## Mixed norms and entropy

Marius Junge

University of Illinois

Fields Institute Toronto, July

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

Mixed norms and entropy

## Motivation

- ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

 $\mathbb{A}$  A classical channel is map  $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  which is positivity preserving and state preserving.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

- A classical channel is map  $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  which is positivity preserving and state preserving.
- T is positivity preserving (short positive) if  $f \ge 0$  implies  $T(f) \ge 0$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ のへで

- A classical channel is map  $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  which is positivity preserving and state preserving.
- T is positivity preserving (short positive) if  $f \ge 0$  implies  $T(f) \ge 0$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ のへで

<sup>∞</sup> *T* is state preserving if  $f \ge 0$  and  $\sum_k f(k) = 1$  implies  $\sum_j T(f)(j) = 1$ .

- A classical channel is map  $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  which is positivity preserving and state preserving.
- T is positivity preserving (short positive) if  $f \ge 0$  implies  $T(f) \ge 0$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- S T is state preserving if f ≥ 0 and  $\sum_k f(k) = 1$  implies  $\sum_j T(f)(j) = 1$ .
- S A channel is given by a matrix  $T(f)(j) = \sum_{jk} a_{jk} f_k$  such that  $a_{jk} \ge 0$  and  $\sum_j a_{jk} = 1$  for all *j*.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

æ

figure If  $f = (f_k)$  is a state. Then the entropy is given by

$$S(f) = \sum_{k} f_k(-\ln f_k) \, .$$

figure If  $f = (f_k)$  is a state. Then the entropy is given by

$$S(f) = \sum_{k} f_k(-\ln f_k) \, .$$

 $\square$  Note: Let  $\ell_p^n$  be  $\mathbb{C}^n$  equipped with the *p*-norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\sum_k |f_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

3

• = • •

rightarrow If  $f = (f_k)$  is a state. Then the entropy is given by

$$S(f) = \sum_{k} f_k(-\ln f_k) .$$

 $\square$  Note: Let  $\ell_p^n$  be  $\mathbb{C}^n$  equipped with the *p*-norm

$$\|f\|_{p} = \left(\sum_{k} |f_{k}|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$

Then  $\sum_k f_k = 1$  implies

$$S(f) = -\frac{d}{dp} \|f\|_p\Big|_{p=1}$$

.

▲ロト ▲園ト ★臣ト ★臣ト ―臣 ― のへで

 $\blacksquare$  The minimal entropy of a channel is

$$S_{min}(T) = \min_{f \text{ state}} S(T(f))$$
.



➡ The minimal entropy of a channel is

$$S_{min}(T) = \min_{f \text{ state}} S(T(f)).$$

Key observation

$$-S_{min}(T) = \max_{f} \frac{d}{dp} \|T(f)\|_{p} \bigg|_{p=1} = \frac{d}{dp} \|T:\ell_{1} \to \ell_{p}\| \bigg|_{p=1}$$

•

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The minimal entropy of a channel is

$$S_{min}(T) = \min_{f \text{ state}} S(T(f)).$$

Key observation

$$-S_{min}(T) = \max_{f} \frac{d}{dp} \|T(f)\|_{p} \bigg|_{p=1} = \frac{d}{dp} \|T:\ell_{1} \to \ell_{p}\| \bigg|_{p=1}$$

•

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

Here the norm of a map  $T: X \to Y$  is given by

$$||T|| = \sup_{||x|| \le 1} ||Tx||_{Y}.$$

э

The norm in  $\ell_p(\ell_q)$  is given by

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} = \left(\sum_i (\sum_j |a_{ij}|^q)^{p/q}\right)^{1/q}$$

3

•

The norm in  $\ell_p(\ell_q)$  is given by

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} = \left(\sum_i (\sum_j |a_{ij}|^q)^{p/q}\right)^{1/q}$$

a) Let  $p \leq q$ .

3

•

The norm in  $\ell_p(\ell_q)$  is given by

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} \;=\; \left(\sum_i (\sum_j |a_{ij}|^q)^{p/q} 
ight)^{1/q}$$

a) Let  $p \leq q$ . Then

$$\ell_{\mathcal{P}}(\ell_q) \subset \ell_q(\ell_{\mathcal{P}}) \quad (a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ji}) \ .$$

3

★ ∃ >

The norm in  $\ell_p(\ell_q)$  is given by

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} \;=\; \left(\sum_i (\sum_j |a_{ij}|^q)^{p/q} 
ight)^{1/q}$$

a) Let  $p \leq q$ . Then

$$\ell_{\mathcal{P}}(\ell_q) \subset \ell_q(\ell_{\mathcal{P}}) \quad (a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ji}) \ .$$

(That follows is the triangle inequality in  $\ell_{p/q}$ .)

