

The Evolution of Dispersal

Yuan Lou

Department of Mathematics
Ohio State University
Columbus, OH 43210, USA
lou@math.ohio-state.edu

Applied Math Seminar, Oct 8 2008, Fields Institute, Univ. of Toronto

Talk Outline

- 1 Evolution of dispersal
- 2 An eigenvalue problem
- 3 Applications to evolution of dispersal
- 4 Proof: behavior of principal eigenvalue
- 5 Some recent progress

Motivation

- Dispersal is probably one of the most common features

Motivation

- Dispersal is probably one of the most common features
- How did organisms adopt their dispersal behaviors?

Motivation

- Dispersal is probably one of the most common features
- How did organisms adopt their dispersal behaviors?
- What are potential impact of dispersals?

Motivation

- Dispersal is probably one of the most common features
- How did organisms adopt their dispersal behaviors?
- What are potential impact of dispersals?
- How will these dispersal behaviors evolve?

Dispersal, Resource, Competition

Dispersal, Resource, Competition

- Dilemma: To disperse or not to disperse?

Dispersal, Resource, Competition

- Dilemma: To disperse or not to disperse?
- Resource, resource, resource

Dispersal, Resource, Competition

- Dilemma: To disperse or not to disperse?
- Resource, resource, resource
- Species often compete for the same/similar resource

Dispersal, Resource, Competition

- Dilemma: To disperse or not to disperse?
- Resource, resource, resource
- Species often compete for the same/similar resource
- Can competition be a driving force in the selection of dispersal strategies?

Random dispersal

- A. Hastings (TPB, 83)

Random dispersal

- A. Hastings (TPB, 83)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t &= \mu \Delta u + uf(u + v, x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 v_t &= \nu \Delta v + vf(u + v, x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Random dispersal

- A. Hastings (TPB, 83)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t &= \mu \Delta u + uf(u + v, x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 v_t &= \nu \Delta v + vf(u + v, x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

- $u(x, t), v(x, t)$: densities of species

Random dispersal

- A. Hastings (TPB, 83)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t &= \mu \Delta u + uf(u + v, x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 v_t &= \nu \Delta v + vf(u + v, x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- $u(x, t), v(x, t)$: densities of species
- $\mu, \nu > 0$: random dispersal rates

Random dispersal

- A. Hastings (TPB, 83)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t &= \mu \Delta u + uf(u + v, x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 v_t &= \nu \Delta v + vf(u + v, x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- $u(x, t), v(x, t)$: densities of species
- $\mu, \nu > 0$: random dispersal rates
- Ω : bounded domain in R^d with C^2 boundary; n : outward unit normal vector on $\partial\Omega$.

Evolution of slow dispersal

Evolution of slow dispersal

Dockery et al. (JMB 98): The slower diffuser is the winner.

Evolution of slow dispersal

Dockery et al. (JMB 98): The slower diffuser is the winner.

- $f(u + v, x) = m(x) - u - v$, $m(x)$ is positive and non-constant.

Evolution of slow dispersal

Dockery et al. (JMB 98): The slower diffuser is the winner.

- $f(u + v, x) = m(x) - u - v$, $m(x)$ is positive and non-constant.
- $\mu < \nu$.

Evolution of slow dispersal

Dockery et al. (JMB 98): The slower diffuser is the winner.

- $f(u + v, x) = m(x) - u - v$, $m(x)$ is positive and non-constant.
- $\mu < \nu$.

Then $(\tilde{u}, 0)$ is globally asymptotically stable,

Evolution of slow dispersal

Dockery et al. (JMB 98): The slower diffuser is the winner.

- $f(u + v, x) = m(x) - u - v$, $m(x)$ is positive and non-constant.
- $\mu < \nu$.

