Algorithms for large scale structured optimization problems

First-order methods for optimization, variational-inequality and saddle-point problems

(Second Lecture)

Renato D.C. Monteiro (Georgia Tech)

Fields 09 – Toronto October 20-24, 2009

# OUTLINE FOR THE SECOND LECTURE

## • Smooth convex optimization

- Functions with Lips. continuous gradient
- Projected gradient method
- Lower complexity bound
- Nesterov's optimal method and its variants
- Application to cone programming
- Extensions (smooth + nonsmooth funct's)
- Nonsmooth convex optimization
  - Lower complexity bound
  - Subgradient method
- Specially structured convex optimization
  - Problem class
  - Nesterov's smooth approximation scheme
  - Remarks
- Algorithms for V.I. and Saddle Pt. Probl.'s
  - V.I. and the saddle pt. problem
  - Prox-mirror and Korpelevich's methods
  - Complexity results for V.I.
  - Complexity results for S.P. problems

## SMOOTH CONVEX OPTIMIZATION

Definition: Let  $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \Re^{\mathbf{n}}$  be a convex set. A differentiable convex function  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \Re$  has L-Lipschitz continuous gradient if

 $\|\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})\|_* \le \mathbf{L} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{X}.$ 

where  $\|\phi\|_* = \max\{\phi(\mathbf{x}) : \|\mathbf{x}\| \le 1\}$ . We write  $\mathbf{f} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{\mathbf{L}}^1(\mathbf{X})$ .

Notation: For  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$ , let  $\mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{f}}(\cdot; \mathbf{x})$  denote the first-order approximation of  $\mathbf{f}$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ :

 $\mathbf{l_f}(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \Re^{\mathbf{n}}$ 

**Proposition:** The following are equivalent:

- a)  $\mathbf{f} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{\mathbf{L}}^{1}(\mathbf{X});$
- b)  $f \leq l_f(\cdot; x) + L \| \cdot -x \|^2/2;$

## PROJECTED GRADIENT METHOD

Given "simple" convex  $X \subseteq \Re^n$  and  $\mathbf{f} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{\mathbf{L}}^1(\mathbf{X})$ , consider the problem

$$\mathbf{f}^* := \min\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}\}$$

and let  $\mathbf{X}^* \neq \emptyset$  denote its set of optimal sol's. Assume  $\|\cdot\|$  denote an inner product norm.

Projected gradient method (with fixed stepsize)

- 0) Let  $\mathbf{x_0} \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $\alpha > \mathbf{0}$  be given. Set  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ .
- 1) Compute

$$\mathbf{x_{k+1}} := \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \alpha \, \mathbf{l_f}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x_k}) + \frac{\mathbf{L}}{2} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_k} \|^2 : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X} \right\} (*)$$

2) Set  $\mathbf{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}$  and go to step 1.

Iteration (\*) can also be written as

$$\mathbf{x_{k+1}} := \mathbf{P_X}\left(\mathbf{x_k} - \frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x_k})\right)$$

where  $P_X$  denotes the projection operator onto X.

**Proposition:** If  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , then

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}) - \mathbf{f}^* \leq \frac{\mathbf{L} \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2}{\alpha (\mathbf{2} - \alpha) \, \mathbf{k}}$$

for any  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbf{X}^*$ .

## LOWER-COMPLEXITY BOUND

Theorem: For any  $1 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$  and  $x_0 \in \Re^n$ , there exists quadratic function  $f \in \operatorname{Conv}_L^1(\Re^n)$ with the following property: any first-order method such that

$$\mathbf{x_k} \in \mathbf{x_0} + \mathrm{lin}\{\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x_0}), \ldots, \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x_{k-1}})\}$$

for solving  $\min{\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \Re^{\mathbf{n}}\}}$ , satisfies

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_k}) - \mathbf{f}^* \geq \frac{\mathbf{3L} \|\mathbf{x_0} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2}{\mathbf{32}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})^2}$$

Bregman's distance: Let  $h : X \to \Re$  be a differentiable  $\sigma$ -strongly convex function, i.e.:

$$\mathbf{h}(\cdot) \ge \mathbf{l_h}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \| \cdot - \mathbf{x} \|^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$$

Define the Bregman distance  $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}} : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \to \Re$  as

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}};\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) - \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}};\mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\tilde{x}} \in \mathbf{X}$$

Obs: If  $\mathbf{h} = \| \cdot \|^2 / 2$ , then  $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}; \mathbf{x}) = \| \mathbf{\tilde{x}} - \mathbf{x} \|^2 / 2$ .