3

The norm in  $\ell_p(\ell_q)$  is given by

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} \;=\; \left(\sum_i (\sum_j |a_{ij}|^q)^{p/q} 
ight)^{1/q}$$

a) Let  $p \leq q$ . Then

$$\ell_p(\ell_q) \subset \ell_q(\ell_p) \quad (a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ji}) \ .$$

(That follows is the triangle inequality in  $\ell_{p/q}$ .) b) Let  $T: \ell_{q_1} \to \ell_{q_2}$ .

3

The norm in  $\ell_p(\ell_q)$  is given by

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} \;=\; \left(\sum_i (\sum_j |a_{ij}|^q)^{p/q} 
ight)^{1/q}$$

a) Let  $p \leq q$ . Then

$$\ell_{\mathcal{P}}(\ell_q) \subset \ell_q(\ell_{\mathcal{P}}) \quad (a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ji}) \ .$$

(That follows is the triangle inequality in  $\ell_{p/q}$ .) b) Let  $T : \ell_{q_1} \to \ell_{q_2}$ . Then

$$\|id\otimes T:\ell_p(\ell_{q_1})\to\ell_p(\ell_{q_2})\| = \|T\|.$$

3

The norm in  $\ell_p(\ell_q)$  is given by

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} \;=\; \left(\sum_i (\sum_j |a_{ij}|^q)^{p/q} 
ight)^{1/q}$$

a) Let  $p \leq q$ . Then

$$\ell_{\mathcal{P}}(\ell_q) \subset \ell_q(\ell_{\mathcal{P}}) \quad (a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ji}) \ .$$

(That follows is the triangle inequality in  $\ell_{p/q}$ .) b) Let  $T : \ell_{q_1} \to \ell_{q_2}$ . Then

$$\|\operatorname{id} \otimes T : \ell_p(\ell_{q_1}) \to \ell_p(\ell_{q_2})\| = \|T\|.$$

For  $T(f)(j) = \sum_k a_{jk} f_k$  we have

$$(id \otimes T)((f_{ik}))_{i,k} = (\sum_{k} a_{jk}f_{ik})_{ij}.$$

3

5 / 20

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回

æ

## **Theorem:** Let $p \leq q$ and $T : \ell_p^n \to \ell_q^n$ , $S : \ell_p^m \to \ell_q^m$ .

(日) (周) (三) (三)

**Theorem:** Let  $p \leq q$  and  $T : \ell_p^n \to \ell_q^n$ ,  $S : \ell_p^m \to \ell_q^m$ . Then

$$\|T\otimes S:\ell_p^{nm}\to\ell_q^{nm}\|\ =\ \|T\|\|S\|\ .$$

**Proof:** Let flip:  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  be the flip map flip $(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

**Theorem:** Let  $p \leq q$  and  $T : \ell_p^n \to \ell_q^n$ ,  $S : \ell_p^m \to \ell_q^m$ . Then

$$\|T\otimes S:\ell_p^{nm}\to\ell_q^{nm}\| = \|T\|\|S\|.$$

**Proof:** Let flip :  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  be the flip map flip $(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$ . Then by Minkowski

$$\|\operatorname{flip}(\operatorname{id} \otimes S) : \ell_p^{nm} \to \ell_q^m(\ell_p^n)\| \leq \|S\|,$$

and

$$\|\operatorname{flip}(\operatorname{id} \otimes T) : \ell_q^m(\ell_p^n) \to \ell_q^{nm}\| \leq \|T\|.$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

**Theorem:** Let  $p \leq q$  and  $T : \ell_p^n \to \ell_q^n$ ,  $S : \ell_p^m \to \ell_q^m$ . Then

$$\|T\otimes S:\ell_p^{nm}\to\ell_q^{nm}\| = \|T\|\|S\|.$$

**Proof:** Let flip :  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  be the flip map flip $(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$ . Then by Minkowski

$$\|\operatorname{flip}(\operatorname{id} \otimes S) : \ell_p^{nm} \to \ell_q^m(\ell_p^n)\| \leq \|S\|,$$

and

$$\|\operatorname{flip}(\operatorname{id} \otimes T) : \ell_q^m(\ell_p^n) \to \ell_q^{nm}\| \leq \|T\|.$$

