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This semester's Thematic Program at the Fields Institute is titled:
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- Arithmetic (Diophantine) Geometry
- Hyperbolic Geometry (Nevanlinna Theory)
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## Some Diophantine Equations

A diophantine equation is a system of polynomial equations in which the solutions are assumed to lie in $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$ (or some more general rings).

| Equation |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $x^{3}+y^{3}=1, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ | $\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$ |
| $3 x^{3}+4 y^{3}=5$, | $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ |
| $y^{2}+y=x^{3}-x, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ | Infinitelmer many |

All of these are cubics in two variables, and all will give a Riemann surface of genus 1 (minus one or two points) if you graph them in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ (allowing $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ ).

In each case there is a number field $k$ (i.e., an extension field $k \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ with $[k: \mathbb{Q}]$ finite) for which the equation has infinitely many solutions with $x, y \in k$.

Question. Given a system of polynomial equations in $n$ variables with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that the graph of solutions in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a compact connected Riemann surface, minus finitely many points. Does there exist a number field $k$ over which this system has infinitely many solutions?

| Genus | Answer |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0,1 | Yes |
| $\geq 2$ | No (Faltings) |

Question. Let $X$ be a compact connected Riemann surface. Does there exist a non-constant holomorphic function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ ?

| Genus | Answer |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0,1 | Yes |
| $\geq 2$ | No (Picard) |

Given a number field $k$, let $\mathscr{O}_{k}$ denote the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $k$. It is called the ring of integers of $k$.

Question. Given a system of polynomial equations in $n$ variables with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that the graph of solutions in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a compact connected Riemann surface, minus s points. Does there exist a number field $k$ such that this system has infinitely many solutions in $\mathscr{O}_{k}^{n}$ ?
$x^{2}-2 y^{2}=1$ : yes $(k=\mathbb{Q}$, Pell $) \quad 9 x^{2}-18 y^{2}=1$ : no.
In each case the genus is 0 and $s=2$.
For a finite set $S$ of prime numbers, let $\mathbb{Z}[1 / S]=\mathbb{Z}[1 / p: p \in S]$. (This is the set of rational numbers that can be written as a fraction whose denominator is a product of powers of primes in $S$.) For a number field $k$ and $S$ as above, let $\mathscr{O}_{k, S}$ denote the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}[1 / S]$ in $k$.

Question. Given a system of polynomial equations in $n$ variables with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume that the graph of solutions in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a compact connected Riemann surface, minus s points. Does there exist a number field $k$ and a set $S$ (as above) such that this system has infinitely many solutions in $\mathscr{O}_{k, S}^{n}$ ?

| Genus | $s$ | Answer |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | $\leq 2$ | Yes |
| 0 | $>2$ | No (Siegel) |
| 1 | 0 | Yes |
| 1 | $>0$ | No (Siegel) |
| $\geq 2$ | $\geq 0$ | No (Siegel \& Faltings) |

Question. Let $X$ be a compact connected Riemann surface. Does there exist a non-constant holomorphic function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ whose image omits (at least) s points?

| Genus | $s$ | Answer |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | $\leq 2$ | Yes |
| 0 | $>2$ | No (Picard) |
| 1 | 0 | Yes |
| 1 | $>0$ | No (Picard) |
| $\geq 2$ | $\geq 0$ | No (Picard) |

(If $g$ is the genus, then the answer is yes if and only if $2 g-2+s \leq 0$.)

What is going on here?

## Nevanlinna Theory

Nevanlinna theory is part (most) of value distribution theory of holomorphic functions

Consider the function $e^{z}$. It has no zeroes or poles, so as a map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ it omits the values 0 and $\infty$.

Theorem (Picard). There is no non-constant holomorphic function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ omitting three or more values.
[draw $\left.\exp ^{-1}(2)\right]$
Note that $\exp ^{-1}(w)= \begin{cases}\{\log w+2 \pi i n: n \in \mathbb{Z}\} & w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, \\ \emptyset & w=0, \infty\end{cases}$
$\therefore \#\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: e^{z}=w\right.$ and $\left.|z| \leq r\right\}= \begin{cases}\frac{r}{\pi}+O_{w}(1) & w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, \\ 0 & w=0, \infty\end{cases}$
From now on assume $f(0) \neq 0, \infty$.
Let $\log ^{+} x=\max \{0, \log x\}$. Also let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be meromorphic.
Definition. The proximity function is

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{f}(r)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}, \quad \text { and } \\
m_{f}(a, r)=m_{1 /(f-a)}(r)=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{-}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)-a\right| \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \quad a \in \mathbb{C} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Also let $m_{f}(\infty, r)=m_{f}(r)$.