Then $(\tilde{u}, 0)$ is globally asymptotically stable, where \tilde{u} is the unique positive steady-state of

$$\tilde{u}_t = \mu \Delta \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

Conditional dispersal

Conditional dispersal

- Conditional dispersal: Organisms can sense and respond to local environmental cues

Conditional dispersal

- Conditional dispersal: Organisms can sense and respond to local environmental cues
- Continuous model: Cantrell, Cosner and L. (Math.Biosci. 06)

Conditional dispersal

- Conditional dispersal: Organisms can sense and respond to local environmental cues
- Continuous model: Cantrell, Cosner and L. (Math.Biosci. 06)

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot [\mu \nabla u - \alpha \mathbf{u} \nabla \mathbf{m}] + u(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

Conditional dispersal

- Conditional dispersal: Organisms can sense and respond to local environmental cues
- Continuous model: Cantrell, Cosner and L. (Math.Biosci. 06)

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot [\mu \nabla u - \alpha \mathbf{u} \nabla \mathbf{m}] + u(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$v_t = \nu \Delta v + v(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

Conditional dispersal

- Conditional dispersal: Organisms can sense and respond to local environmental cues
- Continuous model: Cantrell, Cosner and L. (Math.Biosci. 06)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t &= \nabla \cdot [\mu \nabla u - \alpha \mathbf{u} \nabla \mathbf{m}] + u(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 v_t &= \nu \Delta v + v(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 [\mu \nabla u - \alpha \mathbf{u} \nabla \mathbf{m}] \cdot \mathbf{n} &= \nabla v \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

Conditional dispersal

- Conditional dispersal: Organisms can sense and respond to local environmental cues
- Continuous model: Cantrell, Cosner and L. (Math.Biosci. 06)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t &= \nabla \cdot [\mu \nabla u - \alpha \mathbf{u} \nabla \mathbf{m}] + u(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 v_t &= \nu \Delta v + v(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 [\mu \nabla u - \alpha \mathbf{u} \nabla \mathbf{m}] \cdot \mathbf{n} &= \nabla v \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

- α : the strength of advection

Weak advection and geometry of habitat

- Can such conditional dispersal evolve?

Weak advection and geometry of habitat

- Can such conditional dispersal evolve?
- *Convex domains*: conditional dispersal can evolve.

Weak advection and geometry of habitat

- Can such conditional dispersal evolve?
- *Convex domains*: conditional dispersal can evolve. Cantrell, Cosner and L. (Proc. Roy Soc. Edin. 07): for convex domains with $\mu = \nu$ and α positive small,

Weak advection and geometry of habitat

- Can such conditional dispersal evolve?
- *Convex domains*: conditional dispersal can evolve. Cantrell, Cosner and L. (Proc. Roy Soc. Edin. 07): for convex domains with $\mu = \nu$ and α positive small, $(\tilde{u}, 0)$ is globally asymptotically stable.

Weak advection and geometry of habitat

- Can such conditional dispersal evolve?
- *Convex domains*: conditional dispersal can evolve. Cantrell, Cosner and L. (Proc. Roy Soc. Edin. 07): for convex domains with $\mu = \nu$ and α positive small, $(\tilde{u}, 0)$ is globally asymptotically stable.
- *Non-convex domains*: conditional dispersal may not evolve.

Weak advection and geometry of habitat

- Can such conditional dispersal evolve?
- *Convex domains*: conditional dispersal can evolve. Cantrell, Cosner and L. (Proc. Roy Soc. Edin. 07): for convex domains with $\mu = \nu$ and α positive small, $(\tilde{u}, 0)$ is globally asymptotically stable.
- *Non-convex domains*: conditional dispersal may not evolve. There exist some non-convex domains and $m(x)$ such that with $\mu = \nu$ and α positive small, species v is always the winner.

Strong advection induced coexistence

Strong advection induced coexistence

- What happens for large α ?

Strong advection induced coexistence

- What happens for large α ?
- Cantrell et al. (07): Suppose that $|\nabla m| > 0$ a.e in Ω .

Strong advection induced coexistence

- What happens for large α ?
- Cantrell et al. (07): Suppose that $|\nabla m| > 0$ a.e in Ω . For any given μ and ν , if α is large, system (2) has a stable positive steady state.

Strong advection induced coexistence

- What happens for large α ?
- Cantrell et al. (07): Suppose that $|\nabla m| > 0$ a.e in Ω . For any given μ and ν , if α is large, system (2) has a stable positive steady state.
- Chen and L. (Indiana Univ. Math. J, 08): for large α , if m has a unique local maximum, u concentrates around this maximum.

