

Scenario Analysis in Operational Risk

P. Aroda A. Kuznetsov K.Luk T.Salisbury S. Shirgir
C.Tsang R.Wang

Fields MPrime Industrial Workshop

August 15, 2014

1 Problem Statement

2 Our Model

3 Outlook

Main Problem

- Understand the effect of scenario based analysis on a base-line loss distribution approach operational risk model.
- Formulate a methodology that takes input from business experts to determine an adjusted operational risk capital.

Main Problem

- Understand the effect of scenario based analysis on a base-line loss distribution approach operational risk model.
- Formulate a methodology that takes input from business experts to determine an adjusted operational risk capital.

- Much of the current industrial research in risk management have been directed towards market risk and credit risk but not much progress has been made towards operational risk.
- Currently, the existing guidelines at OSFI are not sufficient to handle the ever-growing complexities presented by the banking industry.

- Much of the current industrial research in risk management have been directed towards market risk and credit risk but not much progress has been made towards operational risk.
- Currently, the existing guidelines at OSFI are not sufficient to handle the ever-growing complexities presented by the banking industry.

Overview.

- Problem framed by investigating impact of several catastrophic events that classify as operational risk events that affect a bank. Obtain frequency estimates of events occurring.
- Assign few levels of probability to the severity of events.
- How can we collect useful information about the disaster happening frequency, the probability of each severity level, and the impact on specific cell of bank business lines?
- The historical data is of shortage, that is the main reason of scenario analysis being proposed. The idea is to organize workshop to solicit useful information from experts.
 - frequency and severity possibility information from disaster planning experts/insurance P&C experts
 - banking experts provide useful information about possible financial impact on the bank.

Overview.

- Problem framed by investigating impact of several catastrophic events that classify as operational risk events that affect a bank. Obtain frequency estimates of events occurring.
- Assign few levels of probability to the severity of events.
- How can we collect useful information about the disaster happening frequency, the probability of each severity level, and the impact on specific cell of bank business lines?
- The historical data is of shortage, that is the main reason of scenario analysis being proposed. The idea is to organize workshop to solicit useful information from experts.
 - frequency and severity possibility information from disaster planning experts/insurance P&C experts
 - banking experts provide useful information about possible financial impact on the bank.

Overview.

- Problem framed by investigating impact of several catastrophic events that classify as operational risk events that affect a bank. Obtain frequency estimates of events occurring.
- Assign few levels of probability to the severity of events.
- How can we collect useful information about the disaster happening frequency, the probability of each severity level, and the impact on specific cell of bank business lines?
- The historical data is of shortage, that is the main reason of scenario analysis being proposed. The idea is to organize workshop to solicit useful information from experts.
 - frequency and severity possibility information from disaster planning experts/insurance P&C experts
 - banking experts provide useful information about possible financial impact on the bank.

Overview.

- Problem framed by investigating impact of several catastrophic events that classify as operational risk events that affect a bank. Obtain frequency estimates of events occurring.
- Assign few levels of probability to the severity of events.
- How can we collect useful information about the disaster happening frequency, the probability of each severity level, and the impact on specific cell of bank business lines?
- The historical data is of shortage, that is the main reason of scenario analysis being proposed. The idea is to organize workshop to solicit useful information from experts.
 - frequency and severity possibility information from disaster planning experts/insurance P&C experts
 - banking experts provide useful information about possible financial impact on the bank.

Overview.

- Problem framed by investigating impact of several catastrophic events that classify as operational risk events that affect a bank. Obtain frequency estimates of events occurring.
- Assign few levels of probability to the severity of events.
- How can we collect useful information about the disaster happening frequency, the probability of each severity level, and the impact on specific cell of bank business lines?
- The historical data is of shortage, that is the main reason of scenario analysis being proposed. The idea is to organize workshop to solicit useful information from experts.
 - frequency and severity possibility information from disaster planning experts/insurance P&C experts
 - banking experts provide useful information about possible financial impact on the bank.

Toy example: assumptions and notations

- Toy example assumption:

- 2 catastrophic events

- Event 1: Vancouver earthquake, denoted by E
 - Event 2: Montreal ice storm, denoted by S

- 3 Severity level

- Level 1: low, indicated by 1
 - Level 2: medium, indicated by 2
 - Level 3: high, indicated by 3

- 2×3 specific scenarios, i.e. a catastrophic event at a specific severity level, which is denoted by

$$\{E(j), S(j)\} \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

for instance, the scenario of Montreal ice storm at medium severity level is denoted by $S(2)$.

