Perverse equivalences and cacti

Iva Halacheva
(Northeastern University)

Workshop on Lie Theory and Integrable Systems in Symplectic and Poisson Geometry (Fields Institute)
June 6, 2020

Overview

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simply-laced Kac–Moody Lie algebra.



Categorical $\mathfrak{g}$–action I

Warm-up case: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$

Suppose $\mathfrak{sl}_2 = \mathbb{C}\{e, f, h\} \curvearrowright V = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$, an integrable $\mathfrak{sl}_2$–rep.

$$e : V_n \to V_{n+2}, \quad f : V_n \to V_{n-2}, \quad (ef - fe)|_{V_n} = n\,\mathrm{Id}_{V_n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Categorified $\mathfrak{sl}_2$–action (Chuang–Rouquier, Khovanov–Lauda):

- an abelian category $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_n \mathcal{C}_n$ (with $K_0(\mathcal{C}_n) = V_n$)
- exact endofunctors $E,\ F$ of $\mathcal{C}$, $E : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{C}_{n+2}, F : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{C}_{n-2}$
- natural transformations

$$\epsilon : EF \to I,\ \eta : I \to FE \qquad \text{(unit and counit of adjunction)}$$
$$X : E \to E, T : E^2 \to E^2$$

such that...

Categorical $\mathfrak{g}$–action II

- For $n \geq 0$ (analogously for $n < 0$), we have an isomorphism:

$$(\sigma, \epsilon, \epsilon \circ X I_F, \ldots, \epsilon \circ X^{n-1} I_F) : EF|_{\mathcal{C}_n} \xrightarrow{\cong} FE|_{\mathcal{C}_n} \oplus I_{\mathcal{C}_n}^{\oplus n},$$

  where σ is composed of η, T, and ϵ.
- The natural transformations X, T give an action of the nil affine Hecke algebra H_n on E^n.

Example The adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$:

$$(\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathbb{C} - mod) \underset{Res}{\overset{Ind}{\rightleftarrows}} (\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathbb{C}[x]/x^2 - mod) \underset{Ind}{\overset{Res}{\rightleftarrows}} (\mathcal{C}_{-2} = \mathbb{C} - mod)$$

Categorical $\mathfrak{g}$–action III

More generally:

The 2**–category** $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$ categorifies (Lusztig's idempotent form) $\dot{U}\mathfrak{g}$:

- objects are elements λ of the $\mathfrak{g}$–weight lattice.
- 1-morphisms are generated by $E_i : \lambda \to \lambda + \alpha_i$, $F_i : \lambda \to \lambda - \alpha_i$.
- 2-morphisms are generated by



$+KLR$ and further relations.

A **categorical** $\mathfrak{g}$**–representation** is a 2–functor $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{K}$ to an appropriate 2-category.

Note: A graded version, $\mathcal{U}_q\mathfrak{g}$, categorifies $\dot{U}_q\mathfrak{g}$.

The Rickard complex I

$\underline{\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2}$: Let $s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = exp(-f)exp(e)exp(-f) \in SL_2$.
Then s restricts to an isomorphism on weight spaces, of the form

$$s|_{V_{-n}} = \sum_k (-1)^k e^{(n+k)} f^{(k)}$$

<u>Rickard complex</u>: Consider the complex of functors $\Theta = \bigoplus_n \Theta_n$,

$$\Theta_n : Comp(\mathcal{C}_{-n}) \to Comp(\mathcal{C}_n)$$

$$\Theta_n = (\ldots \to E^{(n+2)}F^{(2)} \to E^{(n+1)}F^{(1)} \to \underline{E^{(n)}})$$

- $E^{(n)} \subseteq E^n, F^{(n)} \subseteq F^n$ defined using the H_n–action
- $E^{(n+k)}F^{(k)} \to E^{(n+k-1)}F^{(k-1)}$ comes from adjunction

The Rickard complex II

Theorem (Chuang-Rouquier '08)

Θ induces a self-equivalence on $D^b(\mathcal{C})$ and, by restriction, an equivalence $D^b(\mathcal{C}_{-n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b(\mathcal{C}_n)$. Furthermore, $[\Theta] = s$.

