# A microscopic derivation of Ginzburg–Landau theory

Rupert L. Frank Department of Mathematics Princeton University

Joint work with C. Hainzl, R. Seiringer, J. P. Solovej

Microscopic derivation of Ginzburg-Landau theory J. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (2012), no. 3, 667-713

Fields Institute Toronto, September 19, 2012

### The Ginzburg–Landau Model

Introduced in 1950 as a **phenomenological model of a superconductor**. For given vector and scalar potentials A and W on a compact set C,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\rm GL}(\psi) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[ B_1 |(-i\nabla + 2A(x))\psi(x)|^2 + B_2 W(x)|\psi(x)|^2 + B_3 \left( |\psi(x)|^4 - 2D|\psi(x)|^2 \right) \right] dx$$

Here,  $B_1, B_3 > 0$ ,  $B_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and D > 0 are coefficients.

**Ginzburg–Landau energy**  $E^{\text{GL}} = \inf_{\psi} \mathcal{E}^{\text{GL}}(\psi)$ 

A minimizing  $\psi$  describes the macroscopic variations in the **superfluid density**. The normal state corresponds to  $\psi \equiv 0$ , while  $|\psi| > 0$  describes superconducting particles.

For us,  $C = [0, 1]^3$  and  $\psi$  satisfies periodic boundary conditions.

One is often interested in minimizing over both  $\psi$  and A, adding an additional field energy term. For us, A is fixed (but arbitrary).

## THE BCS FUNCTIONAL

Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (1957): a **microscopic theory** of superconductivity State of the system described by a  $2 \times 2$  operator-valued matrix

$$\Gamma = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \gamma & \alpha \\ \bar{\alpha} & 1 - \bar{\gamma} \end{array}\right) \qquad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq \Gamma \leq 1$$

Here,  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$  is the 1-particle density matrix, and  $\alpha$  the **Cooper-pair wavefunction**.

For chemical potential  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and temperature T > 0, the **BCS energy functional** is

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\left(-i\nabla + \tilde{A}(x)\right)^2 - \mu + \tilde{W}(x)\right)\gamma\right] - TS(\Gamma) + \iint \tilde{V}(x-y)|\alpha(x,y)|^2 \, dx \, dy \, .$$

The entropy equals  $S(\Gamma) = -\text{Tr} [\Gamma \ln \Gamma].$ 

The BCS functional can be heuristically derived from the full many-body Hamiltonian with pair-interaction V via two steps of simplification. First, one considers only **quasi-free** states, and second one neglects the direct and exchange term in the interaction energy.

### MICROSCOPIC VS. MACROSCOPIC SCALE

We are interested in interactions  $\tilde{V}(x) = V(h^{-1}x)$  of size one varying on the **microscopic** scale and external fields  $\tilde{A}(x) = hA(hx)$  and  $\tilde{W}(x) = h^2W(hx)$  which are weak and vary on the **macroscopic scale**. Here h is a small parameter. Thus,

$$\mathcal{F}^{BCS}(\Gamma) := \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\left(-ih\nabla + hA(x)\right)^2 - \mu + h^2W(x)\right)\gamma\right] - TS(\Gamma) + \iint_{\mathcal{C}\times\mathbb{R}^3} V(h^{-1}(x-y))|\alpha(x,y)|^2 \, dx \, dy$$

To avoid boundary conditions, we assume that the system is periodic (with period 1). C denotes the unit cube  $[0,1]^3$ , and Tr stands for the **trace per unit volume**.

We make the following assumptions on the functions A, W and V appearing in  $\mathcal{F}^{BCS}$ .

- W and A are periodic, and  $\widehat{W}(p)$  and  $|\widehat{A}(p)|(1+|p|)$  are summable.
- V is real-valued and reflection-symmetric, i.e., V(x) = V(-x), with  $V \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

Non-local potentials V (as in the original BCS model) could also be considered.