- 10 bank business line cells, denoted by

$$B_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 10.$$

Toy example: assumptions and notations

- Toy example assumption:

- 2 catastrophic events

- Event 1: Vancouver earthquake, denoted by E
 - Event 2: Montreal ice storm, denoted by S

- 3 Severity level

- Level 1: low, indicated by 1
 - Level 2: medium, indicated by 2
 - Level 3: high, indicated by 3

- 2×3 specific scenarios, i.e. a catastrophic event at a specific severity level, which is denoted by

$$\{E(j), S(j)\} \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

for instance, the scenario of Montreal ice storm at medium severity level is denoted by $S(2)$.

- 10 bank business line cells, denoted by

$$B_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 10.$$

Toy example: assumptions and notations

- Toy example assumption:
 - 2 catastrophic events
 - Event 1: Vancouver earthquake, denoted by E
 - Event 2: Montreal ice storm, denoted by S
 - 3 Severity level
 - Level 1: low, indicated by 1
 - Level 2: medium, indicated by 2
 - Level 3: high, indicated by 3
 - 2×3 specific scenarios, i.e. a catastrophic event at a specific severity level, which is denoted by

$$\{E(j), S(j)\} \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

for instance, the scenario of Montreal ice storm at medium severity level is denoted by $S(2)$.

- 10 bank business line cells, denoted by

$$B_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 10.$$

Toy example: assumptions and notations

- Toy example assumption:
 - 2 catastrophic events
 - Event 1: Vancouver earthquake, denoted by E
 - Event 2: Montreal ice storm, denoted by S
 - 3 Severity level
 - Level 1: low, indicated by 1
 - Level 2: medium, indicated by 2
 - Level 3: high, indicated by 3
 - 2×3 specific scenarios, i.e. a catastrophic event at a specific severity level, which is denoted by

$$\{E(j), S(j)\} \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

for instance, the scenario of Montreal ice storm at medium severity level is denoted by $S(2)$.

- 10 bank business line cells, denoted by

$$B_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 10.$$

Capturing useful information from disaster planning experts

- Frequency information:

- Vancouver earthquake is a 1 in 100 years event, to obtain the probability $\mathbb{P}(E)$.
- Montreal ice storm is a 1 in 20 years event, to obtain the probability $\mathbb{P}(S)$.

- Severity possibility information:

- For each catastrophic event, there are three severity levels with different probabilities.
 - For $j = 1, 2, 3$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(E(j)|E) = p_{1j}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(S(j)|S) = p_{2j}$$

Capturing useful information from disaster planning experts

- Frequency information:
 - Vancouver earthquake is a 1 in 100 years event, to obtain the probability $\mathbb{P}(E)$.
 - Montreal ice storm is a 1 in 20 years event, to obtain the probability $\mathbb{P}(S)$.
- Severity possibility information:
 - For each catastrophic event, there are three severity levels with different probabilities.
 - For $j = 1, 2, 3$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(E(j)|E) = p_{1j}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(S(j)|S) = p_{2j}$$

Capturing information from banking experts

- Possible loss of each cell in each scenario
 - denote by L_{ijk} the possible loss once the j th event with k th severity level happens, we characterize each possible loss L_{ijk} by a **lognormal** random variable with a pair of parameters $(\mu_{ijk}, \sigma_{ijk})$
 - we ask two questions to calibrate $(\mu_{ijk}, \sigma_{ijk})$
 - median of possible loss m_{ijk}
 - 3/4 quantile of possible loss l_{ijk}
 - solve the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{ijk} &= \ln(m_{ijk}) \\ \sigma_{ijk} &= \frac{\ln(\frac{l_{ijk}}{m_{ijk}})}{\Phi^{-1}(3/4)} \end{cases}$$

Capturing information from banking experts

- Possible loss of each cell in each scenario
 - denote by L_{ijk} the possible loss once the j th event with k th severity level happens, we characterize each possible loss L_{ijk} by a **lognormal** random variable with a pair of parameters $(\mu_{ijk}, \sigma_{ijk})$
 - we ask two questions to calibrate $(\mu_{ijk}, \sigma_{ijk})$
 - median of possible loss m_{ijk}
 - 3/4 quantile of possible loss l_{ijk}
- solve the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{ijk} &= \ln(m_{ijk}) \\ \sigma_{ijk} &= \frac{\ln(\frac{l_{ijk}}{m_{ijk}})}{\Phi^{-1}(3/4)} \end{cases}$$