Hence  $T \otimes S = flip(id \otimes T) flip(id \otimes S)$  satisfies

 $\|T\otimes S\| \leq \|T\|\|S\|.$ 

6 / 20

#### Let S, T be channels

$$-S_{\min}(S \otimes T)$$

$$\frac{d}{dp} \| T \otimes S : \ell_1^{nm} \to \ell_p^{nm} \| \Big|_{p=1}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dp} \| T : \ell_1^n \to \ell_p^n \| \Big|_{p=1} \| S : \ell_1^m \to \ell_p^m \|$$

$$= \| T : \ell_1^n \to \ell_1^n \| \frac{d}{dp} \| S : \ell_1^m \to \ell_p^m \| \Big|_{p=1}$$

$$+ \frac{d}{dp} \| T : \ell_1^n \to \ell_p^n \| \Big|_{p=1} \| S : \ell_1^m \to \ell_1^m \|$$

$$= -S_{\min}(T) - S_{\min}(S) .$$

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

Let  $V_p$  a family of spaces and ||| ||| a norm on linear maps such that

э

Let  $V_p$  a family of spaces and ||| ||| a norm on linear maps such that

 $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{D}}$  Let  $V_p(V_q) \subset$  a family of spaces such that

 $V_p(V_q) \subset V_q(V_p)$ 

Let  $V_p$  a family of spaces and ||| |||| a norm on linear maps such that

∠ Let  $V_p(V_q) \subset$  a family of spaces such that

 $V_p(V_q) \subset V_q(V_p)$ 

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{D}} \text{ and } ||| \operatorname{id} \otimes T : V_r(X) \to V_r(Y) ||| = ||| T |||.$$

Theorem: Under the assumptions above

$$S_{\min}(T) = -\frac{d}{dp} \parallel T : V_1 \to V_p \parallel$$

is additive on the class of maps satisfying  $||| ~\mathcal{T}: ~V_1 \rightarrow ~V_1 \,||| = 1.$ 

### Quantum



Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

Mixed norms and entropy

A channel is a completely positive map  $\Phi: M_n \to M_n$  such that

$$tr(\Phi(f)) = tr(f)$$

holds for every state f.

A channel is a completely positive map  $\Phi: M_n \to M_n$  such that

$$tr(\Phi(f)) = tr(f)$$

holds for every state f. The energy of f is given by

$$S(f) = -tr(f \ln(f)) = -\frac{d}{dp}tr(f^{p})^{1/p}\Big|_{p=1}$$

A channel is a completely positive map  $\Phi: M_n \to M_n$  such that

$$tr(\Phi(f)) = tr(f)$$

holds for every state f. The energy of f is given by

$$S(f) = -tr(f \ln(f)) = -\frac{d}{dp}tr(f^{p})^{1/p}\Big|_{p=1}$$

The minimal energy of  $\Phi$  is given by

$$S_{min}(\Phi) = \inf_{tr(f)=1} S(\Phi(f)).$$

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

10 / 20

.

#### One can not have it all

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

æ
Theorem (Haydon) The p norm

$$\|\Phi: L_1(M_n, tr) \rightarrow L_p(M_n, tr)\|$$

is not multiplicative.

Theorem (Haydon) The p norm

$$\|\Phi: L_1(M_n, tr) \rightarrow L_p(M_n, tr)\|$$

is not multiplicative.

Theorem (Hastings) The minimal entropy is not additive.

Theorem (Haydon) The p norm

$$\|\Phi: L_1(M_n, tr) \rightarrow L_p(M_n, tr)\|$$

is not multiplicative.

Theorem (Hastings) The minimal entropy is not additive.

**Conclusion:** There is no family of norms on  $L_p(M_n, tr) \otimes L_q(M_n, tr)$  satisfying Minkowski's inequality and

$$\|id \otimes \Phi: L_p(L_1) \to L_p(L_q)\| = \|\Phi\|.$$

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

æ

< ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

\* Let 
$$p \le q$$
 and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s}$ . Then  
 $\|x\|_{L_p[L_q]} = \inf_{x=(a \otimes 1)y(b \otimes 1)} \|a\|_{2s} \|y\|_{L_q(M_n \otimes M_m)} \|b\|_{2s}$ .

