

Strong “UCT”-classes of non-simple C^* -algebras

Eberhard Kirchberg

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

November 15, 2007

Fields Institute, 2007

Some related papers

1. [M.Rørdam](#), [EK](#): *Purely infinite C^* -algebras: ideal-preserving zero homotopies*, GAFA 15 (2005), 377-415. (concerning: Regular Abelian subalgebras of s.p.i. separable nuclear C^* -algebras).
2. [H.Harnisch](#), [EK](#): *The inverse problem for primitive ideal spaces*, 2005, SFB478-preprint 399, Uni.Münster. (concerning: Topological characterization of primitive ideal spaces of separable nuclear C^* -algebras and reconstruction from data (A, X) .) available on the web: [www.math.uni-muenster.de SFB478-Preprint server](http://www.math.uni-muenster.de/SFB478-Preprint-server)
3. [EK](#): *'The non-commutative Michael selection principle and the classification of non-simple C^* -algebras* (german; pp. 92-141 in " C^* -algebras" Springer, 2000) (concerning: KK-theory applications

to reconstruction and applications, and passage from the nuclear to the exact case.)

Matricially o-convex cones and actions

Definition 1. A point-norm closed cone \mathcal{C} of completely positive maps from A into B is called a matricially operator-convex cone (“m.o.c.c”) if \mathcal{C} is invariant under the operations

(OC1): $b_1^* V_1(\cdot) b_1 + b_2^* V_2(\cdot) b_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ for $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, $b_1, b_2 \in B$, (i.e., \mathcal{C} is operator-convex) and

(OC2): $c^* V \otimes \text{id}_n(r^*(\cdot)r)c \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $V \in \mathcal{C}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, columns $c \in M_{n,1}(B)$ and rows $r \in M_{1,n}(A)$. (i.e., \mathcal{C} is matricial).

If $S \subset \text{CP}(A, B)$, then S generates the m.o.c.c. $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(S)$, that is, the point-norm closure of the smallest convex subset $M \subset \text{CP}(A, B)$ invariant under the operations (OC2).

Denote by $\mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1$ (resp. by $\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_3$) the m.o.c.c. that is generated by the set $S := \{V_2 \circ V_1; V_j \in \mathcal{C}_j\}$

(resp. by $\mathcal{S} := \{V_1 \otimes V_3; V_j \in \mathcal{C}_j\}$) for m.o.c.c.'s $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{CP}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 \subset \mathcal{CP}(B, C)$. and $\mathcal{C}_3 \subset \mathcal{CP}(C, D)$.

Examples of m.o.c.cones are $\mathcal{CP}(\Omega; A, B)$ (the Ω -equivariant c.p. maps) and $\mathcal{CP}_{\text{rn}}(\Omega, A, B)$ (Ω -residually nuclear maps) for actions $\Psi_A: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(A)$ of lattices Ω on A and Ψ_B on B . The maps Ψ_A are general monotone increasing maps from the lattice Ω into the lattice of ideals $\mathcal{I}(A) \cong \mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(A))$. (There are m.o.c.c. that do not come from such a construction.) But, if A is separable and exact, B is separable and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{CP}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$, then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{CP}(\Omega; A, B)$ for $\Omega := \mathbb{O}(X)$ and a suitable l.s.c. action $\Psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(A)$ of X on A . Write: $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{CP}_{\text{nuc}}(X; A, B)$.

If A and B are $C_0(Y)$ algebras, then the natural action of $\Omega := \mathbb{O}(Y)$ on A and B are given by $\Psi_A: U \mapsto C_0(U)A \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ and similar Ψ_B . A map $T \in \mathcal{CP}(A, B)$ is in $\mathcal{CP}(\Omega; A, B)$ iff T is $C_0(Y)$ -

modular.

