Improper mixtures and Bayes's theorem

Peter McCullagh and Han Han

Department of Statistics University of Chicago

DASF-III conference Toronto, March 2010

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト





Poisson processes

- Bayes's theorem for PP
- Gaussian sequences

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Bayes's theorem

Non-Bayesian model: Domain $S = \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ Parameter space Θ ; elements $\theta \in \Theta$: $\{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ family of prob distributions on SObservation space $S_n = \mathbb{R}^n$; event $A \subset S$; elements $y \in S_n$

Bayesian model: above structure plus

π: prob distn on Θ implies $P_π(A × dθ) = P_θ(A)π(dθ)$ joint distn on S × Θ $Q_π(A) = P_π(A × Θ)$ mixture distribution on S

Bayes's theorem: conditional probability given Y = yassociates with each $y \in S_n$ a probability distribution on Θ $y \mapsto P_{\pi}(d\theta \mid Y = y) = P_{\pi}(dy \times d\theta)/Q_{\pi}(dy)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

Bayes/non-Bayes remarks

Non-Bayesian model: family of distributions $\{P_{\theta}\}$ on S

Bayesian model is a *single* distribution/process: Joint distribution $P_{\theta}(A) \pi(d\theta)$ on $S \times \Theta$, or Mixture distribution $P_{\pi}(A)$ on S

Parametric inference:

Use the joint density to get a posterior distn $P_{\pi}(d\theta | y)$ e.g. $P_{\pi}(2.3 < \theta_1 < 3.7 | y)$

Nonparametric inference (sample-space inference):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \mathcal{R}^{\infty} = \mathcal{R}^{n} \times \mathcal{R}^{\infty} \colon \quad Y^{(n)} \colon \mathcal{R}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{R}^{n} \\ \text{obs } y^{(n)} \in \mathcal{R}^{n} \mapsto \mathcal{Q}_{\pi}(\mathcal{A} \mid y^{(n)}) \text{ for } \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S} \\ \text{e.g. } \mathcal{Q}_{\pi}(Y_{n+1} < 3.7 \mid y^{(n)}) \text{ or } \mathcal{Q}_{\pi}(2.3 < \bar{Y}_{\infty} < 3.7 \mid y^{(n)}) \end{split}$$

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Improper mixtures: $\nu(\Theta) = \infty$

 $P_{\theta}(A)\nu(d\theta)$ not a probability distribution on $S \times \Theta$ — No theorem of conditional probability

Nonetheless

If $Q_{\nu}(dy) = \int P_{\theta}(dy; \theta) \nu(d\theta) < \infty$, the formal Bayes ratio $P_{\theta}(dy) \nu(d\theta) / Q_{\nu}(dy)$ is a probability distribution on Θ

Distinction: Bayes calculus versus Bayes's theorem

If there is a theorem here, what is its nature?

(i) conditional distn is associated with some *y*-values and not others

(ii) what about DSZ marginalization paradoxes?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一座

Marginalization paradoxes (Dawid, Stone and Zidek)

Exponential ratio model:

 $Y \sim \phi e^{-\phi y}$, $X \sim \theta \phi e^{-\theta \phi x}$ indep Parameter of interest: $\theta = E(Y)/E(X)$. Prior: $\pi(\theta)d\theta d\phi$

Analysis I: Joint density: $\theta \phi^2 e^{-\phi(\theta x+y)} dx dy$ Marginal posterior: $\pi(\theta | x, y) \propto \frac{\theta \pi(\theta)}{(\theta+z)^3}$ where z = y/x.

Analysis II: based on Z alone

$$p(z \,|\, heta) = rac{ heta}{(heta + z)^2} \qquad \pi(heta \,|\, z) \propto rac{ heta \, \pi(heta)}{(heta + z)^2}$$

Apparent contradiction or paradox Prior $\pi(\theta) d\theta d\phi/\phi$ gives same answer both ways.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

What does Bayes's theorem do?