# NESTEROV'S OPTIMAL METHOD

Let  $\{\alpha_k\} \subseteq \Re_{++}$  be such that

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{0}} \in (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}], \qquad \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{2}} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$$

and set  $\tau_{\mathbf{k}} = \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} / \sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_{i}$ . For example,  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = (\mathbf{k}+1)/2$ and  $\tau_{\mathbf{k}} = 2/(\mathbf{k}+2)$ .

Nesterov's algorithm:

- 0) Let  $x_0 \in X$  be given and set  $u_0 = x_0$  and k = 1.
- 1) Using  $u_0, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ , compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{a}} &:= \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{k}-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}) + \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{0}}) \\ \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} &:= \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}-1}\|^{2} \quad (*) \\ \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}} &:= \tau_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{a}} + (1 - \tau_{\mathbf{k}}) \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} \end{aligned}$$

2) Set  $\mathbf{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}$  and go to step 1.

Tseng's variant: Replace (\*) by

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} = (\mathbf{1} - \tau_{\mathbf{k}-1})\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}-1} + \tau_{\mathbf{k}-1}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{a}}$$

Proposition: For every k and  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbf{X}^*$ :

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}) - \mathbf{f}^* \leq \frac{\mathbf{L} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}^*; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}})}{\sigma \sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{k}-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}}}$$

In particular, if  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})/\mathbf{2}$  for all  $\mathbf{k}$ , then

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_k}) - \mathbf{f^*} \le \frac{4\mathbf{L}\,\mathbf{d_h}(\mathbf{x^*};\mathbf{x_0})}{\sigma\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{k+1})} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{k^2}}\right)$$

Lower bound: Assume X is *bounded* and let  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$  be the optimal value and optimal solution of

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i} \min \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i \, l_f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{u}_i) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X} \right\}$$

Proposition: For any  $k \ge 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}) - \mathbf{f}^* \leq \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}) - \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \leq \frac{\mathbf{L} \, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}})}{\sigma \sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{k}-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}}}$$

Observation: In practice, the number of iterations is usually proportional to the theoretical bound. Hence, if L is too large and/or  $x_0$  is far from  $x^*$ , convergence can be quite slow. Auslender-Teboule's variant: Let  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{2})/\mathbf{2}$ and  $\tau_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{1}/\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}$  for all k.

- $0) \ \ {\rm Given} \ x_0 \in X, \ {\rm set} \ u_0^{\bf a} = x_0 \ {\rm and} \ k=1.$
- 1) Using  $u_{k-1}^{a}, x_{k-1}, u_{k-1}$ , compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{a}} &:= \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}-1} \, \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}-1}) + \frac{1}{\sigma} \, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}-1}^{\mathbf{a}}) \\ \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} &:= (1-\tau_{\mathbf{k}-1})\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}-1} + \tau_{\mathbf{k}-1}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{a}} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}} &:= \tau_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{a}} + (1-\tau_{\mathbf{k}})\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} \end{aligned}$$

2) Set  $\mathbf{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}$  and go to step 1.

Proposition: For every k and  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbf{X}^*$ :

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_k}) - \mathbf{f^*} \le \frac{\mathbf{4Ld_h}(\mathbf{x^*}; \mathbf{x_0})}{\sigma \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{k+2})} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{k^2}}\right)$$

# APPLICATIONS TO CONE PROGR.

Consider

$$\begin{split} \min\{ \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} \rangle : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K} \}, \\ \max\{ \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y} \rangle : \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{c}, \ \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{K}^* \} \end{split}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a closed convex cone and

$$\mathcal{K}^* = \{ \mathbf{s} : \langle \, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x} \, 
angle \geq \mathbf{0}, \,\, orall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K} \}$$

Assuming that there is no duality, we can solve the above pair of dual problems by means of the following smooth reformulation

$$\begin{split} \min & (\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y} \rangle)^2 + \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{c}\|^2 \\ \mathbf{s.t.} & (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^* \end{split}$$

or alternatively,

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & [\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{x})]^{\mathbf{2}} + [\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{K}^{*}}(\mathbf{s})]^{\mathbf{2}} \\ \mathbf{s.t.} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \ \mathbf{A}^{*}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{c}, \ \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \quad (**) \end{array}$ 

See Lan, Lu, M. (2009), Jarre and Rendl (2008).

