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## Conservative CSP

## Definition 1:

Instance: A triple ( $\mathrm{V}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C}$ ), where V is a set of variables, $\boldsymbol{e}$ is a set of constraints, and $\mathcal{L}$ is a set of lists $L_{v}$ for each $v \in V$
Question: Is there a solution $\varphi$ such that $\varphi(v) \in L_{v}$ for every $v \in V$

## Definition 2:

$\operatorname{CSP}(\Gamma)$ where $\Gamma$ contains all the unary relations

## Definition 3:

$\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{A})$ where every operation of A is conservative $\left(f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}\right)$

## Old Dichotomy

2003/2011 paper, about 80 pages
What has happened over the last 8 years:

- Generalized Majority-Minority algorithm (Dalmau, 2006)
- Maroti's retraction (Maroti, this morning)
- Absorbing sets (Barto/Kozik, 2010)


## Conservative Algebras: Edges

If $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{A})$ is poly time, every 2-element subalgebra of A must have one of the Schaefer's operations: semilattice, majority, or affine

- semilattice operation
$\qquad$ majority operation, no semilattice
affine operation, no semilattice or majority


## Edge Coloured Graphs

G(A):


Theorem (B. 2003)
$\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{A})$ for a conservative A is poly time iff for any 2-element $B \subseteq A$ there is $f \in \operatorname{Term}(A)$, which is affine, majority, or semilattice; otherwise CSP(A) is NP-complete.

## Edge Coloured Graphs II

## Lemma

There are $f, g, h \in \operatorname{Term}(A)$ such that $f$ is semilattice on every semilattice edge, $g$ is majority on every majority edge, and $h$ is affine on every affine edge.

Extra conditions:

- $f(x, y)=x$ on every majority edge
- $g(x, y, z)=x$ on every affine edge
- $h(x, y, z)=x$ on every majority edge


## Edge Coloured Graphs III

G(A):


As semilattice operation induces an order, red edges are directed Will use . instead of $f$

## Graphs of Relations

Sometimes we use more general graphs: $G(A)$ where $A$ is not conservative, but a subdirect product of conservative algebras.

- $G(A)$ is constructed in the same way, edges are 2-element subalgeras.
- It is not complete, but any subalgebra induces a connected subgraph.
- All results and proofs remain the same with only minor tweaks


## AS-Components

Let A be a conservative algebra
$\mathrm{B} \subseteq \mathrm{A}$ is called an as-component (affine-semilattice) if it is minimal with respect to the property:
there is no affine or semilattice (directed) edge in $G(A)$ sticking out of $B$


The remaining edges are majority

## Reductions

We use two types of reductions:

- As-components exclusion
- Maroti's retractions


## Linked Relations

Let $R \leq A \times B$.
$\lambda_{\mathrm{A}}$ is a congruence on A defined as the transitive closure of the relation $\{(a, b) \mid(a, c),(b, c) \in R$ for some $c\} ;$
$\lambda_{B}$ is defined on $B$ in the same way

R is linked if $\lambda_{\mathrm{A}}, \lambda_{\mathrm{B}}$ are total relations

## Binary Rectangularity

## Binary Rectangularity Lemma

Let $R \leq A \times B$ be linked, and let $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ be as-components of A and $B$, respectively, such that $(a, b) \in R$ for some $a \in A^{\prime}$ and $b \in B^{\prime}$. Then $A^{\prime} \times B^{\prime} \subseteq R$.


## The Connectivity Lemma

## Connectivity Lemma

Let $R \leq A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$, and let $A_{i}^{\prime}$ be an as-component of $A_{i}$, $i \in[n]$, such that $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ for some $a_{i} \in A_{i}^{\prime}, \quad i \in[n]$. Then $R^{\prime}=R \cap\left(A_{1}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times A_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is a subdirect product of the $A_{i}^{\prime}$, and $R^{\prime}$ is an as-component of $R$.

## Strands

## Let $R \leq A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$ let $A_{i} \subseteq A_{i}, A_{j} \subseteq A_{j}$ be as-components

Positions $i$ and $j$ are $A_{i}, A_{j}$-related if for any $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in R$

$$
a_{i} \in A_{i} \text { iff } a_{j} \in A_{j}
$$

$I \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ is a strand
w.r.t. as-components
$A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ if any $i, j \in I$ are
$A_{i}, A_{j}$-related


## Rectangularity

## Rectangularity Lemma

Let $R \leq A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$ and $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ as-components such that
$R \cap\left(A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{k}\right) \neq \varnothing$. Let also
$1_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}$ be the partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into strands w.r.t.

$$
A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s} \text { and } \quad R_{i}=p r_{i} R \cap \prod_{i \in 1} A_{j} .
$$

Then $R_{1} \times \cdots \times R_{s} \subseteq R$.


## Rectangularity: to Binary Relations

Let $R \leq A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$ and $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be as-components such that there is $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in R$ with $a_{i} \in A_{i}^{\prime}$
Suppose 1 and $n$ belong to different strands. Then there are $(a, b),\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in p r_{1, n} R$ with $a, a^{\prime} \in A_{1}^{\prime}, b \in A_{n}^{\prime}, b^{\prime} \in A_{n}-A_{n}^{\prime}$.