# THE TRANSLATION-INVARIANT CASE

For W = 0 = A, we can restrict to **translation-invariant** states  $\Gamma$ . In this case, there exists a **critical temperature**  $T_c \ge 0$  such that

- For  $T \ge T_c$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  in any minimizer of  $\mathcal{F}^{BCS}$ .
- For  $T < T_c$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$  in any minimizer of  $\mathcal{F}^{BCS}$ .

In fact,  $T_c$  turns out to be the unique T such that

$$\frac{-\nabla^2 - \mu}{\tanh\left(\frac{-\nabla^2 - \mu}{2T}\right)} + V(x) =: K_T(-i\nabla) + V(x)$$

has 0 as its lowest eigenvalue (Hainzl, Hamza, Seiringer, Solovej 2008).

In the following, we shall assume that V is such that  $T_c > 0$ , and that the eigenvalue 0 of  $K_{T_c}(-i\nabla) + V$  is simple. This is satisfied, e.g., if  $\hat{V} \leq 0$  (and not identically zero). Let  $\alpha_0$  denote the eigenfunction of  $K_{T_c}(-i\nabla) + V$  corresponding to eigenvalue 0.

#### MAIN RESULTS: ENERGY ASYMPTOTICS

Let  $\Gamma_0$  denote the minimizer of  $\mathcal{F}^{BCS}$  for V = 0, i.e.,

$$\Gamma_0 := \left(\begin{array}{cc} \gamma_0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 - \bar{\gamma}_0 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{with } \gamma_0 = \left(1 + \exp\left((-ih\nabla + hA(x))^2 + h^2W(x) - \mu\right)/T\right)\right)^{-1}$$

Define the **energy difference** 

$$F^{\mathrm{BCS}}(T,\mu) = \inf_{0 \le \Gamma \le 1} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{BCS}}(\Gamma) - \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{BCS}}(\Gamma_0).$$

Note that  $\mathcal{F}^{BCS}(\Gamma_0) = T \operatorname{Tr} \ln (1 - \gamma_0) = O(h^{-3})$  for small h.

**THEOREM 1.** Fix D > 0. For appropriate coefficients  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  and  $B_3$ 

$$F^{\rm BCS}(T_c(1-h^2D),\mu) = h(E^{\rm GL}+o(1))$$

with  $E^{\mathrm{GL}} = \inf_{\psi} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\psi)$  and const.  $h^2 \ge o(1) \ge -\mathrm{const.} h^{1/5}$  for small h.

For smooth enough A and W, one could also expand  $\mathcal{F}^{BCS}(\Gamma_0)$  to order h. We bound directly the energy difference, however!

### MACROSCOPIC VARIATIONS IN THE SUPERFLUID DENSITY

**THEOREM 2.** If  $\Gamma$  is an approximate minimizer of  $\mathcal{F}^{BCS}$  at  $T = T_c(1 - h^2 D)$ , in the sense that

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{BCS}}(\Gamma) \le \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{BCS}}(\Gamma_0) + h\left(D E^{\mathrm{GL}} + \epsilon\right)$$

for some small  $\epsilon > 0$ , then the corresponding  $\alpha$  can be **decomposed** as

$$\alpha = \frac{h}{2} \big( \psi(x) \widehat{\alpha}_0(-ih\nabla) + \widehat{\alpha}_0(-ih\nabla) \psi(x) \big) + \sigma$$

with  $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\psi) \leq E^{\mathrm{GL}} + \epsilon + \mathrm{const.} h^{1/5}$  and

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}\times\mathbb{R}^3} |\sigma(x,y)|^2 \, dx \, dy \le \text{const.} \, h^{1-2/5}$$

To appreciate the bound on  $\sigma$ , note that the square of the  $L^2(\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  norm of the main term in  $\alpha$  is of the order  $h^{-1} = h^{-3}h^2$ . To leading order in h, we thus have

$$\alpha(x,y) \approx \frac{1}{2h^2} \left( \psi(x) + \psi(y) \right) \alpha_0 \left( \frac{x-y}{h} \right) \approx h^{-2} \psi\left( \frac{x+y}{2} \right) \alpha_0 \left( \frac{x-y}{h} \right)$$

