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## Introduction

- We develop a new class of multi-name unified credit-equity models that jointly model the stock prices of multiple firms, as well as their default events,
- We construct a multi-dimensional Markov semimartingale by applying a multivariate subordination of jump-to-default extended constant elasticity of variance (JDCEV) diffusions.
- Each of the stock prices experiences state-dependent jumps with the leverage effect (arrival rates of large jumps increase as the stock price falls), including the possibility of a jump to zero (jump to default).
- Some of the jumps are idiosyncratic to each firm, while some are either common to all firms (systematic), or common to a subgroup of firms.
- For the two-firm case, we obtain analytical solutions for credit derivatives and equity derivatives, such as basket options, in terms of eigenfunction expansions associated with the relevant subordinated semigroups.


## Unifying Credit-Equity Models

## The Jump to Default Extended Diffusions (JDED)

Before moving on to use time changes to construct models with jumps, we review the Jump-to-Default Extended Diffusion framework (JDED)
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- Compensates for the jump-to-default and ensures the discounted martingale property
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If the diffusion $\tilde{S}_{t}$ can hit zero:
$\Rightarrow$ Bankruptcy at the first hitting time of zero,

$$
\tau_{0}=\inf \left\{t: \tilde{S}_{t}=0\right\}
$$
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\tilde{\zeta}=\inf \left\{t \in\left[0, \tau_{0}\right]: \int_{0}^{t} k\left(\tilde{S}_{u}\right) \geq e\right\}, \quad e \approx \operatorname{Exp}(1)
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$\Rightarrow$ At time $\tilde{\zeta}$ the stock price $S_{t}$ jumps to zero and the firm defaults on its debt

## Jump to Default Extended Diffusions (JDED)

Defaultable Stock Price

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S_{t}= \begin{cases}\tilde{S}_{t}, & \zeta>t \\
0, & \zeta \leq t\end{cases} \\
(\zeta \text { default time })
\end{array}
$$

## Stock Price



The default time $\zeta$ is the earliest of:

## Jump to Default Extended Diffusions (JDED)

Defaultable Stock Price

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S_{t}= \begin{cases}\tilde{S}_{t}, & \zeta>t \\
0, & \zeta \leq t\end{cases} \\
(\zeta \text { default time })
\end{array}
$$

## Stock Price



The default time $\zeta$ is the earliest of:
(1) The stock hits level zero by diffusion: $\tau_{0}$

## Jump to Default Extended Diffusions (JDED)

## Defaultable Stock Price

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S_{t}= \begin{cases}\tilde{S}_{t}, & \zeta>t \\
0, & \zeta \leq t\end{cases} \\
(\zeta \text { default time })
\end{array}
$$

## Stock Price



The default time $\zeta$ is the earliest of:
(1) The stock hits level zero by diffusion: $\tau_{0}$
(2) The stock jumps to zero from a positive value:

## Jump to Default Extended Diffusions (JDED)

## Defaultable Stock Price

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S_{t}= \begin{cases}\tilde{S}_{t}, & \zeta>t \\
0, & \zeta \leq t\end{cases} \\
(\zeta \text { default time })
\end{array}
$$

## Stock Price



The default time $\zeta$ is the earliest of:
(1) The stock hits level zero by diffusion: $\tau_{0}$
(2) The stock jumps to zero from a positive value:
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\zeta=\min \left(\tilde{\zeta}, \tau_{0}\right)
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## Random Clock $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$

Non-decreasing RCLL process starting at $\mathcal{T}_{0}=0$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{T}_{t}\right]<\infty$.
We are interested in T.C. with analytically tractable Laplace Transform (LT):

$$
\mathcal{L}(t, \lambda)=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda \mathcal{T}_{t}}\right]<\infty
$$

Lévy Subordinators with L.T. $\mathcal{L}(t, \lambda)=e^{-\phi(\lambda) t} \Rightarrow$ induce jumps

## Examples of Lévy Subordinators

Three Parameter Lévy measure:

$$
\nu(d s)=C s^{-Y-1} e^{-\eta s} d s
$$

$$
\text { where } \quad C>0, \quad \eta>0, \quad Y<1
$$

- C changes the time scale of the process (simultaneously modifies the intensity of jumps of all sizes)
- $Y$ controls the small size jumps
- $\eta$ defines the decay rate of big jumps
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## Three Parameter Lévy measure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu(d s) & =C s^{-Y-1} e^{-\eta s} d s \\
\text { where } \quad C & >0, \eta>0, \quad Y<1
\end{aligned}
$$