Assume that  $f(x) = f_S(x) + f_N(x)$ , where  $f_S \in Conv_L^1(X)$  and  $f_N : X \to \Re$  is a closed convex function.

There exist extensions of Nesterov's algorithm and its variants, which instead of using the linear approximation  $f(x) \approx l_f(x; u_k)$ , use

 $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\approx \mathbf{l_{f_s}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u_k})+\mathbf{f_N}(\mathbf{x})$ 

This leads to subproblems of the form

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}}\langle \mathbf{c},\mathbf{x}\rangle + \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbf{x}) + \tau \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u_0})$$

for some  $\mathbf{c} \in \Re^{\mathbf{n}}$  and  $\tau > \mathbf{0}$ .

Exactly the same complexity bounds can be derived for these extensions.

Example: If  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2 + \tau ||\mathbf{x}||_1$  and  $\mathbf{h} = || \cdot ||^2/2$ , then the above subproblem has a closed form solution (see Wright et al.)

Consider the problem

 $\mathbf{f}^* := \min\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}\}$ 

where  $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \Re^{\mathbf{n}}$  is closed convex and  $\mathbf{f} : \Re^{\mathbf{n}} \to \Re$  is convex. Let  $\mathbf{X}^* \neq \emptyset$  denote its set of optimal sol's.

Lower complexity bound: Assume  $X = \Re^n$ . Given  $x_0 \in \Re^n$  and positive constants R, M, consider the class  $\mathcal{F}(x_0, M, R)$  of functions f such that:

- a)  $\exists \mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbf{X}^*$  such that  $\|\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x_0}\| \leq \mathbf{R};$
- b) f is M-Lipschitz continuous on the closed ball  $\{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x_0}\| \leq \mathbf{R}\}.$

Proposition: For any  $k \leq n - 1$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}(x_0, M, R)$  with the property that any algorithm which generates  $\{x_k\}$  such that

 $\mathbf{x_k} \in \mathbf{x_0} + \mathrm{lin}\{\mathbf{g_0}, \ldots, \mathbf{g_{k-1}}\},\$ 

where  $\mathbf{g_i} \in \partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_i})$  for all i, satisfies

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_k}) - \mathbf{f}^* \geq rac{\mathbf{MR}}{\mathbf{2}(\mathbf{1} + \sqrt{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}}\,)}$$

Question: Is there an optimal method?

## SUBGRADIENT METHOD

Subgradient method:

- 0) Let  $\mathbf{x_0} \in \mathbf{X}$  be given and set  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ .
- 1) Choose  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} > \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{k}} \in \partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}})$ , and set

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} := \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \left( \mathbf{x}_{k} - \alpha_{k} \frac{\mathbf{g}_{k}}{\|\mathbf{g}_{k}\|} \right)$$

2) Set  $\mathbf{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}$  and go to step 1.

Proposition: Assume that f is M-Lipschitz continuous on X. Then, for all k and  $x^* \in X^*$ 

$$\min_{i=0,\dots,k} \left[ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i) - \mathbf{f}^* \right] \leq \mathbf{M} \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i^2}{2\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i} \right)$$

Corollary: Fix  $\mathbf{K} \geq \mathbf{0}$ . If  $\mathbf{R} \geq \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbf{x}^*\|$  is known and we set  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{R}/\sqrt{\mathbf{K}+1}$  for all  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{K}$ , then

$$\min_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0},\ldots,\mathbf{K}} \left[\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_i}) - \mathbf{f}^*\right] \leq \frac{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{R}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{K}+1}}$$

# Specially Structured Convex Optim.

Consider  $f^* := \min\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ , where

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \max_{\mathbf{\tilde{x}} \in \mathbf{\tilde{X}}} \langle \mathbf{\tilde{x}}, \mathbf{Cx} \rangle - \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}),$$

 $\phi \in \operatorname{Conv}_{L_{\phi}}^{1}(\mathbf{X})$ , set  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \subseteq \Re^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}$  is compact convex,  $\mathbf{C}: \Re^{\mathbf{n}} \to \Re^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}$  is linear, and  $\tilde{\phi}: \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \to \Re$  is convex The dual problem is  $\max{\{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) : \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\}}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}: \Re^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \to \Re$  is defined as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = -\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} \langle \mathbf{C}^* \tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \phi(\mathbf{x}).$$

f is non-smooth but it can be approximated by the smooth convex function

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \max_{\mathbf{\tilde{x}} \in \mathbf{\tilde{X}}} \langle \mathbf{\tilde{x}}, \mathbf{Cx} \rangle - \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) - \mu \, \mathbf{\tilde{h}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) \quad (*)$$

where  $\mu > 0$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$  is a  $\tilde{\sigma}$ -strongly convex function such that  $\min{\{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) : \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\}} = 0$ .

