



Variance bounds and commutators

KOENRAAD M.R. AUDENAERT

A question

- Let A be a random variable that can assume the real values $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$ and does so with probabilities p_i .
- The variance $\text{Var}(A)$ of A is defined as

$$\text{Var}(A) = \sum_i p_i (a_i - \sum_j p_j a_j)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_i p_i a_i^2 - (\sum_i p_i a_i)^2. \quad (2)$$

- Question: can you find a good upper bound on $\text{Var}(A)$ in terms of a_i ?

Variance bounds

- The following is allegedly a ‘well-known’ variance bound:

$$\text{Var}(A) \leq \sum_i a_i^2 / 2 = \|\underline{a}\|^2 / 2.$$

- The best upper bound that uses the a_i is due to Murthy and Sethi:

$$\text{Var}(A) \leq \left(\frac{a_1 - a_n}{2} \right)^2.$$

- This implies the previous bound since

$$(a_1 - a_n)^2 \leq (a_1 - a_n)^2 + (a_1 + a_n)^2 = 2(a_1^2 + a_n^2) \leq 2 \sum_i a_i^2.$$

- Refs: M.N. Murthy and V.K. Sethi, Sankhya Ser B **27**, 201–210 (1965). For a very short proof see J. Mulwijk, Sankhya Ser B **28**, 183 (1966).

Why bother?

A theorem on commutators

- Here's something that I presented as an open problem in Banff in 2006:

Theorem 1 (Böttcher and Wenzel) *For general complex $n \times n$ matrices X and Y , and for the Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_2$,*

$$\|[X, Y]\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2}\|X\|_2\|Y\|_2. \quad (3)$$

- Equality is obtained by letting X and Y be two anti-commuting Pauli matrices:
 $\|[X, Y]\|_2 = 2\sqrt{2}$ and $\|X\|_2 = \|Y\|_2 = \sqrt{2}$.
- A less sharp bound is easy to prove, using the triangle inequality

$$\|[X, Y]\|_2 = \|XY - YX\|_2 \leq \|XY\|_2 + \|YX\|_2 \leq 2\|X\|_2\|Y\|_2.$$

Proofs

- For normal matrices: rather easy.
 - For non-normal real 2×2 matrices: also rather easy.
 - First proof, for real case, by Seak-Weng Vong and Xiao-Qing Jin and independently also by Zhiqin Lu. Proof contains two cases:
 - First case: $n = 3$. Pages and pages of dense computations.
 - Second case: $n > 3$. Based on goodwill of reader: ‘Proven similarly’
 - Proof by Böttcher and Wenzel also includes complex case.
Includes general n explicitly.
Still rather involved, and nothing is learned apart from the validity of the theorem.
-

What's that got to do
with variance bounds?

A connection

- The commutator $[X, Y]$ and the variance of a random variable have a property in common

A connection

- The commutator $[X, Y]$ and the variance of a random variable have a property in common
- Translation invariance: with $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}[X - a\mathbf{1}, Y] &= [X, Y] \\ \text{Var}(X - a) &= \text{Var}(X)\end{aligned}$$

Why care about best constants?

Applications

- Mathematicians always care. Never know what the bound will be used for.
- Techniques used could be useful for other problems, where sharp constants do matter.
- In the inequality

$$||[X, Y]||_2 \leq \sqrt{2}||X||_2||Y||_2$$

the matrix Y can be eliminated, giving:

- For any X with $||X||_2 = 1$,

$$||X \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes X^T|| \leq \sqrt{2}.$$

with the operator norm in the LHS.

A related open problem

- A related problem concerns the existence of NPT bound entangled states (as communicated to me by Marco Piano).
- Let X and Y be general $n \times n$ matrices such that $\text{Tr } X = \text{Tr } Y = 0$ and

$$\|X\|_2^2 + \|Y\|_2^2 = 1.$$

- Let $Z = X \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes Y$. Prove that $\sigma_1^2(Z) + \sigma_2^2(Z) \leq 2$.
- Note that equality is achieved, hence 2 is the sharpest value.
- Any higher bound would NOT work for the NPT problem!

