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## Problem of local well-posedness in low regularity

In this presentation, we will consider the local well-posedness (I.w.p) of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}+i u_{x x}=u^{2} \\
& u(0, x) \in H^{-\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$
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By local well-posedness, we mean that there exists
$T:=T\left(\|u(0)\|_{H^{-\alpha}}\right)>0$ and a continuous solution map of (1)
from $H^{-\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ to $C_{t} H_{x}^{-\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbf{R})$.

## Previous works on (1)

- Kenig, Ponce and Vega '96 established local well-posedness of (1) up to $H^{-\frac{3}{4}+}$.
- Bejenaru and Tao '06 established that (1) is locally well-posed up to $\mathrm{H}^{-1}$ and ill-posed below $\mathrm{H}^{-1}$
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## Preliminaries
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## Free solution and $X^{s, b}$ spaces
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is false!
However, $h=T\left(e^{-i t \partial_{x}^{2}} f, e^{-i t \partial_{x}^{2}} f\right)$.
So the problematic term is in a trilinear form $\mathcal{N}(T(f, g), v)$ with $f, g, v \in X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}$. Using the trilinear form, we show


## Problematic case

## But the estimate

$$
\|\mathcal{N}(u, v)\|_{X_{T}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq C_{T, \delta}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{2} H_{X}^{1}}\|v\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}
$$

is false!
However, $h=T\left(e^{-i t \partial_{x}^{2}} f, e^{-i t \partial_{x}^{2}} f\right)$.
So the problematic term is in a trilinear form $\mathcal{N}(T(f, g), v)$ with $f, g, v \in X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}$. Using the trilinear form, we show


## Problematic case

## But the estimate

$$
\|\mathcal{N}(u, v)\|_{X_{T}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq C_{T, \delta}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{2} H_{X}^{1}}\|v\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}
$$

is false!
However, $h=T\left(e^{-i t \partial_{x}^{2}} f, e^{-i t \partial_{x}^{2}} f\right)$.
So the problematic term is in a trilinear form $\mathcal{N}(T(f, g), v)$ with $f, g, v \in X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}$. Using the trilinear form, we show

## Problematic case

But the estimate

$$
\|\mathcal{N}(u, v)\|_{X_{T}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq C_{T, \delta}\|u\|_{L_{t}^{2} H_{x}^{1}}\|v\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}
$$

is false!
However, $h=T\left(e^{-i t \partial_{x}^{2}} f, e^{-i t \partial_{x}^{2}} f\right)$.
So the problematic term is in a trilinear form $\mathcal{N}(T(f, g), v)$ with $f, g, v \in X^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}$. Using the trilinear form, we show
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## Derivative quadratic non-linearity

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
& u_{t}+i u_{x x}=\langle\nabla\rangle^{\beta}\left[u^{2}\right] \\
& u(0, x) \in H^{-\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}\right.
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- M. Christ: (6) is ill-posed when $\beta=1$ for large data.
- A. Stefanov, '07: (6) is I.w.p. for small data in $H^{1}$ when $\beta=1$.
- Bejenaru-Tataru, '09 and '10: (6) is I.w.p. in a weighted Sobolev space $H^{\text {s, }}$
- Currently, there is no result for $\beta<1$.
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## Main result

## Theorem

(6) is I.w.p. in $\mathrm{H}^{-\alpha}$ when $\alpha+\beta<1, \beta<1 / 2$.

Also, we can write $u=e^{-i t t_{x}^{2}} u(0)+h+w$ where $h \in L_{t}^{\infty} H_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \cap L_{t}^{2} H_{x}^{1-\alpha}$ and $w \in X_{T}^{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}$.

We can also write a Lipschitz property for a smoother space

$$
\|u-v\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H_{x}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C\left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

where $C:=C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{-\alpha}},\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{-\alpha}}\right)$.

