

CORONA PROBLEM: CONNECTIONS WITH OPERATOR THEORY AND COMPLEX GEOMETRY

Ronald G. Douglas

Texas A&M University

JUNE 18-22, 2012

- I. ORIGINS OF CORONA PROBLEM
- II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES
- III. TOEPLITZ-CORONA PROBLEM

I. ORIGINS OF CORONA PROBLEM

(STONE) X COMPACT HAUSDORFF SPACE

$$C(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{CONTINUOUS}\}$$

$$x_0 \in X \leftrightarrow \text{MAXIMAL IDEAL } \{f \in C(X) : f(x_0) = 0\}.$$

$$X \rightarrow C(X)$$

I. ORIGINS OF CORONA PROBLEM

$\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ CONVOLUTION MULTIPLICATION

$$(f * g)(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n - m)g(m)$$

(WIENER) $f * \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ IFF $\hat{f}(e^{it}) \neq 0$, $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$.

$$\hat{f}(e^{it}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n)e^{int} \in \mathbb{C}$$

\mathbb{T} = MAXIMAL IDEAL SPACE OF $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$

$$f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}), \hat{f} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\hat{f}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$$

I. ORIGINS OF CORONA PROBLEM

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \{\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T}) : \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(e^{it}) e^{ikt} dt = 0 \text{ for } k > 0\}.$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq C(\mathbb{T}) \text{ BUT ALSO } A(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq C(\text{clos}\mathbb{D})$$

(GELFAND) B COMMUTATIVE BANACH ALGEBRA WITH 1
 M_B = SPACE OF MAXIMAL IDEALS OF B WITH
 WEAK*-TOPOLOGY

M_B COMPACT HAUSDORFF SPACE AND
 $B \rightarrow C(M_B) : \phi \rightarrow \hat{\phi}$

THEOREM: $\phi \in B$ INVERTIBLE IN B IFF $\hat{\phi} \neq 0$ ON M_B .

I. ORIGINS OF CORONA PROBLEM

(I. J. SCHARK)

$H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = \{\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ BOUNDED, HOLOMORPHIC ON } \mathbb{D}\}.$

$M_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}$ COMPACT HAUSDORFF SPACE

$z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \quad \{\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) : \varphi(z_0) = 0\}$ IS MAXIMAL IDEAL OF $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$

$\mathbb{D} \rightarrow M_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}$ ONE-TO-ONE, CONTINUOUS.

QUESTION: IS \mathbb{D} DENSE IN $M_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}$? IF NOT, $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ IS SAID TO HAVE THE CORONA $M_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} \setminus \text{clos } \mathbb{D}$.

I. ORIGINS OF CORONA PROBLEM

CONCRETE FORMULATION:

GIVEN $\{\varphi_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$

$$\sum_{\iota=1}^n |\varphi_\iota(z)|^2 \geq \epsilon^2 > 0 \text{ FOR } z \in \mathbb{D}$$

DOES THERE EXIST $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ SUCH THAT

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(z) \psi_i(z) = 1 \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}?$$

(CARLESON) FOR $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, THE ANSWER IS AFFIRMATIVE.
THAT IS, $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ HAS NO CORONA.

I. ORIGINS OF CORONA PROBLEM

TECHNIQUES FROM FUNCTION THEORY AND HARMONIC ANALYSIS

CORONA PROBLEM FOR EVERY APPROPRIATE ALGEBRA OF BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS SUCH AS
 $H^\infty(\Omega) = \{\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{BOUNDED, HOLOMORPHIC}\},$
 $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$, BOUNDED DOMAIN

GENERAL SETUP: \mathcal{R} HILBERT SPACE COMPLETION OF $H^\infty(\Omega)$ SO THAT $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON Ω AND $z_\iota \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}, \iota = 1 \dots, n$.

SUBNORMAL CASE: $\mathcal{R} = L_a^2(\mu), \mu$ PROBABILITY MEASURE ON Ω .

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

$\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_m] \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ POINTWISE MULTIPLICATION

$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} = \{\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \ni \psi \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}\}$ MULTIPLIER ALGEBRA

$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq H^\infty(\Omega)$, EQUALS $H^\infty(\Omega)$ FOR SUBNORMAL CASE.

LET $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ BE ORTHONORMAL BASIS FOR \mathbb{C}^n .

FOR $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ SET $M_\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ SUCH THAT

$$M_\Phi f = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i f \otimes e_i$$

FOR $f \in \mathcal{R}$.

