

On Hirota-Satsuma's equation

Didier Pilod

www.im.ufrj.br/~didier

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Workshop on Wave Breaking and Global Solutions in the Short-Pulse
Dispersive Equations

05/02/2011

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

- 1895- Korteweg- de Vries

$$u_t + u_x + \epsilon u_{xxx} + 2\epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

- 1895- Korteweg- de Vries

$$u_t + u_x + \epsilon u_{xxx} + 2\epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1972- Benjamin-Bona-Mahony

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{txx} + 2\epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

- 1895- Korteweg- de Vries

$$u_t + u_x + \epsilon u_{xxx} + 2\epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1972- Benjamin-Bona-Mahony

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{txx} + 2\epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1976- Hirota-Satsuma

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{txx} - \epsilon uu_t + \epsilon u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0.$$

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

- 1895- Korteweg- de Vries

$$u_t + u_x + \epsilon u_{xxx} + 2\epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1972- Benjamin-Bona-Mahony

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{txx} + 2\epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1976- Hirota-Satsuma

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{txx} - \epsilon uu_t + \epsilon u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0.$$

$u = u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and ϵ is a small parameter.

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

- 1895- Korteweg- de Vries

$$u_t + u_x + \epsilon u_{xxx} + 2\epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1972- Benjamin-Bona-Mahony

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{txx} + 2\epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1976- Hirota-Satsuma

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{txx} - \epsilon uu_t + \epsilon u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0.$$

$u = u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and ϵ is a small parameter.

Note that $u_t = -u_x + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \Rightarrow$ these models are formally equivalent.

Hirota-Satsuma equation

We fix $\epsilon = 1$. \Rightarrow

$$u_t + u_x - u_{txx} - uu_t + u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0. \quad (\text{HS})$$

Hirota-Satsuma equation

We fix $\epsilon = 1$. \Rightarrow

$$u_t + u_x - u_{txx} - uu_t + u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0. \quad (\text{HS})$$

The 2 following quantities are conserved by the flow of (HS):

Hirota-Satsuma equation

We fix $\epsilon = 1$. \Rightarrow

$$u_t + u_x - u_{txx} - uu_t + u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0. \quad (\text{HS})$$

The 2 following quantities are conserved by the flow of (HS):

$$E(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi'(x)^2 - \frac{1}{6} \phi(x)^3 \right) dx,$$

Hirota-Satsuma equation

We fix $\epsilon = 1$. \Rightarrow

$$u_t + u_x - u_{txx} - uu_t + u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0. \quad (\text{HS})$$

The 2 following quantities are conserved by the flow of (HS):

$$E(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi'(x)^2 - \frac{1}{6} \phi(x)^3 \right) dx,$$

and

$$F(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi'(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi''(x)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \phi(x)^3 + \frac{1}{6} \phi(x)^4 - \frac{3}{2} \phi(x) \phi'(x)^2 \right) dx$$

Objectives

Objectives

- 1— Well-posedness theory in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Objectives

- 1– Well-posedness theory in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.
- 2– Existence and stability of solitary wave solutions.

Equation on the quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - uu_t,$$

Equation on the quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - uu_t,$$

we transform (HS) on the quasilinear equation

$$u_t = -\partial_x(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}u, \quad (1)$$

Equation on the quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - u u_t,$$

we transform (HS) on the quasilinear equation

$$u_t = -\partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1} u, \quad (1)$$

as long as $(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}$ exists.

Equation on the quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - uu_t,$$

we transform (HS) on the quasilinear equation

$$u_t = -\partial_x(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}u, \quad (1)$$

as long as $(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}$ exists.

$$\Rightarrow \Omega_1 := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : -1 \notin \sigma(-\partial_x^2 - \phi)\},$$

Equation on the quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - uu_t,$$

we transform (HS) on the quasilinear equation

$$u_t = -\partial_x(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}u, \quad (1)$$

as long as $(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}$ exists.

$$\Rightarrow \Omega_1 := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : -1 \notin \sigma(-\partial_x^2 - \phi)\},$$

Lemma

Ω_1 is an open subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Local well-posedness

For all $\phi \in \Omega_1$, let us denote

$$r_j(\phi) := \|\partial_x^j (-\partial_x^2 - \phi + 1)^{-1}\|_{B(L^2)}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

Local well-posedness

For all $\phi \in \Omega_1$, let us denote

$$r_j(\phi) := \|\partial_x^j (-\partial_x^2 - \phi + 1)^{-1}\|_{B(L^2)}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

Theorem (Iório, —)

Let $u_0 \in \Omega_1$. Then $\exists T = T(u_0) > 0$, $\exists! u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ solution of (HS) such that $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$. Moreover the flow map solution $u_0 \mapsto u$ is smooth.