#### **Proposition:**

i) (\*) has a unique optimal solution  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{f}'_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{C}^* \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}).$ 

ii)  $\mathbf{f}'_{\mu}$  is  $\mathbf{L}_{\mu}$ -Lips. cont. with  $\mathbf{L}_{\mu} := \mathbf{L}_{\phi} + \|\mathbf{C}\|^2/(\mu\tilde{\sigma})$ .

iii)  $\mathbf{f}_{\mu}(\cdot) \leq \mathbf{f}(\cdot) \leq \mathbf{f}_{\mu}(\cdot) + \mu \mathbf{\tilde{D}}$ , where  $\mathbf{\tilde{D}} = \max_{\mathbf{\tilde{x}} \in \mathbf{\tilde{X}}} \mathbf{\tilde{h}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}})$ .

Nesterov's approximation scheme: Set  $\mu = \epsilon/(2\tilde{D})$ and apply Nesterov's smooth method to  $f_{\mu}$  with stepsize  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = (\mathbf{k} + 1)/2$  until an iterate  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}$  s.t.  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}) - \mathbf{f}^* \leq \epsilon$  is found.

Theorem (Nesterov): If  $D \ge d_h(x^*; x_0)$  is known, then the above scheme generates a sequence  $\{x_k\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_k}) - \mathbf{f^*} &\leq \quad \mu \tilde{\mathbf{D}} + \left( \mathbf{L}_{\phi} + \frac{\|\mathbf{C}\|^2}{\mu \tilde{\sigma}} \right) \frac{4 \mathbf{d_h}(\mathbf{x^*}; \mathbf{x_0})}{\sigma \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{k} + 1)} \\ &\leq \quad \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left( \mathbf{L}_{\phi} + \frac{2 \tilde{\mathbf{D}} \|\mathbf{C}\|^2}{\epsilon \tilde{\sigma}} \right) \frac{4 \mathbf{D}}{\sigma \mathbf{k}^2} \quad (*) \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{h} : \mathbf{X} \to \Re$  is the  $\sigma$ -strongly convex function used by Nesterov's smooth method. Hence, # of iterations of Nesterov's scheme is bounded by

$$\left[ \left( \mathbf{L}_{\phi} + rac{2 ilde{\mathbf{D}} \|\mathbf{C}\|^2}{\epsilon ilde{\sigma}} 
ight)^rac{1}{2} \left( rac{8\mathbf{D}}{\sigma\epsilon} 
ight)^rac{1}{2} 
ight] = \left[ rac{4\|\mathbf{C}\|}{\epsilon} \sqrt{rac{\mathbf{D} ilde{\mathbf{D}}}{\sigma ilde{\sigma}}} 
ight]$$

Lower bound result: Assume X is bounded and that now  $D \ge \sup_{x \in X} d_h(x^*; x)$ . Letting

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}} := \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_{i} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}})}{\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_{i}}$$

then  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}_k}) \leq \mathbf{f}^*$  and  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_k}) - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}_k})$  is bounded by (\*).

**Remarks:** 

1) Unless  $f^*$  is known or X is bounded, we do not know when  $f(x_k) - f^* \leq \epsilon$  occurs.

2) If  $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$  is already  $\tilde{\sigma}$ -strongly convex, then there is no need to add a perturbation term inside the inner maximization.

In this case, **f** is already  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{f}}$ -Lipschitz continuous with  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{L}_{\phi} + \|\mathbf{C}\|^2 / \tilde{\sigma}$ , and we can apply Nesterov's optimal method directly to **f**. The resulting complexity is

$$\mathbf{2} \| \mathbf{C} \| \sqrt{rac{\mathbf{D}}{\sigma ilde{\sigma} \epsilon}} = \mathcal{O}\left(rac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}
ight)$$

to find  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}$  such that  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}) - \mathbf{f}^* \leq \epsilon$ .