Conventional proper Bayes: Begins with the family $\{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ and $\pi(d\theta)$ Creates a random element (Y, T) in $S \times \Theta$ with distribution $P_{\theta}(dy) \pi(d\theta)$ Computes the conditional distribution given Y = y

Can we do something similar with an improper mixture ν ?

- (i) Associate with the family $\{P_{\theta}\}$ and measure ν some sort of random object in $S \times \Theta$
- (ii) Observe a piece of this object, (projection onto S or S_n)
- (iii) Compute the conditional distribution given the observation

What sort of random object?

Improper mixtures and Bayes's theorem

- (i) Bayes's theorem is just conditional probability; joint distribution on $\mathcal{S} \times \Theta \mapsto$ conditional distribution
- (ii) Bayes's theorem needs joint probability distribution (Lindley) but not necessarily on $\mathcal{S} \times \Theta$
- (iii) Poisson process converts a measure ν on Θ into a prob distn on the power set Pow(Θ)
- (iv) Prob distn π generates a random element $T \in \Theta$ measure ν generates a random subset $T \subset \Theta$
- (v) Sampling: how do we observe a random set?
- (vi) Can Bayes's theorem now be used?

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Poisson process in $S = S_0 \times S_1$

Domain S, measure space with measure μ Countability condition (Kingman 1993)

$$\mu=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n \qquad \mu_n(\mathcal{S})<\infty.$$

 $Z \subset S$ a Poisson process with mean measure μ : $Z \sim PP(\mu)$ # $(Z \cap A) \sim Po(\mu(A))$ independently for $A \cap A' = \emptyset$

Product structure $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 \times \mathcal{S}_1$ gives

$$Z = (Y, X) = \{(Y_i, X_i) : i = 1, 2, ...\} \ (Y_i \in S_0, X_i \in S_1)$$

Projection $Y = Z[n] \subset S_0$ is $PP(\mu_0)$ where $\mu_0(A) = \mu(A \times S_1)$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

Observation on a point process: $S = \mathcal{R}^{\infty}$

Point process $Z \subset \mathcal{R}^{\infty}$: countable set of infinite sequences

$$Z_{1} = \overbrace{(Z_{11}, Z_{12}, \dots, Z_{1n}, Z_{1,n+1}, \dots)}^{Y_{1} = Z_{1}[n]}$$

$$Z_{m} = \overbrace{(Z_{m1}, Z_{m2}, \dots, Z_{mn}, Z_{m,n+1}, \dots)}^{Y_{m} = Z_{n}[n]}$$

 $Z \subset \mathcal{R}^{\infty} \sim \operatorname{PP}(\mu); \quad Y = Z[n] \subset \mathcal{R}^n; \quad Y \sim \operatorname{PP}(\mu_0)$ Sampling region $A \subset \mathcal{R}^n$ such that $\mu_0(A) = \mu(A \times \mathcal{R}^{\infty}) < \infty;$

Observation $\mathbf{y} = Y \cap A$; $\#\mathbf{y} < \infty$ Inference for sequences $Z[A] = \{Z_i : Y_i \in A\}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

Observation on a point process: $S = \mathcal{R}^{\infty}$

Point process $Z \subset \mathcal{R}^{\infty}$: countable set of infinite sequences PP events: $Z = \{Z_1, Z_2, \ldots\}$ one PP event $Z_i = (Z_{i1}, Z_{i2}, \ldots)$ an infinite sequence $Z_i = (Y_i, X_i)$: $Y_i = (Z_{i1}, \ldots, Z_{in})$; $X_i = (Z_{i,n+1}, Z_{i,n+2}, \ldots)$ $Y_i = Z_i[n]$ initial segment of Z_i ; X_i subsequent trajectory

Observation space $S_0 = \mathcal{R}^n$: Sampling protocol: test set $A \subset S_0$ such that $\mu_0(A) < \infty$ Observation: $Y \cap A$ a *finite* subset of S_0

Inference for what?

for the subsequent trajectories $X[A] = \{X_i : Y_i \in A\}$, if any.