It can happen that $\text{CP}(Y; A, B) = \{0\}$: Consider *e.g.*, the action of $Y := [0, 1]$ on $B := C(Y)$ and on $A := C(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ given by the natural $C(Y)$ -algebra structures $B = C[0, 1]$ and $A \supset C[0, 1] \cong C^*(1, f)$ -algebra where f is the continuous map $f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) := \sum_n \alpha_n 2^{-n}$. (The action of $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}(Y)$ on A is given by the inverse $\Psi_B(U) := f^{-1}(U)$ of f , and $\Psi_B = \text{id.}$)

For a continuous map λ from $[0, 1]$ into a finite T_0 space Z one always has that $\text{CP}(Z; A, B)$ is infinite-dimensional, where the action $\Phi_A: \mathbb{O}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(A)$ is given by $\Phi_A(V) := \Psi_B(\lambda^{-1}(V))$ and similarly Φ_B . (Here, λ^{-1} could be replaced by any monotone increasing map from $\mathbb{O}(Z)$ to $\mathbb{O}[0, 1]$.)

In some special cases (but with arbitrary topological spaces X, Z), one has that $\text{CP}(X; A_1, A_2) = \text{CP}(Z; A_1, A_2)$, provided that

- there is a continuous map $\lambda: X \rightarrow Z$ such that for the corresponding action $\Psi_{A_j}(\lambda^{-1}(V)) = \Phi_{A_j}(V)$ holds,
- $\lambda^{-1}(\mathbb{O}(Z))$ contains a basis of the topology of X , and
- the actions of X are *upper semi-continuous* (see below).

If Ω is a *complete* lattice (i.e., $\bigvee = \text{l.u.b.}$ and $\bigwedge = \text{g.l.b.}$ exist inside Ω itself, so as *e.g.*, for $\Omega = \mathbb{O}(X)$) $\Psi_A: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(A)$ will be called *lower semi-continuous* if $\Psi_A(\bigwedge U_n) = \bigcap \Psi(U_n)$ — in particular $A(U \wedge V) = A(U) \cap A(V)$ in relaxed notation —, and *upper semi-continuous* (respectively *monotone upper semi-continuous*) if $\Psi_A(\bigvee U_n) = \text{closure of } \sum \Psi_A(U_n)$ — in particular $A(U \vee V) = A(U) + A(V)$ —, (respectively if $\Psi_A(\bigvee U_n) = \text{closure of } \bigcup \Psi_A(U_n)$) for $U_1 \leq U_2 \leq \dots$ in Ω .

We have seen: Upper semi-continuous actions of X usually have small $\text{CP}(X; A, B)$ that do not allow one to rediscover the action itself.

It is not difficult to see:
If X is a T_0 space and X contains an open quasi-compact subset, then X can not act lower s.c. and monotone upper s.c. at the same time on a separable purely infinite C^* -algebra that does not contain a projection.

The action of $\mathbb{O}(Y)$ defined for a $C_0(Y)$ -algebra A is always upper semi-continuous.

The prototype of a *lower* semi-continuous action of $\text{Prim}(B)$ on $\text{Prim}(A)$ should be given by a an action $\Psi_A(J) := h^{-1}(h(A) \cap \mathcal{M}(B, J))$ for some $*$ -morphism $h: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$. Unfortunately such h does not exist in general, i.e., in general also the lower s.c. actions can not produce sufficiently many A – B -bi-modules that allow one to rediscover the action.

But one has at least the following useful result (in the opposite direction), where F denotes the free group on countably many generators.

Theorem 2. [Separation for m.o.c.cones] *For every m.o.c.c. $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{CP}(A, B)$ there exists a lower s.c. action of $Z := \text{Prim}(B \otimes^{\max} C^*(F))$ on $A \otimes^{\max} C^*(F)$ such that $T \in \text{CP}(A, B)$ is in \mathcal{C} if and only if*

$$T \otimes \text{id} \in \text{CP}(Z; A \otimes^{\max} C^*(F), B \otimes^{\max} C^*(F)).$$

Corollary 3. *If B is nuclear, or if A is exact and $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{CP}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ then*

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{CP}_{\text{rn}}(X; A, B)$$

for the lower s.c. action of $X := \text{Prim}(B)$ on A given by

$$\Psi^{\mathcal{C}}(J) := \{a \in A; V(a) \in J \ \forall V \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

The opposite direction is crucial: Given a lower s.c. action Ψ of $\text{Prim}(B)$ on A for separable exact A . Show the existence of \mathcal{C} such that $\Psi = \Psi^{\mathcal{C}}$ (*after that* it follows from the above corollary that $\mathcal{C} = \text{CP}_{\text{rn}}(X; A, B)$). This can be done; the proof needs some m.o.c.c.-related KK -theory.