Example: Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x]/x^2$. For the adjoint $\mathfrak{sl}_2$–representation and $N \in \mathcal{C}_0 = R - mod$,

$$\Theta_0 : D^b(R - mod) \to D^b(R - mod)$$
$$N \mapsto (R \otimes N \xrightarrow{\text{act}} \underline{N})$$

Perverse equivalences

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$ are abelian categories, $F : D^b(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b(\mathcal{A}')$, we have filtrations $\mathcal{A}_\bullet, \mathcal{A}'_\bullet$ by Serre subcategories

$$0 = \mathcal{A}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{A}_r = \mathcal{A}$$
$$0 = \mathcal{A}'_{-1} \subset \mathcal{A}'_0 \subset \mathcal{A}'_1 \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{A}'_r = \mathcal{A}'$$

and a perversity function $p : \{0, \ldots, r\} \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition

F is **perverse** with respect to $(\mathcal{A}_\bullet, \mathcal{A}'_\bullet, p)$ if:

1. $F[-p(i)]$ restricts to an equivalence $D^b_{\mathcal{A}_i}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b_{\mathcal{A}'_i}(\mathcal{A}')$.
2. The induced $D^b_{\mathcal{A}_i}(\mathcal{A})/D^b_{\mathcal{A}_{i-1}}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b_{\mathcal{A}'_i}(\mathcal{A}')/D^b_{\mathcal{A}'_{i-1}}(\mathcal{A}')$ equivalence induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{A}_i/\mathcal{A}_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}'_i/\mathcal{A}'_{i-1}.$$

Perversity of the Rickard complexes

Consider $\mathcal{C}$ (all of whose objects have finite composition series) endowed with an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorical action. Let S be the set of simple objects, and consider the filtrations:

$$S_i = \{V \in S \ : \ F^{i+1}S = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad S'_i = \{V \in S \ : \ E^{i+1}V = 0\}.$$

Proposition (Chuang–Rouquier)

The equivalence $\Theta : D^b(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b(\mathcal{C})$ is perverse with respect to $(S_\bullet, S'_\bullet, p = \mathrm{Id})$.

For a reduced word $w = s_{i_1} \ldots s_{i_k}$ and weight μ, consider the composition $\Theta^w_\mu = \Theta^{s_{i_1}}_{s_{i_2} \ldots s_{i_r}(\mu)} \circ \ldots \circ \Theta^{s_{i_k}}_\mu$.

Theorem 1 (H–Licata–Losev–Yacobi)

Let $w_0 \in W$ be the longest element, and μ a weight of $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\Theta^{w_0}_\mu : D^b(\mathcal{C}_\mu) \to D^b(\mathcal{C}_{w_0(\mu)})$ is a perverse equivalence.

Braid and cactus groups

The **braid group** $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by $\sigma_i, i \in I$, with relations:

$$\sigma_i\sigma_j\sigma_i = \sigma_j\sigma_i\sigma_j \quad \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are connected,}$$
$$\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i \quad \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are not connected.}$$

The **cactus group** $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by c_J, $J \subseteq I$, with relations:

$$c_J^2 = 1 \quad \forall\, J \subset I$$
$$c_J c_K = c_K c_J \quad \forall\, J \cup K \subset I \text{ not connected}$$
$$c_J c_K = c_{*_J(K)} c_J \quad \forall\, K \subset J \subset I$$

Where $\forall\, j \in J, \alpha_j$ simple root, $\alpha_{*_J(j)} = -w_0^J \alpha_j$.

Proposition (Cautis–Kamnitzer '10)

The Rickard complexes satisfy the braid relations.

A cactus group action



Theorem 2 (H–Licata–Losev–Yacobi)

Let $\theta_J : Irr(\mathcal{C}) \to Irr(\mathcal{C})$ denote the bijection induced from $\Theta_{w_0^J}$. Then the map $c_J \mapsto \theta_J$ defines a cactus group action $C_\mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright Irr(\mathcal{C})$ which coincides with the combinatorial action of the cactus group on crystals (via Schützenberger involutions).

The End

Thank you!