#### THE COEFFICIENTS IN THE GL FUNCTIONAL

Let t be the Fourier transform of  $2K_{T_c}\alpha_0 = -2V\alpha_0$ , where  $\|\alpha_0\|_2 = 1$ . Let

$$g_1(z) = \frac{e^{2z} - 2ze^z - 1}{z^2(1 + e^z)^2}, \qquad g_2(z) = g_1'(z) + 2g_1(z)/z$$

and

$$C = \left(\beta_c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t(q)^4 \frac{g_1(\beta_c(q^2 - \mu))}{q^2 - \mu} \, dq\right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{t(q)^2}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{\beta_c}{2}(q^2 - \mu)\right)} \, dq.$$

Then the matrix  $B_1$  and the numbers  $B_2$  and  $B_3$  are given by

$$(B_1)_{ij} = C \frac{\beta_c^2}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t(q)^2 \left( \delta_{ij} g_1(\beta_c(q^2 - \mu)) + 2\beta_c q_i q_j g_2(\beta_c(q^2 - \mu)) \right) \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^3},$$
  

$$B_2 = C \frac{\beta_c^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t(q)^2 g_1(\beta_c(q^2 - \mu)) \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^3},$$
  

$$B_3 = C^2 \frac{\beta_c^2}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t(q)^4 \frac{g_1(\beta_c(q^2 - \mu))}{q^2 - \mu} \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

### Key Semiclassical Estimates

For  $\psi \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and t "sufficiently nice", let  $\Delta$  denote the operator

$$\Delta = -\frac{h}{2} \left( \psi(x) t(-ih\nabla) + t(-ih\nabla)\psi(x) \right)$$

The effective Hamiltonian on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta} &= \begin{pmatrix} \left(-ih\nabla + hA(x)\right)^2 - \mu + h^2W(x) & \Delta \\ \bar{\Delta} & -\left(ih\nabla + hA(x)\right)^2 + \mu - h^2W(x) \end{pmatrix} \\ \textbf{THEOREM 3. Let } f(z) &= -\ln\left(1 + e^{-z}\right) \text{ and } \varphi(p) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{t(p)}{p^2 - \mu} \tanh\left(\frac{\beta}{2}(p^2 - \mu)\right). \text{ Then} \\ \frac{h^d}{\beta} \operatorname{Tr}\left[f(\beta H_{\Delta}) - f(\beta H_0)\right] &= h^2 E_1 + h^4 E_2 + O(h^6) \left(\|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathcal{C})}^6 + \|\psi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{C})}^2\right), \\ \text{for explicit } E_1 \text{ and } E_2. \text{ Moreover, the off-diagonal entry } \alpha_{\Delta} \text{ of } [1 + e^{\beta H_{\Delta}}]^{-1} \text{ satisfies} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\|\alpha_{\Delta} - \frac{h}{2}\left(\psi(x)\varphi(-ih\nabla) + \varphi(-ih\nabla)\psi(x)\right)\right\|_{H^1} \le \text{const.} h^{3-d/2}\left(\|\psi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{C})} + \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathcal{C})}^3\right)$$

#### UPPER BOUND TO THE ENERGY

One simple takes as trial state

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_{\Delta} := \left[1 + e^{\beta H_{\Delta}}\right]^{-1}$$

with t the Fourier transform of  $2K_{T_c}\alpha_0=-2V\alpha_0$  , and computes

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{BCS}}(\Gamma_{\Delta}) - \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{BCS}}(\Gamma_{0}) = -\frac{1}{2\beta} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \ln(1 + e^{-\beta H_{\Delta}}) - \ln(1 + e^{-\beta H_{0}}) \right]$$
$$-h^{2-2d} \int_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} V(\frac{x-y}{h}) \left| \frac{1}{2} (\psi(x) + \psi(y)) \alpha_{0}(\frac{x-y}{h}) \right|^{2} \frac{dx \, dy}{(2\pi)^{d}}$$
$$+ \int_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} V(\frac{x-y}{h}) \left| \frac{h^{1-d}}{2(2\pi)^{d/2}} (\psi(x) + \psi(y)) \alpha_{0}(\frac{x-y}{h}) - \alpha_{\Delta}(x,y) \right|^{2} \, dx \, dy$$

For  $\beta^{-1} = T = T_c(1-h^2D)$ , the terms in the first two lines yield  $h^{4-d}\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\psi) + O(h^{6-d})$ for  $\psi \in H^2(\mathcal{C})$ . The last line can be controlled by the  $H^1(\mathcal{C})$  norm of the operator, yielding also an error term  $O(h^{6-d})$ .

