

**THE GUTZWILLER TRACE FORMULA**  
**TORONTO 1/14–1/17, 2008**

V. GUILLEMIN

ABSTRACT. We'll sketch below a proof of the Gutzwiller trace formula based on the “symplectic category” ideas of [We] and [Gu-St]. We'll review these ideas in §1 and in §2 give a brief account, based on these ideas, of the theory of oscillatory functions. In §3 we'll discuss a key ingredient in the proof of the Gutzwiller formula, the lemma of stationary phase, and in §4 another key ingredient: a formula for the phase function of a Hamiltonian flow. Finally in §5 we'll show how to prove the Gutzwiller theorem using these results.

1. THE CATEGORY *Symp*

The objects in this category are symplectic manifolds, pairs  $(M, \omega)$  where  $M$  is an even-dimensional manifold and  $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$  a symplectic form. In these notes we will usually write “ $M$ ” for “ $(M, \omega)$ ” dropping the  $\omega$ , however, we will denote by  $M^-$  the pair  $(M, -\omega)$ .

For most of the categories that one encounters in category theory “morphisms” are synonymous with “maps”. For the applications of symplectic geometry to semi-classical analysis one needs a much larger class of morphisms. Given symplectic manifolds,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , one has to allow the morphisms from  $M_1$  to  $M_2$  to be Lagrangian submanifolds

$$\Gamma \subseteq M_1^- \times M_2$$

a.k.a., *canonical relations*. This makes composition of morphisms a bit of a problem. Given a pair of canonical relations,  $\Gamma_i \subseteq M_i \times M_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , their relation theoretic composition is defined by

$$(p_1, p_3) \in \Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1 \Leftrightarrow (p_i, p_{i+1}) \in \Gamma_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \text{ for some } p_2 \in \Gamma_2$$

just as for compositions of mappings. However for  $\Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1$  to be a submanifold of  $M_1^- \times M_3$  one has to impose transversality (or cleanness) assumptions on  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , and hence compositions aren't always well-defined. In other words the symplectic category is not really a category at all but just a “category”. (For ways of removing the stigma of these quotation marks see [Ca-Dh-We] or [Wehr-Wo].) We will use double arrow notation

$$\Gamma : M_1 \rightrightarrows M_2$$

for these morphisms to distinguish them from maps. (Occasionally, however, a morphism will be a map, i.e., a symplectomorphism.)

Some features of the category, *Symp*:

- (1) This is a pointed category, the unique point object in this category, “ $pt$ ”, being the (unique up to isomorphism) connected zero-dimensional symplectic manifold consisting of a single point.
- (2) The morphisms,  $\Lambda : pt \Rightarrow M$  are just the Lagrangian submanifolds of  $M$ .
- (3) To every morphism,  $\Gamma : M_1 \Rightarrow M_2$ , corresponds a transpose morphism,

$$\Gamma^t : M_2 \Rightarrow, \text{ where } (p, q) \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow (q, p) \in \Gamma^t .$$

From now on we’ll confine ourselves for the most part to symplectic manifolds of the form,  $M = T^*X$ , i.e., cotangent bundles. This will create some notational problems since

$$T^*(X_1 \times X_2) = T^*X_1 \times T^*X_2 \neq (T^*X_1)^- \times T^*X_2 .$$

On the other hand,  $T^*X_1 \cong (T^*X_1)^-$  via the symplectomorphism,  $(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x, -\xi)$ , and we’ll implicitly, whenever required, make the identification.

We will also, in our cotangent bundle version of *Symp*, restrict ourselves to Lagrangian manifolds and canonical relations which are *exact*. Recall that the symplectic form on  $T^*X$  is the exterior derivative of a one-form,  $-\alpha_X$ , where  $\alpha_X = \sum \xi_i dx_i$ .

Given a canonical relation,

$$\Gamma \subseteq (T^*X_1)^- \times T^*X_3$$

we’ll say that it’s exact if

$$(1.1) \quad \iota_\Gamma^*(-(pr_1)^*\alpha_{X_1} + (pr_2)^*\alpha_{X_2}) = d\psi$$

for some  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma)$ , and in what follows we’ll restrict ourselves to canonical relations with this property. Moreover, the function,  $\psi$ , in (1.1) will play an important role in the applications below, and to emphasize this fact we’ll henceforth write canonical relations as pairs,  $(\Gamma, \psi)$ .