Evolution of conditional dispersal

- What if both species employ both random diffusion and directed movement? (Chen, Hambrock and L., JMB 08)

Evolution of conditional dispersal

- What if both species employ both random diffusion and directed movement? (Chen, Hambrock and L., JMB 08)

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot [\mu \nabla u - \alpha u \nabla m] + u(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$v_t = \nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla v - \beta v \nabla m] + v(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (3)$$

$$\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - \alpha u \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = \nu \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - \beta v \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

Evolution of conditional dispersal

- What if both species employ both random diffusion and directed movement? (Chen, Hambrock and L., JMB 08)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t &= \nabla \cdot [\mu \nabla u - \alpha u \nabla m] + u(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 v_t &= \nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla v - \beta v \nabla m] + v(m - u - v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - \alpha u \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} &= \nu \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - \beta v \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- Any difference with the case $\beta = 0$?

Semi-trivial steady states

Semi-trivial steady states

- $\theta = \theta(x; \beta, \nu) > 0$ satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla \theta - \beta \theta \nabla m] + \theta(m - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\nu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} - \beta \theta \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

Semi-trivial steady states

- $\theta = \theta(x; \beta, \nu) > 0$ satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla \theta - \beta \theta \nabla m] + \theta(m - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\nu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} - \beta \theta \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

- Two semi-trivial steady states: $(\theta(x; \alpha, \mu), 0)$ and $(0, \theta(x; \beta, \nu))$

Semi-trivial steady states

- $\theta = \theta(x; \beta, \nu) > 0$ satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla \theta - \beta \theta \nabla m] + \theta(m - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\nu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} - \beta \theta \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

- Two semi-trivial steady states: $(\theta(x; \alpha, \mu), 0)$ and $(0, \theta(x; \beta, \nu))$
- If $|\nabla m| > 0$ a.e in Ω , $(\theta, 0)$ is unstable for large α

Stability of $(0, \theta)$

Stability of $(0, \theta)$

- What about the stability of $(0, \theta)$?

Stability of $(0, \theta)$

- What about the stability of $(0, \theta)$?
- Stability of $(0, \theta)$: the sign of the principal eigenvalue $\lambda(\alpha)$

Stability of $(0, \theta)$

- What about the stability of $(0, \theta)$?
- Stability of $(0, \theta)$: the sign of the principal eigenvalue $\lambda(\alpha)$

$$\nabla \cdot [\mu \nabla \varphi - \alpha \varphi \nabla m] + \varphi(m - \theta) = -\lambda \varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\mu \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} - \alpha \varphi \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

Eigenvalue problem

- Set $\psi = e^{-(\alpha/\mu)m}\varphi$.

Eigenvalue problem

- Set $\psi = e^{-(\alpha/\mu)m}\varphi$. Then ψ satisfies

$$-\mu\Delta\psi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\psi + \psi(\theta - m) = \lambda(\alpha)\psi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Eigenvalue problem

- Set $\psi = e^{-(\alpha/\mu)m}\varphi$. Then ψ satisfies

$$-\mu\Delta\psi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\psi + \psi(\theta - m) = \lambda(\alpha)\psi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

- What is the behavior of $\lambda(\alpha)$ for large α ?

Principal eigenvalue

Consider the principal eigenvalue, denoted by $\lambda(\alpha)$, of the problem

$$-\Delta\varphi + \alpha\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(\mathbf{x})\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}\bigg|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

Principal eigenvalue

Consider the principal eigenvalue, denoted by $\lambda(\alpha)$, of the problem

$$-\Delta\varphi + \alpha\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

- $\alpha > 0$; $\mathbf{v}(x)$: L^∞ vector field; $c(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$

Principal eigenvalue

Consider the principal eigenvalue, denoted by $\lambda(\alpha)$, of the problem

$$-\Delta\varphi + \alpha\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}\bigg|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

- $\alpha > 0$; $\mathbf{v}(x)$: L^∞ vector field; $c(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$
- For any α , $\min_{\bar{\Omega}} c \leq \lambda(\alpha) \leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} c$.