Example: Consider

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}\|_1,$$

where **A** has full column rank. Can be reformulated as

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} + \max\{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\tilde{x}} \rangle : \|\mathbf{\tilde{x}}\|_{\infty} \leq 1\}$$

or as the dual  $\max\{\mathbf{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}): \|\mathbf{\tilde{x}}\|_{\infty} \leq 1\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) &:= & \min_{\mathbf{x}} \langle \, \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \, \rangle + \| \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \|^{2} \\ &= & - \max_{\mathbf{x}} \langle \, \mathbf{x}, -\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \, \rangle - \| \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

### Algorithms for V.I. and Saddle Point Problems

#### Variational Inequality: Assume

- $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \Re^{\mathbf{n}}$  is a non-empty closed convex set
- $\bullet~ F: X \rightarrow \Re^{n}$  is a continuous monotone map

The (monotone) variational inequality (VI) problem VIP(F, X) consists of finding  $x^*$  such that

$$\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbf{X}, \qquad \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} \left< \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \right> \geq \mathbf{0}$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbf{X}, \qquad \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} \left< \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}^*) \right> \geq \mathbf{0}$$

#### **Assumptions:**

- the set of solutions of VIP(F, X) is nonempty.
- **F** is **L**-Lipschitz continuous:

 $\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})\| \le \mathbf{L} \|\mathbf{\tilde{x}} - \mathbf{x}\|, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{\tilde{x}} \in \mathbf{X}.$ 

Remark:  $\min{\{f(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}\}}$  is clearly equivalent to  $VI(f', \mathbf{X})$  and the second assumption is equivalent to f having L-Lipschitz continuous gradient.

## SADDLE POINT PROBLEM

Assume that  $\Phi: \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{V} \to \Re$  is a function such that

- $\Phi(\cdot, \mathbf{v})$  is convex for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ ;
- $\Phi(\mathbf{u}, \cdot)$  is concave for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$ .

Saddle point probl: Find  $(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*) \in \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{V}$  such that  $\Phi(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}) \leq \Phi(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*) \leq \Phi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}^*), \quad \forall (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{V} \quad (*)$ which, for  $\Phi$  smooth, is equivalent to  $\mathbf{VI}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{X})$  with

$$\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{\Phi}'_{\mathbf{u}}, -\mathbf{\Phi}'_{\mathbf{v}}), \quad \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{V}$$

Optimiz. view: Consider the pair of dual probl's

(P) 
$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{P}}^* := \min_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}} \left( \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{u}) := \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}} \Phi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \right)$$
  
(D)  $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{D}}^* := \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}} \left( \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{v}) := \min_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}} \Phi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \right)$ 

Clearly,

$$\mathbf{f_D}(\mathbf{v}) \leq \Phi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \leq \mathbf{f_P}(\mathbf{u}), \ \ \forall (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{V}$$

and hence  $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{D}}^* \leq \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Proposition: For } (\mathbf{u}^*,\mathbf{v}^*)\in \mathbf{U}\times \mathbf{V},\, (\mathbf{u}^*,\mathbf{v}^*) \text{ is a saddle} \\ \textbf{point (i.e., satisfies (*)) if and only if } \mathbf{f_D}(\mathbf{v}^*)=\mathbf{f_P}(\mathbf{u}^*), \\ \textbf{in which case } \mathbf{f}^*_{\mathbf{D}}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{u}^*,\mathbf{v}^*)=\mathbf{f}^*_{\mathbf{P}}. \end{array}$ 

## Algorithms for VI

Let  $h : X \to \Re$  be a  $\sigma$ -strongly convex function and  $d_h : X \times X \to \Re$  be the associated Bregman distance:

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}};\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) - \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}};\mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\tilde{x}} \in \mathbf{X}$$

**Prox-mirror method:** 

- 0) Let  $x_0 \in X$  be given and set k = 1.
- 1) Compute  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x_{k-1}})$  and let

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\mathbf{L}} \langle \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}-1}), \mathbf{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}-1})$$

2) Compute  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}})$  and let

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}} \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\mathbf{L}} \langle \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}}), \mathbf{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k-1}})$$

3) Set  $\mathbf{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}$  and go to step 1.

Remark: When  $\mathbf{h} = \| \cdot \|^2 / 2$ , the above method reduces to Korpelevich's algorithm, i.e.:

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}-1} - \lambda \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}-1})),$$
  
 
$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}-1} - \lambda \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}})).$$

where  $\lambda := (\sqrt{2}L)^{-1}$ .

