

# Beyond bounded width and few subpowers

Miklós Maróti

Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Hungary

Toronto, 2011. August 3.

## Two powerful algorithms

# Constraint Satisfaction Problem

## Definition

**Template:** a finite set  $\mathcal{B}$  of similar finite idempotent algebras closed under taking subuniverses.

## Definition

**Binary instance:** a set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mid i, j \in V \}$$

of universes  $\mathbf{B}_i \in \mathcal{B}$  and relations  $\mathbf{R}_{ij} \leq \mathbf{B}_i \times \mathbf{B}_j$  indexed by variables in  $V$ , such that  $R_{ii} = \{ (b, b) \mid b \in B_i \}$  and  $R_{ij} = R_{ji}^{-1}$ .

## Definition

**Solution:** a map  $f \in \prod_{i \in V} B_i$  such that  $(f(i), f(j)) \in R_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in V$ .

# Local Consistency Algorithm

## Definition

An instance  $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mid i, j \in V \}$  is

- **(1, 2)-consistent:** if  $R_{ij} \leq B_i \times B_j$  is subdirect
- **(2, 3)-consistent:** if  $R_{ik} \subseteq R_{ij} \circ R_{jk}$

for all  $i, j, k \in V$ .

## Theorem

*Every instance  $\mathcal{A}$  can be turned into a (2, 3)-consistent instance  $\mathcal{A}'$  in polynomial time such that they have the same set of solutions.*

## Proof.

Reduce the instance until it becomes consistent:

$$R'_{ik} = R_{ik} \cap (R_{ij} \circ R_{jk}).$$



# Bounded Width Theorem

## Theorem (Barto, Kozik)

*If  $\mathcal{B}$  generates a congruence meet-semidistributive variety, then every nonempty  $(2, 3)$ -consistent instance has a solution.*

## Proof Overview.

- If  $|B_i| = 1$  for all  $i \in V$ , then this is a solution
- If the instance is nonempty and nontrivial, then find a smaller instance with the same consistency property
- We need absorption theory to get smaller instance
- Use new consistency:  $(1, 2) < \text{Prague strategy} < (2, 3)$



# Few Subpowers Theorem

## Definition

An algebra **B** has **few subpowers**, if there is a polynomial  $p(n)$  such that  $|S(\mathbf{P}^n)| \leq 2^{p(n)}$  for all integer  $n$ .

## Theorem (Berman, Idziak, Marković, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard)

*An algebra **B** has few subpowers iff it has an **edge term**  $t$*

$$t(y, y, x, x, x, \dots, x, x) \approx x$$

$$t(x, y, y, x, x, \dots, x, x) \approx x$$

$$t(x, x, x, y, x, \dots, x, x) \approx x$$

$$\ddots \quad \vdots$$

$$t(x, x, x, x, x, \dots, x, y) \approx x.$$

# Edge Term Algorithm

## Definition

A **compact representation** of a subuniverse  $\mathbf{S} \leq \prod_{i \in V} \mathbf{B}_i$  is

- a subset  $T \subseteq S$  that generates  $\mathbf{S}$  and is small  $|T| \leq p(|V|)$ ,
- every “minority fork” and “small projection” is represented

## Theorem (Idziak, Marković, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard)

*If the variety generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  has an edge term, then the compact representation of the solution set is computable in polynomial time.*

## Proof Overview.

- Take the compact representation of  $\prod_{i \in V} \mathbf{B}_i$ .
- From the compact representation of  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{ij} \leq \mathbf{B}_i \times \mathbf{B}_j$  compute the compact representation of

$$\mathbf{S}' = \{ f \in S \mid (f(i), f(j)) \in R_{ij} \}.$$



## Maltsev on Top



## Theorem (Maróti)

*Suppose, that each algebra  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}$  has a congruence  $\beta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{B})$  such that  $\mathbf{B}/\beta$  has few subpowers and each  $\beta$  block has bounded width. Then we can solve the constraint satisfaction problem over  $\mathcal{B}$  in polynomial time.*

## Proof Overview.

- Take an instance  $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mid i, j \in V \}$  and  $\beta_i \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{B}_i)$
- Consider **extended constraints** that not only limit the projection of the solution set to the  $\{i, j\}$  coordinates, but also to  $\prod_{v \in V} \mathbf{B}_v / \beta_v$
- Use extended (2,3)-consistency algorithm
- Obtain a solution modulo the  $\beta$  congruences so that the restriction of the problem to the selected congruence blocks is (2,3)-consistent.
- By the bounded width theorem there exists a solution. □

# Edge Term Observations

## Lemma

*Given the compact representations of subproducts  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  over  $\mathcal{B}$ , then the compact representations of  $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{P}$  can be computed in polynomial time.*