- $C$ changes the time scale of the process (simultaneously modifies the intensity of jumps of all sizes)
- $Y$ controls the small size jumps
- $\eta$ defines the decay rate of big jumps


## Lévy-Khintchine formula

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}(t, \lambda)=e^{-\phi(\lambda) t} \\
\text { where } \quad \phi(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\gamma \lambda-C \Gamma(-Y)\left[(\lambda+\eta)^{Y}-\eta^{Y}\right], & Y \neq 0 \\
\gamma \lambda+C \ln (1+\lambda / \eta), & Y=0\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$
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We kill the background process at:

$$
\zeta=\min \left(\zeta^{*}, \tau_{0}\right)
$$

- How about default for the Time-Changed process?

$$
\tau_{D}=\inf \left\{t: T_{t} \geq \zeta\right\}
$$

In this case right after the jump time!
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## Multiple Firms --- The trivial case!

How about default?

- $\tau_{D}{ }^{1}=\inf \left\{t: T_{t} \geq \zeta^{1}\right\}$
- $\tau_{D}{ }^{2}=\inf \left\{t: T_{t} \geq \zeta^{2}\right\}$



## Time-Changed Process
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## Multiple Firms - The Not-So-Trivial case

- Consider two firms, now running in two different random clocks
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In this case, the all coordinates of the "vector" jump together at the same time and for the same time length!

- When we use an $n$-dimensional subordinator $\mathcal{T}_{t}=\left(\mathcal{T}_{t}^{1}, \mathcal{T}_{t}^{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{t}^{n}\right)$ we require an $n$-parameter Markov process,

$$
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In this case, only some coordinates of the vector may jump together and, if they do, they may jump for different time lengths!

- We proceed to describe our modeling framework in more detail.
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- $\sigma_{i}(x)$ is the state-dependent instantaneous volatility
- $\mu_{i}+k_{i}(x)$ is the state-dependent instantaneous drift, $\mu_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ are constant parameters
- $B_{t}^{i}$ are $n$ independent standard Brownian motions.
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- We model the joint risk-neutral dynamics of stock prices $S_{t}^{i}$ of $n$ firms under an EMM $\mathbb{Q}$ :
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$$

- Multivariate Time Change $\mathcal{T}$.
- $\mathcal{T}$ is an $n$-dimensional subordinator: A n-dimensional subordinator is a Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}=[0, \infty)^{n}$ that is increasing in each of its coordinates.
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- Recall: Each of the $n$ firms may default by time $t$ (and its stock becomes worthless).

Therefore, at time $t$, the firm's stock price is either:

- $S_{t}^{i}>0$ (survival to time $t$, i.e., $\tau_{i}>t$ ) or,
- $S_{t}^{i}=0$ (default by time $t$, i.e., $\tau_{i} \leq t$ ).
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## Multivariate Subordination of Multiparameter Semigroups

- Thus we are interested on calculating expectations of the form
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\text { Multi- } \\
\text { parameter } \\
\text { Semigroup }
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\text { Subord. } \\
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\end{array}}=\underbrace{\mathcal{P}_{t}^{\phi} f}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Subordinated } \\
\text { Semigroup }
\end{array}} \\
& \text { Multivariate Subordination } \\
& \text { of } \\
& \text { Multiparameter Semigroups }
\end{aligned}
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## Spectral Decomposition (I)

- We assume that all $X^{i}$ are 1 D diffusions (symmetric Markov processes) on $(0, \infty)$ such that:
- the semigroups $\mathcal{P}^{i}$ defined in the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_{i}=L^{2}\left((0, \infty), m_{i}\right)$ endowed with the inner products $(f, g)_{m_{i}}=\int_{(0, \infty)} f(x) g(x) m_{i}(x) d x$ are symmetric with respect to the speed density $m(x)$, i.e.,

$$
\left(\mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i} f, g\right)_{m_{i}}=\left(f, \mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i} g\right)_{m_{i}}, \quad \forall t_{i} \geq 0, \& i=1, \ldots, n
$$