æ

< ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

\* Let 
$$p \le q$$
 and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s}$ . Then  
 $\|x\|_{L_p[L_q]} = \inf_{x=(a \otimes 1)y(b \otimes 1)} \|a\|_{2s} \|y\|_{L_q(M_n \otimes M_m)} \|b\|_{2s}$ .

For positive matrix  $x \in M_{nm}$  we may assume  $a = b^*$ .

\* Let 
$$p \le q$$
 and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s}$ . Then  

$$\|x\|_{L_p[L_q]} = \inf_{\substack{x = (a \otimes 1)y(b \otimes 1)}} \|a\|_{2s} \|y\|_{L_q(M_n \otimes M_m)} \|b\|_{2s}.$$
For positive matrix  $x \in M_{nm}$  we may assume  $a = b^*$ .

\* Let  $p \ge q$  and  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s}$ . Then  $\|x\|_{L_p[L_q]} = \sup_{\|a\|_{2s}\|b\|_{2s} \le 1} \|(a \otimes 1)y(b \otimes 1)\|_{L_q(M_n \otimes M_m)}$ .

12 / 20

 $\|x\|_{L_p[L_q]} = \sup_{\|a\|_{2s}\|b\|_{2s} \leq 1} \|(a \otimes 1)y(b \otimes 1)\|_{L_q(M_n \otimes M_m)}.$ 

For positive matrix  $x \in M_{nm}$  we may assume  $a = b^*$ .

\* Let 
$$p \le q$$
 and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s}$ . Then  
 $\|x\|_{L_p[L_q]} = \inf_{\substack{x=(a \otimes 1)y(b \otimes 1)}} \|a\|_{2s} \|y\|_{L_q(M_n \otimes M_m)} \|b\|_{2s}$ .

For positive matrix  $x \in M_{nm}$  we may assume  $a = b^*$ . **\*** Let  $p \ge q$  and  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s}$ . Then  $\|x\|_{L_p[L_q]} = \sup_{\|a\|_{2s}\|b\|_{2s} \le 1} \|(a \otimes 1)y(b \otimes 1)\|_{L_q(M_n \otimes M_m)}$ .

For positive matrix  $x \in M_{nm}$  we may assume  $a = b^*$ .

★ (Theorem) For  $T : L_{p_1}(M_n) \rightarrow L_{p_2}(M_n)$  the expression

 $|||T||| = \sup_{m} ||id \otimes T : L_q(M_m)[L_{p_1}(M_n)] \to L_q(M_m)[L_{p_2}(M_n)]||$ 

is independent of q.

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

æ

Minkowski inequality;

- Minkowski inequality;
- \* Stability by *cb*-maps,

э

- Minkowski inequality;
- \* Stability by *cb*-maps, i.e.  $||| id_{L_q} \otimes \Phi ||| = ||| \Phi |||;$

- Minkowski inequality;
- \* Stability by *cb*-maps, i.e.  $||| id_{L_q} \otimes \Phi ||| = ||| \Phi |||;$
- \* Duality  $L_p[L_q]^* = L_{p'}[L_{q'}]$

- Minkowski inequality;
- \* Stability by *cb*-maps, i.e.  $||| id_{L_q} \otimes \Phi ||| = ||| \Phi |||;$
- **\*** Duality  $L_p[L_q]^* = L_{p'}[L_{q'}] \ (\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1);$

- Minkowski inequality;
- \* Stability by *cb*-maps, i.e.  $||| id_{L_q} \otimes \Phi ||| = ||| \Phi |||;$
- \* Duality  $L_p[L_q]^* = L_{p'}[L_{q'}] (\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1);$
- \*  $\Phi: L_{p_1} \rightarrow L_{p_2}$  bounded and completely positive. Then

$$\|\Phi: L_{p_1}[L_q] \to L_{p_2}[L_q]\| = \|\Phi\|.$$

- Minkowski inequality;
- \* Stability by *cb*-maps, i.e.  $||| id_{L_q} \otimes \Phi ||| = ||| \Phi |||;$
- \* Duality  $L_p[L_q]^* = L_{p'}[L_{q'}] (\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1);$

\*  $\Phi: L_{p_1} \rightarrow L_{p_2}$  bounded and completely positive. Then

$$\|\Phi: L_{p_1}[L_q] \to L_{p_2}[L_q]\| = \|\Phi\|.$$

\*  $p \geq q$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}$  completely positive.