Principal eigenvalue

Consider the principal eigenvalue, denoted by $\lambda(\alpha)$, of the problem

$$-\Delta\varphi + \alpha\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}\bigg|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

- $\alpha > 0$; $\mathbf{v}(x)$: L^∞ vector field; $c(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$
- For any α , $\min_{\bar{\Omega}} c \leq \lambda(\alpha) \leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} c$.
- Stability: the sign of $\lambda(\alpha)$

Asymptotic behavior

Asymptotic behavior

- Does $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha)$ exist? If so, what's the limit?

Asymptotic behavior

- Does $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha)$ exist? If so, what's the limit?
- Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvili (Comm. Math Phys. 05):

Asymptotic behavior

- Does $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha)$ exist? If so, what's the limit?
- Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvili (Comm. Math Phys. 05):
Suppose that $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ in Ω and $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then

Asymptotic behavior

- Does $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha)$ exist? If so, what's the limit?
- Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvili (Comm. Math Phys. 05):

Suppose that $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ in Ω and $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \varphi|^2 + c(x)\varphi^2)}{\int_{\Omega} \varphi^2},$$

Asymptotic behavior

- Does $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha)$ exist? If so, what's the limit?
- Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvili (Comm. Math Phys. 05):

Suppose that $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ in Ω and $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \varphi|^2 + c(x)\varphi^2)}{\int_{\Omega} \varphi^2},$$

where $\mathcal{I} = \{\varphi \in H^1(\Omega) : \varphi \neq 0, \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$.

Asymptotic behavior

- Consider the case when $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla m$, i.e.,

$$-\Delta\varphi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}\bigg|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Asymptotic behavior

- Consider the case when $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla m$, i.e.,

$$-\Delta\varphi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

- Chen and L. (Indiana Math Univ. J, 08): suppose that $m \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ and all critical points of m are non-degenerate.

Asymptotic behavior

- Consider the case when $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla m$, i.e.,

$$-\Delta\varphi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

- Chen and L. (Indiana Math Univ. J, 08): suppose that $m \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ and all critical points of m are non-degenerate. Then

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) = \min_{x \in \mathcal{M}} c(x),$$

Asymptotic behavior

- Consider the case when $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla m$, i.e.,

$$-\Delta\varphi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

- Chen and L. (Indiana Math Univ. J, 08): suppose that $m \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ and all critical points of m are non-degenerate. Then

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) = \min_{x \in \mathcal{M}} c(x),$$

where \mathcal{M} is the set of points of local maximum of m .

Previous work

- Dirichlet boundary conditions: Wentzell (75), Friedman(73), Devinatz, Ellis, Friedman (73/74), Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvili (05)...

Previous work

- Dirichlet boundary conditions: Wentzell (75), Friedman(73), Devinatz, Ellis, Friedman (73/74), Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvili (05)...
- Front propagation in heterogeneous media: Xin (92), Fannjiang and Papanicolaou (94), Wentzell and Freidlin (98), Xin (02), Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvili (05)...

Previous work

- Dirichlet boundary conditions: Wentzell (75), Friedman(73), Devinatz, Ellis, Friedman (73/74), Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvili (05)...
- Front propagation in heterogeneous media: Xin (92), Fannjiang and Papanicolaou (94), Wentzell and Freidlin (98), Xin (02), Berestycki, Hamel and Nadirashvili (05)...
- Frame extinction/propagation in fluid: Constantin, Kiselev, Ryzhik and Zalatos

Back to dispersal

Back to dispersal

- Recall

$$-\mu\Delta\psi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\psi + \psi(\theta - m) = \lambda(\alpha)\psi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Back to dispersal

- Recall

$$-\mu\Delta\psi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\psi + \psi(\theta - m) = \lambda(\alpha)\psi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

- It follows from previous result of Chen and L. that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) = \min_{\mathcal{M}} (\theta - m),$$

where \mathcal{M} = the set of points of local maximum of $m(x)$.