## Spectral Decomposition (I)

- We assume that all $X^{i}$ are 1D diffusions (symmetric Markov processes) on $(0, \infty)$ such that:
- the semigroups $\mathcal{P}^{i}$ defined in the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_{i}=L^{2}\left((0, \infty), m_{i}\right)$ endowed with the inner products $(f, g)_{m_{i}}=\int_{(0, \infty)} f(x) g(x) m_{i}(x) d x$ are symmetric with respect to the speed density $m(x)$, i.e.,

$$
\left(\mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i} f, g\right)_{m_{i}}=\left(f, \mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i} g\right)_{m_{i}}, \quad \forall t_{i} \geq 0, \& i=1, \ldots, n
$$

- Then $\mathrm{H}=L^{2}\left((0, \infty)^{n}, m\right)$ is defined on the product space $(0, \infty)^{n}=(0, \infty) \times \ldots \times(0, \infty)$ with the product speed density $m(\mathbf{x})=m_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots m_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and the inner product

$$
(f, g)_{m}=\int_{(0, \infty)^{n}} f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x}) m(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}
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## Spectral Decomposition (II)

- In the special case when each infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ has a purely discrete spectrum with eigenvalues $\left\{-\lambda_{k}^{i}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions $\varphi_{k}^{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$,

$$
\mathcal{G}_{i} \varphi_{k}^{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=-\lambda_{k}^{i} \varphi_{k}^{i}\left(x_{i}\right),
$$
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\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{t}} f=\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} e^{-\langle\lambda, \mathbf{t}\rangle} c_{\mathrm{k}}^{f} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad f \in \mathbf{H}, \quad \mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \geq \mathbf{0}
$$

where $\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}=\sum_{k_{1}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_{n}=1}^{\infty}, \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}$,
the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{k_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k_{n}}^{n}\right) \\
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\end{gathered}
$$

and the expansion coefficients are

$$
c_{\mathbf{k}}^{f}=\left(f, \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}\right)_{m}, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}
$$
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## Spectral Decomposition of the Subordinated Semigroup $\mathcal{P}_{t}^{\phi}$

- Consequently, we can obtain the Spectral Decomposition of the Subordinated Semigroup as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathcal{P}_{t}^{\phi} f & =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2, \ldots, n\}}>t\right\}} f\left(X_{\mathcal{T}_{t}^{1}}^{1}, X_{\mathcal{T}_{t}^{2}}^{2}, \ldots, X_{\mathcal{T}_{t}^{n}}^{n}\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{s}} f \pi_{t}(d \mathbf{s}) & \\
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\text { of the } \\
n-\text { parameter semigroup }
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} e^{-\langle\lambda, \mathbf{s}\rangle} \pi_{t}(d \mathbf{s})\right) c_{\mathbf{k}}^{f} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} & \left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { Spectral representation } \\
\text { of the } \\
n-\text { parameter semigroup }
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { Laplace transform } \\
\text { of the } \\
n-\text { dimensional subordinator }
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} e^{-\phi\left(\lambda_{k_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k_{n}}^{n}\right) t} c_{\mathbf{k}}^{f} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} & \left(\begin{array}{c}
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\text { exponent }
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Remark: When $n=1$ the modeling framework is reduced to the Credit-Equity Model of Mendoza-Arriaga et al. (2009).


## Two Firms Illustration: the JDCEV process

- Recall: we model the joint risk-neutral dynamics of stock prices $S_{t}^{i}$ of 2 firms under an EMM $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$
S_{t}^{i}=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{t<\tau_{i}\right\}} e^{\rho_{i} t} X^{i} \mathcal{T}_{t}^{i} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
e^{\rho_{i} t} X^{i} \mathcal{T}_{t}^{i}, & t<\tau_{i} \\
0, & t \geq \tau_{i}
\end{array}, \quad i=1,2\right.
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- Let $X_{t}^{i} \quad i=1,2$ be Jump-to-Default Extended Constant Elasticity of Variance (JDCEV) processes of Carr \& Linetsky (2006):
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\underline{\underline{d X_{t}}=\left[\mu+k\left(X_{t}\right)\right] X_{t} d t+\sigma\left(X_{t}\right) X_{t} d B_{t}}, \quad X_{0}=x>0
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\sigma(X)=a X^{\beta}
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k(X)=b+c \sigma^{2}(X)
$$
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(Affine function of Variance)