## Lemma

*Given the compact representations of  $\mathbf{S}_1, \dots, \mathbf{S}_k$  and assume that  $\mathbf{S} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathbf{S}_i$  is a subuniverse, then the compact representation of  $\mathbf{S}$  can be computed in polynomial time.*

## Corollary

*Given the compact representations of a set  $\mathcal{R}$  of subproducts over  $\mathcal{B}$ , then the compact representation of any subproduct defined by a primitive positive formula over  $\mathcal{R}$  can be computed in polynomial time.*

# Extended Constraints

## Definition

An **extended instance** is  $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{S}_{ij} \mid i, j \in V\}$  where

- $\mathbf{S}_{ij} \leq \mathbf{B}_i \times \mathbf{B}_j \times \prod_{v \in V} \mathbf{B}_v / \beta_v$ ,
- if  $(x, y, \bar{u}) \in S_{ij}$  then  $x/\beta_i = u_i$  and  $y/\beta_j = u_j$ ,
- if  $(x, y, \bar{u}) \in S_{ii}$  then  $x = y$ , and
- $(x, y, \bar{u}) \in S_{ij}$  if and only if  $(y, x, \bar{u}) \in S_{ji}$ .

A map  $f \in \prod_{v \in V} B_v$  is a **solution** if for all  $i, j \in V$

$$(f(i), f(j), f(v)/\beta_v : v \in V) \in S_{ij}.$$

## Definition

The extended instance  $\mathcal{E}$  is **(2,3)-consistent** if

$$S_{ik} \subseteq \underbrace{\{(x, z, \bar{u}) \mid \exists y \in B_j \text{ such that } (x, y, \bar{u}) \in S_{ij}, (y, z, \bar{u}) \in S_{jk}\}}_{S_{ij} \circ S_{jk}}$$

# Extended Consistency Algorithm

## Lemma

*Every instance  $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mid i, j \in V \}$  can be turned into a  $(2, 3)$ -consistent extended instance  $\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{S}_{ij} \mid i, j \in V \}$  in polynomial time such that they have the same set of solutions.*

## Proof Overview.

- Start with  $\mathbf{S}_{ij} = \{ (x, y, \bar{u}) \mid (x, y) \in R_{i,j}, x/\beta_i = u_i, y/\beta_j = u_j \}$
- $\mathbf{S}_{ij}$  has a compact representation (one for each  $(x, y) \in B_i \times B_j$ )
- If  $\mathcal{E}$  is not  $(2, 3)$ -consistent, then take  $S'_{ik} = S_{ik} \cap (S_{ij} \circ S_{jk})$
- Stops in polynomial time: the number of witnessed indices are decreasing



## Lemma

*If a  $(2, 3)$ -consistent extended instance is nonempty, then it has a solution.*

# Global Considerations

## Lemma (McKenzie)

*If two finite algebras generate  $\text{SD}(\wedge)$  varieties (or varieties with edge terms), then the variety generated by their product has the same property.*

## Corollary

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an idempotent variety generated by finite algebras, each of which has either bounded width or few subpowers. Then for each template  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{V}$  the constraint satisfaction problem is solvable in polynomial time.*

## Problem

Given compact representations of relations  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  in the few subpower case, is it possible to find the compact representation of  $\text{Sg}(S \cup P)$ ?

## Problem

What goes wrong, if the quotient  $\mathbf{B}/\beta$  has bounded width?

# Consistent Maps

# Consistent Maps

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mid i, j \in V \}$  be a binary instance. A collection of maps

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ p_i : B_i \rightarrow B_i \mid i \in V \}$$

is **consistent**, if  $p_i \times p_j$  preserves  $\mathbf{R}_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in V$ , i.e.

$$(a, b) \in \mathbf{R}_{ij} \implies (p_i(a), p_j(b)) \in \mathbf{R}_{ij}.$$

- The identity maps  $p_i(x) = x$  are always consistent.
- If  $f$  is a solution, then the constant maps  $p_i(x) = f(i)$  are consistent.
- Consistent maps map solutions to solutions.
- Consistent sets of maps can be composed pointwise.

# Using Consistent Maps

## Definition

A consistent set  $\mathcal{P} = \{ p_i \mid i \in V \}$  of maps is

- **idempotent** if  $p_i(p_i(x)) = p_i(x)$ ,
- **permutational** if  $p_i(x)$  is a permutation of  $\mathbf{B}_i$ , for all  $i \in V$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a non-permutational consistent set of maps for an instance  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then a smaller instance  $\mathcal{A}'$  can be constructed in polynomial time such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a solution if and only if  $\mathcal{A}'$  does.*

- Consistent sets of maps can be iterated to get idempotency.
- Take idempotent images of the universes and relations (this is smaller)
- Larger instance has a solution if and only if the smaller does.
- We step outside of the variety (we use an idempotent image of an algebra), but linear equations are preserved.