Local well-posedness

For all $\phi \in \Omega_1$, let us denote

$$r_j(\phi) := \|\partial_x^j (-\partial_x^2 - \phi + 1)^{-1}\|_{B(L^2)}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

Theorem (Iório, —)

Let $u_0 \in \Omega_1$. Then $\exists T = T(u_0) > 0$, $\exists! u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ solution of (HS) such that $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$. Moreover the flow map solution $u_0 \mapsto u$ is smooth.

Observation: $T = T(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, r_j(u_0))$, $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Local Well-Posedness - Proof

Let $u_0 \in \Omega_1$.

Local Well-Posedness - Proof

Let $u_0 \in \Omega_1$. Consider the integral equation

$$u(t) = F(u)(t) := u_0 - \int_0^t \partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2 - u(t'))^{-1} u(t') dt'.$$

Local Well-Posedness - Proof

Let $u_0 \in \Omega_1$. Consider the integral equation

$$u(t) = F(u)(t) := u_0 - \int_0^t \partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2 - u(t'))^{-1} u(t') dt'.$$

Then F is a contraction in the space

$$X_T^1(u_0) := \left\{ u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R})) : \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{H^1} \leq \alpha \right\},$$

Local Well-Posedness - Proof

Let $u_0 \in \Omega_1$. Consider the integral equation

$$u(t) = F(u)(t) := u_0 - \int_0^t \partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2 - u(t'))^{-1} u(t') dt'.$$

Then F is a contraction in the space

$$X_T^1(u_0) := \left\{ u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R})) : \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{H^1} \leq \alpha \right\},$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r_0(u_0)}$ and $T = T(r_j(u_0), \|u_0\|_{L^2})$ is small enough.

Local Well-Posedness - Proof

Let $u \in X_T^1(u_0)$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Then

Local Well-Posedness - Proof

Let $u \in X_T^1(u_0)$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|F(u)(t) - u_0\|_{H^1} &= \|F(u)(t) - u_0\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x(F(u)(t) - u_0)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^t \partial_x R_{u(t')} u(t') dt' \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \int_0^t \partial_x^2 R_{u(t')} u(t') dt' \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \int_0^t \frac{r_1(u_0) + r_2(u_0)}{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} r_0(u_0) \|u(t') - u_0\|_{H^1}} \|u(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ &\leq 2T(r_1(u_0) + r_2(u_0))(\alpha + \|u_0\|_{L^2}).\end{aligned}$$

Local Well-Posedness - Proof

Let $u \in X_T^1(u_0)$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|F(u)(t) - u_0\|_{H^1} &= \|F(u)(t) - u_0\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x(F(u)(t) - u_0)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^t \partial_x R_{u(t')} u(t') dt' \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \int_0^t \partial_x^2 R_{u(t')} u(t') dt' \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \int_0^t \frac{r_1(u_0) + r_2(u_0)}{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} r_0(u_0) \|u(t') - u_0\|_{H^1}} \|u(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ &\leq 2T(r_1(u_0) + r_2(u_0))(\alpha + \|u_0\|_{L^2}).\end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow If T is small enough, $T = T(r_0(u_0), r_1(u_0), r_2(u_0), \|u_0\|_{L^2})$

$$F(X_T^1(u_0)) \subset X_T^1(u_0).$$



Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.
- the best constant C^* in the Sobolev embedding $\|\phi\|_{L^3} \leq C^* \|\phi\|_{H^1}$, is attained for φ^* .