- Minkowski inequality;
- \* Stability by *cb*-maps, i.e.  $||| id_{L_q} \otimes \Phi ||| = ||| \Phi |||;$
- \* Duality  $L_p[L_q]^* = L_{p'}[L_{q'}] (\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1);$

\*  $\Phi: L_{p_1} \rightarrow L_{p_2}$  bounded and completely positive. Then

$$\|\Phi: L_{p_1}[L_q] \to L_{p_2}[L_q]\| = \|\Phi\|.$$

 $lpha \ p \ \geq \ q, \ \Phi, \ ilde{\Phi}$  completely positive. Then

$$\|\Phi\otimes \tilde{\Phi}: L_p(M_{n^2}) \to L_q(M_{n^2})\| = \|\Phi\|\|\tilde{\Phi}\|;$$

13 / 20

- Minkowski inequality;
- \* Stability by *cb*-maps, i.e.  $||| id_{L_q} \otimes \Phi ||| = ||| \Phi |||;$
- \* Duality  $L_p[L_q]^* = L_{p'}[L_{q'}] (\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1);$

\*  $\Phi: L_{p_1} \rightarrow L_{p_2}$  bounded and completely positive. Then

$$\|\Phi: L_{p_1}[L_q] \to L_{p_2}[L_q]\| = \|\Phi\|.$$

**\***  $p \geq q$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}$  completely positive. Then

$$\|\Phi\otimes \tilde{\Phi}: L_p(M_{n^2}) \to L_q(M_{n^2})\| = \|\Phi\|\|\tilde{\Phi}\|;$$

Interpolation, and connection with Haagerup tensor product.

# Cb-entropy

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

æ

#### **Theorem (D-J-K-R)** Let $\Phi$ be a channel. The cb-entropy

$$S_{cb}(\Phi) = -\frac{d}{dp} \| id \otimes \Phi : L_1(M_n \otimes M_n) \to L_1[L_p] \| \Big|_{p=1}$$

is additive.

э

**Theorem (D-J-K-R)** Let  $\Phi$  be a channel. The cb-entropy

$$S_{cb}(\Phi) = -\frac{d}{dp} \| id \otimes \Phi : L_1(M_n \otimes M_n) \to L_1[L_p] \| \Big|_{p=1}$$

is additive.

Proof: Pisier's norm satisfies the Minkowski inequality

 $L_p[L_q] \subset_{cb} L_q[L_p]$ .

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

14 / 20

**Theorem (D-J-K-R)** Let  $\Phi$  be a channel. The cb-entropy

$$S_{cb}(\Phi) = -\frac{d}{dp} \| id \otimes \Phi : L_1(M_n \otimes M_n) \to L_1[L_p] \| \Big|_{p=1}$$

is additive.

Proof: Pisier's norm satisfies the Minkowski inequality

$$L_p[L_q] \subset_{cb} L_q[L_p]$$
.

Warning:  $S_{cb}(id_{M_n}) = -\ln n$ .

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

Let  $\Phi: M_n \to M_n$  be a channel.

э

Let  $\Phi: M_n \to M_n$  be a channel. Let  $(e_{ij})$  be the matrix units.

Let  $\Phi: M_n \to M_n$  be a channel. Let  $(e_{ij})$  be the matrix units. Then

$$x = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes \Phi(e_{ij})$$

is the Choi-matrix.

Let  $\Phi: M_n \to M_n$  be a channel. Let  $(e_{ij})$  be the matrix units. Then

$$x = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes \Phi(e_{ij})$$

is the Choi-matrix.  $e_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j|$ 

For positive x we know from operator space theory that

Let  $\Phi: M_n \to M_n$  be a channel. Let  $(e_{ij})$  be the matrix units. Then

$$x = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes \Phi(e_{ij})$$

is the Choi-matrix.  $e_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j|$ 

For positive x we know from operator space theory that

$$\| | \Phi : L_1 \to L_p || = ||\Phi||_{cb} = \sup_{||a||_{2p'}=1} ||(a^* \otimes 1)x(a \otimes 1)||_{L_p(M_n \otimes M_n)};$$