Definition. The counting function is

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{f}(r)=\sum_{|z|<r} \operatorname{ord}_{z}^{+}(1 / f) \cdot \log \frac{r}{|z|}, \quad \text { and } \\
N_{f}(a, r)=N_{1 /(f-a)}(r)=\sum_{|z|<r} \operatorname{ord}_{z}^{+}(f-a) \cdot \log \frac{r}{|z|} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Also let $N_{f}(\infty, r)=N_{f}(r)$.
Finally, we define the height function by

$$
T_{f}(r)=m_{f}(r)+N_{f}(r)
$$

If $f(z)=e^{z}$ then $N_{f}(\infty, r)=0$ and

$$
m_{f}(\infty, r)=\int \log ^{+} e^{r \cos \theta} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}=r \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \cos \theta \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}=\frac{r}{\pi}
$$

Theorem (First Main Theorem (FMT)). For all $a \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
m_{f}(a, r)+N_{f}(a, r)=T_{f}(r)+O_{f, a}(1)
$$

Since $m_{f}(a, r) \geq 0$, this gives an upper bound on $N_{f}(a, r)$.

Compare with Jensen's formula

$$
\log \left|c_{\lambda}\right|=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}+N_{f}(\infty, r)-N_{f}(0, r) .
$$

Theorem (Second Main Theorem (SMT)). Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ be distinct. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{q} m_{f}\left(a_{i}, r\right) \leq_{\mathrm{exc}} 2 T_{f}(r)+O\left(\log ^{+} T_{f}(r)\right)+o(\log r) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O()$ and $o()$ depend only on $f$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$, and $\leq \leq_{\text {exc }}$ means that the inequality holds for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ outside of a set of finite Lebesgue measure.

Corollary (Picard). If $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$ is holomorphic with $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ distinct, then $f$ is constant.

Proof. Since $f$ never equals $a_{i}$, we have $N_{f}\left(a_{i}, r\right)=0$, so the FMT gives $m_{f}\left(a_{i}, r\right)=T_{f}(r)+O(1)$. The left-hand side of $(*)$ is therefore $3 T_{f}(r)+O(1)$, so $(*)$ becomes $T_{f}(r) \leq_{\text {exc }} O\left(\log ^{+} T_{f}(r)\right)+o(\log r)$. But, if $f$ is nonconstant then $T_{f}(r) \geq O(\log r)$, a contradiction. Therefore $f$ is constant.

One can view the SMT as a lower bound on $N_{f}(a, r)$ : the left-hand side of (*) is $q T_{f}(r)-\sum m_{f}\left(a_{i}, r\right)$, so (*) is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} N_{f}\left(a_{i}, r\right) \geq_{\operatorname{exc}}(q-2) T_{f}(r)-O\left(\log ^{+} T_{f}(r)\right)-o(\log r) .
$$

Advantages:
(1). $q-2=\chi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash q\right.$ points),
(2). The left-hand side is independent of metrics; and
(3). One can phrase it using truncated counting functions (abc conjecture).

## Number Theory

For a number field $k$, let $M_{k}$ be its set of places. This is in one-to-one correspondence with the disjoint union
$\left\{\right.$ nonzero primes in $\left.\mathscr{O}_{k}\right\} \coprod\{\sigma: k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}\} \coprod\{$ unordered pairs $(\sigma, \bar{\sigma}): \sigma \neq \bar{\sigma}: k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$.
For $v \in M_{k}$ we define norms $\|\cdot\|_{v}$ by

$$
\|x\|= \begin{cases}\left(\mathscr{O}_{k}: \mathfrak{p}\right)^{-\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)} & \text { if } v \nmid \infty, x \neq 0 \\ |\sigma(x)| & \text { if } v \text { is real } \\ |\sigma(x)|^{2} & \text { if } v \text { is complex. }\end{cases}
$$

We then have a product formula $\prod_{v \in M_{k}}\|x\|_{v}=1$ for all $x \in k, x \neq 0$.
Let $S_{\infty}$ denote the set of archimedean (real or complex) places.
Let $S \supseteq S_{\infty}$ be a finite set of places of $k$; for $x \in k$ we then define