A Hilbert A - B -module (E, ϕ) is \mathcal{C} -compatible if every map $a \in A \mapsto \langle d(a)x, x \rangle \in B$ is in \mathcal{C} . Each Hilbert A - B -module $(\mathcal{H}, \phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$ defines a m.o.c.c. $\mathcal{C}(H, d) :=$ the smallest m.o.c.c. containing all c.p. maps $V: a \in A \mapsto \langle \phi(a)x, x \rangle \in B$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}$ (“generalized” vector states). It induces:

Proposition 4. *There is a natural bijection between m.o.c.c.’s $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{CP}(A, B)$ and classes of Hilbert A - B -modules that are closed under (infinite) Hilbert module sums and isometric module morphisms.*

It leads to a natural definition of cone-depending KK -theory (or “ \mathcal{C} -equivariant” KK -theory).

\mathcal{C} -depending KK -, Ext - and $R(\text{ordam})$ -groups.

Define KK -groups depending on m.o.c. cones $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{CP}(A, B)$:

If A and B are separable algebras, equipped with gradings β_A and β_B and $\mathcal{C} = \beta_A \circ \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \circ \beta_B$, then consider the Abelian semi-group $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$ of unitary equivalence classes of graded Kasparov modules (E, ϕ, F) with countably generated \mathcal{C} -compatible Hilbert A - B -module (E, ϕ) . The ϕ -compact perturbations of the derivatives F define an equivalence relation \sim_{sp} on $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$ that is compatible with addition. They define a semigroup $SKK(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$.

If A and B are stable and trivially graded, then we can define the semigroups $\text{SExt}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$ and $\text{SR}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$ of unitary equivalence classes (by unitaries

in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ respectively in $Q(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{M}(B))$ of Busby invariants of extensions $h: A \rightarrow Q(B) := \mathcal{M}(B)/B$ and $h: A \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{R}_+, B) := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, B)/C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, B)$ that have completely positive lifts $V: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ respectively $V: A \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, B)$ that are “locally” in \mathcal{C} , i.e., $b^*V(\cdot)b \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $b \in B$ respectively $V(\cdot)(t) \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Definition 5. Let $KK(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$ denote the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)/\sim_{sp}$.

Define Rørdam groups $R(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$, and Extension groups $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$ similar (for trivially graded A and B),

Suppose that A is separable, B is σ -unital. Then it follows (almost) straight from the definitions and Kasparov’s original approach, and from the fact that $\text{CP}_{\text{in}}(B) \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \text{CP}_{\text{in}}(A) = \mathcal{C}$ for all m.o.c.c.s $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{CP}(A, B)$:

- There are natural semigroup morphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A, B) \cap \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{SR}(\mathcal{C}; A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{SExt}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$$

- With $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathrm{CP}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}_{(1)})$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mathcal{C}; A, B) \cong \mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}'; A, B_{(1)}).$$

- One can tensor elements of $\mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$ with elements of $\mathrm{KK}(C, D)$ for nuclear separable C and D , i.e., there is a natural morphism

$$\mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}; A, B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{KK}(C, D) \rightarrow \mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}_{C,D}; A \otimes C, B \otimes D),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{C,D}$ denotes the cone of $T \in \mathrm{CP}(A \otimes C; B \otimes D)$ with $\mathrm{id} \otimes f(T(\cdot \otimes c)) \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $c \in C_+$ and $f \in D_+^*$.