Does anyone have objections to finding sharp constants?
If so, speak up now, or forever hold your peace!

My proof

- We have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \|XY - YX\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}[XYY^*X^* - XYX^*Y^* - YXY^*X^* + YXX^*Y^*] \\ &= \text{Tr}[X^*XYY^* - \textcolor{red}{XYX^*Y^*} - \textcolor{red}{YXY^*X^*} + XX^*Y^*Y] \end{aligned}$$

My proof

- We have the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|XY - YX\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}[XYY^*X^* - XYX^*Y^* - YXY^*X^* + YXX^*Y^*] \\
 &= \text{Tr}[X^*XYY^* - \textcolor{red}{XYX^*Y^*} - \textcolor{red}{YXY^*X^*} + XX^*Y^*Y] \\
 \|YX^* + X^*Y\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}[YX^*Y^*X + YX^*XY^* + X^*YXY^* + X^*YY^*X] \\
 &= \text{Tr}[X^*XY^*Y + \textcolor{red}{XYX^*Y^*} + \textcolor{red}{YXY^*X^*} + XX^*YY^*].
 \end{aligned}$$

My proof

- We have the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|XY - YX\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}[XYY^*X^* - XYX^*Y^* - YXY^*X^* + YXX^*Y^*] \\
 &= \text{Tr}[X^*XYY^* - \textcolor{red}{XYX^*Y^*} - \textcolor{red}{YXY^*X^*} + XX^*Y^*Y] \\
 \|YX^* + X^*Y\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}[YX^*Y^*X + YX^*XY^* + X^*YXY^* + X^*YY^*X] \\
 &= \text{Tr}[X^*XY^*Y + \textcolor{red}{XYX^*Y^*} + \textcolor{red}{YXY^*X^*} + XX^*YY^*].
 \end{aligned}$$

- Taking the sum yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|XY - YX\|_2^2 + \|YX^* + X^*Y\|_2^2 \\
 &= \text{Tr}[X^*XYY^* + XX^*Y^*Y + X^*XY^*Y + XX^*YY^*] \\
 &= \text{Tr}(X^*X + XX^*)(Y^*Y + YY^*).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

- The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields a lower bound on the added term:

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Tr}[Y(X^*X + XX^*)]| &= |\operatorname{Tr}[(YX^* + X^*Y)X]| \\ &\leq \|YX^* + X^*Y\|_2 \|X\|_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

- Combining (4) and (5) then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|XY - YX\|_2^2 &\leq \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^*)(Y^*Y + YY^*) \\ &\quad - |\operatorname{Tr}[Y(\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^*)]|^2 / \|X\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

- The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields a lower bound on the added term:

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Tr}[Y(X^*X + XX^*)]| &= |\operatorname{Tr}[(YX^* + X^*Y)X]| \\ &\leq \|YX^* + X^*Y\|_2 \|X\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

- Combining (4) and (5) then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|XY - YX\|_2^2 &\leq \operatorname{Tr}(X^*X + XX^*)(Y^*Y + YY^*) \\ &\quad - |\operatorname{Tr}[Y(X^*X + XX^*)]|^2 / \|X\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

- Introducing $\rho = (X^*X + XX^*)/(2\|X\|_2^2)$, this can be expressed as

$$\|XY - YX\|_2^2 \leq 4\|X\|_2^2 \left(\operatorname{Tr}[\rho(Y^*Y + YY^*)/2] - |\operatorname{Tr}[\rho Y]|^2 \right). \quad (6)$$

Note that ρ is formally a density matrix: positive semi-definite and trace 1.

- Is the second factor in the RHS bounded above by $\|Y\|_2^2/2$?

- Consider now the Cartesian decomposition $Y = A + iB$, where A and B are Hermitian.
- One checks that $(Y^*Y + YY^*)/2 = A^2 + B^2$.
- Therefore,

$$\text{Tr}[\rho(Y^*Y + YY^*)/2] - |\text{Tr}[\rho Y]|^2 = \text{Tr } \rho A^2 + \text{Tr } \rho B^2 - (\text{Tr } \rho A)^2 - (\text{Tr } \rho B)^2,$$

which is a sum of terms in A and in B separately.