Take $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in R$ with $a_{1}=a, b_{1}=a^{\prime}, a_{n}=b, b_{n}=b^{\prime}$ Let $a_{i} \in A_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i \in[m]$ and $a_{i} \in A_{i}-A_{i}^{\prime}$ otherwise
Consider $R$ as a binary relation on $p r_{[m]} R$ and $p_{\{m+1, \ldots, n\}}^{R}$


## Rectangularity: Binary Relations

Let $R \leq A \times B$, and $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ as components of $A, B$ such that there are $(a, b),\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in R$ with $a, a^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}, b \in B^{\prime}, b^{\prime} \in B-B^{\prime}$.
We prove that the pairs can be chosen such that $\left(a^{\prime}, b\right) \in R$.
There is a sequence in $R$


Since $\mathrm{bb}^{\prime}$ is a majority or semilattice edge, either

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime}= & \begin{array}{l}
a \\
b
\end{array} a^{\prime} \in R \text { or } \\
b^{\prime} & a^{\prime} \\
b
\end{array}=h\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & a & a^{\prime} \\
b^{\prime} & b^{\prime} & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \in R
$$

## Rectangularity: Congruences of AS-Components

Let $A^{\prime}$ be an as-component of $A$, and $\lambda$ a congruence such that $(a, b) \in \lambda$ for some $a \in A^{\prime}$ and $b \in A-A^{\prime}$. Then $A^{\prime}$ is in a $\lambda$ block.
If $\lambda$ is nontrivial on $A^{\prime}$, choose $c \in A^{\prime}$ with ( $a, c$ ) not in $\lambda$ and such that $c a$ is either semilattice or affine. Since $b \in A-A^{\prime}, b c$ is either semilattice or majority.
Then $(b \cdot c, b) \in \lambda$, so $b \cdot c=b$, and $b c$ is majority.
Then $\begin{aligned} & a \\ & c\end{aligned}=g\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & c \\ b & c \\ b & c\end{array}\right) \in \lambda$


## Rectangularity: Binary Relations II

- Let $R \leq A \times B$, and $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ as-components of $A, B$ such that the coordinate positions of $R$ are not $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$-related. W.I.o.g. there are $(a, b),\left(a, b^{\prime}\right) \in R$ with $a \in A^{\prime}, b \in B^{\prime}, b^{\prime} \in B-B^{\prime}$.
- Let $\lambda$ be the link congruence on B. $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ is inside a $\lambda$-block.
- Therefore if $R^{\prime}$ denotes the restriction of $R$ on the link congruences blocks containing $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}, R^{\prime}$ is linked.
- By the Binary Rectangularity Lemma $A^{\prime} \times B^{\prime} \subseteq R$


## AS-Components Exclusion



1. find as-components $A_{V}, v \in V$, such that for any $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{V}$ as-components $A_{V}, A_{w}$ are consistent
2. find the strands
3. for each strand $W$ solve the problem restricted to $W$ and $A_{w}, w \in W$
4. if every such problem has a solution, by the Rectangularity Lemma any combination of such solutions gives a solution to the problem
5. otherwise remove elements of the failed as-components

## Finding Strands and Components


2. To find a strand take a variable v and an as-component $A$ of $A_{v}$ and find all variables $w$ and as-components $B$ of $A_{w}$ such that $v, w$ are $A, B$-related 1.

Chinese Remainder Theorem
Let $R \leq A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$ and let $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ be as-components of $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ such that for any $i, j \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ there is a tuple $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in R$ such that $a_{i} \in A_{i}^{\prime}, a_{j} \in A_{j}^{\prime}$. Then $R \cap\left(A_{1}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times A_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is a sudirect product of $A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\prime}$

## Maroti's Retractions

Let $\boldsymbol{a}$ be a class of algebras of similar type closed under taking subalgebras and retracts via idempotent unary polynomials.
Let $A \in \boldsymbol{a}$ and $f$ a binary term operation of $A$ such that
a. $f(x, f(x, y))=f(x, y)$;
b. for each $a \in A$ the map $x \mapsto f(x, a)$ is not surjective
c. the set $C$ of $a \in A$ such that $x \rightarrow f(x, a)$ is surjective generates a proper subalgebra of $A$
Then $\operatorname{CSP}(\boldsymbol{a})$ is poly time reducible to $\operatorname{CSP}(\boldsymbol{a}-\{\mathrm{A}\})$.

## Reductions II

a. $x \cdot(x \cdot y)=x \cdot y$
b. If $a \in A$ is such that $x \rightarrow a \cdot x$ is surjective, then $a \cdot x=x$

a does not belong to any as-component, and we can use ascomponents exclusion

## Reductions III

c. If $x \rightarrow x \cdot a$ is surjective for each $a$, then $x \cdot a=x$, and $A$ has no semilattice edges.


An operation $m(x, y, z)$ on $A$ is called Generalized Majority Minority if for any $a, b \in A$, either $m(x, x, y)=m(x, y, x)=m(y, x, x)=x$ for $x, y \in\{a, b\}$, or $m(x, x, y)=m(y, x, x)=y$
Operation $g(h(x, y, z), y, z)$ is majority on each majority edge, and is affine on each affine edge

Then there is a ternary GMM operation on A .

## Question

Are as-components and minimal absorbing subuniverses the same??