# Finding Consistent Maps

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mid i, j \in V \}$  be a binary instance. A collection of maps  $\mathcal{P} = \{ p_i \mid i \in V \}$  is consistent if and only if the binary instance  $\mathcal{A}'$  with

- variables  $V' = \{ (i, a) \mid i \in V, a \in B_i \}$ , domains  $\mathbf{B}'_{ia} = \mathbf{B}_i$ , and
- relations

$$\mathbf{R}'_{iajb} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{R}_{ij}, & \text{if } (a, b) \in \mathbf{R}_{ij}, \\ \mathbf{B}_i \times \mathbf{B}_j, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

has the function  $f'(ia) = p_i(a)$  as a solution.

## Lemma

For any binary term  $t(x, y)$  and a solution  $f$  of the binary instance  $\mathcal{A}$  the maps

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ p_i \mid i \in V \}, \quad p_i(x) = t(x, f(i))$$

are consistent.

# Elimination Theorem

## Definition

A **template** is a finite set  $\mathcal{B}$  of idempotent algebras closed under taking subalgebras and idempotent images. We say that an algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  **can be eliminated** if  $\text{CSP}(\mathcal{B})$  is tractable for all templates  $\mathcal{B}$  for which  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{\mathbf{B}\}$  is also a template and  $\text{CSP}(\mathcal{B} \setminus \{\mathbf{B}\})$  is tractable.

## Theorem (Maróti)

*Let  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}$  be an algebra and  $t(x, y)$  be a binary term such that the unary maps  $y \mapsto t(a, y)$ ,  $b \in B$ , are idempotent and not surjective. Let  $C$  be the set of elements  $c \in B$  for which  $x \mapsto t(x, c)$  is a permutation. If  $C$  generates a proper subuniverse of  $\mathbf{B}$ , then  $\mathbf{B}$  can be eliminated.*

# Proof of Elimination Theorem

- Take a template  $\mathcal{B}$ , an algebra  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{\mathbf{B}\}$  is also a template, and an instance  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mid i, j \in V\}$ .
- First check if there is a solution  $f$  for which  $f(i) \in \text{Sg}(C)$  for all  $i \in V$  for which  $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{B}$ . This is a smaller instance.
- If we have a solution, then we are done. Otherwise if there exists a solution  $f$  at all, then we have a consistent set of maps of the form  $p_i(x) = t(x, f(i))$  which is not permutational.
- We find a non-permutational consistent set of maps for which  $p_i(a) \in t(a, B_i) = \{t(a, y) \mid y \in B_i\}$  for all  $(i, a) \in V'$ .
- The maps  $y \mapsto t(a, y)$  are idempotent and not surjective, so in our instance we can take  $\mathbf{B}'_{ia} = t(a, B_i)$ .
- For each choice of  $i \in V$ ,  $a, b \in B_i$  we create an instance  $\mathcal{A}'_{iab}$  with an extra equality constraint between the variables  $(i, a)$  and  $(i, b)$ .
- These are a smaller instances that we can solve. If one of them has a solution, then it is non-permutational, and we can reduce  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  has no solution.

# Applications

## Lemma

*Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a finite idempotent algebra,  $\beta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{B})$  such that  $\mathbf{B}/\beta$  is a semilattice (with extra operations) having more than one maximal elements. Then  $\mathbf{B}$  can be eliminated.*

## Proof.

Take a binary term  $t$  of  $\mathbf{B}$  that is the semilattice term on  $\mathbf{B}/\beta$ . We can iterate, so we can assume that  $t(x, t(x, y)) = t(x, y)$ . Now the maps  $x \mapsto t(x, b)$  and  $y \mapsto t(a, y)$  are not permutations, so we can apply the elimination theorem. □

## Corollary (Using Marković, McKenzie)

*Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a template and assume that each algebra  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}$  has a congruence  $\beta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{B})$  such that  $\mathbf{B}/\beta$  is a semilattice with a rooted tree order, and each  $\beta$  block is Maltsev. Then  $\text{CSP}(\mathcal{B})$  can be solved in polynomial time.*

## Theorem (Bulatov)

*If  $|\mathbf{B}| = 3$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  has a Taylor term, then  $\text{CSP}(\mathbf{B})$  is tractable.*

## Theorem (Marković)

*If  $|\mathbf{B}| = 4$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  has a Taylor term, then  $\text{CSP}(\mathbf{B})$  is tractable.*

## Theorem (Bulatov)

*If  $\mathbf{B}$  is conservative (every subset is a subuniverse) and has a Taylor term, then  $\text{CSP}(\mathbf{B})$  is tractable.*

## Problem

Can you avoid the condition  $\text{Sg}(C) \neq B$  in the elimination theorem?

Thank you!