- $\mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$ is *homotopy-invariant*,

- the usual Kasparov product defines a morphism

$$\mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1; A, B) \times \mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2; B, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1; A, C) ,$$

and satisfies Bott periodicity, i.e.

$$\mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}; A, B) \cong \mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^2); A, S^2 B) .$$

- in particular: If a locally quasi-compact T_0 space X acts on A , B and C then for $\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathrm{CP}_{rn}(X; A, B)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 := \mathrm{CP}_{rn}(X; B, C)$ the above formulas lead to a bi-additive map

$$\mathrm{KK}(X; A, B) \times \mathrm{KK}(X; B, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{KK}(X; A, C) .$$

- Additivity:

$$\mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_2; A_1 \oplus A_2, B) \cong \mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1; A_1, B) \oplus \mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2; A_2, B) ,$$

- half-exactness:

If $J \triangleleft A$ are σ -unital, $\pi: A \rightarrow B := A/J$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \text{CP}(D, A)$, $\mathcal{C}_0 := \text{CP}_{\text{in}}(A, J) \circ \mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2 := \pi \circ \mathcal{C}_1$, then

$$\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_0; D, J) \rightarrow \text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1; D, A) \rightarrow \text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2; D, B),$$

is exact. On the other side,

$$\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_0; J, D) \rightarrow \text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1; A, D) \rightarrow \text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1; B, D)$$

is exact if the cones \mathcal{C}_j satisfy $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}_1|J$ and

$$\mathcal{C}_2 \circ \pi = \{V \in \mathcal{C}_1; V|J = 0\}.$$

The notion of $\mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalence.

One has \mathcal{C} -dependent “split-additivity”: Suppose that $h: B \rightarrow A$ is a (grading-preserving) split morphism for $\pi := \pi_J$. Then the m.o.c. cone $\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{C}(g) \subset \mathrm{CP}(J \oplus B, A)$ generated by

$$g : (j, b) \in J \oplus B \rightarrow \mathrm{diag}(j, h(b)) \in M_2(A)$$

is the same as the sum of $\mathrm{CP}_{inn}(J, A)$ and $\mathcal{C}(h)$, and the cone $\mathcal{C}_2 \subset \mathrm{CP}(A, J \oplus B)$ generated by by the Kasparov $(A, J \oplus B)$ -module $z := ((J \oplus B) \oplus (J \oplus B)^{op}, (k \oplus h) \oplus (k \circ h \circ \pi \oplus 0), F)$, where F is the flip $((j_1, b_1), (j_2, b_2)) \mapsto ((j_2, b_2), (j_1, b_1))$, has the property that $\mathrm{CP}_{inn}(J \oplus B, J \oplus B) = \mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathrm{CP}_{inn}(A, A) \subset \mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1$ and $[g \otimes_A z] = [\mathrm{id}] \in \mathrm{KK}(\mathrm{CP}_{inn}; J \oplus B, J \oplus B)$ $[z \otimes_{J \oplus B} g] = [\mathrm{id}] \in \mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1; A, A)$.

Definition 6. Given $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \text{CP}(A, B)$, $\mathcal{C}_2 \subset \text{CP}(B, A)$ with $\text{CP}_{\text{in}}(A) \subset \mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1$ and $\text{CP}_{\text{in}}(B) \subset \mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1$ if there are $z \in \text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1; A, B)$ and $v \in \text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2; B, A)$ such that $z \otimes_B v = [\text{id}_A]$ and $v \otimes_A z = [\text{id}_B]$ in $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1; A, A)$ and $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1 \circ \mathcal{C}_2; B, B)$ respectively, then we call z a $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalence. A and B will be called $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalent.

