

Rigid subsets of weights for semisimple Lie algebras

Tim Ridenour

Advisor: Dr. Vyjayanthi Chari

Preliminary Notation, Definitions, and Results

- For a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of rank n with fixed Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , we denote the set of roots (resp. the root lattice, the weight lattice) of \mathfrak{g} by R (resp. Q , P).
- Let I be an indexing set for the simple roots of \mathfrak{g} .
- The set of \mathfrak{g} -invariant elements of a \mathfrak{g} -module V is denoted by $V^{\mathfrak{g}}$.
- Let $wt(V)$ denote the set of weights for the \mathfrak{g} -module V .
- If $V = V(\lambda)$ is the unique finite-dimensional irreducible highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight λ , set $wt(\lambda) = wt(V)$.
- For any finite subset $S \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, set $\rho_S := \sum_{\mu \in S} \mu$.
- Let $0 \neq \lambda = \sum_{i \in K} m_i \omega_i \in P^+$ with $K \subset I$ and $m_i > 0$ for all $i \in K$. Let I_1, \dots, I_r be the (indexing sets for) connected components of the Dynkin diagram such that $K \cap I_j \neq \emptyset \forall j$. Define $I_\lambda := \prod_{j=1}^r I_j$.
- An element $\lambda \in P^+$ is **quasi-regular** if $K = I_\lambda$. If $K = I_\lambda = I$, then λ is **regular**.
- $S_J := \{\mu = \lambda - \sum_{j \in J} r_j \alpha_j \mid r_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \cap wt(\lambda)$ for $J \subset I$.
- $S(\xi) := \{\mu \in wt(\lambda) \mid (\xi, \mu) \geq (\xi, \nu) \forall \nu \in wt(\lambda)\}$ for $0 \neq \xi \in P$.
- A subset $\Psi \subset wt(V)$ is said to be **rigid** if given $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^+\Psi$ and $\eta = \sum_{\mu \in wt(\lambda)} m_\mu \mu$ with $m_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $\sum_{\mu \in wt(\lambda)} m_\mu$ is the least possible if and only if $m_\mu = 0 \forall \mu \notin \Psi$.
- Similarly, $\Psi \subset wt(V)$ is **2-rigid** if $\gamma + wt(\lambda) \cap (\Psi + \Psi) = \emptyset = (\Psi + \Psi) \cap wt(\lambda) \forall \gamma \in wt(\lambda) \setminus \Psi$.
- If a subset $\Psi \subset wt(\lambda)$ contains a non-empty \mathcal{W} -invariant subset T , then Ψ is not rigid. In particular, $wt(\lambda)$ is not rigid.
- If $\Psi \subset wt(\lambda)$ is rigid, then $w(\Psi)$ is rigid for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.
- If $\Psi \subset wt(\lambda)$ is a non-empty rigid set, then $w(\lambda) \in \Psi$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$. In particular, if $|\Psi| = 1$, then $w(\Psi) = \{\lambda\}$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$.
- For all $0 \neq \lambda \in P^+$ and $0 \neq \xi \in P$, the set $S(\xi) \subset wt(\lambda)$ is (2-)rigid.
- If $J \subset I$, $\rho_{S_J} \in P^+$. Furthermore, $S_J = S(\rho_{S_J}) \forall J \subset I$, and, thus, S_J is rigid if and only if $J \cap I_\lambda \neq \emptyset$.

Rigid subsets of the adjoint representation

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of finite type. Let $V = V(\theta) = \mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ be the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} . In this case, $wt(V) = R \cup \{0\}$. The (2-)rigid subsets of $wt(V)$ are as follows:

- Let \mathfrak{g} be of type A_n . We can write the simple roots as $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $i \in [n]$, so $R = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid i \neq j\}$. Then, $\Psi \subset R$ is rigid if and only if $\Psi = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid i \in \mathbf{i}, j \in \mathbf{j}\}$ for some subsets $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \subset [n+1]$ such that $\mathbf{i} \cap \mathbf{j} = \emptyset$.
- Let \mathfrak{g} be of type C_n . We can write the simple roots as $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $i \in [n-1]$ and $\alpha_n = 2\epsilon_n$. This gives $R^+ = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$. Then, $\Psi \subset R$ is rigid if and only if $\Psi = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid i \in \mathbf{i}, j \in \mathbf{j}\} \cup \{\epsilon_{i_1} + \epsilon_{i_2} \mid i_1, i_2 \in \mathbf{i}\} \cup \{-(\epsilon_{j_1} + \epsilon_{j_2}) \mid j_1, j_2 \in \mathbf{j}\}$ for some subsets $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \subset [n]$ such that $\mathbf{i} \cap \mathbf{j} = \emptyset$.
- Let \mathfrak{g} be of type B_n . We can write the simple roots as $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $i \in [n-1]$ and $\alpha_n = \epsilon_n$. This gives $R^+ = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\epsilon_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. Then, $\Psi \subset R$ is rigid if and only if one of the following holds:
 1. $\Psi = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid i \in \mathbf{i}, j \in \mathbf{j}\} \cup \{\epsilon_{i_1} + \epsilon_{i_2} \mid i_1, i_2 \in \mathbf{i}, i_1 \neq i_2\} \cup \{-(\epsilon_{j_1} + \epsilon_{j_2}) \mid j_1, j_2 \in \mathbf{j}, j_1 \neq j_2\}$ for some subsets $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \subset [n]$ such that $\mathbf{i} \cap \mathbf{j} = \emptyset$
 2. $\pm\Psi = \{\epsilon_i\} \cup \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j \mid j \in [n], i \neq j\}$.
- Let \mathfrak{g} be of type D_n . We can write the simple roots as $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $i \in [n-1]$ and $\alpha_n = \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$. This gives $R^+ = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Then, $\Psi \subset R$ is rigid if and only if one of the following holds:
 1. $\Psi = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid i \in \mathbf{i}, j \in \mathbf{j}\} \cup \{\epsilon_{i_1} + \epsilon_{i_2} \mid i_1, i_2 \in \mathbf{i}, i_1 \neq i_2\} \cup \{-(\epsilon_{j_1} + \epsilon_{j_2}) \mid j_1, j_2 \in \mathbf{j}, j_1 \neq j_2\}$ for some subsets $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \subset [n]$ such that $\mathbf{i} \cap \mathbf{j} = \emptyset$
 2. $\pm\Psi = \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j \mid j \in [n], i \neq j\}$.