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{S}(x)=m_{S}(\infty, x)=\sum_{v \in S} \log ^{+}\|x\|_{v}, \\
m_{S}(a, x)=m_{S}\left(\frac{1}{x-a}\right)=\sum_{v \in S} \log ^{+}\left\|\frac{1}{x-a}\right\|_{v},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{S}(x)=N_{S}(\infty, x)=\sum_{v \notin S} \log ^{+}\|x\|_{v}=\sum_{v \notin S} \operatorname{ord}_{v}^{+}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \cdot \log \left(\mathscr{O}_{k}: \mathfrak{p}\right), \\
N_{S}(a, x)=N_{S}\left(\frac{1}{x-a}\right)=\sum_{v \notin S} \log ^{+}\left\|\frac{1}{x-a}\right\|_{v} . \\
h_{k}(x)=m_{S}(x)+N_{S}(x)=\sum_{v \in M_{k}} \log ^{+}\|x\|_{v}=\log \prod_{v} \max \left\{1,\|x\|_{v}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Corresponding to the FMT, we have

$$
m_{S}(a, x)+N_{S}(a, x)=h_{k}\left(\frac{1}{x-a}\right)=h_{k}(x)+O_{a, k}(1),
$$

a property of heights.

Theorem (Roth). Let $k$ and $S$ be as above, and for all $v \in S$ let $\alpha_{v} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let
$\epsilon>0$. Then the inequality

$$
\prod_{v \in S} \min \left\{1,\left\|x-\alpha_{v}\right\|_{v}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{H_{k}(x)^{2+\epsilon}}
$$

holds for only finitely many $x \in k$. Here $H_{k}(x)=\exp \left(h_{k}(x)\right)=\prod \max \left\{1,\|x\|_{v}\right\}$.
This is equivalent to the same statement with $\alpha_{v} \in k$ for all $v$ (expand $k$ ). Equivalently, given $k, S, \epsilon$, and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} \in k$, then the inequality

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{v \in S} \min \left\{1,\left\|x-a_{i}\right\|_{v}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{H_{k}(x)^{2+\epsilon}}
$$

holds for only finitely many $x \in k$.
Taking - log of both sides, and rearranging the logic, we then have that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} m_{S}\left(a_{i}, x\right) \leq(2+\epsilon) h_{k}(x)+O(1)
$$

for almost all $x \in k$.

## The Dictionary

Recall the definitions of the proximity function

$$
m_{f}(r)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \quad \text { and } \quad m_{S}(x)=\sum_{v \in S} \log ^{+}\|x\|_{v}
$$

in Nevanlinna theory and number theory, respectively.
Also, the counting function is

$$
N_{f}(r)=\sum_{|z|<r} \operatorname{ord}_{z}^{+}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right) \cdot \log \frac{r}{|z|} \quad \text { and } \quad N_{S}(x)=\sum_{v \notin S} \operatorname{ord}_{v}^{+}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \cdot \log \left(\mathscr{O}_{k}: \mathfrak{p}\right)
$$

in Nevanlinna theory and number theory, respectively. We can see some similarities.

| Nevanlinna Theory | Number Theory |
| ---: | :--- |
| $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad$ non-constant | $\{x\} \subseteq k, \quad$ infinite |
| $r$ | $x$ |
| $\theta$ | $v \in S$ |
| $\left\|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|$ | $\\|x\\|_{v}, \quad v \in S$ |
| $\operatorname{ord}_{z} f$ | $\operatorname{ord}_{v} x, \quad v \notin S$ |
| $\log \frac{r}{\|z\|}$ | $\log \left(\mathscr{O}_{k}: \mathfrak{p}\right)$ |

## Important:

- One holomorphic map corresponds to an infinite set of rational points.
- One rational point may correspond to $\left.f\right|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r}}$.

The abc conjecture
Conjecture (Masser-Oesterlé "abc conjecture"). For all $\epsilon>0$ there is a constant $C$ with the following property. All integers $a, b, c$ satisfying $a+b+c=0$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c)=1$ must satisfy the inequality

$$
\max \{|a|,|b|,|c|\} \leq C \prod_{p \mid a b c} p^{1+\epsilon} .
$$

There is something in Nevanlinna theory which corresponds to this:
Definition. The truncated counting function in Nevanlinna theory is defined by

$$
N_{f}^{(1)}(r)=N_{f}^{(1)}(\infty, r)=\sum_{|w|<r} \min \left\{1, \operatorname{ord}_{w}^{+}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)\right\} \log \frac{r}{|w|}
$$

and $N_{f}^{(1)}(a, r)=N_{1 /(f-a)}^{(1)}(r)$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}$.
Theorem (Second Main Theorem with Truncated Counting Functions). Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ be distinct. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} N_{f}^{(1)}\left(a_{i}, r\right) \geq_{\operatorname{exc}}(q-2) T_{f}(r)-O\left(\log ^{+} T_{f}(r)\right)-o(\log r)
$$

where $O()$ and $o()$ depend only on $f$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$.