Theorem 7. Suppose that A and B are stable and separable, and that $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \text{CP}(A, B)$ is an m.o.c.c., and that there exists a non-degenerate $*$ -monomorphism $h_1: A \rightarrow B$ such that $h_1 \oplus h_1$ is unitarily equivalent to h_1 and generates \mathcal{C}_2 ,

(i) then the natural semi-group morphism from the semi-group of unitary equivalence classes $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cap \mathcal{C}_1$ into $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1; A, B)$ (induced by $\varphi \mapsto [\varphi]$) is surjective, and

(ii) $[\psi] = [\varphi]$ holds in $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1; A, B)$ if and only if

$\psi \oplus h_1$ and $\varphi \oplus h_1$ are unitarily homotopic
(i.e. there is a norm-continuous map $t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto$
 $u(t)\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}(B))$ with $u(0) = 1$ and $\lim u(t)^*(\varphi(a) \oplus$
 $h_1(a))u(t) = \psi(a) \oplus h_1(a)$ for all $a \in A$).

Corollary 8. *If, in addition to the assumptions of the last theorem, $\mathcal{C}_2 \subset \text{CP}(B, A)$ is an m.o.c.c. such that there is non-degenerate *-morphism $h_2: B \rightarrow A$ which generates \mathcal{C}_2 and is unitarily equivalent to $h_2 \oplus h_2$, then:*

There is an isomorphism φ from A onto B with $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and $\varphi^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}_2$ if and only if $\text{id}_A \in \mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1$ and $\text{id}_B \in \mathcal{C}_1 \circ \mathcal{C}_2$ and there are $z_1 \in \text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1; A, B)$ and $z_2 \in \text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2; B, A)$ with $z_1 \otimes_A z_2 = [\text{id}_B]$ in $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_1 \circ \mathcal{C}_2; B, B)$ and $z_2 \otimes_B z_1 = [\text{id}_A]$ in $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_2 \circ \mathcal{C}_1; A, A)$.

Examples:

If A and B are $C(Y)$ -algebras then $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}; A, B)$ is the same as $\mathcal{R}\text{KK}^G(Y; A, B)$ in the sense of Kasparov (for the trivial group G or for trivial G -actions), if

$\mathcal{C} := \text{CP}(Y; A, B)$, the m.o.c. cone of c.p. $C_0(Y)$ -module maps from A into B .

If A is exact, then $\text{KK}(\text{CP}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B); A, B)$ is the same as $\text{KK}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$ in the sense of *G. Skandalis*.

Applications of Thm.7 and Cor.8 to classification problems:

Suppose that A and B are separable and stable. To apply the above Theorem one needs to know when there is a “universal” Hilbert (A, B) -module that rediscovers a given map Ψ from $\mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(B))$ into $\mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(A))$, *e.g.*, coming from a homeomorphism from $\text{Prim}(A)$ onto $\text{Prim}(B)$.

Thus, a basic problem is the question of how well the cone $\mathcal{C} := \text{CP}_{\text{rn}}(X; A, B)$ rediscovers an given action Ψ of $X := \text{Prim}(B)$ on A , i.e., if, for each $J \in \mathcal{I}(B)$, $b \in J$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a Ψ -residually nuclear map $V: A \rightarrow B$ and $a \in \Psi(J)$ such that $\|V(a) - b\| < \varepsilon$. A necessary condition is that Ψ is lower semi-continuous (i.e., $J \rightarrow \|\Psi(J) + a\|$ defines a lower semi-continuous function on X). This is equivalent to $\Psi = \Psi^{\mathcal{C}}$ for a suitable residually nuclear

m.o.c.c. $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{CP}_{\text{nuc}}(A, B)$.

One has only the following partial results (Harnisch-K, Rørdam-K, K):

The answer is positive if $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ contains a regular Abelian C^* -subalgebra C , A is arbitrary, and Ψ is lower s.c. A C^* -subalgebra C of $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ is “regular” if the map $\Psi_C: \mathcal{I}(B) \ni J \rightarrow C \cap J \in \mathcal{I}(C)$ is injective and continuous. The latter happens here if and only if $C \cap J_1 + C \cap J_2 = C \cap (J_1 + J_2)$. Thus this action satisfies the stronger assumptions of Ralf Meyer.

The above described results together show then that B satisfies this condition if B is nuclear, and – finally – even if B is exact. (The question is open for $A = C[0, 1]$, B arbitrary.)