Let  $\Phi: M_n \to M_n$  be a channel. Let  $(e_{ij})$  be the matrix units. Then

$$x = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes \Phi(e_{ij})$$

is the Choi-matrix.  $e_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j|$ 

For positive x we know from operator space theory that

$$\| | \Phi : L_1 \to L_p \| | = \| \Phi \|_{cb} = \sup_{\|a\|_{2p'} = 1} \| (a^* \otimes 1) x (a \otimes 1) \|_{L_p(M_n \otimes M_n)};$$

$$\begin{split} \||\Phi: L_1 \to L_p||| &= \\ \sup_{\sum_k \|h_k\|^2 = 1} \inf_{\|a\|_{2p'} = 1} \|\sum_k a^{-1} e_{kj} a^{-1} \otimes \Phi(|h_k\rangle\langle h_j|)\|_p \end{split}$$

15 / 20

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

æ

The first expression becomes

$$\omega_{p}(\Phi) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}} \frac{\|id \otimes \Phi(|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|)\|_{p}}{\||(id \otimes tr)(\psi\rangle \langle \psi|)\|_{p}}$$

э

•

The first expression becomes

$$\omega_{p}(\Phi) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}} \frac{\|id \otimes \Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)\|_{p}}{\||(id \otimes tr)(\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)\|_{p}}$$

For  $\psi$  and  $\Phi$  fixed, let  $\gamma_{12} = id \otimes \Phi(|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|)$ ,

The first expression becomes

$$\omega_p(\Phi) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n} \frac{\|id \otimes \Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)\|_p}{\||(id \otimes tr)(\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)\|_p}$$

For  $\psi$  and  $\Phi$  fixed, let  $\gamma_{12} = id \otimes \Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ ,  $\gamma_1 = (id \otimes tr)(\gamma_{12})$  and  $\gamma_2 = (tr \otimes id)(\gamma_{12})$ .

The first expression becomes

$$\omega_{p}(\Phi) \ = \ \sup_{\psi \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}} rac{\|\mathit{id} \otimes \Phi(|\psi 
angle \langle \psi|)\|_{p}}{\||(\mathit{id} \otimes tr)(\psi 
angle \langle \psi|)\|_{p}} \ .$$

For  $\psi$  and  $\Phi$  fixed, let  $\gamma_{12} = id \otimes \Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ ,  $\gamma_1 = (id \otimes tr)(\gamma_{12})$  and  $\gamma_2 = (tr \otimes id)(\gamma_{12})$ . Then we should compare

$$-S_{cb}(\Phi) = \sup_{\psi}(S(\gamma_1) - S(\gamma_{12}))$$

The first expression becomes

$$\omega_{p}(\Phi) \ = \ \sup_{\psi \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}} rac{\|\mathit{id} \otimes \Phi(|\psi 
angle \langle \psi|)\|_{p}}{\||(\mathit{id} \otimes tr)(\psi 
angle \langle \psi|)\|_{p}} \ .$$

For  $\psi$  and  $\Phi$  fixed, let  $\gamma_{12} = id \otimes \Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ ,  $\gamma_1 = (id \otimes tr)(\gamma_{12})$  and  $\gamma_2 = (tr \otimes id)(\gamma_{12})$ . Then we should compare

$$-S_{cb}(\Phi) = \sup_{\psi} (S(\gamma_1) - S(\gamma_{12}))$$

with the capacity of a channel for transmission of classical information with unlimited entanglement

$$C_{EA}(\Phi) = \sup_{\psi} (S(\gamma_1) + S(\gamma_2) - S(\gamma_{12}))$$
The first expression becomes

$$\omega_{m{
ho}}(\Phi) \ = \ \sup_{\psi \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n} rac{\|\mathit{id} \otimes \Phi(|\psi 
angle \langle \psi|)\|_{m{
ho}}}{\||(\mathit{id} \otimes tr)(\psi 
angle \langle \psi|)\|_{m{
ho}}} \ .$$

For  $\psi$  and  $\Phi$  fixed, let  $\gamma_{12} = id \otimes \Phi(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ ,  $\gamma_1 = (id \otimes tr)(\gamma_{12})$  and  $\gamma_2 = (tr \otimes id)(\gamma_{12})$ . Then we should compare

$$-S_{cb}(\Phi) = \sup_{\psi}(S(\gamma_1) - S(\gamma_{12}))$$

with the capacity of a channel for transmission of classical information with unlimited entanglement

$$C_{EA}(\Phi) = \sup_{\psi} (S(\gamma_1) + S(\gamma_2) - S(\gamma_{12}))$$

and the coherent information

$$C_Q(\Phi) = \sup_{\psi} (S(\gamma_2) - S(\gamma_{12}))$$

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

2

Let G be a finite group and  $\lambda(g)e_h = e_{gh}$  the left regular representation on  $\ell_2(G)$ .