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

CORONA PROBLEM:

IF

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi_i(z)|^2 \geq \epsilon^2 \text{ FOR } z \in \Omega, \text{ THEN DOES}$$

THERE EXIST

$$\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$$

SUCH THAT

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(z) \psi_i(z) = 1, z \in \Omega.$$

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

Lemma

IF (1) HOLDS AND \mathcal{R} IS HYPONORMAL OR (2) HOLDS, THEN M_Φ HAS CLOSED RANGE.

CONSIDER

$$(0) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{M_\Phi} \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{\pi_\Phi} \mathcal{R}_\Phi \rightarrow 0,$$

WHERE \mathcal{R}_Φ IS THE QUOTIENT HILBERT MODULE AND π_Φ THE QUOTIENT MODULE MAP.

QUESTION: IS HYPONORMAL NEEDED? DOES M_Φ HAVING CLOSED RANGE IMPLY (1)?

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

Definition

AN OPERATOR $X : \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, IS SAID TO BE A MODULE MAP IF

$$X(M_\Psi \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^p}) = (M_\Psi \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^q})X \text{ FOR } \Psi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

Lemma

$X : \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ IS A MODULE MAP IFF THERE EXISTS

$\chi = \chi_{ij} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^p, \mathbb{C}^q)$, BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC,

$\chi_{ij} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ SUCH THAT

$$(Xf)(z) = \sum_{\iota=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^p \chi_{ij}(z) f_j(z) \otimes e_\iota \text{ FOR}$$

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^p f_j \otimes e_j \in \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^p, z \in \Omega.$$

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

Proposition

ASSUME $\{\varphi_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ SATISFIES (1).

THERE EXISTS $\{\psi_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ SATISFYING (2) IFF

(3) M_Φ HAS A LEFT MODULE INVERSE

$N_\Psi : \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ IFF

*(4) THE SHORT EXACT SEQUENCE (0) SPLITS OR
THERE EXISTS A MODULE MAP $\sigma_\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\Phi \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ SUCH
THAT $\sigma_\Phi \pi_\Phi = I_{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n}$ OR A RIGHT INVERSE FOR π_Φ .*

NOTE: M_Φ ALWAYS HAS A LEFT OPERATOR INVERSE.

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

PROOF: BY THE LEMMA, A LEFT MODULE INVERSE FOR M_Φ HAS THE FORM N_Ψ FOR $\Psi = \{\psi_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$ WITH $N_\Psi(\sum_{\iota=1}^n f_\iota \otimes e_\iota) = \sum_{\iota=1}^n \psi_\iota f_\iota, \{f_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

$E = N_\Psi M_\Phi$ IS A MODULE IDEMPOTENT AND $\sigma_\Phi = E\pi_\Phi^{-1}$ IS WELL-DEFINED AND A RIGHT MODULE INVERSE FOR π_Φ .

CONVERSELY, IF E' IS A MODULE IDEMPOTENT ON $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ WITH RANGE OF THE COMPLEMENTARY IDEMPOTENT, $I - E'$, EQUAL THE RANGE OF M_Φ , ONE DEFINES A LEFT MODULE INVERSE FOR M_Φ BY $M_\Phi^{-1}(I - E')$ WHICH IS WELL-DEFINED.

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

ASSUME (1) HOLDS AND LET $P(z)$ BE THE ORTHOGONAL PROJECTION OF \mathbb{C}^n ONTO RANGE $\phi(z)$. IF (3) HOLDS, AND $E = N_\psi M_\phi$, THEN RANGE $E(z)$ IS BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC IN $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ AND

$$(5) \quad E(z) = P(z) + V(z) \text{ WITH} \\ V(z) = P(z)V(z)(I - P(z)), \quad z \in \Omega.$$

THIS IS THE ANALYSIS OF TREIL-WICK IN CONNECTION WITH NIKOLSKI'S LEMMA TO OBTAIN:

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

Theorem

ASSUME (1). THEN (2), (3) OR (4) HOLD IFF THERE EXISTS $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ SATISFYING (5) SO THAT $E(z) = P(z) + V(z)$ IS BOUNDED AND HOLOMORPHIC IN $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$.