## Two Firms Illustration: the JDCEV process

- Recall: we model the joint risk-neutral dynamics of stock prices $S_{t}^{i}$ of 2 firms under an EMM $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$
S_{t}^{i}=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{t<\tau_{i}\right\}} e^{\rho_{i} t} X^{i} \mathcal{T}_{t}^{i} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
e^{\rho_{i} t} X^{i} \mathcal{T}_{t}^{i}, & t<\tau_{i} \\
0, & t \geq \tau_{i}
\end{array}, \quad i=1,2\right.
$$

- Let $X_{t}^{i} \quad i=1,2$ be Jump-to-Default Extended Constant Elasticity of Variance (JDCEV) processes of Carr \& Linetsky (2006):

$$
\underline{\underline{d X_{t}}=\left[\mu+k\left(X_{t}\right)\right] X_{t} d t+\sigma\left(X_{t}\right) X_{t} d B_{t}}, \quad X_{0}=x>0
$$

$$
\sigma(X)=a X^{\beta}
$$

$$
k(X)=b+c \sigma^{2}(X)
$$

CEV Volatility
(Power function of $X$ )

Killing Rate
(Affine function of Variance)
$a>0 \quad \Rightarrow$ volatility scale parameter (fixing ATM volatility)
$\beta<0 \Rightarrow$ volatility elasticity parameter
$b \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$ constant default intensity
$c \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$ sensitivity of the default intensity to variance
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- Let $X_{t}^{i} \quad i=1,2$ be Jump-to-Default Extended Constant Elasticity of Variance (JDCEV) processes of Carr \& Linetsky (2006):
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- Recall: we model the joint risk-neutral dynamics of stock prices $S_{t}^{i}$ of 2 firms under an EMM $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$
S_{t}^{i}=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{t<\tau_{i}\right\}} e^{\rho_{i} t} X^{i} \mathcal{T}_{t}^{i} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
e^{\rho_{i} t} X^{i} \mathcal{T}_{t}^{i}, & t<\tau_{i} \\
0, & t \geq \tau_{i}
\end{array}, \quad i=1,2\right.
$$

- Let $X_{t}^{i} \quad i=1,2$ be Jump-to-Default Extended Constant Elasticity of Variance (JDCEV) processes of Carr \& Linetsky (2006):

$$
\underline{\underline{d} X_{t}=\left[\mu+k\left(X_{t}\right)\right] X_{t} d t+\sigma\left(X_{t}\right) X_{t} d B_{t}}, \quad X_{0}=x>0
$$

$$
\sigma(X)=a X^{\beta}
$$

$$
k(X)=b+c \sigma^{2}(X)
$$

CEV Volatility Killing Rate
(Power function of $X$ )
(Affine function of Variance)
$a>0 \Rightarrow$ volatility scale parameter (fixing ATM volatility)
$\beta<0 \quad \Rightarrow$ volatility elasticity parameter
$b \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$ constant default intensity
$c \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$ sensitivity of the default intensity to variance

The model is consistent with:
leverage effect $\Rightarrow S \Downarrow \rightarrow \sigma(S) \Uparrow$
stock volatility-credit spreads linkage $\Rightarrow \sigma(S) \Uparrow \leftrightarrow k(S) \Uparrow$

## JDCEV Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions

- When $\mu+b \neq 0$, the spectrum is purely discrete. When $\mu+b<0$, the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are:

$$
\lambda_{n}=\omega(n-1)+\lambda_{1}, \quad \varphi_{n}(x)=A^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)!|\mu+b|}{\Gamma(\nu+n)}} \times L_{n-1}^{\nu}\left(A x^{-2 \beta}\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $L_{n}^{\nu}(x)$ are the generalized Laguerre polynomials.