Estimate on $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m)$

Estimate on $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m)$

- Recall

$$\nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla \theta - \beta \theta \nabla m] + \theta(m - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\nu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} - \beta \theta \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

Estimate on $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m)$

- Recall

$$\nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla \theta - \beta \theta \nabla m] + \theta(m - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\nu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} - \beta \theta \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

- If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$,

Estimate on $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m)$

- Recall

$$\nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla \theta - \beta \theta \nabla m] + \theta(m - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\nu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} - \beta \theta \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

- If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m$,

$$\theta(x; \alpha, \mu) < \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m \cdot e^{(\beta/\nu)[m(x) - \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m]}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Estimate on $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m)$

- Recall

$$\nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla \theta - \beta \theta \nabla m] + \theta(m - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\nu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} - \beta \theta \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

- If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m$,

$$\theta(x; \alpha, \mu) < \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m \cdot e^{(\beta/\nu)[m(x) - \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m]}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

- If $\beta \geq \nu / \min_{\bar{\Omega}} m$,

Estimate on $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m)$

- Recall

$$\nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla \theta - \beta \theta \nabla m] + \theta(m - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\nu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} - \beta \theta \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

- If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m$,

$$\theta(x; \alpha, \mu) < \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m \cdot e^{(\beta/\nu)[m(x) - \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m]}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

- If $\beta \geq \nu / \min_{\bar{\Omega}} m$,

$$\theta(x; \alpha, \mu) > \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m \cdot e^{(\beta/\nu)[m(x) - \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m]}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Stability of $(0, \theta)$

Stability of $(0, \theta)$

- If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m) < 0$;

Stability of $(0, \theta)$

- If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m) < 0$; i.e., $(0, \theta)$ is unstable for large α .

Stability of $(0, \theta)$

- If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m) < 0$; i.e., $(0, \theta)$ is unstable for large α .
- If $\beta \geq \nu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m) > 0$ provided that \mathcal{M} consists of one point;

Stability of $(0, \theta)$

- If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\bar{\Omega}} m$, then $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m) < 0$; i.e., $(0, \theta)$ is unstable for large α .
- If $\beta \geq \nu / \min_{\bar{\Omega}} m$, then $\min_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta - m) > 0$ provided that \mathcal{M} consists of one point; i.e., $(0, \theta)$ is stable for large α .

Advection-induced coexistence: $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$

Advection-induced coexistence: $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$

Theorem

Suppose that $|\nabla m| > 0$ a.e. in Ω . If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, system (3) has one stable positive steady state.

Advection-induced coexistence: $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$

Theorem

Suppose that $|\nabla m| > 0$ a.e. in Ω . If $\beta \leq \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, system (3) has one stable positive steady state.

- For large α , the species u concentrates at places of locally most favorable environments, leaving the other species to utilize other resources in the habitat.

Advection-induced extinction: $\beta \geq \nu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m$

(A1) $\partial m / \partial n < 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, m has only one critical point x_0 in $\overline{\Omega}$, with $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $D^2 m(x_0) < 0$.

Advection-induced extinction: $\beta \geq \nu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m$

(A1) $\partial m / \partial n < 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, m has only one critical point x_0 in $\overline{\Omega}$, with $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $D^2 m(x_0) < 0$.

Theorem

*Suppose that **(A1)** holds. If $\beta \geq \nu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then $(0, \theta(x; \beta, \nu))$ is globally asymptotically stable for large α .*

Advection-induced extinction: $\beta \geq \nu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m$

(A1) $\partial m / \partial n < 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, m has only one critical point x_0 in $\overline{\Omega}$, with $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $D^2 m(x_0) < 0$.

Theorem

*Suppose that **(A1)** holds. If $\beta \geq \nu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then $(0, \theta(x; \beta, \nu))$ is globally asymptotically stable for large α .*

- Strong biased movement of both species can induce the extinction of the species with stronger biased movement

Upper bound of principal eigenvalue

Upper bound of principal eigenvalue

- Recall that

$$-\Delta\varphi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda(\alpha)\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \nabla\varphi \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Upper bound of principal eigenvalue

- Recall that

$$-\Delta\varphi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda(\alpha)\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \nabla\varphi \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

- By the variational characterization,

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \min_{\{\varphi \in H^1: \varphi \neq 0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} (|\nabla\varphi|^2 + c\varphi^2) dx}{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} \varphi^2 dx}$$

Upper bound of principal eigenvalue

- Recall that

$$-\Delta\varphi - \alpha\nabla m \cdot \nabla\varphi + c(x)\varphi = \lambda(\alpha)\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \nabla\varphi \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