### Examples

- (1) Given  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$  let

$$\Lambda_\varphi = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*X, \quad \xi = d\varphi_x\} .$$

Then

$$\iota_{\Lambda}^* = d\psi$$

where  $\psi(x, \xi) = \varphi(x)$

- (2) Let  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  be a fibration and let  $\Gamma$  be the subset of  $(T^*Z)^- \times T^*X$  defined by

$$(z, \eta, x, \xi) \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow \pi(z) = x \text{ and } \eta = (d\pi_z)^*\xi.$$

Via the identification  $(T^*Z)^- \times T^*X \cong T^*Z \times T^*X$  this is just the conormal bundle of the graph  $\pi$  in  $Z \times X$  and hence is a morphism

$$(1.2) \quad \Gamma : T^*Z \Rightarrow T^*X.$$

Now let  $\varphi$  be in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(Z)$  and let

$$(1.3) \quad \Lambda_\varphi : pt \Rightarrow T^*Z$$

be the Lagrangian manifold in example 1. Then if (1.3) and (1.2) are composable one gets a canonical relation

$$\Gamma \circ \Lambda_\varphi : pt \Rightarrow T^*X$$

i.e., a Lagrangian submanifold,  $\Lambda = \Gamma \circ \Lambda_\varphi$ , of  $T^*X$ . It's easy to check (exercise) that

$$(1.4) \quad \iota_\Lambda^* \alpha_X = d\psi$$

where  $\psi(x, \xi) = \varphi(z)$  if  $(z, \eta, x, \xi) \in \Gamma$ .

## 2. OSCILLATORY FUNCTIONS

Let  $\Lambda_\varphi \subseteq T^*X$  be the Lagrangian manifold in example 1. In quantum mechanics one attaches to  $\Lambda$  a “de Broglie function”

$$(2.1) \quad ae^{\frac{i\varphi}{\hbar}}$$

with amplitude  $a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$  and phase  $\varphi$ . Thus, as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , the phase part of (2.1) becomes more and more oscillatory and hence, from the macro-perspective, more and more fuzzy and ill-defined.

In semi-classical analysis one replaces these functions by a slightly larger class of functions: functions of the form

$$(2.2) \quad a(x, \hbar)e^{\frac{i\varphi}{\hbar}}$$

for which the amplitude also depends on  $\hbar$ . However, one requires  $a(x, \hbar)$  to have an asymptotic expansion in powers of  $\hbar$ :

$$(2.3) \quad a(x, \hbar) \sim \sum_{i=-k_0}^{\infty} a_i(x)\hbar^i$$

for some  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

One can also associate a class of oscillatory functions to Lagrangian manifolds of the type described in example 2 by requiring them to be “superpositions” of functions of type (2.2). More explicitly, suppose that each fiber,  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ , of the

fibration,  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  is equipped with a volume form,  $\mu_x$ . Then if  $a(z, h)e^{\frac{i\varphi(z)}{h}}$  is an oscillatory function on  $Z$  of the type above and  $a(z, h)$  is compactly supported in fiber directions one can define an oscillatory function,  $\pi_* a e^{\frac{i\varphi}{h}}$ , on  $X$  by defining it pointwise by

$$(2.4) \quad (\pi_* a e^{\frac{i\varphi}{h}})(x) = \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} e^{\frac{i\varphi}{h}} a \mu_x.$$

One can prove:

**Theorem 2.1.** *The space of functions (2.4) is intrinsically defined, depending only on the pair,  $(\Lambda, \psi)$  where  $\iota_\Lambda^* \alpha_X = d\psi$ .*

In other words it doesn't depend on the choice of  $(Z, \pi)$  and only depends on the choice of  $\varphi$  to the extent that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are related by (2.3). For a proof of this result see [Gu-St], Chapter ?. We also show in this chapter that this result allows one to attach a class of oscillatory functions to any Lagrangian pair,  $(\Lambda, \psi)$ . We'll call a function of the form (2.4) an oscillatory function with *micro-support* on  $\Lambda$  and *phase*  $\psi$ .

### 3. THE LEMMA OF STATIONARY PHASE

Let  $(\Lambda, \psi)$  be an exact Lagrangian submanifold of  $T^*X$ ,  $Y$  a submanifold of  $X$  and  $\mu$  a volume form on  $Y$ . Given an oscillatory function,  $f(h)$ , on  $X$  with micro-support on  $\Lambda$  and phase,  $\psi$ , the integral

$$(3.1) \quad \int_Y \iota_Y^* f \mu = I(h)$$

is an oscillatory "constant" and in this section we'll describe how to compute its phase. Let  $\Lambda_0$  be the conormal bundle of  $Y$  in  $T^*X$ . Then by a basic property of conormal bundles  $\iota_{\Lambda_0}^* \alpha_X = 0$ . Suppose now that  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_0$  intersect cleanly and that their intersection,  $W = \Lambda \cap \Lambda_0$  is connected. Then

$$\iota_W^* \alpha = \iota_W^* \iota_{\Lambda_0}^* \alpha = 0 = \iota_W^* d\psi,$$

so  $\psi$  is constant on  $W$ , and one has:

**Theorem 3.1. (lemma of stationary phase)**

The oscillatory constant (3.1) is an expression of the form

$$(3.2) \quad I(h) = c(h) e^{\frac{i\psi(p_0)}{h}}$$

where  $p_0$  is any point on  $W$  and  $c(h)$  has an asymptotic expansion

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i=k}^{-\infty} c_i h^i.$$

For a proof of this result and for some details about how one computes the terms in the asymptotic series (3.3) we refer again to [Gu-St].

#### 4. CANONICAL RELATIONS GENERATED BY HAMILTONIAN FLOWS

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold and  $v = v_H$ ,  $H \in C^\infty(M)$ , a Hamiltonian vector field, We'll prove

**Theorem 4.1.** *The set*

$$(4.1) \quad \Lambda = \{(p, (\exp tv)(p), t, \tau), \, p \in M, t \in \mathbb{R}, \tau = H(p)\}$$

*is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $M^- \times M \times (T^*\mathbb{R})^-$ .*

*Proof:*

For fixed  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Lambda_t = \text{graph } \exp tv_H$  is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $M^- \times M$  and hence an isotropic submanifold of  $M^- \times M \times (T^*\mathbb{R})^-$ . Now note that the tangent space to  $\Lambda$  at  $\lambda = (p, q, t, \tau = H(p))$ ,  $q = (\exp tv)(p)$ , is spanned by  $T_{p,q}\Lambda_t$  and  $v_H(q) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} =: \mathcal{W}(q, t)$  and that

$$\iota(\mathcal{W})(\omega_M - dt \wedge d\tau)_{q,t} = dH_q - (d\tau)_{q,t}$$

and hence is zero when we set  $\tau = H$ .

Q.E.D.

Suppose now that  $\omega$  is exact, i.e.,  $\omega = -d\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ . Then the symplectic form on  $M^- \times M \times (T^*\mathbb{R})^-$  is exact and is equal to  $-d\tilde{\alpha}$  where

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{\alpha} = -(pr_1)^*\alpha + (pr_2)^*\alpha - \tau dt.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\iota_\Lambda : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M^- \times M \times (T^*\mathbb{R})^-$  be the map*

$$(4.3) \quad \iota_\Lambda(p, t) = (p, (\exp tv)(p), t, \tau)$$

*where  $\tau = H(p) = H((\exp tv)(p))$ . Then*

$$(4.4) \quad \iota_\Lambda^* \tilde{\alpha} = d\psi$$

*where*

$$(4.5) \quad \psi = \int_0^1 (\exp sv)^*(v)\alpha - tH.$$

*Proof:*

By (4.2) and (4.3)

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota_\Lambda^* \tilde{\alpha} &= -\alpha + (\exp tv)^*\alpha + (\exp tv)^*\iota(v)\alpha dt - H dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (\exp sv)^*\alpha ds + (\exp tv)^*\iota(v)\alpha dt - H dt. \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite the first term on the right as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t (\exp sv)^* L_v \alpha \, ds \\
&= \int_0^t (\exp sv)^* d_M \iota(v) \alpha \, ds + \int_0^t (\exp sv)^* \iota(v) d_M \alpha \\
&= (d_{M \times \mathbb{R}}) \int_0^t (\exp sv)^* \iota(v) \alpha \, ds - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^t (\exp sv)^* \iota(v) \alpha \, ds \right) dt \\
&\quad - \left( \int_0^t ds \right) dH \\
&= (d_{M \times \mathbb{R}}) \int_0^t (\exp sv)^* \iota(v) \alpha \, ds - ((\exp tv)^* \iota(v) \alpha) dt - t dH \\
&= d\psi - (\exp tv)^* \iota(v) \alpha dt + H dt
\end{aligned}$$

so the last two terms cancel the last two terms in (4.6) leaving us with  $\iota_\Lambda^* \alpha = d\psi$ .  
Q.E.D.