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.
 - the best constant C^* in the Sobolev embedding $\|\phi\|_{L^3} \leq C^* \|\phi\|_{H^1}$, is attained for φ^* .
- $\Rightarrow E^* := E(\varphi^*) = \frac{1}{3} \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^2$ and $C^* = \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.
- the best constant C^* in the Sobolev embedding $\|\phi\|_{L^3} \leq C^* \|\phi\|_{H^1}$, is attained for φ^* .
 $\Rightarrow E^* := E(\varphi^*) = \frac{1}{3} \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^2$ and $C^* = \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.
- the best constant C^* in the Sobolev embedding $\|\phi\|_{L^3} \leq C^* \|\phi\|_{H^1}$, is attained for φ^* .
 $\Rightarrow E^* := E(\varphi^*) = \frac{1}{3} \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^2$ and $C^* = \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.
- the best constant C^* in the Sobolev embedding $\|\phi\|_{L^3} \leq C^* \|\phi\|_{H^1}$, is attained for φ^* .
 $\Rightarrow E^* := E(\varphi^*) = \frac{1}{3} \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^2$ and $C^* = \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$. Then there exists $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $-\psi'' + \psi - \phi\psi = 0$.

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.
- the best constant C^* in the Sobolev embedding $\|\phi\|_{L^3} \leq C^* \|\phi\|_{H^1}$, is attained for φ^* .
 $\Rightarrow E^* := E(\varphi^*) = \frac{1}{3} \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^2$ and $C^* = \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$. Then there exists $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $-\psi'' + \psi - \phi\psi = 0$. \Rightarrow

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.
- the best constant C^* in the Sobolev embedding $\|\phi\|_{L^3} \leq C^* \|\phi\|_{H^1}$, is attained for φ^* .
 $\Rightarrow E^* := E(\varphi^*) = \frac{1}{3} \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^2$ and $C^* = \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$. Then there exists $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $-\psi'' + \psi - \phi\psi = 0$. \Rightarrow

$$\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \psi^2 dx \leq \|\phi\|_{L^3} \|\psi\|_{L^3}^2 \leq C^{*3} \|\phi\|_{H^1} \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Energy trapping

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_0 < 1$. If $\phi \in B(0, \|\varphi^\|_{H^1})$ and $E(\phi) \leq (1 - \delta_0)E(\varphi^*)$.
Then $\exists \delta = \delta(\delta_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\|\phi\|_{H^1} \leq (1 - \delta)\|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \quad \text{and} \quad E(\phi) \geq 0.$$

Energy trapping

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_0 < 1$. If $\phi \in B(0, \|\varphi^\|_{H^1})$ and $E(\phi) \leq (1 - \delta_0)E(\varphi^*)$. Then $\exists \delta = \delta(\delta_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\|\phi\|_{H^1} \leq (1 - \delta)\|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \quad \text{and} \quad E(\phi) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{C^{\star 3}}{6}y^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\bar{y} = \|\phi\|_{H^1}^2$.

Energy trapping

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_0 < 1$. If $\phi \in B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1})$ and $E(\phi) \leq (1 - \delta_0)E(\varphi^*)$.
Then $\exists \delta = \delta(\delta_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|\phi\|_{H^1} \leq (1 - \delta)\|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \quad \text{and} \quad E(\phi) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{C^{\star 3}}{6}y^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\bar{y} = \|\phi\|_{H^1}^2$.

\Rightarrow

Energy trapping

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_0 < 1$. If $\phi \in B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1})$ and $E(\phi) \leq (1 - \delta_0)E(\varphi^*)$.
Then $\exists \delta = \delta(\delta_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|\phi\|_{H^1} \leq (1 - \delta)\|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \quad \text{and} \quad E(\phi) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{C^{\star 3}}{6}y^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\bar{y} = \|\phi\|_{H^1}^2$.

$$\Rightarrow f(\bar{y}) \leq E(\phi) \leq (1 - \delta_0)E(\varphi^*).$$

Energy trapping

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_0 < 1$. If $\phi \in B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1})$ and $E(\phi) \leq (1 - \delta_0)E(\varphi^*)$. Then $\exists \delta = \delta(\delta_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|\phi\|_{H^1} \leq (1 - \delta)\|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \quad \text{and} \quad E(\phi) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{C^{\star 3}}{6}y^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\bar{y} = \|\phi\|_{H^1}^2$.