PROOF: TO SHOW (5) IMPLIES (2), WE NOTE THAT $E(z)$ IS A MODULE IDEMPOTENT DEFINED SUCH THAT
 $\text{RANGE } E = \text{RANGE } M_{\Phi}.$

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

IT IS NOT HARD TO SEE THAT SUCH A MODULE IDEMPOTENT E EXISTS IFF THERE EXISTS A COMPLEMENTARY SUBMODULE $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ SUCH THAT $\text{RANGE } M_\Phi|_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ IFF THE HOLOMORPHIC BUNDLE

$$\coprod_{z \in \Omega} \text{RAN} \Phi(z)$$

HAS A COMPLEMENTARY HOLOMORPHIC SUB-BUNDLE OF $\Omega \times \mathbb{C}^n$ WITH A FRAME IN $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ WITH ANGLES UNIFORMLY BOUNDED AWAY FROM ZERO.

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

TREIL-WICK PROCEED TO SHOW FOR $\mathcal{R} = H^2(\mathbb{D})$ THAT (1) IMPLIES THE EXISTENCE OF SUCH A $V(z)$. APPROACH FORMALLY SIMILAR TO THAT OF HÖRMANDER, BUT MORE IS TRUE. FOR $\mathcal{R} = L^2_a(\mu)$ GIVEN $\{\varphi_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq H^\infty(\Omega)$

SATISFYING (1), IF

$$\{\alpha_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq L^\infty(\mu), \alpha_\iota(z) = \overline{\varphi_\iota(z)} / \sum_{j=1}^n |\varphi_j(z)|^2,$$

THEN

$$\sum_{\iota=1}^n \varphi_\iota(z) \alpha_\iota(z) = 1, \quad z \in \Omega \text{ BUT } \{\alpha_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \not\subseteq H^\infty(\Omega).$$

II. SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES OF HILBERT MODULES

HÖRMANDER SEEKS $\{\theta_\iota(z)\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$ SUCH THAT

$$(6) \quad \sum_{\iota=1}^n \varphi_\iota(z) \theta_\iota(z) = 0, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad \{\alpha_\iota + \theta_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq H^\infty(\Omega).$$

THE OPERATOR $N_A(z) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \in \Omega$, SUCH THAT $N_A(z)(\underline{a}) = \sum_{\iota=1}^n \alpha_\iota(z) a_\iota, \underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, DEFINES $N_A \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mu) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, L^2(\mu))$ AND $\tilde{E} = M_\Phi N_A$ IS MODULE MAP ON $L^2(\mu) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ SUCH THAT $\tilde{E}(z)$ NON-NEGATIVE MULTIPLE OF PROJECTION $P(z)$, RANGE $\tilde{E}(z) = \text{RANGE } P(z), z \in \Omega$.
MODIFICATION $N_A + \Theta$ TO BE BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC EQUIVALENT TO MODIFICATION $P + V$.

III. TOEPLITZ CORONA PROBLEM

$\Psi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ TOEPLITZ OPERATOR $T_{\Psi}^{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R})$, $T_{\Psi}^{\mathcal{R}} f = \Psi f$, $f \in \mathcal{R}$.

\mathcal{R} -TOEPLITZ CORONA PROBLEM:

(7) THERE EXISTS $\{f_l\}_{l=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ SUCH THAT
 $\sum_{l=1}^n \varphi_l(z) f_l(z) = 1, z \in \Omega$.

(2) IMPLIES A STRONGER RESULT. FOR $f \in \mathcal{R}$ THERE
 EXISTS $\{f_l\}_{l=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ SUCH THAT

(8) $\sum_{l=1}^n \varphi_l(z) f_l(z) = f(z), z \in \Omega$.

III. TOEPLITZ CORONA PROBLEM

Lemma

(8) IFF N_{Φ}^* IS ONTO OR, EQUIVALENTLY,

$$(9) \sum_{i=1}^n \|T_{\Phi_i}^{\mathcal{R}*} f\|^2 \geq \epsilon^2 \|f\|^2, f \in \mathcal{R}.$$

FOR $\omega \in \Omega$, THERE EXISTS $0 \neq k_{\omega} \in \mathcal{R}$ SUCH THAT
 $T_{\Psi}^{\mathcal{R}*} k_{\omega} = \overline{\Psi(\omega)} k_{\omega}, \Psi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}.$

III. TOEPLITZ CORONA PROBLEM

Proposition

(8) OR (9) IMPLIES (1)

PROOF: USING k_ω WE HAVE

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=1}^n |\varphi_l(\omega)|^2 \|k_\omega\|^2 &= \langle \sum_{l=1}^n T_{\varphi_l}^{\mathcal{R}} T_{\varphi_l}^{\mathcal{R}*} k_\omega, k_\omega \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \|T_{\varphi_l}^{\mathcal{R}*} k_\omega\|^2 \geq \epsilon^2 \|k_\omega\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

III. TOEPLITZ CORONA PROBLEM

QUESTION: DOES (8) OR (9) IMPLY (2)?

