

A COMBINATORIAL DESCRIPTION OF A MONOMIAL EXPANSION OF k -SCHUR FUNCTIONS

NOTES FROM JENNIFER MORSE'S THIRD LECTURE

At the end of last time we saw that if you iterate the Pieri rule then you get a strong k -tableau.

Recall Definition: a strong k -tableau of shape $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}$ and weight \mathbf{u} is a sequence of cores

$$\emptyset \subset \lambda^1 \subset \lambda^2 \subset \dots \subset \lambda$$

I) $|\mathcal{P}^k(\lambda^x)| = |\mathcal{P}^k(\lambda^{x-1})| + 1$

II) mark the head of (of content c_x) in one ribbon of $\lambda^x / \lambda^{x-1}$

III) the contents must increase

$$c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_{\mu_1}, c_{\mu_1+1} < c_{\mu_1+2} < \dots < c_{\mu_1+\mu_2, \dots}$$

Example: of strong k -tableaux
of shape (31)

$$\emptyset \subset \boxed{x} \subset \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \boxed{x} \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & x \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2^* & & \\ \hline 1^* & 3 & 3^* \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\emptyset \subset \boxed{x} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & x \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \cdot & x \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3^* & & \\ \hline 1^* & 2^* & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\emptyset \subset \boxed{x} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & x \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \cdot & x \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & & \\ \hline 1^* & 2^* & 3^* \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Only the last of these is a strong k -tableau of weight (3)

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3^* & & \\ \hline 1^* & 2^* & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & & \\ \hline 1^* & 2^* & 3^* \\ \hline \end{array}$$

are of weight (21)

All of them have weight (111).

So this is how you construct strong k -tableaux.

With that we can give the definition of the k -Schur function

$$h_\mu = \sum_{\lambda} K_{\lambda\mu}^{(k)} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}^{(k)}$$

where the $K_{\lambda\mu}^{(k)}$ counts the number of strong k -tableaux of shape λ and weight μ and by duality we have

$$s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum K_{\lambda\mu}^{(k)} m_{\mu}$$

$$s_{(31)}^{(2)} = 1m_3 + 2m_{21} + 3m_{111}$$

Recall: we can define $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ as the dual by the scalar product

$$\langle s_{\lambda}^{(k)}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}^{(k)} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$$

Explicit characterization by giving the Pieri rule for $\{s_{\lambda}^{(k)}\}$

1) one box case

$$h_1 s_{\mu}^{(k)} = \sum s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$$

over cores λ where

I) $\mu \subseteq \lambda$

II) $\lambda/\mu = \text{single box ribbons}$

III)

$$|\mathcal{P}^k(\lambda)| = |\mathcal{P}^k(\mu)| + 1$$

Example: for $k = 3$,

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline x \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & x \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$$

is ok because we are adding all cells of residue 1 on the core

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & x & x \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$$

is not ok because we are adding a cell of residue 1 and 2 on the core

Lemma: λ is a weak cover of μ if and only if $\lambda = \mu +$ all boxes of the same content mod $k + 1$ are a $k + 1$ residue.

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 \\ 2 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} 1 \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 \\ 1 \\ 20 \\ \hline 01 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 \\ 0 \\ 12 \\ 20 \\ \hline 012 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$h_1 s_\mu^{(k)} = \sum s_\lambda^{(k)}$$

if $\lambda = \mu +$ boxes of the same residue.

Iterate to get

$$h_1 h_1 \cdots h_1 = \sum W_{\lambda 1^n}^{(k)} s_\lambda^{(k)}$$

$$h_1 s_\emptyset^{(2)} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$h_1 h_1 s_\emptyset^{(2)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$h_1 h_1 h_1 s_\emptyset^{(2)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$W_{\lambda 1^n}$ counts the number of tableaux satisfying the following definition

Definition: a standard weak k -tableau of a shape $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}$ is a sequence of cores

$$\emptyset \subset \lambda^1 \subset \lambda^2 \subset \cdots \subset \lambda^\ell = \lambda$$

such that $\lambda^x / \lambda^{x-1}$ is skew with all values the same $k + 1$ residue.

$$\emptyset \subset \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

where I have placed the residues (mod 3) in the partitions to see what cells are being added. This sequence of partitions corresponds to the 'standard' tableau

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 \\ 3 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Then take as a second example

$$\emptyset \subset \boxed{0} \subset \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \boxed{2} \\ \hline \boxed{0} \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \boxed{1} \\ \hline \boxed{2} & \boxed{1} \\ \hline \boxed{0} & \boxed{1} \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \boxed{1} \\ \hline \boxed{2} & \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} \\ \hline \boxed{0} & \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \boxed{3} \\ \hline \boxed{2} & \boxed{3} & \boxed{4} \\ \hline \boxed{1} & \boxed{3} & \boxed{4} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and this is a 'standard' weak also with shape $(3, 1, 1)$.

$$h_1^n = \sum W_{\lambda 1^n}^{(k)} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$$

Equivalent definition of standard weak k tableaux of shape $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}$
column and row increasing filling of λ with $1, 2, \dots |\mathcal{P}^k(\lambda)|$ where every box has the same $k+1$ residue.