$$\Rightarrow f(\bar{y}) \leq E(\phi) \leq (1 - \delta_0)E(\varphi^*).$$

Moreover,

$$f'(y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = 4\|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad f(\|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^2) = E(\varphi^*).$$



Global well-posedness

Theorem (Iório,—)

Let $u_0 \in B(0, \|\varphi^\|_{H^1})$ such that $E(u_0) < E(\varphi^*)$. Then the local solution u of (HS) extends globally in time.*

Global well-posedness

Theorem (Iório,—)

Let $u_0 \in B(0, \|\varphi^\|_{H^1})$ such that $E(u_0) < E(\varphi^*)$. Then the local solution u of (HS) extends globally in time.*

Proof. Let $u \in C([0, T_{\max}); H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ the maximal solution of (HS)

Global well-posedness

Theorem (Iório,—)

Let $u_0 \in B(0, \|\varphi^\|_{H^1})$ such that $E(u_0) < E(\varphi^*)$. Then the local solution u of (HS) extends globally in time.*

Proof. Let $u \in C([0, T_{\max}); H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ the maximal solution of (HS)
 $\rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1} < (1 - \delta)\|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \Rightarrow u(t) \in \Omega_1 \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{\max}).$$

Global well-posedness

Theorem (Iório,—)

Let $u_0 \in B(0, \|\varphi^\|_{H^1})$ such that $E(u_0) < E(\varphi^*)$. Then the local solution u of (HS) extends globally in time.*

Proof. Let $u \in C([0, T_{\max}); H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ the maximal solution of (HS)

→ $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1} < (1 - \delta)\|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \Rightarrow u(t) \in \Omega_1 \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{\max}).$$

→ *a priori* bounds on $r_j(u(t)) = \|\partial_x^j(-\partial_x^2 - u(t) + 1)^{-1}\|_{B(L^2)}$,
 $j = 0, 1, 2$.



A priori bounds on $r_j(u(t))$

A priori bounds on $r_j(u(t))$

- Let $-\lambda < 0 \in \text{ev}(-\partial_x^2 - u(t))$, $\Rightarrow \exists \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$-\psi'' + \lambda\psi - u(t)\psi = 0.$$

A priori bounds on $r_j(u(t))$

- Let $-\lambda < 0 \in \text{ev}(-\partial_x^2 - u(t))$, $\Rightarrow \exists \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$-\psi'' + \lambda\psi - u(t)\psi = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\psi'\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-1} \|u(t)\|_{H^1} \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (1-\delta)\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2,$$

A priori bounds on $r_j(u(t))$

- Let $-\lambda < 0 \in \text{ev}(-\partial_x^2 - u(t))$, $\Rightarrow \exists \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$-\psi'' + \lambda\psi - u(t)\psi = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\psi'\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-1} \|u(t)\|_{H^1} \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (1-\delta)\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2,$$

so that $0 < \lambda \leq 1 - \delta$.

A priori bounds on $r_j(u(t))$

- Let $-\lambda < 0 \in \text{ev}(-\partial_x^2 - u(t))$, $\Rightarrow \exists \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$-\psi'' + \lambda\psi - u(t)\psi = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\psi'\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-1} \|u(t)\|_{H^1} \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (1-\delta)\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2,$$

so that $0 < \lambda \leq 1 - \delta$. Then

$$\|R_{u(t)}(-1)\|_{B(L^2)} = \text{spr}(R_{u(t)}(-1)) = d(\sigma(H_{u(t)}), -1)^{-1} \leq \delta^{-1}.$$

A priori bounds on $r_j(u(t))$

- Let $-\lambda < 0 \in \text{ev}(-\partial_x^2 - u(t))$, $\Rightarrow \exists \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$-\psi'' + \lambda\psi - u(t)\psi = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\psi'\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-1} \|u(t)\|_{H^1} \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (1-\delta)\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2,$$

so that $0 < \lambda \leq 1 - \delta$. Then

$$\|R_{u(t)}(-1)\|_{B(L^2)} = \text{spr}(R_{u(t)}(-1)) = d(\sigma(H_{u(t)}), -1)^{-1} \leq \delta^{-1}.$$

