

Deterministic criteria for the absence of arbitrage in diffusion models

Aleksandar Mijatović

Department of Mathematics
Imperial College London

Workshop on Financial Derivatives and Risk Management
Fields Institute, University of Toronto
Wed 26 May 2010

Based on joint work with **Mikhail Urusov**

Structure of the talk

- 1 Definition of various concepts of no-arbitrage (NFLVR, NGA, NRA).
- 2 Deterministic characterisation in diffusion models and comparison.

Free lunch with vanishing risk (FLVR)

Discounted asset price model: semimart $S = (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $T \in (0, \infty]$.

Admissible Trading strategy: predictable process $H = (H_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$

s.t. \exists a constant $c_H \geq 0$ and

$$H \cdot S_t \geq -c_H \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Discounted wealth process with the initial capital $x \in \mathbb{R}$: $x + H \cdot S$.

The model S satisfies the *NFLVR* condition if $\overline{C} \cap L_+^\infty = \{0\}$ where

$$C := \{g \in L^\infty \mid \exists \text{ admissible } H \text{ such that } g \leq H \cdot S_T \text{ a.s.}\}.$$

\overline{C} is the closure of $C \subset L^\infty$ in the norm topology.

Financial significance and characterisation of NFLVR

FLVR in $S \implies \exists g \in L_+^\infty \setminus \{0\}$, $g_n \in C \subset L^\infty$ and attainable claims $H^n \cdot S_T$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$g_n \leq H^n \cdot S_T \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g - g_n\|_\infty = 0.$$

Economic interpretation: the risk of H^n vanishes with increasing n

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((H^n \cdot S_T) \wedge 0) = 0.$$

(Delbaen and Schachermayer 1998): S satisfies NFLVR iff there exists an equivalent sigma-martingale measure for S .

If S is locally bounded from below, NFLVR holds iff \exists equivalent local martingale measure for S (Ansel-Stricker lemma)

Generalised arbitrage (GA)

Disc. asset price model: non-negative semimart $S = (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$.
Predictable trading strategies $H = (H_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is given by

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^N h_{k-1} I_{(\tau_{k-1}, \tau_k]}, \text{ where } N \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \tau_0 \leq \dots \leq \tau_N \leq T$$

are stopping times, h_{k-1} are \mathbb{R} -valued $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{k-1}}$ -measurable. Let

$$C := \{h \in L^\infty \mid \exists H \text{ simple strategy s.t. } h \leq \frac{(H \cdot S)_T}{(1 + S_T)} \text{ a.s.}\}.$$

The model S satisfies *NGA* if

$$\overline{C}^* \cap L_+^\infty = \{0\},$$

where \overline{C}^* is closure of C in weak-* topology $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ on L^∞ .

NFLVR and NGA

FLVR: (Delbaen and Schachermayer 1994)

GA: (Sin 1996), (Yan 1998), (Cherny 2007)

Discounted asset price process: non-negative cts. semimart S

NFLVR on $[0, T]$ $\iff \exists Q \sim P: (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a Q-loc. mart.

NFLVR on $[0, \infty)$ $\iff \exists Q \sim P: (S_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ is a Q-loc. mart.

NGA on $[0, T]$ $\iff \exists Q \sim P: (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a Q-mart.

NGA on $[0, \infty)$ $\iff \exists Q \sim P: (S_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ is a Q-u.i. mart.

In particular, $\text{NGA} \implies \text{NFLVR}$

Setting

Bond price $\equiv 1$

Stock price $dY_t = \mu(Y_t) dt + \sigma(Y_t) dW_t$, $Y_0 = x_0 \in J := (0, \infty)$

Assumptions

(A) $\sigma(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in J$

(B) $1/\sigma^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(J)$

(C) $\mu/\sigma^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(J)$

(D) Y does not exit at ∞

On the contrary, Y may exit at 0. We stop Y after it reaches 0.

Inputs: functions μ and σ

Outputs: deterministic criteria for NFLVR, NGA and NRA in terms of μ and σ

Ingredients

$$\frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^4} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(J) \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{x\mu^2(x)}{\sigma^4(x)} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(0+) \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{x}{\sigma^2(x)} \notin L^1_{\text{loc}}(0+) \quad (3)$$

Recall (C) $\mu/\sigma^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(J)$

Criteria for NFLVR and NGA in the diffusion model Y

Assume (A)–(D)

Theorem 1 *NFLVR on $[0, T] \iff (a)$ or (b) , where*

(a) (1) and (2) hold

(b) (1) and (3) hold and Y does not exit at 0

Corollary 2 *(Delbaen and Shirakawa 2002) If Y does not exit at 0: NFLVR on $[0, T] \iff (1)$ and (3)*

Theorem 3 *NFLVR on $[0, \infty) \iff (1)$, (2), and $s(\infty) = \infty$, where s denotes the scale function of Y*

Proposition 4 *NGA on $[0, T] \iff$ NFLVR on $[0, T]$ and $x/\sigma^2(x) \notin L^1_{\text{loc}}(\infty-)$*

Proposition 5 *There is always GA on $[0, \infty)$*

What is behind these results?