## JDCEV Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions

- When $\mu+b \neq 0$, the spectrum is purely discrete. When $\mu+b<0$, the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are:

$$
\lambda_{n}=\omega(n-1)+\lambda_{1}, \quad \varphi_{n}(x)=A^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)!|\mu+b|}{\Gamma(\nu+n)}} \times L_{n-1}^{\nu}\left(A x^{-2 \beta}\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $L_{n}^{\nu}(x)$ are the generalized Laguerre polynomials.

- The principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}, A, \nu$ and $\omega$ are,

$$
\lambda_{1}:=|\mu|, \quad A:=\frac{|\mu+b|}{a^{2}|\beta|}, \quad \nu:=\frac{1+2 c}{2|\beta|}, \quad \omega:=2|\beta(\mu+b)|,,
$$
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- When $\mu+b \neq 0$, the spectrum is purely discrete. When $\mu+b<0$, the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are:

$$
\lambda_{n}=\omega(n-1)+\lambda_{1}, \quad \varphi_{n}(x)=A^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)!|\mu+b|}{\Gamma(\nu+n)}} \times L_{n-1}^{\nu}\left(A x^{-2 \beta}\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $L_{n}^{\nu}(x)$ are the generalized Laguerre polynomials.

- The principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}, A, \nu$ and $\omega$ are,

$$
\lambda_{1}:=|\mu|, \quad A:=\frac{|\mu+b|}{a^{2}|\beta|}, \quad \nu:=\frac{1+2 c}{2|\beta|}, \quad \omega:=2|\beta(\mu+b)|,,
$$

- The speed density is defined as,

$$
m(x)=\frac{2}{a^{2}} x^{2 c-2-2 \beta} e^{-A x^{-2 \beta}}
$$

## Ex. Joint Survival Probability

- Then the joint survival probability for two firms by time $t>0$ is given by the eigenfunction expansion $\left(\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(S_{0}^{1}, S_{0}^{2}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\right]
$$
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where $(z)_{n}=z(z-1) \ldots(z-n-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol.
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- The volatility scale parameter $a$ in the local volatility function $\sigma(x)=a x^{\beta}$ is selected so that $\sigma(50)=0.2$.
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- In this specification $S^{1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{2}$ are two idiosyncratic components that influence only the first stock and the second stock, respectively, and
- $\mathcal{S}_{t}^{3}$ is the systematic component common to both stocks.
- The parameter $\eta$ is the decay parameter (damping parameter), which controls large size jumps $\Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{t}^{3}$ exhibits larger jumps.
- Since the drift is zero $(\gamma=0)$ then the time changed processes $X_{\mathcal{T}_{t}^{i}}^{i}$ are pure jump processes


## Numerical Illustration: Survival Probability

- As the sock price falls, the firm's survival probability decreases


Figure: Single-name survival probability $\mathbb{Q}(\tau>t)$ for $t=1$ year as a function of the stock price $S_{0}=x$.

## Numerical Illustration: Joint Survival Probability \& Default Correlation

- As the stock prices fall, the joint survival probability also decreases which, in turn, causes the default correlation to decrease

(a) Joint survival probability.

(b) Correlation of default indicators.

Figure: Joint survival probability $\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right)$ and default correlation $\operatorname{Corr}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{1}>t\right\}}, \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{2}>t\right\}}\right)$ for $t=1$ year as functions of stock prices $S_{0}^{1}$ and $S_{0}^{2}$.

## Numerical Illustration: Joint Survival Probability \& Default Correlation

- As the stock prices fall, the joint survival probability also decreases which, in turn, causes the default correlation to decrease


Figure: Joint survival probability $\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right)$ and default correlation $\operatorname{Corr}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{1}>t\right\}}, \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{2}>t\right\}}\right)$ for $t=1$ year as functions of stock prices $S_{0}^{1}$ and $S_{0}^{2}$.

- When the stock price is relatively high, the default can only be triggered by a large catastrophic jump to zero $\Rightarrow$ the systematic component $\mathcal{S}^{3}$ governs large jumps.
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- As the stock prices fall, the joint survival probability also decreases which, in turn, causes the default correlation to decrease


Figure: Joint survival probability $\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right)$ and default correlation $\operatorname{Corr}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{1}>t\right\}}, \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{2}>t\right\}}\right)$ for $t=1$ year as functions of stock prices $S_{0}^{1}$ and $S_{0}^{2}$.