- $\partial_x^2 R_{u(t)}(-1) = -1 - u(t)R_{u(t)}(-1) + R_{u(t)}(-1).$

$$\Rightarrow \|\partial_x^2 R_{u(t)}(-1)\|_{B(L^2)} = \mathcal{O}(\delta, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}).$$

A priori bounds on $r_j(u(t))$

- Let $-\lambda < 0 \in \text{ev}(-\partial_x^2 - u(t))$, $\Rightarrow \exists \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$-\psi'' + \lambda\psi - u(t)\psi = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\psi'\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-1} \|u(t)\|_{H^1} \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (1-\delta)\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2,$$

so that $0 < \lambda \leq 1 - \delta$. Then

$$\|R_{u(t)}(-1)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} = \text{spr}(R_{u(t)}(-1)) = d(\sigma(H_{u(t)}), -1)^{-1} \leq \delta^{-1}.$$

- $\partial_x^2 R_{u(t)}(-1) = -1 - u(t)R_{u(t)}(-1) + R_{u(t)}(-1).$

$$\Rightarrow \|\partial_x^2 R_{u(t)}(-1)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} = \mathcal{O}(\delta, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}).$$

- $\|\partial_x R_\phi(-1)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} \leq (\|R_\phi(-1)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} \|\partial_x^2 R_\phi(-1)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$



Solitary waves

- For $c > 0$, (HS) admits special solutions of the form

$$u_c(x, t) = \phi_\mu(x - (1 + c)t), \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu(x) = 0,$$

Solitary waves

- For $c > 0$, (HS) admits special solutions of the form

$$u_c(x, t) = \phi_\mu(x - (1 + c)t), \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu(x) = 0,$$

where ϕ_μ is solution to

$$-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = \frac{c}{1 + c} \in (0, 1).$$

Solitary waves

- For $c > 0$, (HS) admits special solutions of the form

$$u_c(x, t) = \phi_\mu(x - (1 + c)t), \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu(x) = 0,$$

where ϕ_μ is solution to

$$-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = \frac{c}{1 + c} \in (0, 1).$$

- $\|\phi_\mu\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}$ and $E(\phi_\mu) < E(\varphi^*)$.

Solitary waves

- For $c > 0$, (HS) admits special solutions of the form

$$u_c(x, t) = \phi_\mu(x - (1 + c)t), \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu(x) = 0,$$

where ϕ_μ is solution to

$$-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = \frac{c}{1+c} \in (0, 1).$$

- $\|\phi_\mu\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}$ and $E(\phi_\mu) < E(\varphi^*)$.
- \Rightarrow *Question:* Stability of these solitary waves?

Ill-posedness in φ^*

Observe that

$$\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^* \quad \text{in} \quad H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Ill-posedness in φ^\star

Observe that

$$\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^\star \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then if the flow map data \mapsto solution for (HS) extends continuously in φ^\star ,

Ill-posedness in φ^\star

Observe that

$$\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^\star \quad \text{in} \quad H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then if the flow map data \mapsto solution for (HS) extends continuously in φ^\star , then the corresponding solution u^\star would satisfy

$$u_c = S\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} u^\star \quad \text{in} \quad L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T^\star])$$

Ill-posedness in φ^\star

Observe that

$$\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^\star \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then if the flow map data \mapsto solution for (HS) extends continuously in φ^\star , then the corresponding solution u^\star would satisfy

$$u_c = S\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} u^\star \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T^\star])$$

which is a contradiction since for all $R > 0$,

$$u_c \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty((-R, R) \times (0, T^\star]).$$

Stability result

Theorem (Bona,—)

Let $c > 0$ and $\mu = \frac{c}{1+c}$. Then the solitary wave solution u_c is **orbitally stable** in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$,

Stability result

Theorem (Bona,—)

Let $c > 0$ and $\mu = \frac{c}{1+c}$. Then the solitary wave solution u_c is **orbitally stable** in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$, such that if

$$\|u_0 - \phi_\mu\|_{H^2} < \delta,$$

then $\forall t > 0, \exists \gamma = \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \gamma)\|_{H^2} < \epsilon,$$

where u is the solution emanating from u_0 .

