_p$ . However, this will leave  $F(p) \in N$  a singular orbifold point, contradicting our assumption that  $(N, h)$  is a smooth manifold.

Thank you!