3

Let G be a finite group and  $\lambda(g)e_h = e_{gh}$  the left regular representation on  $\ell_2(G)$ . Let

$$au(\sum_{g} a_g \lambda(g)) = a_1$$

be the normalized trace.

Let G be a finite group and  $\lambda(g)e_h = e_{gh}$  the left regular representation on  $\ell_2(G)$ . Let

$$au(\sum_{g} a_{g}\lambda(g)) = a_{1}$$

be the normalized trace. Let  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$  be a positive definite function and

$$\Phi_f(a) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\lambda(g)^{-1}x\lambda(g)$$
.

#### Theorem

(with Neufang and Ruan) For  $\lambda(f) = \sum_{g} f(g)\lambda(g)$  and positive definite f with f(e) = 1 we have  $S_{cb}(\Phi_f) = -\tau(\lambda(f) \ln \lambda(f))$ 

くぼう くほう くほう

Let G be a finite group and  $\lambda(g)e_h = e_{gh}$  the left regular representation on  $\ell_2(G)$ . Let

$$au(\sum_{g} a_{g}\lambda(g)) = a_{1}$$

be the normalized trace. Let  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$  be a positive definite function and

$$\Phi_f(a) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\lambda(g)^{-1}x\lambda(g)$$
.

#### Theorem

(with Neufang and Ruan) For  $\lambda(f) = \sum_{g} f(g)\lambda(g)$  and positive definite f with f(e) = 1 we have  $S_{cb}(\Phi_f) = -\tau(\lambda(f) \ln \lambda(f))$ 

a) Note that classical entropy is 0.

くぼう くほう くほう

Let G be a finite group and  $\lambda(g)e_h = e_{gh}$  the left regular representation on  $\ell_2(G)$ . Let

$$au(\sum_{g} a_{g}\lambda(g)) = a_{1}$$

be the normalized trace. Let  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$  be a positive definite function and

$$\Phi_f(a) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\lambda(g)^{-1}x\lambda(g)$$
.

#### Theorem

(with Neufang and Ruan) For  $\lambda(f) = \sum_{g} f(g)\lambda(g)$  and positive definite f with f(e) = 1 we have  $S_{cb}(\Phi_f) = -\tau(\lambda(f) \ln \lambda(f))$ 

a) Note that classical entropy is 0. b) The result also holds for finite quantum groups and should give new channels with good error correction properties.

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

2

Theorem: (CL-2008) The expression

$$\|x\|_{L^+_p(L_q)} = \|(id \otimes tr(x^q))^{1/q}\|_p$$

is convex on positive matrices for  $1 \le p \le q \le 2$  or q = 2 and p arbitrary.

Theorem: (CL-2008) The expression

$$\|x\|_{L^+_p(L_q)} = \|(id \otimes tr(x^q))^{1/q}\|_p$$

is convex on positive matrices for  $1 \le p \le q \le 2$  or q = 2 and p arbitrary.

Remark: The Carlen-Lieb norm satisfies the Minkowski inequality.

Theorem: (CL-2008) The expression

$$\|x\|_{L^+_p(L_q)} = \|(id \otimes tr(x^q))^{1/q}\|_p$$

is convex on positive matrices for  $1 \le p \le q \le 2$  or q = 2 and p arbitrary.

**Remark:** The Carlen-Lieb norm satisfies the Minkowski inequality. However, I don't know the modified "cb-norm"

$$\|id \otimes T: L_p(L_{q_1}) \to L_p(L_{q_1})\|$$
.

Theorem: (CL-2008) The expression

$$\|x\|_{L^+_p(L_q)} = \|(id \otimes tr(x^q))^{1/q}\|_p$$

is convex on positive matrices for  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$  or q=2 and p arbitrary.

**Remark:** The Carlen-Lieb norm satisfies the Minkowski inequality. However, I don't know the modified "cb-norm"

$$\|id\otimes T: L_p(L_{q_1}) \to L_p(L_{q_1})\|$$
.