Stability result

Theorem (Bona,—)

Let $c > 0$ and $\mu = \frac{c}{1+c}$. Then the solitary wave solution u_c is **orbitally stable** in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$, such that if

$$\|u_0 - \phi_\mu\|_{H^2} < \delta,$$

then $\forall t > 0, \exists \gamma = \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \gamma)\|_{H^2} < \epsilon,$$

where u is the solution emanating from u_0 .

Moreover, γ can be chosen as a C^1 function satisfying

$$|\gamma'(t) + (1+c)| \leq c\epsilon, \forall t > 0.$$

Techniques to obtain stability

Techniques to obtain stability

- ϕ_μ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to a minimization problem with constraint.

Techniques to obtain stability

- ϕ_μ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to a minimization problem with constraint.
- The two functionals involved are invariant by the flow of the PDE.

Techniques to obtain stability

- ϕ_μ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to a minimization problem with constraint.
- The two functionals involved are invariant by the flow of the PDE.
- Study the minimizers of the variational problem.

Techniques to obtain stability

- ϕ_μ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to a minimization problem with constraint.
 - The two functionals involved are invariant by the flow of the PDE.
 - Study the minimizers of the variational problem.
- ϕ_μ is a local minimizer of the variational problem. (Benjamin, Bona, Weinstein)

Techniques to obtain stability

- ϕ_μ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to a minimization problem with constraint.
 - The two functionals involved are invariant by the flow of the PDE.
 - Study the minimizers of the variational problem.
- ϕ_μ is a local minimizer of the variational problem. (Benjamin, Bona, Weinstein)

Requires a full understanding of the spectrum of a linearized ODE associated to the solitary wave.

Techniques to obtain stability

- ϕ_μ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to a minimization problem with constraint.
- The two functionals involved are invariant by the flow of the PDE.
- Study the minimizers of the variational problem.
- ϕ_μ is a local minimizer of the variational problem. (Benjamin, Bona, Weinstein)

Requires a full understanding of the spectrum of a linearized ODE associated to the solitary wave.

- Study the global minimizers of the variational problem. (Cazenave-Lions)

Techniques to obtain stability

- ϕ_μ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to a minimization problem with constraint.
- The two functionals involved are invariant by the flow of the PDE.
- Study the minimizers of the variational problem.
- ϕ_μ is a local minimizer of the variational problem. (Benjamin, Bona, Weinstein)

Requires a full understanding of the spectrum of a linearized ODE associated to the solitary wave.

- Study the global minimizers of the variational problem. (Cazenave-Lions)

Involves global analysis like the “concentration compactness method”.

Strategy of the proof

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and } \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Lambda''(\phi_\mu) \left(\frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu}, \frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu} \right) = -d''(\mu) < 0, \quad \text{where} \quad d(\mu) = \Lambda(\phi_\mu).$$

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Lambda''(\phi_\mu) \left(\frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu}, \frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu} \right) = -d''(\mu) < 0, \quad \text{where} \quad d(\mu) = \Lambda(\phi_\mu).$$

- Variational problem:

$$(V_\lambda) \begin{cases} \text{Minimize } F(\phi) \text{ on the admissible set of functions} \\ I_\lambda = \{\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid E(\phi) = \lambda \text{ and } \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\}. \end{cases}$$

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Lambda''(\phi_\mu) \left(\frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu}, \frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu} \right) = -d''(\mu) < 0, \quad \text{where} \quad d(\mu) = \Lambda(\phi_\mu).$$

- Variational problem:

$$(V_\lambda) \begin{cases} \text{Minimize } F(\phi) \text{ on the admissible set of functions} \\ I_\lambda = \{\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid E(\phi) = \lambda \text{ and } \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\}. \end{cases}$$

Problem: E and F involve higher-order derivatives and are not homogeneous...

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda''(\phi_\mu) \left(\frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu}, \frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu} \right) = -d''(\mu) < 0, \quad \text{where} \quad d(\mu) = \Lambda(\phi_\mu).$$

- Variational problem:

$$(V_\lambda) \begin{cases} \text{Minimize } F(\phi) \text{ on the admissible set of functions} \\ I_\lambda = \{\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid E(\phi) = \lambda \text{ and } \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\}. \end{cases}$$

Problem: E and F involve higher-order derivatives and are not homogeneous...

How to prevent a minimizing sequence from dichotomizing?