<ロ> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同> <

Bayes's theorem for PPP

Test set $A \subset \mathcal{R}^n$ such that $\mu_0(A) = \mu(A \times \mathcal{R}^\infty) < \infty$ Observation $\mathbf{v} = Y \cap A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$: Subsequent trajectories $\mathbf{x} = X[A] = \{X_i : Y_i \in A\}$ (i) Finiteness: $\mu_0(A) < \infty$ implies $\# \mathbf{y} < \infty$ w.p.1 (ii) Trivial case: If **v** is empty $\mathbf{x} = \emptyset$ (iii) Assume $\mu_0(A) > 0$ and $m = \# \mathbf{y} > 0$ (iv) Label the events Y_1, \ldots, Y_m independently of Z. (v) Given m, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m are iid $\mu_0(dy)/\mu_0(A)$ (vi) $(Y_1, X_1), \ldots, (Y_m, X_m)$ are iid with density $\mu(dx, dy)/\mu_0(A)$ (vii) Conditional distribution

$$p(d\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\mu(dx_i \, dy_i)}{\mu_0(dy_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu(dx_i | y_i)$$

Remarks on the conditional distribution

$$p(d\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\mu(dx_i \, dy_i)}{\mu_0(dy_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu(dx_i \mid y_i)$$

- (i) Finiteness assumption: $\mu_0(A) < \infty$ given $\#(Y \cap A) = m < \infty$, the values Y_1, \ldots, Y_m are iid
- (ii) Conditional independence of trajectories:

 X_1, \ldots, X_m are conditionally independent given $Y \cap A = \mathbf{y}$

(iii) Lack of interference:

Conditional distn of X_i given $Y \cap A = \mathbf{y}$ depends only on y_i

- unaffected by m or by configuration of other events
- (iv) Role of test set A

no guarantee that a test set exists such that $0 < \mu_0(A) < \infty$! if $y \in S_0$ has a nbd A s.t. $0 < \mu_0(A) < \infty$ then the test set has no effect.

Improper parametric mixtures

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \mathcal{R}^n \times \Theta \text{ product space} \\ \{ P_{\theta}(dy) \colon \theta \in \Theta \} \text{ a family of prob distns} \\ \nu(d\theta) \text{ a countable measure on } \Theta \colon \nu(\Theta) = \infty \\ \Rightarrow \mu &= P_{\theta}(dy)\nu(d\theta) \text{ countable on } \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}^n \times \Theta \\ \mu_0(A) &= \mu(A \times \Theta) = \int_{\Theta} P_{\theta}(A) \nu(d\theta) \text{ on } \mathcal{R}^n \end{split}$$

The process:

 $Z \sim PP(\mu)$ a random subset of S $Z = \{(Y_1, X_1), (Y_2, X_2), \ldots\}$ (countability) $Y \sim PP(\mu_0)$ in \mathcal{R}^n and $X \sim PP(\nu)$ in Θ

Infinite number of sequences $Y \subset \mathcal{R}^n$ one parameter $X_i \in \Theta$ for each $Y_i \in Y$

Improper parameteric mixture (contd.)

Observation:

a test set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mu_0(A) < \infty$ the subset $\mathbf{y} = Y \cap A$ (finite but could be empty) but $\#\mathbf{y} > 0$ implies $\mu_0(A) > 0$

The inferential goal:

X[A] : Y_i in A a finite random subset of Θ

Elements (parameters) in *X*[*A*] are conditionally independent with distribution $\nu(d\theta)P_{\theta}(dy)/\mu_0(dy)$

Vindication of the formal Bayes calculus!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 のへで

Summary of assumptions

The Poisson process:

Countability: $\nu(A) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \nu_j(A)$ ($\nu_j(S) < \infty$); includes nearly every imaginable improper mixture! implies that μ_0 is countable on $S_0 = \mathcal{R}^n$ σ -finiteness, local finiteness,.. sufficient but not needed