- When the stock price is relatively high, the default can only be triggered by a large catastrophic jump to zero $\Rightarrow$ the systematic component $\mathcal{S}^{3}$ governs large jumps.
- When the stock price is low, a smaller jump is enough to trigger default $\Rightarrow$ the idiosyncratic components $\mathcal{S}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{2}$ primarily govern small jumps.


## Two Firms Basket Put Option Cbste onion anmel sommen

- Consider a basket put option on the portfolio of two stocks with the payoff at time $t$

$$
f\left(S_{t}^{1}, S_{t}^{2}\right)=\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}-w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

## Two Firms Basket Put Option Costa orion Aminter somions

- Consider a basket put option on the portfolio of two stocks with the payoff at time $t$

$$
f\left(S_{t}^{1}, S_{t}^{2}\right)=\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}-w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

- We observe six contingent claims:


## Two Firms Basket Put Option

- Consider a basket put option on the portfolio of two stocks with the payoff at time $t$

$$
f\left(S_{t}^{1}, S_{t}^{2}\right)=\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}-w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

- We observe six contingent claims:
- One basket put that delivers the payoff if and only if both firms survive to maturity

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}+w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

## Two Firms Basket Put Option Costa orion Anmian smimion

- Consider a basket put option on the portfolio of two stocks with the payoff at time $t$

$$
f\left(S_{t}^{1}, S_{t}^{2}\right)=\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}-w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

- We observe six contingent claims:
- One basket put that delivers the payoff if and only if both firms survive to maturity

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}+w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

- Two single-name puts that deliver the payoffs if and only if both firms survive to maturity

$$
\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau\{1,2\}>t\}}\left(K-w_{k} S_{t}^{k}\right)^{+}, \quad k=1,2
$$

## Two Firms Basket Put Option Costa orion Anmian smimion

- Consider a basket put option on the portfolio of two stocks with the payoff at time $t$

$$
f\left(S_{t}^{1}, S_{t}^{2}\right)=\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}-w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

- We observe six contingent claims:
- One basket put that delivers the payoff if and only if both firms survive to maturity

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}+w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

- Two single-name puts that deliver the payoffs if and only if both firms survive to maturity

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{k} S_{t}^{k}\right)^{+}, \quad k=1,2
$$

- Two single-name puts that deliver the payoffs if and only if the firm whose stock the put is written on survives to maturity.

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{k}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{k} S_{t}^{k}\right)^{+}, \quad k=1,2
$$

## Two Firms Basket Put Option © Bata orion Anmian smimion

- Consider a basket put option on the portfolio of two stocks with the payoff at time $t$

$$
f\left(S_{t}^{1}, S_{t}^{2}\right)=\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}-w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

- We observe six contingent claims:
- One basket put that delivers the payoff if and only if both firms survive to maturity

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}+w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}
$$

- Two single-name puts that deliver the payoffs if and only if both firms survive to maturity

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{k} S_{t}^{k}\right)^{+}, \quad k=1,2
$$

- Two single-name puts that deliver the payoffs if and only if the firm whose stock the put is written on survives to maturity.

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{k}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{k} S_{t}^{k}\right)^{+}, \quad k=1,2
$$

- An embedded multi-name credit derivative

$$
K\left(\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}+1-\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{1}>t\right\}}-\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{2}>t\right\}}\right)=K \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{1} \vee \tau_{2} \leq t\right\}}
$$

## Two Firms Basket Put Option $\rightarrow$ Basket Option: Analytical Solutions
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- We obtained explicit analytical solutions for all these claims.
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Figure: Two-name basket put prices for the range of initial stock prices $S_{0}^{1}$ and $S_{0}^{2}$ from zero to $\$ 60$ for one year time to maturity and $K=100$.
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- When both firms are in default, $\left(S_{0}^{1}, S_{0}^{2}\right)=(0,0)$, the price of the basket put is equal to the discounted strike $K$.
- When one of the two firms is in default, the basket put reduces to the single-name European-style put on the surviving stock with the strike $K$.
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## Conclusion

- We propose a modeling framework based on multi-variate subordination of diffusion processes.
(1) We start with $n$ independent jump-to-default extended diffusions for $n$ stocks.
(2) Then we time change each one with a coordinate of a $n$-dimensional Subordinator
$\Rightarrow$ the result is multi-name credit-equity model with dependent jumps and jumps-to-default for all stocks.
- The dependence among jumps is governed by the Lévy measure of the $n$-dimensional subordinator.
- The semigroup theory provides powerful analytical and computational tools for securities pricing.
- Thank you!