## Complexity results for VI

Theorem (Nemirovski): If X is bounded, then

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}}\langle \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \geq -\frac{\sqrt{2}\,\mathbf{L}\,\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}})}{\mathbf{k}\,\sigma}$$

for every  $k \ge 1$ , where

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{k}} := \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{y}_{i}, \qquad \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) := \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_{0})$$

Theorem (M. and Svaiter): If  $\mathbf{h} = \| \cdot \|^2/2$ , then there exist computable  $(\overline{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \overline{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}) \in \Re^{\mathbf{n}} \times \Re_+$  such that

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}}\langle \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \geq -\bar{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} \geq -\frac{2\sqrt{2}\,\mathbf{L}\,\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2}{\mathbf{k}\,\sigma}$ 

$$\|ar{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}}\| \leq rac{2\sqrt{2}\mathbf{L}\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}-\mathbf{x}^{*}\|}{\mathbf{k}}$$

If **F** is affine, then

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}}\langle\,\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{\bar{y}_k})-\mathbf{\bar{r}_k},\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{\bar{y}_k}\,\rangle\geq-\overline{\epsilon}_k$$

Remark: When  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{K}$  is a cone, then the latter condition is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{ar{y}_k}) - \mathbf{ar{r}_k} \in \mathbf{K}^*, \;\; \langle \, \mathbf{ar{y}_k}, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{ar{y}_k}) - \mathbf{ar{r}_k} \, 
angle \leq ar{\epsilon}_k$$

Let  $\mathbf{F} = (\Phi'_{\mathbf{u}}, -\Phi'_{\mathbf{v}})$  and  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{V}$ . The S.P. problem is equivalent to  $\mathbf{VIP}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{X})$ .

Let  $\{\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{k}}\} \subseteq \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{V}$  be the ergodic sequence generated by the prox mirror method, and write  $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{k}} = (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{k}})$ .

Theorem (Nemirovski): If  $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{V}$  is bounded, then

$$\mathbf{f_P}(\mathbf{\bar{u}_k}) - \mathbf{f_D}(\mathbf{\bar{v}_k}) \le \frac{\sqrt{2} \, \mathbf{L} \, \mathbf{D_h}(\mathbf{x_0})}{\mathbf{k} \, \sigma}$$

Theorem (M. and Svaiter): If  $\mathbf{h} = \|\cdot\|^2/2$ , then there exist computable  $\mathbf{\bar{r}_k} = (\mathbf{\bar{r}_k^u}, \mathbf{\bar{r}_k^v})$  and  $\mathbf{\bar{\epsilon}_k} \ge \mathbf{0}$  such that the perturbed S.P. problem with

$$\Phi^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \Phi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) + \langle \, \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{u} \, \rangle + \langle \, \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{v}},\mathbf{v} \, \rangle$$

satisfies

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}) - \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{k}}) &\leq \bar{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}\,\mathbf{L}\,\|\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{*}\|^{2}}{\mathbf{k}\,\sigma} \\ \|\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}}\| &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mathbf{L}\|\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{*}\|}{\mathbf{k}} \end{split}$$

where  $f_P^k$  and  $f_D^k$  are the associated primal and dual functions.

# THANK YOU! AND THE END

## Relative scale bounds

Consider the problem  $f^* = \min\{f(x) : Cx = d\}$ , where C is  $m \times n$ ,  $0 \neq d \in \Re^m$  and  $f : \Re^n \to \Re$  is convex, homogenous of degree 1 and  $0 \in \operatorname{int} \partial f(0)$ .

For some inner product norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , assume that

 $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{0};\mathbf{m})\subseteq\partial\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0})\subseteq\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{0};\mathbf{M})$ 

for some  $0 < m \le M$ , or equivalently,  $m \|\mathbf{x}\| \le \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \le M \|\mathbf{x}\|$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$ . Clearly,  $\mathbf{f}^* > \mathbf{0}$ .

Lemma: Let  $\mathbf{x}_0 := \operatorname{argmin}\{\|\mathbf{x}\| : \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}\}$ . Then,

$$\mathbf{R} := \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)}{\mathbf{m}} \geq \frac{\mathbf{f}^*}{\mathbf{m}} \geq \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|, \quad \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)}{\mathbf{f}^*} \leq \frac{\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{m}}$$

Proposition (Nesterov): The subgradient method with stepsize  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{R}/\sqrt{\mathbf{K}+1}$ ,  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{K}$ , where **R** is as above and

$$\mathbf{K} := \left\lfloor \frac{(\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{m})^4}{\delta^2} \right\rfloor$$

satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{f}^*} \left( \min_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0},...,\mathbf{K}} \left[ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}) - \mathbf{f}^* \right] \right) \leq \delta$$

Remark: The norm should be chosen so that M/m is as small as possible.