We conclude with a few remarks about periodic trajectories of  $v_H$ . Suppose  $\gamma(t) = (\exp tv)(p)$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ , is a periodic trajectory of period  $T$ :  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(T)$ . Then the map,  $\exp Tv : M \rightarrow M$ , has a fixed point at  $p$  and its derivative

$$(4.7) \quad d(\exp Tv)_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$$

maps the subspace,  $dH_p = 0$ , of  $T_p M$  and the vector,  $v(p)$ , onto itself. Since the subspace of  $T_p M$  spanned by  $v(p)$  is contained in the subspace,  $dH_p = 0$ , one gets from (4.7) a linear map,  $P_\gamma$ , of the quotient space onto itself.

### Definition

The trajectory,  $\gamma$ , is non degenerate if  $\det(I - P_\gamma) \neq 0$ .

## 5. THE GUTZWILLER TRACE FORMULA

Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and let

$$(5.1) \quad S_h = -h^2 \Delta_X + V$$

be the Schrödinger operator on  $X$  with potential  $V \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ . As a self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(X)$ ,  $S_h$  generates a one-parameter group of unitary transformations

$$(5.2) \quad \exp \frac{it}{h} S_h, \quad -\infty < t < \infty.$$

This can be viewed as the *quantization* of the one-parameter group of canonical transformations

$$(5.3) \quad \exp tv_H : T^* X \rightarrow T^* X, \quad -\infty < t < \infty$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad H(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2 + V(x),$$

the connection between (5.2) and (5.3) being given by the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose that for some  $\epsilon > 0$   $H^{-1}([-\epsilon, \epsilon])$  is compact. Then for  $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  the Schwartz kernel,  $e_\rho(x, y, t, h)$ , of the operator,  $\exp \frac{itS_h}{h} \rho(S_h)$  is an oscillatory function with micro-support on the Lagrangian manifold (4.1) and the phase function (4.5).*

A proof of this can be found in [Di-Sj] and (hopefully) in the final version of [Gu-St].

Now suppose that there are only a finite number of periodic trajectories of the vector field,  $v_H$ , lying on the energy surface  $H = 0$  and having period  $0 < a < T < b$ . In addition suppose that these trajectories, which we'll denote by  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , are all non-degenerate. Then one has:

**Theorem 5.2. (The Gutzwiller trace formula)**

*For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(a, b)$  the trace of the operator*

$$(5.5) \quad \int f(t) \exp \frac{itS_h}{h} \rho(S_h) dt$$

*has an asymptotic expansion*

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N c_i(h) e^{\frac{iS_{\gamma_i}}{h}}$$

*where the  $c_i$ 's are asymptotic series in  $h$  and*

$$(5.7) \quad S_{\gamma_i} = \int_{\gamma_i} \alpha.$$

*Proof:*

By Theorem 5.1 the trace of (5.5) is the integral of  $f(t)e_\rho(x, y, t)$  over the submanifold

$$Y = \Delta_X \times \mathbb{R}$$

of  $X \times X \times \mathbb{R}$ . The conormal bundle of  $Y$  in  $(T^*X)^- \times T^*X \times (T^*\mathbb{R})^-$  is the set of points  $(x, \xi, y, \eta, t, \tau)$  with

$$(5.8) \quad x = y, \quad \xi = \eta, \quad \tau = 0,$$

and the intersection of this set with the set (4.1) is the set of points in  $(T^*X)^- \times T^*X \times (T^*\mathbb{R})^-$  satisfying

$$(5.9) \quad (\exp tv)(x, \xi) = (y, \eta)$$

and

$$(5.10) \quad \tau = H(x, \xi) = 0.$$

Hence if we apply the lemma of stationary phase to the integral

$$(5.11) \quad \int_Y f(t) e_\rho(x, x, t) dx dt,$$

$dx$  being the Riemannian volume form on  $X$ , we get by (4.4)–(4.5) an asymptotic expansion of the form (5.6)–(5.7).

#### REFERENCES

- [Ca-Dh-We] A. Cattaneo, B. Dherin and A. Weinstein, “The cotangent microbundle category  $\mathbb{I}$ ”, arXiv 0712-1385.
- [Di-Sj] M. Dimassi and J. Sjostrand, *Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-classical Limit*, London Math. Society Lecture Note Series, 1999.
- [Gu-St] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Semi-classical Analysis*, a manuscript in progress.<sup>1</sup>
- [We] A. Weinstein, “Symplectic geometry”, Bull. AMS, vol. 5, pp.1–14 (1981).
- [Wehr-Wo] K. Wehrheim and C.T. Woodward, “Functionality for Lagrangian correspondences in Floer theory”, arXiv 0708.2851 (2007).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT  
*E-mail address:* `vwg@mit.edu`

---

<sup>1</sup>These notes can be downloaded from Shlomo’s website at the Harvard math department.