SINCE (2) IMPLIES (8) AND (9) FOR ALL \mathcal{R}' WITH $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}'} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$, WHAT ABOUT STRONGER ASSUMPTION?

QUESTION: DOES (8) OR (9) FOR ALL \mathcal{R}' WITH $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}'} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$, SAME LOWER BOUND, IMPLY (2)?

NOTE: (2) DOES NOT INVOLVE ANY \mathcal{R} .

III. TOEPLITZ CORONA PROBLEM

AFFIRMATIVE IF WE ASSUME COMMUTANT LIFTING THEOREM HOLDS FOR \mathcal{R} .

FOR \mathcal{R} , GIVEN SUBMODULES $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^p, \mathcal{S}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$
AND BOUNDED MODULE MAP $X : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^p, \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^q)$,
THERE EXISTS MODULE MAP $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^p, \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^q)$ SUCH
THAT

$$\pi_{\mathcal{S}_2} \hat{X} = X \pi_{\mathcal{S}_1} \text{ AND } \|\hat{X}\| = \|X\|.$$

THEOREM: IF \mathcal{R} SATISFIES *CLT*, THEN (8) OR (9) IMPLIES (2).

PROOF: LET $\mathcal{K} = \text{KERNEL } N_\Phi : \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. CONSIDER $Y : \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ SO THAT $N_\Phi = Y\pi_{\mathcal{K}}$. (8) IMPLIES THAT $X = Y^{-1}$ IS BOUNDED MODULE MAP. *CLT* IMPLIES THERE EXISTS $\hat{X} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ SO THAT $X = \pi_{\mathcal{K}}\hat{X}$. SINCE $\pi_{\mathcal{K}} = XN_\Phi$, ONE HAS $X = \pi_{\mathcal{K}}\hat{X} = XN_\Phi\hat{X}$, WHICH IMPLIES $N_\Phi\hat{X} = I_{\mathcal{R}}$ SINCE X IS INVERTIBLE. BY EARLIER LEMMA, $\hat{X} = M_\Psi$ FOR $\Psi = \{\psi_\iota\}_{\iota=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ OR $\sum_{\iota=1}^n \varphi_\iota(z)\psi_\iota(z) = 1, z \in \Omega$.

III. TOEPLITZ CORONA PROBLEM

CLT HOLDS ONLY FOR VERY SPECIAL \mathcal{R} . AMAR, TRENT-WICK SHOW (9) FOR ALL SUBMODULES OF $H^2(\Omega)$, SAME LOWER BOUND, IMPLIES (2) FOR $\Omega = \mathbb{B}^m, \mathbb{D}^m$.

(D-SARKAR) THEOREM: SUPPOSE $\mathcal{R} = L_a^2(\mu)$, $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$

- (ι) $\{k_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ AND RANGE $T_{\mathcal{R}_\omega}$ CLOSED, $z \in \Omega$.
- ($\iota\iota$) FOR $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^N \subseteq \Omega$, $\{\lambda_j\} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$,
THERE EXISTS $g \in \mathcal{R}$ SUCH THAT

$$|g(z)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j|^2 |k_{\omega_j}(z)|^2, \mu \quad a.e.$$

THEN ASSUMING (8) OR (9) HOLDS FOR ALL CYCLIC SUBMODULES OF \mathcal{R} , SAME LOWERBOUND IMPLIES (2).

III. TOEPLITZ CORONA PROBLEM

QUESTION: DOES (1) HOLD FOR HARDY MODULE $H^2(\Omega)$ FOR A STRONGLY PSEUDO-CONVEX DOMAIN Ω IN \mathbb{C}^m ?
WHAT ABOUT (2)?

KOSZUL COMPLEX FOR $\{T_{\varphi_i}^{\mathcal{R}}\}_{i=1}^n$ ON \mathcal{R}

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0$$

(10) $0 \notin$ TAYLOR SPECTRUM IF EXACT

PROPOSITION: (10) IMPLIES (8) AND (9).
QUESTION: DOES (10) IMPLY (2)?