## In number theory, we have:

Definition. The truncated counting function in number theory is defined by

$$
N_{S}^{(1)}(x)=N_{S}^{(1)}(\infty, x)=\sum_{v \notin S} \min \left\{1, \operatorname{ord}_{v}^{+}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right\} \log \left(\mathscr{O}_{k}: \mathfrak{p}\right)
$$

and $N_{S}^{(1)}(a, x)=N_{S}^{(1)}(1 /(x-a))$ for $a \in k, a \neq x$. Here, as usual, $\mathfrak{p}$ is the place of $k$ corresponding to each place $v \notin S$.

Conjecture. Let $k$ be a number field, let $S \supseteq S_{\infty}$ be a finite set of places of $k$, let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$ be elements of $k \cup\{\infty\}$, let $\epsilon>0$, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} N_{S}^{(1)}\left(a_{i}, x\right) \geq(q-2-\epsilon) h_{k}(x)+c
$$

holds for all but finitely many $x \in k$.
When $k=\mathbb{Q}, S=\{\infty\}, q=3$, and $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}=\{0,1, \infty\}$, this is the abc conjecture.

Making things geometrical
One can think of a finite set of points on a Riemann surface (or on an algebraic curve) as a divisor, which is reduced since the points are distinct. If $D$ denotes such a divisor, then we can phrase the proximity, counting, and truncated counting functions in terms of divisors:

$$
m_{f}(D, r)=\sum_{i=1}^{q} m_{f}\left(a_{i}, r\right), \quad \text { etc. }
$$

Thus, we have:
Theorem (SMT for Riemann Surfaces with Truncated Counting Functions). Let $X$ be a compact connected Riemann surface, let $D$ be an effective reduced divisor on $X$, let $\mathscr{K}$ be the canonical line sheaf on $X$, let $\mathscr{A}$ be an ample line sheaf on $X$, and let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant holomorphic function. Then

$$
N_{f}^{(1)}(D, r) \geq \operatorname{exc} T_{f, \mathscr{K}(D)}(r)-O\left(\log ^{+} T_{\mathscr{A}, f}(r)\right)-o(\log r) .
$$

Conjecture (Diophantine SMT with Truncated Counting Functions). Let $k$ and $S$ be as usual, let $X$ be a smooth projective curve over $k$, let $D$ be an effective reduced divisor on $X$, let $\mathscr{K}$ be the canonical line sheaf on $X$, let $\mathscr{A}$ be an ample line sheaf on $X$, and let $\epsilon>0$. Then, for all but finitely many $x \in X(k)$,

$$
N_{S}^{(1)}(D, x) \geq h_{k, \mathscr{H}(D)}(x)-\epsilon h_{k, \mathscr{A}}(x)+O(1) .
$$

These then imply Picard's and Faltings' theorems when the genus is $\geq 2$.

Finite ramified coverings and algebraic points
One can generalize the latter conjecture to deal with points over varying number fields of bounded degree over $k$. In Nevanlinna theory, this corresponds to replacing the domain $\mathbb{C}$ with a finite ramified covering of $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem (SMT for Riemann Surfaces with Truncated Counting Functions and Finite Ramified Coverings). Let $X$ be a compact connected Riemann surface, let $D$ be an effective reduced divisor on $X$, let $\mathscr{K}$ be the canonical line sheaf on $X$, let $\mathscr{A}$ be an ample line sheaf on $X$, let $B$ be a connected Riemann surface, let $\pi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a proper surjective holomorphic map, and let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant holomorphic function. Then

$$
N_{f}^{(1)}(D, r)+N_{\operatorname{Ram}(\pi)}(r) \geq_{\operatorname{exc}} T_{f, \mathscr{K}(D)}(r)-O\left(\log ^{+} T_{\mathscr{A}, f}(r)\right)-o(\log r) .
$$

Here the additional term $N_{\operatorname{Ram}(\pi)}(r)$ is a counting function for ramification points of $\pi$.

Its counterpart in number theory is related to the discriminant:

$$
d_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{[k(x): k]} \log \left|D_{k(x)}\right| .
$$

Conjecture (Diophantine SMT with Truncated Counting Functions for Algebraic Points). Let $k$ and $S$ be as usual, let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $X$ be a smooth projective curve over $k$, let $D$ be an effective reduced divisor on $X$, let $\mathscr{K}$ be the canonical line sheaf on $X$, let $\mathscr{A}$ be an ample line sheaf on $X$, and let $\epsilon>0$. Then, for all but finitely many $x \in X(\bar{k})$ with $[k(x): k] \leq r$,

$$
N_{S}^{(1)}(D, x)+d_{k}(x) \geq h_{k, \mathscr{K}(D)}(x)-\epsilon h_{k, \mathscr{A}}(x)+O(1) .
$$

The conjecture when $\operatorname{dim} X>1$
This conjecture has been posed also in higher dimensions, the only difference being that non-constant functions and infinite sets need to be replaced by Zariskidense functions and sets, respectively.