#### IDEAS IN THE LOWER BOUND

The key is to show that if  $\Gamma$  is an **approximate minimizer**, then  $\Gamma \approx [1 + e^{\beta H_{\Delta}}]^{-1}$  for suitable  $\psi$  (approximately) minimizing  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{GL}}$ .

**Step 1.** For any  $\Gamma$  with  $\mathcal{F}^{BCS}(\Gamma) \leq \mathcal{F}^{BCS}(\Gamma_0)$ , the corresponding  $\alpha$  satisfies

$$\alpha = \frac{h}{2} \left( \psi(x) \widehat{\alpha}_0(-ih\nabla) + \widehat{\alpha}_0(-ih\nabla) \psi(x) \right) + \sigma$$

for some  $\psi$  with  $H^1(\mathcal{C})$  norm bounded independently of h, and with  $\|\sigma\|_{H^1} \leq O(h^{2-d/2})$ .

**Step 2.** With  $\psi$  as above, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{\text{BCS}}(\Gamma) - \mathcal{F}^{\text{BCS}}(\Gamma_0) &= -\frac{T}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \ln(1 + e^{-\beta H_{\Delta}}) - \ln(1 + e^{-\beta H_0}) \right] \\ &- h^{2-2d} \int_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^d} V(h^{-1}(x - y)) \frac{1}{4} \left| \psi(x) + \psi(y) \right|^2 \left| \alpha_0(h^{-1}(x - y)) \right|^2 \frac{dx \, dy}{(2\pi)^d} \\ &+ T \,\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Gamma_{\Delta}) + \int_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^d} V(h^{-1}(x - y)) |\sigma(x, y)|^2 \, dx \, dy \,, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the **relative entropy**.

#### **Relative Entropy**

For general  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{\Delta} = [1 + e^{\beta H_{\Delta}}]^{-1}$ , it is true that

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma,\Gamma_{\Delta}) = \operatorname{Tr}\Gamma\left(\ln\Gamma - \ln\Gamma_{\Delta}\right) \ge \operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{\beta H_{\Delta}}{\tanh\frac{1}{2}\beta H_{\Delta}}\left(\Gamma - \Gamma_{\Delta}\right)^{2}\right]$$

Since  $x \mapsto \sqrt{x} / \tanh \sqrt{x}$  is an operator monotone function, we can further bound

$$\frac{H_{\Delta}}{\tanh\frac{1}{2}\beta H_{\Delta}} \ge (1 - O(h)) \frac{H_0}{\tanh\frac{1}{2}\beta H_0} \ge (1 - O(h)) K_T(-ih\nabla) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$$

Recall that, by definition,  $K_{T_c}(-i\nabla) + V(x) \ge 0$ , and hence  $K_T(-i\nabla) + V(x) \ge -O(h^2)$ . Moreover,  $\alpha - \alpha_{\Delta} \approx \sigma$ . This allows to get a lower bound on

$$T \mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Gamma_{\Delta}) + \int_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^d} V(h^{-1}(x-y)) |\sigma(x,y)|^2 \, dx \, dy$$

that is  $o(h^{4-d})$ .

#### CONCLUSION

- **Rigorous derivation** of Ginzburg-Landau theory, starting from the BCS model.
- For weak external fields and close to the critical temperature, GL arises as an effective theory on the macroscopic scale.
- The relevant scaling limit is **semiclassical** in nature.

#### Some open problems:

- Treat physical boundary conditions
- Treat self-consistent magnetic fields
- Derive BCS theory from many-body quantum mechanics

#### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!