Observation space and sampling protocol:

need \mathcal{S}_0 and $\textit{A} \subset \mathcal{S}_0$ such that $\mu_0(\textit{A}) < \infty$

- not guaranteed by countability condition
- may be satisfied even if μ_0 not σ -finite
- may require $n \ge 2$ or $n \ge 3$
- may exclude certain points s.t. $\mu_0(\{y\}) = \infty$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

Gaussian sequences: parametric formulation

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \mathcal{R}^n \times \Theta, \qquad P_{\theta,\sigma} \text{ iid } N(\theta,\sigma^2) \\ \nu(d\theta) &= d\theta \, d\sigma/\sigma^p \text{ on } \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R}^+ \text{ (improper on } \Theta) \\ \mu(dy \, d\theta) &= N_n(\theta,\sigma^2)(dy) \, d\theta \, d\sigma/\sigma \text{ (joint measure on } \mathcal{S}) \\ \mathcal{Z} &\subset \mathcal{R}^n \times \Theta \text{ is a PP with mean measure } \mu \\ \text{Marginal process } Y \subset \mathcal{R}^n \text{ is Poisson with mean measure} \end{split}$$

$$\mu_0(dy) = \frac{\Gamma((n+p-2)/2)2^{(p-3)/2}\pi^{-(n-1)/2}n^{-1/2}dy}{(\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2)^{(n+p-2)/2}}$$

Test sets $A \subset \mathcal{R}^n$ such that $0 < \mu_0(A) < \infty$

does not exist unless $n \ge 2$ and n > 2 - p

For each test set *A*, finite subset $\mathbf{y} \subset A$ and for each $y \in \mathbf{y}$

$$p(\theta, \sigma | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{y}) = \phi_n(d\mathbf{y}; \theta, \sigma) \sigma^{-p} / \mu_0(d\mathbf{y})$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

Formal Bayes inferential statements

Given a proper mixture π on Θ , what does Bayes's theorem do? associates with each integer $n \ge 0$, and almost every $y \in \mathcal{R}^n$ a distribution

$$P_n(d\theta \, d\sigma \,|\, \mathbf{y}) \propto \phi_n(\mathbf{y}; \theta, \sigma) \, \pi(d\theta \, d\sigma)$$

This holds in particular for n = 0 and y = 0 in \mathbb{R}^0 .

Given an improper mixture ν on Θ , Bayes's theorem associates with each test set $A \subset \mathcal{R}^n$, with each finite subset $\mathbf{y} \subset A$, and with almost every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{y}$

$$P_n(heta, \sigma \,|\, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{y}) = \phi_n(d\mathbf{y}; heta, \sigma) \sigma^{-p} / \mu_0(d\mathbf{y})$$

independently for y_1, \ldots in **y**.

The first statement is not correct for improper mixtures.

Nonparametric version I

 $T \subset \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R}^+$ Poisson with mean measure $d\theta \, d\sigma / \sigma^p$ To each $t = (t_1, t_2)$ in T associate an iid $N(t_1, t_2^2)$ sequence Z_t The set $Z \subset \mathcal{R}^\infty$ of sequences $Z \sim PP(\mu)$

$$\mu_n(dz) = \frac{\Gamma((n+p-2)/2)2^{(p-3)/2}\pi^{-(n-1)/2}n^{-1/2}dz}{(\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \bar{z}_n)^2)^{(n+p-2)/2}}$$

such that $\mu_n(A) = \mu_{n+1}(A \times \mathcal{R})$. Projection: $Z[n] \sim PP(\mu_n)$ in \mathcal{R}^n .