- Consider now the Cartesian decomposition $Y = A + iB$, where A and B are Hermitian.
- One checks that $(Y^*Y + YY^*)/2 = A^2 + B^2$.
- Therefore,

$$\text{Tr}[\rho(Y^*Y + YY^*)/2] - |\text{Tr}[\rho Y]|^2 = \text{Tr} \rho A^2 + \text{Tr} \rho B^2 - (\text{Tr} \rho A)^2 - (\text{Tr} \rho B)^2,$$

which is a sum of terms in A and in B separately.

- Is the RHS is bounded above by $\|Y\|_2^2/2 = (\|A\|_2^2 + \|B\|_2^2)/2$?
- Yes, iff $\text{Tr} \rho A^2 - (\text{Tr} \rho A)^2 \leq \|A\|_2^2/2$ for all Hermitian A .

- Let's pass to a basis in which A is diagonal:
 - Put $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$.
 - Denote the diagonal elements of ρ in that basis by p_i .
 - The p_i form a probability distribution!
- The quantity $\text{Tr } \rho A^2 - |\text{Tr } \rho A|^2$ then becomes a variance:

$$\sum_i p_i a_i^2 - \left(\sum_i p_i a_i \right)^2.$$

- Proof complete by applying

$$\text{Var}(A) \leq \sum_i a_i^2 / 2 = \|A\|_2^2 / 2.$$

Overview

- We learned that sharp commutator bounds are indeed related to variance bounds.
- Can we do better, by using better variance bounds?
- In the middle of my proof, you find:

$$\|XY - YX\|_2^2 \leq 4\|X\|_2^2 \left(\text{Tr}[\rho(Y^*Y + YY^*)/2] - |\text{Tr}[\rho Y]|^2 \right).$$

- The RHS can be seen as a sort of quantum variance for Y .
 - Can we find a generalisation of the Murthy-Sethi bound?
 - Coming up next: generalisations to complex valued r.v., quantum case for normal operators, quantum case for non-normal operators.
 - Various levels of completion...
 - Connections with an old result of Stampfli
-

Complex values

- Let A be a random variable taking the *complex* values a_i with probability p_i .
- *Define* the variance of A as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(A) &= \sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j p_j a_j|^2 \\ &= \sum_i p_i |a_i|^2 - \left| \sum_i p_i a_i \right|^2.\end{aligned}$$

- Statistical meaning: trace of covariance matrix for $(\Re A, \Im A)$.
- **Theorem.** The largest possible value for $\text{Var}(A)$ is $\min_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \max_i |a_i - z|^2$.

Complex values

- Interpretation: radius squared of the smallest circle in the complex plane containing the points a_i .
- Defines: *radius* and *center* of a set of points A in \mathbb{R}^2 .
- **Lemma.** The center of a set is contained in its convex hull.
- Proof of Theorem: via Kakutani's minimax theorem
- For any distribution q , $|\sum_i q_i a_i - \sum_i p_i a_i|^2 \geq 0$. Thus

$$\sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j q_j a_j|^2 \geq \sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j p_j a_j|^2,$$

and equality is obtained for $q = p$. Hence

$$\sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j p_j a_j|^2 = \min_q \sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j q_j a_j|^2.$$

- As this holds for all p , it holds for the maximisation of both sides over p :

$$\max_p \sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j p_j a_j|^2 = \max_p \min_q \sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j q_j a_j|^2.$$

- By Kakutani's minimax theorem, the min and max can be interchanged

$$\max_p \sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j p_j a_j|^2 = \min_q \max_p \sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j q_j a_j|^2.$$