**Definition:** For selfadjoint x King and Koldan define

$$\|x\|_{L_p(L_q)} = \inf_{x=y-z} \|y\|_{L_p^+(L_q)} + \|z\|_{L_p^+(L_q)}.$$

Theorem: (CL-2008) The expression

$$\|x\|_{L^+_p(L_q)} = \|(\mathit{id} \otimes \mathit{tr}(x^q))^{1/q}\|_p$$

is convex on positive matrices for  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$  or q=2 and p arbitrary.

**Remark:** The Carlen-Lieb norm satisfies the Minkowski inequality. However, I don't know the modified "cb-norm"

$$\|id\otimes T: L_p(L_{q_1}) \to L_p(L_{q_1})\|$$
.

**Definition:** For selfadjoint x King and Koldan define

$$\|x\|_{L_p(L_q)} = \inf_{x=y-z} \|y\|_{L_p^+(L_q)} + \|z\|_{L_p^+(L_q)}.$$

Finally, 
$$||x||_{L_p(L_q)} = \frac{1}{2} || \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} ||_{L_p(L_q)}$$
 for arbitrary  $x$ .

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

## Relations

Marius Junge (University of Illinois)

2

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э.

2

- $\bigstar L_p(L_1) = L_p[L_1].$
- \* (King-Koldan)  $L_p(L_q) \subset L_p[L_q]$ .

-

э

- $\bigstar L_p(L_1) = L_p[L_1].$
- ★ (King-Koldan) L<sub>p</sub>(L<sub>q</sub>) ⊂ L<sub>p</sub>[L<sub>q</sub>]. The inclusion is strict (for infinite dimensions and q = 2 (KK)).

3

- ★ (King-Koldan) L<sub>p</sub>(L<sub>q</sub>) ⊂ L<sub>p</sub>[L<sub>q</sub>]. The inclusion is strict (for infinite dimensions and q = 2 (KK)).
- ★ (with Xu) Let 1

 $L_p(L_q) \subset L_{2s}(M_n)L_q(M_n \otimes M_n)L_{2r}(M_n)$  1/p = 1/q + 1/2s + 1/2r

- ★ (King-Koldan) L<sub>p</sub>(L<sub>q</sub>) ⊂ L<sub>p</sub>[L<sub>q</sub>]. The inclusion is strict (for infinite dimensions and q = 2 (KK)).
- **\*** (with Xu) Let 1 . Then we have an inclusion into an asymmetric space

$$L_p(L_q) \subset L_{2s}(M_n)L_q(M_n \otimes M_n)L_{2r}(M_n) \quad 1/p = 1/q + 1/2s + 1/2r$$
  
and  $r \neq s$ .

- ★ (King-Koldan) L<sub>p</sub>(L<sub>q</sub>) ⊂ L<sub>p</sub>[L<sub>q</sub>]. The inclusion is strict (for infinite dimensions and q = 2 (KK)).
- ★ (with Xu) Let 1

 $L_p(L_q) \subset L_{2s}(M_n)L_q(M_n \otimes M_n)L_{2r}(M_n)$  1/p = 1/q + 1/2s + 1/2r

and  $r \neq s$ . Hence  $L_p(L_q) \subset L_p[L_q]$  is strict in that case.

• • = • • = • =

## Relations II

æ

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

★ (with Xu) Let  $1 \le p < q \ne 2$ . There is no norm [|| ||] on the selfadjoint elements such that

 $1/C[||x||] \leq ||(id \otimes tr(x^q))^{1/q}||_p \leq C[||x||].$ 

- ★ (with Xu) Let  $1 \le p < q \ne 2$ . There is no norm [|| ||] on the selfadjoint elements such that
  - $1/C[||x||] \leq ||(id \otimes tr(x^q))^{1/q}||_p \leq C[||x||].$

Tricks: Tensor product.

★ (with Xu) Let  $1 \le p < q \ne 2$ . There is no norm [|| ||] on the selfadjoint elements such that

$$1/C[||x||] \leq ||(id \otimes tr(x^q))^{1/q}||_p \leq C[||x||].$$

Tricks: Tensor product. Central Limit theorem

$$\|\Phi(x^q)^{1/q}\|_p = \lim_n n^{-1/q} \|(\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k(x)^q)^{1/q}\|$$

for suitable \*-homomorphism constructed from the Krauss matrices for  $\Phi$ .