Theorem 9. *Suppose $H: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ is a non-degenerate nuclear monomorphism, A and B are stable and separable, B strongly purely infinite.*

If the action of $\text{Prim}(B)$ on A is monotone upper semi-continuous, then there exists a non-degenerate nuclear embedding $h_0: A \rightarrow B$ such that h_0 and $h_0 \oplus h_0$ are unitarily homotopic, and that $\delta_\infty \circ h_0$ and $\delta_\infty \circ H$ are unitarily homotopic in $\mathcal{M}(B)$.

The action of $\text{Prim}(B)$ is given here by $J \rightarrow H^{-1}(H(A) \cap \mathcal{M}(B, J))$.

With $h_0: A \rightarrow B$ we can apply the Theorem to the realization of elements of $\text{KK}(\text{Prim}(B), A, B)$ by monomorphisms $h: A \rightarrow B$.

Definition 10. *A separable B is in the “strong UCT class” if $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ contains a “regular” Abelian C^* -subalgebra A such that $A \hookrightarrow B$ defines in $\text{KK}(X; A, B)$ a $\text{KK}(X; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalence of A and B (where $X := \text{Prim}(B)$). (The “weak” UCT class should allow in addition extensions, inductive limits, and should start with regular type I subalgebras.)*

If such A exists, it is *not* uniquely determined, but it has the property that A and the action of X on A determine $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathbb{K}$ up to isomorphisms *if B is nuclear*, i.e. there is a canonical reconstruction of B from (A, X) if B is strongly purely infinite, separable, stable and nuclear. (Note that the action of $\text{Prim}(B)$ on A now satisfies the additional requirements of Ralf Meyer.) Explicitly:

Theorem 11. [HH-EK, Reconstruction] *Suppose that A is separable, nuclear and stable, that Ω is a sub-lattice of $\mathcal{I}(A) \cong \mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(A))$ such that $\text{Prim}(A), \emptyset \in \Omega$, $\bigcup U_n, (\bigcap U_n)^\circ \in \Omega$ for every sequence U_1, U_2, \dots in Ω . Then there is a non-degenerate $*$ -monomorphism $H_0: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ with following properties:*

- (i) *The infinite repeat $\delta_\infty \circ H_0$ is unitarily equivalent to H_0 .*

(ii) For every $U \in \mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(A))$ holds $H_0(J(V)) = H_0(A) \cap \mathcal{M}(A, J(U))$ where $V \in \Omega$ is given by $V = \bigcup \{W \in \Omega; W \subset U\}$.

The H_0 is uniquely determined up to unitary homotopy, i.e., if $H_1: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ also satisfies the requirements (i) and (ii) then there is a continuous path $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow U(t) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}(A))$ such that $U(t)^* H_2(a) U(t) - H_0(a) \in A$ for all $a \in A$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(t)^* H_2(a) U(t) = H_0(a)$.

The Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ of the Hilbert A - A -module $\mathcal{H} := (A, H_0)$ is stable and strongly purely infinite; and it is the same as the C^* -Fock algebra of \mathcal{H} .

The natural embedding of A into $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ defines a lattice isomorphism from Ω onto $\mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(\mathcal{O}))$ and a $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalence.

If a locally compact group G acts on A by $\alpha: G \rightarrow$

Aut(A) and $\alpha(g)(J) \in \Omega$ for all $J \in \Omega$, then H_0 can be found such that in addition, H_0 is G -equivariant (i.e., $\gamma(g)(H_0(a)b) = H_0(\gamma(g)(a))\gamma(g)(b)$) with respect to an action $\gamma: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ of G on A that is outer conjugate to α . In particular, G acts on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and in a way that is compatible with the $\text{KK}(\Omega; \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalence from A into $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

If A is of type I, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -crossed product of an inductive limit of type I C^* -algebras by an automorphism.