- The max over p gives $\max_i |a_i - \sum_j q_j a_j|^2$.
- For any q , $\sum_j q_j a_j$ is a point in the convex hull of the a_i . Thus the min over q is a min over points in that convex hull.
- By the lemma, the min over any point is in the convex hull anyway. Thus

$$\max_p \sum_i p_i |a_i - \sum_j p_j a_j|^2 = \min_z \max_i |a_i - z|^2.$$

□

Normal matrices

- Can do the same for normal matrices. Role of a_i taken over by eigenvalues.
- Define (in defiance of the rest of the world, including statisticians and mathematical physicists) the *quantum variance* of a normal matrix X in a state determined by density matrix ρ as

$$\text{Var}(X) = \text{Tr } \rho |X - \text{Tr } \rho X|^2 = \text{Tr } \rho |X|^2 - |\text{Tr } \rho X|^2.$$

- In terms of the eigenvalue decomposition $X = U\Lambda U^*$, with $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ (complex), and putting $p_i = (U^* \rho U)_{ii}$,

$$\text{Var}(X) = \sum_i p_i |\lambda_i|^2 - \left| \sum_i p_i \lambda_i \right|^2.$$

Normal matrices

- Variance bound for complex values carries over wholesale to normal matrices.
- Maximum variance is now the square of the radius of the spectrum (not to be confused with the spectral radius); denote this by $r(X)$. Hence

$$r(X) = \min_z \|X - z\|.$$

- Center is in the convex hull of the spectrum, i.e. in the numerical range.
- When Y is normal, this gives us a better commutator bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \|XY - YX\|_2^2 &\leq 4\|X\|_2^2 (\operatorname{Tr}[\rho Y^* Y] - |\operatorname{Tr}[\rho Y]|^2) \\ &= 4\|X\|_2^2 \operatorname{Var}_\rho(Y) \\ &\leq 4\|X\|_2^2 r^2(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Relation to norms

- We can relate the radius of a normal matrix to its unitarily invariant (UI) norms.
- Of central importance (pun intended) is the second Ky Fan norm $\|X\|_{(2)} = \sigma_1(X) + \sigma_2(X)$.
- **Theorem.** $r(X) = \min_z \|X - z\| = \min_z \|X - z\|_{(2)}/2$.
- Thus, by taking $z = 0$, $r(X) \leq \|X\|_{(2)}/2$.
- Consider the matrix $F = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0, \dots)$. For each UI norm $|||\cdot|||$, define the F -scaled norm as $|||X|||/|||F|||$.
- The second Ky Fan norm is the smallest F -scaled norm:
- **Theorem.** For any UI norm

$$\|X\|_{(2)}/2 \leq |||X|||/|||F||| \leq \max(\|X\|, \|X\|_1/2).$$

- Therefore, for any UI norm, $r(X) \leq |||X|||/|||F|||$.

Relation to old work by Stampfli

- John Holbrook pointed out to me that this definition of radius already appeared in a paper by Stampfli.
J.G. Stampfli, The norm of a derivation, Pacific J. Math. 33, (1970)
- Also about commutators!

Relation to old work by Stampfli

- John Holbrook pointed out to me that this definition of radius already appeared in a paper by Stampfli.

J.G. Stampfli, The norm of a derivation, Pacific J. Math. 33, (1970)

- Also about commutators!
- However, he only considered the operator norm and found:
- Let D_T be the map $X \mapsto [X, T]$, then $\|D_T\| = \max_X \|[X, T]\|/\|X\| = 2r(T)$, where T need not be normal.

Non-normal matrices

- Work in progress
- Problems:
 - no unique definition of modulus, hence no unique definition of variance;
 - radius $r(X) = \min_z ||X - z||$ is larger than the radius of the smallest circumscribing circle for the spectrum, and even for the numerical range
 - relation radius to second Ky Fan norm?
- Positive result: optimal z is within the numerical range (already known by Stampfli)

Conclusion

- The concept of variance appeared to be of central importance in obtaining sharp norm bounds on commutators.
- Lots of things to do: variance bounds for non-normal matrices, commutator bounds for other norms, NPT problem, heck maybe $L_{p,q}$ spaces
- This is one of the topics I'd like to be working on during this thematic programme.
- Collaborators invited!