Let $b : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Borel measurable with $b^2/\sigma^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(J)$ and

$$Z_t = \exp \left\{ \int_0^{t \wedge \zeta} b(Y_u) dW_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \zeta} b^2(Y_u) du \right\}, \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

where we set $Z_t := 0$ for $t \geq \zeta$ on $\{\zeta < \infty, \int_0^\zeta b^2(Y_u) du = \infty\}$.

- (i) When is Z a martingale?
- (ii) When is $Z_T > 0$ P-a.s. for $T \in (0, \infty]$?
- (iii) Can Z be defined for $b = -\mu/\sigma^2$?
- (iv) Is the candidate density Z of the form above?

Proofs: (Mijatović and Urusov 2009a), (Mijatović and Urusov 2009b)

The setting for relative arbitrage (RA)

Stochastic portfolio theory (Fernholz 2002), (Fernholz and Karatzas 2008b). Assume from now on $T < \infty$.

RA on $[0, T]$: there exists a self-financing strategy with a strictly positive wealth $(V_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that $V_0 = Y_0$, $V_T \geq Y_T$ a.s., and $P(V_T > Y_T) > 0$

For RA we assume (A), (B), (C'), and (D')

(A) $\sigma(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in J$

(B) $1/\sigma^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(J)$

(C') $\mu^2/\sigma^4 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(J)$

(D') Y exits neither at 0 nor at ∞

Criterion for NRA

Assume (A), (B), (C'), and (D')

Recall $dY_t = \mu(Y_t) dt + \sigma(Y_t) dW_t$, $Y_0 = x_0 \in J = (0, \infty)$

Set $\bar{Z}_t := \exp\{-\int_0^t (\mu/\sigma)(Y_u) dW_u - (1/2) \int_0^t (\mu^2/\sigma^2)(Y_u) du\}$

By Itô's formula $\bar{Z}Y = (\bar{Z}_t Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a local martingale

(Fernholz and Karatzas 2008a) and (Mijatović and Urusov 2009a):

$$\text{NRA} \iff \bar{Z}Y \text{ martingale}$$

Proposition 6 $\text{NRA} \iff x/\sigma^2(x) \notin L_{\text{loc}}^1(\infty-)$

Proof. $d(\bar{Z}_t Y_t) = \bar{Z}_t Y_t b(Y_t) dW_t$ with $b(x) = \sigma(x)/x - \mu(x)/\sigma(x)$

$$\bar{Z}_t Y_t = x_0 \mathcal{E}\left(\int_0^\cdot b(Y_u) dW_u\right)_t$$

□

Comparison

Assume (A), (B), (C'), and (D')

(i) NFLVR $\iff x/\sigma^2(x) \notin L_{\text{loc}}^1(0+)$

(ii) NRA $\iff x/\sigma^2(x) \notin L_{\text{loc}}^1(\infty-)$

(iii) NGA $\iff x/\sigma^2(x) \notin L_{\text{loc}}^1(0+)$ and $x/\sigma^2(x) \notin L_{\text{loc}}^1(\infty-)$

Thus, NFLVR and NRA are in a general position and

$$\text{NGA} \iff \text{NFLVR and NRA}$$

NFLVR & NRA $dY_t = Y_t dt + Y_t dW_t$

NFLVR & RA $dY_t = Y_t dt + Y_t^2 dW_t$

FLVR & NRA $dY_t = \frac{1}{Y_t} dt + dW_t$

FLVR & RA $dY_t = 2 dt + (\sqrt{Y_t} + Y_t^2) dW_t$

Thank you for your attention!

References

- CHERNY, A. S. (2007): “General arbitrage pricing model: probability approach,” *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1899, 415–446.
- DELBAEN, F., AND W. SCHACHERMAYER (1994): “A general version of the fundamental theorem of asset pricing,” *Math. Ann.*, 300(3), 463–520.
- DELBAEN, F., AND W. SCHACHERMAYER (1998): “The fundamental theorem of asset pricing for unbounded stochastic processes,” *Math. Ann.*, 312(2), 215–250.
- DELBAEN, F., AND H. SHIRAKAWA (2002): “No Arbitrage Condition for Positive Diffusion Price Processes,” *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets*, 9, 159–168.
- FERNHOLZ, D., AND I. KARATZAS (2008a): “On optimal arbitrage,” *Preprint*, <http://www.math.columbia.edu/~ik/OptArb.pdf>.
- FERNHOLZ, R. (2002): *Stochastic portfolio theory*, vol. 48 of *Applications of Mathematics (New York)*. Springer-Verlag, New York, Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability.
- FERNHOLZ, R., AND I. KARATZAS (2008b): “Stochastic portfolio theory: an overview,” *To appear in: Handbook of Numerical Analysis*.

- MIJATOVIĆ, A., AND M. URUSOV (2009a): “Deterministic criteria for the absence of arbitrage in one-dimensional diffusion models,” Accepted in *Finance and Stochastics*, available at <http://www.ma.ic.ac.uk/~amijatov/>.
- (2009b): “On the martingale property of certain local martingales,” *preprint*, available at <http://www.ma.ic.ac.uk/~amijatov/>.
- SIN, C. A. (1996): *Strictly local martingales and hedge ratios in stochastic volatility models*. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, PhD-thesis.
- YAN, J.-A. (1998): “A new look at the fundamental theorem of asset pricing,” *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 35(3), 659–673.