Main Result

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\lambda \in P^+$ is quasi-regular. Then, the following are equivalent for a set $\Psi \subset wt(\lambda)$:

1. $\Psi = w(S_J)$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $J \subset I$ with $J \cap I_\lambda \neq \emptyset$;
2. $\Psi = S(\rho_\Psi)$ with $\rho_\Psi \neq 0$;
3. $\Psi = S(\nu)$ for some $0 \neq \nu \in P$ with $(\nu, \mu) \neq 0$ for some $\mu \in wt(\lambda)$;
4. Ψ is rigid.
5. Ψ is a 2-rigid set with $\Psi \neq wt(\lambda)$.

- The implications $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3 \Rightarrow 4 \Rightarrow 5$ in the theorem are all true for any nonzero $\lambda \in P^+$.

• **Conjecture** If $\lambda \in P^+$ and $\Psi \subsetneq wt(\lambda)$ is (2-)rigid, then $\Psi = w(S_J)$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $J \subsetneq I_\lambda$.

• In *Ideals in parabolic subalgebras of simple Lie algebras*, Chari, Dolbin and Ridenour show that the conjecture is true for the case when $\lambda = \theta$ where θ is the highest weight in the adjoint representation of a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

- The conjecture has also been verified for all simple Lie algebras of rank 2.

An Application to Associative Algebras

We first introduce some additional notation:

- $\mathbb{V} := \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+} V(\mu)$, $\mathbb{V}^* := \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+} V(\mu)^*$.
- $\mathbb{A} := A \otimes \mathbb{V}^* \otimes \mathbb{V}$, where A is an associative \mathbb{C} -algebra with unity 1_A .
- If A is \mathbb{Z}^+ -graded, then $\mathbb{A}[k] := A[k] \otimes \mathbb{V}^* \otimes \mathbb{V}$ gives a \mathbb{Z}^+ -grading on \mathbb{A} .
- For $\mu \in P^+$, 1_μ is the canonical \mathfrak{g} -invariant element in $V(\mu)^* \otimes V(\mu)$. Set $1_\mu := 1_A \otimes 1_\mu$.
- Given $\lambda \in P^+$ and $\Psi \subset wt(\lambda)$, say $\mu \preceq_\Psi \nu$ iff $\nu - \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+\Psi$ and $d_\Psi(\mu, \nu) = \min\{\sum_{\beta \in \Psi} m_\beta \beta \mid \nu - \mu = \sum_{\beta \in \Psi} m_\beta \beta, m_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \forall \beta\}$.
- For $\mu, \nu \in P^+$, define the following sets: $\preceq_\Psi \mu = \{\eta \in P^+ \mid \eta \preceq_\Psi \mu\}$, $\mu \preceq_\Psi = \{\xi \in P^+ \mid \mu \preceq_\Psi \xi\}$, and $[\mu, \nu]_\Psi = (\preceq_\Psi \mu) \cap (\preceq_\Psi \nu)$.
- If A is \mathbb{Z}^+ -graded, $\mathbb{A}_\Psi(\mu, \nu) := 1_\mu \mathbb{A}[d_\Psi(\mu, \nu)] 1_\nu$ where $\mu \preceq_\Psi \nu \in P^+$. For $F \subset P^+$, $\mathbb{A}_\Psi(F) := \bigoplus_{\mu, \nu \in F: \mu \preceq_\Psi \nu} \mathbb{A}_\Psi(\mu, \nu)$.
- If A is also a \mathfrak{g} -module with $\mathfrak{g}(A[k]) \subset A[k] \forall k$, $\mathbb{A}_\Psi^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu, \nu) := (\mathbb{A}_\Psi(\mu, \nu))^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathbb{A}_\Psi^{\mathfrak{g}}(F) := (\mathbb{A}_\Psi(F))^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Theorem 2. Suppose $\Psi \subset wt(V(\lambda))$ is rigid. Let $A = S(V(\lambda))$, the symmetric algebra on $V(\lambda)$. Let $\mathbb{S} := A \otimes \mathbb{V}^* \otimes \mathbb{V}$. Given $\mu, \nu \in P^+$, the algebras $\mathbb{S}_\Psi^{\mathfrak{g}}(\preceq_\Psi \nu)$, $\mathbb{S}_\Psi^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu \preceq_\Psi)$, and $\mathbb{S}_\Psi^{\mathfrak{g}}([\mu, \nu]_\Psi)$ are Koszul with global dimension at most $N_\Psi := \sum_{\xi \in \Psi} \dim(V(\lambda)_\xi)$. Moreover, the global dimension is exactly N_Ψ for some choice of $\mu \preceq_\Psi \nu \in P^+$.

Current Research

- Expanding the results of Theorem 1 to the case when λ is not regular. Working jointly with Apoorva Khare to consider the case when \mathfrak{g} is reductive.

UC Riverside Department of Mathematics

A Chari's Angels Production

Contact Information: tbr4@math.ucr.edu