## Multiparameter Semigroup

```
Return
```

- If $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right\}$ is a $n$-parameter strongly continuous semigroup on a Banach space $\mathbf{B}$, then:


## Multiparameter Semigroup

```
Return
```

- If $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right\}$ is a $n$-parameter strongly continuous semigroup on a Banach space $\mathbf{B}$, then:
$\Rightarrow$ it is the product of $n$ one-parameter strongly continuous semigroups $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{t}^{i}, t \geq 0\right\}$ on $\mathbf{B}$ with infinitesimal generators $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ with domains $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right) \subset \mathbf{B}$.


## Multiparameter Semigroup

## Return

- If $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right\}$ is a $n$-parameter strongly continuous semigroup on a Banach space $\mathbf{B}$, then:
$\Rightarrow$ it is the product of $n$ one-parameter strongly continuous semigroups $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{t}^{i}, t \geq 0\right\}$ on $\mathbf{B}$ with infinitesimal generators $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ with domains $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right) \subset \mathbf{B}$.
- That is, for $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ we have:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{t}}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i}
$$

and the semigroup operators $\mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i}$ commute with each other, $t_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$.

## Multiparameter Semigroup

## Return

- If $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right\}$ is a $n$-parameter strongly continuous semigroup on a Banach space $\mathbf{B}$, then:
$\Rightarrow$ it is the product of $n$ one-parameter strongly continuous semigroups $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{t}^{i}, t \geq 0\right\}$ on $\mathbf{B}$ with infinitesimal generators $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ with domains $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right) \subset \mathbf{B}$.
- That is, for $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ we have:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{t}}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i}
$$

and the semigroup operators $\mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i}$ commute with each other, $t_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$.

- In our case, the expectation operators associated with the Markov processes $X^{i}$ define the corresponding semigroups $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i}, t_{i} \geq 0\right\}$,

$$
\mathcal{P}_{t_{i}}^{i} f\left(x_{i}\right):=\mathbb{E}_{x_{i}}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\zeta_{i}>t_{i}\right\}} f\left(X_{t_{i}}^{i}\right)\right], \quad x_{i} \in E_{i}, \quad t_{i} \geq 0
$$

in Banach spaces of bounded Borel measurable functions on $E_{i}$.

## Two Firms Basket Put Option Cram

- The embedded multi-name credit derivative with the notional amount equal to the strike price $K$ and paid at maturity if both firms default

$$
e^{-r t} \mathbb{E}\left[K 1_{\left\{\tau_{1} \vee \tau_{2} \leq t\right\}}\right]=e^{-r t} K\left(1+\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right)-\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau_{1}>t\right)-\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau_{2}>t\right)\right)
$$

where the joint survival probability $\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right)$ and marginal survival probabilities $\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau_{k}>t\right), k=1,2$; were given earlier.
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\begin{gathered}
e^{-r t} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}+w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}\right] \\
=e^{-r t} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}=1}^{\infty} e^{-\phi\left(\lambda_{n_{1}}^{1}, \lambda_{n_{2}}^{2}\right) t} \underbrace{c_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(K)} \varphi_{n_{1}}^{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \varphi_{n_{1}}^{2}\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Where the expansion coefficient $c_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(K)$ is given by,

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(K)=\left(\left(K-w_{1} x_{1}-w_{2} x_{2}\right)^{+}, \varphi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{\mathbf{m}} \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(K-w_{1} x_{1}-w_{2} x_{2}\right)^{+} \varphi_{n_{1}}^{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \varphi_{n_{2}}^{2}\left(x_{2}\right) m_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) m_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Two Firms Basket Put Option ©

- The basket put that delivers the payoff if and only if both firms survive to maturity

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{-r t} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}+w_{2} S_{t}^{2}\right)^{+}\right] \\
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\end{gathered}
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- Where the expansion coefficient $c_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(K)$ is given by,