- By the variational characterization,

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \min_{\{\varphi \in H^1 : \varphi \neq 0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} (|\nabla\varphi|^2 + c\varphi^2) dx}{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} \varphi^2 dx}$$

- Fix $z \in \mathcal{M}$. For $\delta > 0$, Choose $\epsilon < \delta$ such that

$$\min_{\bar{B}(z, \epsilon)} m =: M_1 > M_2 := \max_{\bar{B}(z, 2\delta) \setminus B(z, \delta)} m.$$

Upper bound

- Let

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B(z, \delta), \\ (2\delta - |x|)/\delta & \text{if } x \in B(z, 2\delta) \setminus B(z, \delta), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin B(z, 2\delta). \end{cases}$$

Upper bound

- Let

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B(z, \delta), \\ (2\delta - |x|)/\delta & \text{if } x \in B(z, 2\delta) \setminus B(z, \delta), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin B(z, 2\delta). \end{cases}$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\alpha) &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} c \varphi^2}{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} \varphi^2} + \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} |\nabla \varphi|^2}{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} \varphi^2} \\ &\leq \max_{\bar{B}(z, 2\delta)} c + \frac{e^{\alpha M_2} \cdot (2\delta)^N}{\delta^2 \cdot \epsilon^N \cdot e^{\alpha M_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound

- Let

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B(z, \delta), \\ (2\delta - |x|)/\delta & \text{if } x \in B(z, 2\delta) \setminus B(z, \delta), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin B(z, 2\delta). \end{cases}$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\alpha) &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} c \varphi^2}{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} \varphi^2} + \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} |\nabla \varphi|^2}{\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha m} \varphi^2} \\ &\leq \max_{\bar{B}(z, 2\delta)} c + \frac{e^{\alpha M_2} \cdot (2\delta)^N}{\delta^2 \cdot \epsilon^N \cdot e^{\alpha M_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

- Sending $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ then $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) \leq c(z)$.

Lower bound

Lower bound

- By the substitution $\varphi = e^{-(\alpha/2)m} w$,

Lower bound

- By the substitution $\varphi = e^{-(\alpha/2)m} w$,

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \min_{\{w \in H^1: \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx = 1\}} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left| \nabla w - \frac{\alpha}{2} w \nabla m \right|^2 + c w^2 \right\} dx$$

Lower bound

- By the substitution $\varphi = e^{-(\alpha/2)m} w$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\alpha) &= \min_{\{w \in H^1 : \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx = 1\}} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left| \nabla w - \frac{\alpha}{2} w \nabla m \right|^2 + c w^2 \right\} dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} c w^2 dx.\end{aligned}$$

Lower bound

- By the substitution $\varphi = e^{-(\alpha/2)m} w$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\alpha) &= \min_{\{w \in H^1: \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx = 1\}} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left| \nabla w - \frac{\alpha}{2} w \nabla m \right|^2 + c w^2 \right\} dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} c w^2 dx.\end{aligned}$$

- $\{w^2(\alpha, \cdot)\}_{\alpha > 0}$ converges weakly to some probability measure μ^* in the following sense:

Lower bound

- By the substitution $\varphi = e^{-(\alpha/2)m} w$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\alpha) &= \min_{\{w \in H^1: \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx = 1\}} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ |\nabla w - \frac{\alpha}{2} w \nabla m|^2 + c w^2 \right\} dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} c w^2 dx.\end{aligned}$$

- $\{w^2(\alpha, \cdot)\}_{\alpha > 0}$ converges weakly to some probability measure μ^* in the following sense:

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} w^2(\alpha, x) \zeta(x) dx = \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \zeta(x) \mu^*(dx) \quad \forall \zeta \in C(\bar{\Omega}).$$

Lower bound

- The support of μ^* is contained in \mathcal{M} (technical part)

Lower bound

- The support of μ^* is contained in \mathcal{M} (technical part)



$$\underline{\lim}_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) \geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} c(x) w^2(\alpha, x) dx$$

Lower bound

- The support of μ^* is contained in \mathcal{M} (technical part)



$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\lim}_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) &\geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} c(x) w^2(\alpha, x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} c(x) \mu^*(dx)\end{aligned}$$

Lower bound

- The support of μ^* is contained in \mathcal{M} (technical part)