Capturing information from banking experts

- Possible loss of each cell in each scenario
 - denote by L_{ijk} the possible loss once the j th event with k th severity level happens, we characterize each possible loss L_{ijk} by a **lognormal** random variable with a pair of parameters $(\mu_{ijk}, \sigma_{ijk})$
 - we ask two questions to calibrate $(\mu_{ijk}, \sigma_{ijk})$
 - median of possible loss m_{ijk}
 - 3/4 quantile of possible loss l_{ijk}
 - solve the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{ijk} &= \ln(m_{ijk}) \\ \sigma_{ijk} &= \frac{\ln(\frac{l_{ijk}}{m_{ijk}})}{\Phi^{-1}(3/4)} \end{cases}$$

Mathematical formulation of our goal

- Our goal is to determine the capital requirement due to operational loss, which is modeled by the VaR (99.9%) of annual loss. Mathematically, we need to find the 99.9% quantile of the annual loss distribution, that is to find the value x such that

$$\mathbb{P}(L > x) = 1 - 0.999$$

- Approximation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(L > x) &= \mathbb{P}(L > x | ES) \mathbb{P}(ES) + \mathbb{P}(L > x | ES^c) \mathbb{P}(ES^c) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(L > x | E^c S) \mathbb{P}(E^c S) + \mathbb{P}(L > x | E^c S^c) \mathbb{P}(E^c S^c) \\ &\approx \mathbb{P}(L > x | ES^c) \mathbb{P}(ES^c) + \mathbb{P}(L > x | E^c S) \mathbb{P}(E^c S) \\ &\approx \mathbb{P}(L > x | E) \mathbb{P}(E) + \mathbb{P}(L > x | S) \mathbb{P}(S)\end{aligned}$$

Pseudocode to implement the Monte Carlo approach

- ▷ define a $N \times 1$ - vector **Loss [1:N]** to hold the realizations of annual losses
- start iteration for $n = 1 : N$
 - ▷ Define a 2×1 - vector **Disater_loss [1:2]** to hold the realizations of each Disaster loss
 - start iteration for $j = 1 : 2$
 - if the j event happens (generate a uniform $[0, 1]$ r.v. or a poisson r.v.)
 - ▷ generate the severity level indicator $k = 1, 2, 3$
 - ▷ to simulate the possible loss of each cell loss under certain scenario generate 10 loss l_{ijk} from a lognormal distribution $lognorm(\mu_{ijk}, \sigma_{ijk})$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$
 - ▷ compute the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{10} l_{ijk}$, and assign the sum to **Disaster_loss [j]**
 - if the j event does not happen
 - ▷ then just assign 0 to **Desaster_loss [j]**
 - end iteration for $j = 1 : D$
 - ▷ compute the sum of the vector **Disaster_loss [1:2]** and assign it to **Loss [n]**
- end iteration for $i = 1 : N$

- We implemented the Monte Carlo approach with both MATLAB and C++.
- With 10^6 or more simulations, we get pretty stable VaR.

- We implemented the Monte Carlo approach with both MATLAB and C++.
- With 10^6 or more simulations, we get pretty stable VaR.

- Proposed methodology provides a method to guide the scenario formulation process, quantify select parameters, and determine an adjusted operational risk capital number.
- Consider more scenarios even with reasonable correlation between each scenario.
- We only used lognormal distribution to characterize the possible loss, it would not be difficult to try other various heavy-tailed distributions (Weibull, Generalized Pareto Distribution, etc).
- Optimize our algorithm of simulations.

- Proposed methodology provides a method to guide the scenario formulation process, quantify select parameters, and determine an adjusted operational risk capital number.
- Consider more scenarios even with reasonable correlation between each scenario.
- We only used lognormal distribution to characterize the possible loss, it would not be difficult to try other various heavy-tailed distributions (Weibull, Generalized Pareto Distribution, etc).
- Optimize our algorithm of simulations.

- Proposed methodology provides a method to guide the scenario formulation process, quantify select parameters, and determine an adjusted operational risk capital number.
- Consider more scenarios even with reasonable correlation between each scenario.
- We only used lognormal distribution to characterize the possible loss, it would not be difficult to try other various heavy-tailed distributions (Weibull, Generalized Pareto Distribution, etc).
- Optimize our algorithm of simulations.

- Proposed methodology provides a method to guide the scenario formulation process, quantify select parameters, and determine an adjusted operational risk capital number.
- Consider more scenarios even with reasonable correlation between each scenario.
- We only used lognormal distribution to characterize the possible loss, it would not be difficult to try other various heavy-tailed distributions (Weibull, Generalized Pareto Distribution, etc).
- Optimize our algorithm of simulations.

THANK YOU!