Observation: test set *A* plus $Z[n] \cap A = \mathbf{z}$ For $z \in \mathbf{z}$ the subsequent trajectory z_{n+1}, \ldots is distributed as

$$\mu_{n+k}(z_1,\ldots,z_n,z_{n+1},\ldots,z_{n+k})/\mu_n(z)$$

exchangeable Student *t* on n + p - 2 d.f.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一座

Nonparametric version II

 $Y[2] \subset \mathcal{R}^2$ is Poisson with mean measure

$$dy_1 \, dy_2 / |y_1 - y_2|^p$$

Extend each $y \in Y[2]$ by the Gosset rule

$$y_{n+1} = \bar{y}_n + s_n \epsilon_n \sqrt{(n^2 - 1)/(n(n + p - 2))}$$

where $\epsilon_n \sim t_{n+p-2}$ indep.

Then $Y \subset \mathcal{R}^{\infty}$ is the same point process as Z $Y \sim Z \sim PP(\mu)$ in \mathcal{R}^{∞} .

Bernoulli sequences

$$Y_1, \ldots, Y_n, \ldots$$
 iid Bernoulli(θ)
Take $S_0 = \{0, 1\}^n$ as observation space
 $\nu(d\theta) = d\theta/(\theta(1-\theta)).$

Product measure $\mu(y, d\theta) = d\theta \, \theta^{n_1(y)-1} (1-\theta)^{n_0(y)-1}$ Marginal measure on $\{0, 1\}^n$

$$\mu_0(y) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(n_0(y))\Gamma(n_1(y))/\Gamma(n) & n_0(y), n_1(y) > 0\\ \infty & y = 0^n \text{ or } 1^n \end{cases}$$

Test set $A \subset \{0,1\}^n$ excludes $0^n, 1^n$, so $n \ge 2$

$$\mathcal{P}_{
u}(heta \mid Y \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathbf{y}, y \in \mathbf{y}) = \mathsf{Beta}_{n_1(y), n_0(y)}(heta)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Bayes's theorem Bayes's theorem for PF Poisson processes Gaussian sequences

Marginalization paradox revisited

Exponential ratio model: $Y \sim \phi e^{-\phi y}$, $X \sim \theta \phi e^{-\theta \phi x}$ indep Prior: $\pi(\theta) d\theta d\phi$ Joint measure $\phi^2 \theta e^{-\phi(y+\theta x)}\pi(\theta)$ on $\mathcal{R}^2 \times \Theta$ Bivariate marginal measure has a density in \mathcal{R}^2

$$\lambda(x, y) = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta \pi(\theta) \, d\theta}{(\theta x + y)^3}$$

Locally finite, so observable on small test sets $A \subset \mathcal{R}^2$ Bayes's PP theorem gives

$$p(heta \mid Y \cap A = \mathbf{y}, \ (x, y) \in \mathbf{y}) \propto rac{ heta \ \pi(heta)}{(heta + z)^3}$$

where z = y/x. Correct standard conclusion derived from the Bayes calculus.

Marginalization paradox contd.

Conclusion depends on z = y/x alone Induced marginal measure on \mathcal{R}^+ for the ratios z = y/x

$$\Lambda_Z(A) = egin{cases} 0 & {
m Leb}(A) = 0 \ \infty & {
m Leb}(A) > 0 \end{cases}$$

No test set such that $0 < \Lambda(A) < \infty$

Bayes PP theorem does not support the formal Bayes calculus

Could adjust the mixture measure: $\pi(\theta) d\theta d\phi/\phi$ Two versions of Bayes calculus give $\theta\pi(\theta)/(z+\theta)^2$

But there is no PP theorem to support version II

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Conclusions

(i) Is there a version of Bayes's theorem for improper mixtures? — Yes.

(ii) Is it the same theorem?

- No. Sampling scheme is different
- Conclusions are of a different structure

(iii) Are the conclusions compatible with the formal Bayes calculus?

- To a certain extent, yes.

(iv) How do the conclusions differ from proper Bayes?

- Nature of the sampling schemes:

proper Bayes: sample $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, observation $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ improper Bayes: sample $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, observation $Y \cap A$

- Finiteness condition on sampling region A:

— usually $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ does not exist unless $n \ge k$

(v) Admissibility of estimates? Peter McCullagh

Improper mixtures

ロトス値とスヨトスヨト