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Log-Laplace transform Exponential family Means
Variances
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For a sequence $a_{n} \in M$ converging to $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)$, if $\Lambda^{*}\left(a_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{*}(a)$ then $Q_{\psi\left(a_{n}\right)}$ converges [Csi\&Ma 08, Thm 5.6]

In particular,
if $a \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$ then $Q_{\psi\left(a_{n}\right)}$ has the limit in $\mathcal{E}$;
if $a \in M$ then $Q_{\psi\left(a_{n}\right)} \rightarrow Q_{\psi(a)}$

Assume $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \backslash \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$ and $b \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$.

Assume $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \backslash \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$ and $b \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$.
For $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ what is behavior of

Assume $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \backslash \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$ and $b \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$.
For $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ what is behavior of

$$
\varepsilon \mapsto \Lambda^{*}(a+\varepsilon(b-a))
$$

Assume $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \backslash \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$ and $b \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$.
For $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ what is behavior of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \mapsto \Lambda^{*}(a+\varepsilon(b-a)) \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto Q_{\psi(a+\varepsilon(b-a))}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \backslash \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$ and $b \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$.
For $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ what is behavior of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \mapsto \Lambda^{*}(a+\varepsilon(b-a)) \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto Q_{\psi(a+\varepsilon(b-a))} \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto V(a+\varepsilon(b-a))
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \backslash \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$ and $b \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$.
For $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ what is behavior of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \mapsto \Lambda^{*}(a+\varepsilon(b-a)) \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto Q_{\psi(a+\varepsilon(b-a))} \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto V(a+\varepsilon(b-a))
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \backslash \operatorname{int}(c s(\mu))$ and $b \in \operatorname{int}(c s(\mu))$.
For $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ what is behavior of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \mapsto \Lambda^{*}(a+\varepsilon(b-a)) \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto Q_{\psi(a+\varepsilon(b-a))} \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto V(a+\varepsilon(b-a))
\end{aligned}
$$

Jørgensen, Martínez, Tsao (1994) $\quad V$ when $d=1$

Assume $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \backslash \operatorname{int}(c s(\mu))$ and $b \in \operatorname{int}(c s(\mu))$.
For $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ what is behavior of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \mapsto \Lambda^{*}(a+\varepsilon(b-a)) \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto Q_{\psi(a+\varepsilon(b-a))} \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto V(a+\varepsilon(b-a))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\ldots
$$

Jørgensen, Martínez, Tsao (1994) $\quad V$ when $d=1$
Masmoudi (1999) $\quad V$ when $d \geqslant 1$, under many restrictions

Assume $a \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \backslash \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$ and $b \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$.
For $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ what is behavior of

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& \varepsilon \mapsto Q_{\psi(a+\varepsilon(b-a))} \\
& \varepsilon \mapsto V(a+\varepsilon(b-a))
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\cdots
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Jørgensen, Martínez, Tsao (1994) $\quad V$ when $d=1$
Masmoudi (1999) $\quad V$ when $d \geqslant 1$, under many restrictions
Matúš (2007) $\Lambda^{*}$ when the support $s(\mu)$ of $\mu$ is finite
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In the figure, $\mu$ is concentrated on the five black squares. $c s(\mu)$ the pentagon a inside a unique face $F$ of $\operatorname{cs}(\mu)$ $b \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$
C ... the convex hull of $s(\mu) \backslash F$
$C_{+}=C+\operatorname{lin}(F) \ldots$ the strip
$x_{a b} \ldots$ a nearest point of $C_{+}$
G ... a face of $C$
$x_{a b}^{*} \ldots$ a special point inside $G$

## Theorem (M07)

If $\mu$ is a pm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ concentrated on a finite set, $a \in r i(F)$ for a proper face $F$ of $\operatorname{cs}(\mu), b \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cs}(\mu))$ and $\varepsilon>0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{*}\left(a+\varepsilon\left(x_{a b}-a\right)\right)= & \Lambda^{*}(a)+\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\Psi_{C, \Xi}^{*}\left(x_{a b}\right)-1-\Lambda^{*}(a)\right]+o(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (M07)

If $\mu$ is a pm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ concentrated on a finite set, $a \in r i(F)$ for a proper face $F$ of $\operatorname{cs}(\mu), b \in \operatorname{int}(c s(\mu))$ and $\varepsilon>0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{*}\left(a+\varepsilon\left(x_{a b}-a\right)\right)= & \Lambda^{*}(a)+\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\Psi_{C, \Xi}^{*}\left(x_{a b}\right)-1-\Lambda^{*}(a)\right]+o(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

This approximation was applied to complete the first order conditions for a probability measure to be a maximizer of the divergence from an exponential family (Ay (2002)).

## Theorem (unpubl)

Under the above assumptions,
if $x \in s(\mu)$ then $Q_{\psi\left(a+\varepsilon\left(x_{a b}-a\right)\right)}(x)$ equals

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(1-\varepsilon) \cdot Q_{F, \psi_{F}(a)}(x)+\varepsilon \cdot\left\langle x_{a b}-x_{a b}^{*}, Q_{F, \psi_{F}(a)}^{\prime}(x)\right\rangle, & x \in F, \\
\varepsilon \cdot Q_{G, \psi_{G}\left(x_{a b}^{*}\right)}(x), & x \in G,
\end{array}
$$

0 ,
otherwise.
up to o( $\varepsilon$ )-terms.

## Theorem (unpubl)

Under the above assumptions, $V\left(a+\varepsilon\left(x_{a b}-a\right)\right)$ equals

$$
(1-\varepsilon) V_{F}(a)+\varepsilon\left[\left(x_{a b}-x_{a b}^{*}\right) V_{F}^{\prime}(a)+V_{G}\left(x_{a b}^{*}\right)+\left[x_{a b}^{*}-a\right]^{[2]}\right]
$$

up to an o( $\varepsilon$ )-term.
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