And, both statements are conjectural.
Conjecture (Griffiths). Let $X$ be a smooth complex projective variety, let $D$ be a normal crossings divisor on $X$, let $\mathscr{K}$ be the canonical line sheaf on $X$, let $\mathscr{A}$ be an ample line sheaf on $X$, let $\epsilon>0$, let $B$ be a connected Riemann surface, and let $\pi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a proper surjective holomorphic map. Then there is a proper Zariski-closed subset $Z$ of $X$, depending only on $X, D$, $\mathscr{A}$, and $\epsilon$, such that

$$
N_{f}^{(1)}(D, r)+N_{\operatorname{Ram}(\pi)}(r) \geq_{\operatorname{exc}} T_{\mathscr{K}(D), f}(r)-\epsilon T_{\mathscr{A}, f}(r)-O(1)
$$

holds for all holomorphic curves $f: B \rightarrow X$ whose image is not contained in Z.

Conjecture. Let $k$ and $S$ be as usual, let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over $k$, let $D$ be a normal crossings divisor on $X$, let $\mathscr{K}$ be the canonical line sheaf on $X$, let $\mathscr{A}$ be an ample line sheaf on $X$, let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and let $\epsilon>0$. Then there is a proper Zariski-closed subset $Z$ of $X$, depending only on $X, D, \mathscr{A}$, and $\epsilon$, such that the inequality

$$
N_{S}^{(1)}(D, x)+d_{k}(x) \geq h_{\mathscr{H}(D), k}(x)-\epsilon h_{\mathscr{A}, k}(x)-O(1)
$$

holds for all $x \in(X \backslash Z)(\bar{k})$ with $[k(x): k] \leq r$.

## Many things imply abc

This latter conjecture implies the abc conjecture in a number of ways:

- If $k=\mathbb{Q}, r=1$, and $X=\mathbb{P}^{1}$ (as noted already)
- Without truncated counting functions, with $\operatorname{dim} X=1$ (" $1+\epsilon$ conjecture")
- Without truncated counting functions, with $r=1$ (rational points)

The abc conjecture seems to be at the center of these types of conjectures.

A less sweeping conjecture
This conjecture is a bit less sweeping, being based on something in Nevanlinna theory that is actually proved.

If $\mathscr{E}$ is a vector sheaf on a scheme $X$, then we recall that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}):=\operatorname{Proj} \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S^{d} \mathscr{E}
$$

This is the space of hyperplanes in fibers of $\mathscr{E}$.
Theorem (McQuillan's "Tautological inequality"). Let $X$ be a nonsingular complex projective variety, let $D$ be a normal crossings divisor on $X$, let $\mathscr{A}$ be an ample line sheaf on $X$, let $\pi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be as usual, let $f: B \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant holomorphic map, and let $f^{\prime}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X}(\log D)\right)$ be its lifting. Then

$$
T_{\mathscr{O}(1), f^{\prime}}(r) \leq_{\operatorname{exc}} N_{f}^{(1)}(D, r)+N_{\operatorname{Ram}(\pi)}(r)+O\left(\log T_{\mathscr{A}, f}(r)+\log r\right) .
$$

Conjecture. Let $k$ and $S$ be as usual, let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over $k$ with $\operatorname{dim} X>0$, let $D$ be a normal crossings divisor on $X$, let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let A be an ample line sheaf on $X$, and let $\epsilon>0$. Then, for all $x \in X(\bar{k})$ with $[k(x): k] \leq r$, there is a closed point $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X / k}(\log D)\right)$ lying over $x$ such that

$$
h_{\mathscr{O}(1), k}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq N_{S}^{(1)}(D, x)+d_{k}(x)+\epsilon h_{\mathscr{A}, k}(x)+O(1) .
$$

Moreover, given a finite collection of rational maps $g_{i}: X \rightarrow W_{i}$ to varieties $W_{i}$, there are finite sets $\Sigma_{i}$ of closed points on $W_{i}$ for each $i$ with the following property. For each $x$ as above, $x^{\prime}$ may be chosen so that, for each $i$, if $x$ lies in the domain of $g_{i}$ and if $g_{i}(x) \notin \Sigma_{i}$, then $x^{\prime}$ lies in the domain of the induced rational map $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{X / k}\right) \rightarrow-\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{W_{i} / k}\right)$.

This conjecture obviously deserves to be called the tautological conjecture.