Lopes theorem

Theorem (Lopes)

Let $E, F : H^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be translation invariant, C^2 functionals satisfying

Lopes theorem

Theorem (Lopes)

Let $E, F : H^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be translation invariant, C^2 functionals satisfying some technical conditions

Lopes theorem

Theorem (Lopes)

Let $E, F : H^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be translation invariant, C^2 functionals satisfying some technical conditions +

(C) If a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) , $\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi \neq 0$ in H^2 , where ϕ is solution to $\Lambda'(\phi) = F'(\phi) + \mu E'(\phi) = 0$, then $\exists h \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\Lambda''(\phi)(h, h) < 0$.

Lopes theorem

Theorem (Lopes)

Let $E, F : H^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be translation invariant, C^2 functionals satisfying some technical conditions +

(C) If a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) , $\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi \neq 0$ in H^2 , where ϕ is solution to $\Lambda'(\phi) = F'(\phi) + \mu E'(\phi) = 0$, then $\exists h \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\Lambda''(\phi)(h, h) < 0$.

Then Let (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) .

$$(\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi \neq 0 \text{ in } H^2) \Rightarrow (\phi_n \rightarrow \phi \text{ in } W^{1,p}, 2 < p \leq \infty).$$

Moreover, ϕ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation $F'(\phi) + \mu E'(\phi) = 0$, for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Technical results

Lemma

Let (ϕ_n) a bounded sequence of H^2 . Then

$$(\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } H^2, \forall (c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow (\phi_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } W^{1,p}, 2 < p \leq \infty).$$

Technical results

Lemma

Let (ϕ_n) a bounded sequence of H^2 . Then

$(\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } H^2, \forall (c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow (\phi_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } W^{1,p}, 2 < p \leq \infty)$.

Lemma

If $\{\phi_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) such that $\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^\|_{H^1}$.*

Technical results

Lemma (Monotonicity)

- (i) $e : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, e^*)$, $\mu \mapsto E(\phi_\mu)$ is a strictly increasing bijection.
- (ii) $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow (f^*, 0)$, $\mu \mapsto F(\phi_\mu)$ is a strictly decreasing bijection.

where $e^* := E(\varphi^*)$ and $f^* := F(\varphi^*)$.

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then,
 $\exists (c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then, $\exists (c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof

- Let (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then (ϕ_n) is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then, $\exists (c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof

- Let (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then (ϕ_n) is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\exists (c_n)$, $\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\psi_n = \phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightharpoonup \phi \neq 0$, in H^2 .

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then, $\exists (c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof

- Let (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then (ϕ_n) is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\exists (c_n)$, $\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\psi_n = \phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightharpoonup \phi \neq 0$, in H^2 .
- (ψ_n) is still a minimizing sequence. Lopes theorem \Rightarrow

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then, $\exists (c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof

- Let (ϕ_n) a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then (ϕ_n) is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\exists (c_n)$, $\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\psi_n = \phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightharpoonup \phi \neq 0$, in H^2 .
- (ψ_n) is still a minimizing sequence. Lopes theorem $\Rightarrow \psi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in $W^{1,p}$, $2 < p \leq \infty$, and $\phi = \phi_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

- If $0 < \alpha < \mu$,

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

- If $0 < \alpha < \mu$, monotonicity lemma \Rightarrow
 $f(\alpha) = F(\phi_\alpha) > F(\phi_\mu) \geq F_\lambda.$

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

- If $0 < \alpha < \mu$, monotonicity lemma \Rightarrow
 $f(\alpha) = F(\phi_\alpha) > F(\phi_\mu) \geq F_\lambda$. *contradiction*.

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

- If $0 < \alpha < \mu$, monotonicity lemma \Rightarrow
 $f(\alpha) = F(\phi_\alpha) > F(\phi_\mu) \geq F_\lambda$. *contradiction*.

- Then

$$\alpha = \mu, \quad F(\phi_\mu) = F_\lambda, \quad E(\phi_\mu) = \lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi_n\|_{H^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\phi_\mu\|_{H^2}.$$

□

Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.*

Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.* Suppose that $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $(\psi_n) \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $(t_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$\psi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu \text{ in } H^2, \text{ and } \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_n(\cdot, t_n) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)\|_{H^2} \geq \epsilon.$$

Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.* Suppose that $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $(\psi_n) \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $(t_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$\psi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu \text{ in } H^2, \text{ and } \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_n(\cdot, t_n) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)\|_{H^2} \geq \epsilon.$$

- Define $f_n := u_n(\cdot, t_n)$.

Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.* Suppose that $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $(\psi_n) \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $(t_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$\psi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu \text{ in } H^2, \text{ and } \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_n(\cdot, t_n) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)\|_{H^2} \geq \epsilon.$$

- Define $f_n := u_n(\cdot, t_n)$. Then

$$\|f_n\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}, \quad E(f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda, \text{ and } F(f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_\lambda.$$

Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.* Suppose that $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $(\psi_n) \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $(t_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$\psi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu \text{ in } H^2, \text{ and } \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_n(\cdot, t_n) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)\|_{H^2} \geq \epsilon.$$

- Define $f_n := u_n(\cdot, t_n)$. Then

$$\|f_n\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}, \quad E(f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda, \text{ and } F(f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_\lambda.$$

- $\exists f \neq 0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} f$ in H^2 and $\exists h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $E'(f)h \neq 0$.

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_nt + c_nt^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_nt + c_nt^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

- $\Rightarrow \exists (t_n)$ s.t. $P_n(t_n) = \lambda$ and $t_n \rightarrow 0$,

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_nt + c_nt^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

- $\Rightarrow \exists (t_n)$ s.t. $P_n(t_n) = \lambda$ and $t_n \rightarrow 0$, so

$$E(f_n + t_nh) = \lambda \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n + t_nh) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n) = F_\lambda$$

.

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_nt + c_nt^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

- $\Rightarrow \exists (t_n)$ s.t. $P_n(t_n) = \lambda$ and $t_n \rightarrow 0$, so

$$E(f_n + t_nh) = \lambda \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n + t_nh) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n) = F_\lambda$$

.

- Proposition $\Rightarrow \exists (c_n), \tau$ s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(\cdot + c_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_n(\cdot + c_n) = \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)$$

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_nt + c_nt^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

- $\Rightarrow \exists (t_n)$ s.t. $P_n(t_n) = \lambda$ and $t_n \rightarrow 0$, so

$$E(f_n + t_nh) = \lambda \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n + t_nh) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n) = F_\lambda$$

.

- Proposition $\Rightarrow \exists (c_n), \tau$ s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(\cdot + c_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_n(\cdot + c_n) = \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)$$

Contradiction



Some future directions

Some future directions

- Understand better the set \mathcal{F}_1 . Are all the points of \mathcal{F}_1 ill-posed as φ^* ?

Some future directions

- Understand better the set \mathcal{F}_1 . Are all the points of \mathcal{F}_1 ill-posed as φ^* ?
- What is the long time behavior of the solutions for an arbitrarily initial data in Ω_1 ? Does the solution blow up? Does it cross \mathcal{F}_1 ?




Some future directions

- Understand better the set \mathcal{F}_1 . Are all the points of \mathcal{F}_1 ill-posed as φ^* ?
- What is the long time behavior of the solutions for an arbitrarily initial data in Ω_1 ? Does the solution blow up? Does it cross \mathcal{F}_1 ?
- Are the solutions of (HS) good approximations for the (WW) in the asymptotic regime?

Some future directions

- Understand better the set \mathcal{F}_1 . Are all the points of \mathcal{F}_1 ill-posed as φ^* ?
- What is the long time behavior of the solutions for an arbitrarily initial data in Ω_1 ? Does the solution blow up? Does it cross \mathcal{F}_1 ?
- Are the solutions of (HS) good approximations for the (WW) in the asymptotic regime?
- Are the solitary waves asymptotically stable?

References

-  J. L. Bona, D. Pilod, *Stability of solitary-wave solutions to the Hirota-Satsuma equation*, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst., **27** (2010), 1397–1413.
-  R. Iório, D. Pilod, *Well-Posedness for Hirota-Satsuma's Equation*, Diff. Int. Equations, **21** (2008), 1177–1192.
-  O. Lopes, *Nonlocal variational problems arising in long wave propagation*, ESAIM: Control Optim. Calc. Var., **5** (2000), 501–528.