$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) &\geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} c(x) w^2(\alpha, x) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} c(x) \mu^*(dx) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} c(x) \mu^*(dx)
 \end{aligned}$$

Lower bound

- The support of μ^* is contained in \mathcal{M} (technical part)



$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) &\geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} c(x) w^2(\alpha, x) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} c(x) \mu^*(dx) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} c(x) \mu^*(dx) \\
 &\geq \min_{\mathcal{M}} c \cdot \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mu^*(dx)
 \end{aligned}$$

Lower bound

- The support of μ^* is contained in \mathcal{M} (technical part)



$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) &\geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} c(x) w^2(\alpha, x) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} c(x) \mu^*(dx) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} c(x) \mu^*(dx) \\
 &\geq \min_{\mathcal{M}} c \cdot \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mu^*(dx) \\
 &= \min_{\mathcal{M}} c
 \end{aligned}$$

Lower bound

- The support of μ^* is contained in \mathcal{M} (technical part)



$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{\lim}_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha) &\geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} c(x) w^2(\alpha, x) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} c(x) \mu^*(dx) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} c(x) \mu^*(dx) \\
 &\geq \min_{\mathcal{M}} c \cdot \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mu^*(dx) \\
 &= \min_{\mathcal{M}} c \\
 &\geq \overline{\lim}_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

Support of μ^*

Support of μ^*

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla w - \frac{\alpha}{2} w \nabla m \right|^2 = \lambda(\alpha) - \int_{\Omega} c w^2 \leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} c - \min_{\bar{\Omega}} c$$

Support of μ^*



$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla w - \frac{\alpha}{2} w \nabla m \right|^2 = \lambda(\alpha) - \int_{\Omega} c w^2 \leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} c - \min_{\bar{\Omega}} c$$

- Formally,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla m|^2 \mu^*(dx) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m|^2 w^2 = 0$$

Support of μ^*



$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla w - \frac{\alpha}{2} w \nabla m \right|^2 = \lambda(\alpha) - \int_{\Omega} c w^2 \leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} c - \min_{\bar{\Omega}} c$$

- Formally,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla m|^2 \mu^*(dx) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m|^2 w^2 = 0$$

- The support of μ^* is contained in the set of the critical points of m

Adaptive dynamics approach

Adaptive dynamics approach

(Hambrock and L., BMB in revision)

Theorem

Suppose $\mu = \nu$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$, and $m_x > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

Adaptive dynamics approach

(Hambrock and L., BMB in revision)

Theorem

Suppose $\mu = \nu$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$, and $m_x > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

(i) If $0 \leq \beta < \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for $\alpha \in (\beta, \beta + \delta_1)$, species u wins.

Adaptive dynamics approach

(Hambrock and L., BMB in revision)

Theorem

Suppose $\mu = \nu$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$, and $m_x > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

(i) If $0 \leq \beta < \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for $\alpha \in (\beta, \beta + \delta_1)$, species u wins.

(ii) If $\beta > \nu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for $\alpha \in (\beta, \beta + \delta_2)$, species v wins.

Adaptive dynamics approach

(Hambrock and L., BMB in revision)

Theorem

Suppose $\mu = \nu$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$, and $m_x > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

(i) If $0 \leq \beta < \nu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for $\alpha \in (\beta, \beta + \delta_1)$, species u wins.

(ii) If $\beta > \nu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for $\alpha \in (\beta, \beta + \delta_2)$, species v wins.

- The stronger advector wins if advection rates are not large; The weaker advector wins if advection rates are large.

Evolution of faster dispersal

Evolution of faster dispersal

Theorem

Suppose $\alpha = \beta$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $m_x > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

Evolution of faster dispersal

Theorem

Suppose $\alpha = \beta$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $m_x > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

(i) If $0 \leq \alpha < \mu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then there exists $\delta_3 > 0$ such that for $\nu \in (\mu, \mu + \delta_3)$, species u wins.