Want more generally $h_{\mu} = \sum W_{\lambda \mu}^{(k)} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$.

The general Pieri rule.

$$h_r s_{\mu}^{(k)} = \sum s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$$

over $\lambda +$ a weak r strip.

Definition λ/μ ($\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}$) is a weak r strip if

- 1) λ/μ is a horizontal strip with r distinct residues
- 2) $|\mathcal{P}^k(\lambda)| = |\mathcal{P}^k(\mu)| + r$

Example:

$$h_2 s_{(1)}^{(2)} = s_{(31)}^{(2)}$$

Definition: (weak) k -tableau of shape λ and weight μ is a column strict filling with μ_1 residues labelling ones, μ_2 residues labeling twos, etc.

Claim: $h_{\mu} = \sum W_{\lambda \mu}^{(k)} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ where $W_{\lambda \mu}^{(k)}$ = the number of weak k tableaux of shape λ and weight μ .

Definition:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum W_{\lambda \mu}^{(k)} m_{\mu}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{S}_{(31)}^{(2)} &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3_2 & & \\ \hline 1_0 & 2_1 & 3_2 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2_2 & & \\ \hline 1_0 & 1_1 & 2_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \mathfrak{S}_{(31)}^{(2)} &= m_{111} + m_{21}\end{aligned}$$

So I discussed with Luc and decided what this has to do with the Affine Stanley symmetric functions. We decided that a good thing to finish with is the explicit connection between these two families.

Recall that w = affine grassmannian permutation in \tilde{S}_{k+1} .

Definition:

$$\tilde{F}_w = \sum_{w=v_1 v_2 \dots v_\ell} x_1^{|v_1|} x_2^{|v_2|} \dots x_\ell^{|v_\ell|}$$

where v_i is a cyclically decreasing word.

$$= \sum_{\mu \text{ partition}} a_{w\mu}^{(k)} m_\mu$$

where $a_{w\mu}^{(k)}$ is equal to the number of μ factorizations for w i.e. $w = v_1 v_2 \dots v_\ell$ for cyclically decreasing v_i and $|v_i| = \mu_i$.
How does this connect to k -Schurs?

We convert affine grassmannian permutations to cores.

$$s_0 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_0 \rightarrow \text{core}$$

by successively adding corners of residue i .

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & & \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & & & \\ \hline 2 & 0 & & \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \subset \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & & & \\ \hline 1 & & & \\ \hline 2 & 0 & & \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

check

Remember that since every reduce word ends in 0.

It turns out that no matter what reduced word I pick, I will always construct the same core.

This defines a bijection between cores and affine permutations.

Claim:

$$\tilde{F}_{w_\lambda}^{(k)} = \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum W_{\lambda\mu}^{(k)} m_\mu$$

Suffices to show that there is a bijection between μ -factorizations for w_λ and weak k -tableaux of shape $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}$ weight μ .

This was one of the big breakthroughs for us figuring out that there was a correspondence between the standard k tableaux of shape λ and the reduced words for w_λ .

From words $s_{i_\ell} s_{i_{\ell-1}} \cdots s_{i_2} s_{i_1}$ for permutation $w \in \tilde{S}_{???}$

fill boxes successively putting 1 in all boxes of residue i_1 putting 2 in a all boxes of residue i_2 , etc. In the example below I've subscripted the labels with the residues (mod 3).

Example: From the word $s_0 s_1 s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_0$ we construct

8 ₀					
7 ₁					
6 ₂	8 ₀				
5 ₀	7 ₁				
4 ₁	6 ₂	8 ₀			
3 ₂	5 ₀	7 ₁			
1 ₀	2 ₁	3 ₂	5 ₀	7 ₁	

which is a 3-core.

Semistandard case

(0)(1)(2)(10)

4 ₀				
3 ₁				
2 ₂	4 ₀			
1 ₀	1 ₁	2 ₂	4 ₀	

This is a tableau of weight $(2, 1, 1, 1)$