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(K)=\left(\left(K-w_{1} x_{1}-w_{2} x_{2}\right)^{+}, \varphi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{\mathbf{m}} \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}}\left(K-w_{1} x_{1}-w_{2} x_{2}\right)^{+} \varphi_{n_{1}}^{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \varphi_{n_{2}}^{2}\left(x_{2}\right) m_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) m_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
=K \prod_{k=1}^{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+n_{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(n_{k}\right)\left|\mu_{k}+b_{k}\right|}} \frac{2\left|\beta_{k}\right| A_{k}^{\frac{\nu_{k}}{2}+1} \tilde{K}_{k}^{2 c_{k}-2 \beta_{k}}}{\Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+1\right)}\right) \\
\times \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p_{1}+p_{2}}\left(\nu_{1}+n_{1}\right)_{p_{1}}\left(\nu_{2}+n_{2}\right)_{p_{2}}}{\left(\nu_{1}+1\right)_{p_{1}} p_{1}!\left(\nu_{2}+1\right)_{p_{2}} p_{2}!}\left(A_{1}^{-2 \beta_{1}}\right)^{p_{1}} d\left(A_{2} \tilde{K}_{2}^{-2 \beta_{2}}\right)^{p_{2}} \\
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\end{gathered}
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where $\tilde{K}_{k}=e^{-\rho_{k} t} K / w_{k}$.
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$$
e^{-r t} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{k}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{k} S_{t}^{k}\right)^{+}\right]=e^{-r t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\phi_{k}\left(\lambda_{n}^{k}\right) t} \underbrace{p_{n}^{k}(K)} \varphi_{n}^{k}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

- Where the expansion coefficient $p_{n}^{k}(K)$ is given as,

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{n}^{k}(K)=\left(\left(K-w_{k} x_{k}\right)^{+}, \varphi_{n}^{k}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)_{m_{k}} \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(K-w_{k} x_{k}\right)^{+} \varphi_{n}^{k}\left(x_{k}\right) m_{k}\left(x_{k}\right) d x_{k} \\
\left.=K \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+n\right)}{\Gamma(n)\left|\mu_{k}+b_{k}\right|} \frac{A_{k}^{\frac{\nu_{k}}{2}+1} \tilde{K}_{k}^{2\left(c_{k}-\beta_{k}\right)}}{\Gamma\left(\nu_{k}+1\right)} \times} \begin{array}{c}
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\left(1+c_{k} /\left|\beta_{k}\right|\right) \\
2
\end{array} F_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\nu_{k}+n, & \nu_{k}+1-\frac{1}{2\left|\beta_{k}\right|} \\
\nu_{k}+1, & \nu_{k}+2-\frac{1}{2\left|\beta_{k}\right|}
\end{array}-A_{k} \tilde{K}_{k}^{-2 \beta_{k}}\right)\right. \\
-\frac{1}{\left(\nu_{k}+1\right)}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\nu_{k}+n \\
\nu_{k}+2
\end{array} ;-A_{k} \tilde{K}_{k}^{-2 \beta_{k}}\right)
\end{array}\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where ${ }_{1} F_{1}$ and ${ }_{2} F_{2}$ are the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function and the generalized hypergeometric function, respectively; and $\tilde{K}_{k}=e^{-\rho_{k} t} K / w_{k}$.

## Two Firms Basket Put Option

- The single-name put on the stock $S^{1}$ that delivers the payoff if and only if both firms survive:

$$
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## Two Firms Basket Put Option

- The single-name put on the stock $S^{1}$ that delivers the payoff if and only if both firms survive:

$$
e^{-r t} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{\{1,2\}}>t\right\}}\left(K-w_{1} S_{t}^{1}\right)^{+}\right]=e^{-r t} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}=1}^{\infty} e^{-\phi\left(\lambda_{n_{1}}^{1}, \lambda_{n_{2}}^{2}\right) t} \underbrace{p_{n_{1}}^{1}(K) c_{n_{2}}^{2}} \varphi_{n_{1}}^{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \varphi_{n_{2}}^{2}\left(x_{2}\right),
$$

- where $c_{n}^{2}$ are the coefficients of the expansion for the survival probability of the second stock and,
- $p_{n}^{1}(K)$ are the expansion coefficients for the single-name put on the first stock.