Evolution of faster dispersal

Theorem

Suppose $\alpha = \beta$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $m_x > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

- (i) If $0 \leq \alpha < \mu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then there exists $\delta_3 > 0$ such that for $\nu \in (\mu, \mu + \delta_3)$, species u wins.*
- (ii) If $\alpha > \max(\mu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m, \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m_x)$, there exists $\delta_4 > 0$ such that for $\nu \in (\mu, \mu + \delta_4)$, species v wins.*

Evolution of faster dispersal

Theorem

Suppose $\alpha = \beta$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $m_x > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

- (i) If $0 \leq \alpha < \mu / \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m$, then there exists $\delta_3 > 0$ such that for $\nu \in (\mu, \mu + \delta_3)$, species u wins.
 - (ii) If $\alpha > \max(\mu / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m, \max_{\overline{\Omega}} m / \min_{\overline{\Omega}} m_x)$, there exists $\delta_4 > 0$ such that for $\nu \in (\mu, \mu + \delta_4)$, species v wins.
- The slower diffuser wins when advection rates are not large, and the faster diffuser wins if advection rates are large.

Predator and prey dispersal strategies

Predator and prey dispersal strategies

- Patch models for mutual behavioral adjustments of predator and preys: Iwasa 1982; Sih 1984, 1988; Schwinning and Rosenzweig 1990; Abrams 1992; Hugie and Dill 1994; Alonzo 2002; Abrams 2007; Abrams, Cressman, and Krivan; etc

Predator and prey dispersal strategies

- Patch models for mutual behavioral adjustments of predator and prey: Iwasa 1982; Sih 1984, 1988; Schwinning and Rosenzweig 1990; Abrams 1992; Hugie and Dill 1994; Alonzo 2002; Abrams 2007; Abrams, Cressman, and Krivan; etc
- Reaction-diffusion model: Kareiva and Odell 1987

(Flaxman and L., JTB to appear)

- “Leapfrogging”: Some predators appear to ignore the prey and pay attention only to the resource of the prey

(Flaxman and L., JTB to appear)

- “Leapfrogging”: Some predators appear to ignore the prey and pay attention only to the resource of the prey

$$P_{i,t} = \nabla \cdot [d_i \nabla P_i - \alpha_i P_i \nabla \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V})] + P_i(-k_i + a_i V), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$V_t = d_v \Delta V + V[R(x) - V - b_1 P_1 - b_2 P_2]$$

$$[d_i \nabla P_i - \alpha_i P_i \nabla f_i(R, V)] \cdot n = \nabla V \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

(Flaxman and L., JTB to appear)

- “Leapfrogging”: Some predators appear to ignore the prey and pay attention only to the resource of the prey

$$P_{i,t} = \nabla \cdot [d_i \nabla P_i - \alpha_i P_i \nabla \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V})] + P_i(-k_i + a_i V), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$V_t = d_v \Delta V + V[R(x) - V - b_1 P_1 - b_2 P_2]$$

$$[d_i \nabla P_i - \alpha_i P_i \nabla f_i(R, V)] \cdot n = \nabla V \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

- The resource tracking predator can invade before the prey tracking predator when both are rare;

(Flaxman and L., JTB to appear)

- “Leapfrogging”: Some predators appear to ignore the prey and pay attention only to the resource of the prey

$$P_{i,t} = \nabla \cdot [d_i \nabla P_i - \alpha_i P_i \nabla \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V})] + P_i(-k_i + a_i V), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$V_t = d_v \Delta V + V[R(x) - V - b_1 P_1 - b_2 P_2]$$

$$[d_i \nabla P_i - \alpha_i P_i \nabla f_i(R, V)] \cdot n = \nabla V \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

- The resource tracking predator can invade before the prey tracking predator when both are rare; When one predator has invaded and the other is rare, the resource tracking predator can invade the prey tracking predator, but not vice versa.

Acknowledgement

Collaborators:

- Stephen Cantrell (University of Miami)
- Xinfu Chen (University of Pittsburgh)
- Chris Cosner (University of Miami)
- Samuel Flaxman (University of Colorado at Boulder)
- Richard Hambrock (Ohio State University)

Acknowledgement

Collaborators:

- Stephen Cantrell (University of Miami)
- Xinfu Chen (University of Pittsburgh)
- Chris Cosner (University of Miami)
- Samuel Flaxman (University of Colorado at Boulder)
- Richard Hambrock (Ohio State University)

Support:

- NSF
- Mathematical Biosciences Institute

Thank you