The generalization of the proofs for simple classification to the non-simple case is related to the (non-trivial) fact that *nuclear* (or exact) B with $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \cong B$ have the strong UCT property: It says that a T_0 space X is the primitive ideal space $\text{Prim}(B)$ of a separable *nuclear* C^* -algebra B if and only if

(PN1) the topology of X is second countable,

(PN2) every prime closed subset of X is the closure of a point,

(PN3) there exists a locally compact space Y and a continuous map $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ that is *pseudo-open* ($:=$ for every decreasing sequence $U_1 \supset U_2 \supset \dots$ of open subsets of X , the inverse image $\varphi^{-1}(V)$ of the interior V of $\bigcap_n U_n$ is the interior of $\bigcap_n \varphi^{-1}(U_n)$) and *pseudo-epimorphic* ($:=$ the intersection of $\varphi(Y)$ with different open subsets of X is different).

(Note that φ with (PN3) is an open epimorphism if X is a T_1 -space.)

One takes $\Omega := \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{O}(X))$. The existence of the corresponding universal module $H_0: C_0(Y) \otimes \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0(Y) \otimes \mathbb{K})$ can be deduced directly from the Bartle-Graves-Michael selection theorem.

The (re-)construction of $B \otimes \mathbb{K}$ with $\text{Prim}(B) \cong X$

from $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ shows that *for every second countable locally compact group G and every continuous action α of G on X there is a continuous action of G on $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}$ that induces α* . In particular, $\text{Aut}(B \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$ is a topological group *epimorphism* that has a sort of local splitting property.

Application to examples suggested by *Chris Phillips*: Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ a locally compact non-compact second countable Abelian group. Then there is an action α of the dual group $\Gamma = \mathbb{T}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (of G) on $\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}$ such that $A := (\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}) \rtimes \Gamma$

- is a *prime* strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra,
- A has quasi-compact primitive ideal space $\text{Prim}(A) \cong G \cup \{\infty\}$ given by the (nontrivial) closed subsets consisting of the compact subsets of G ,
- and the dual action $\hat{\alpha}$ of G on A induces the translation action of G on $G \cup \{\infty\}$

(The closure of the infinite point ∞ is the whole space $\text{Prim}(A)$, and every clopen subset is $\text{Prim}(A)$ or \emptyset . Therefore it can't be the primitive ideal space of an AF algebra.)

If $G = \mathbb{Z}$ or $G = \mathbb{R}$ one finds a 1-cocycle that changes the actions into actions that fixes a full projection p of A . (The non-trivial case $G = \mathbb{R}$ follows from a Lemma in the original proof of Connes of the non-commutative Thom isomorphism.)

The question, whether a prime *unital* B with an \mathbb{R} -action that induces a minimal action on $\text{Prim}(B)$ must be a simple algebra, appeared in a Seminar talk at Fields Institute.

Can we take \mathcal{O}_∞ , \mathcal{P}_∞ or \mathcal{Z} in place of \mathcal{O}_2 ?
(Less important than proving the UCT for tensorially self-absorbing C^* -algebras! I don't want to hinder someone from doing this first.)

Ideas of proof (as an example of “straight” applications of the above described machinery):

(a) Show that $G \cup \{\infty\}$ with the above described “minimal” topology top_{new} is in the class of spaces with properties (PN1)-(PN3):

This could be done by showing that there is a finite-dimensional l.c. Polish space F , an open and continuous map $\lambda: F \rightarrow G$ and a homeomorphic embedding ν of F into some cube $[0, 1]^k$, such that $\lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x, \nu(\lambda^{-1}(g))) = 0$ for each $x \in [0, 1]^k$.

Then define for $x \in [0, 1]^k$ the map $\varphi(x) := \lambda \circ \nu^{-1}(x)$ if $x \in \mu(F)$ and $\varphi(x) := \infty$ otherwise. Then φ is open and continuous. Thus $(G \cup \{\infty\}, \varphi)$ satisfies (PN1)-(PN3).

(b) There is unique separable nuclear B with $\text{Prim}(B) = G \cup \{\infty\}$ (with topology top_{new}) and with $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}$ (by (a) and Reconstruction theorem).

(c) The homeomorphism $\ell: (t, s) \rightarrow (s + t, s)$ of

$(G \cup \{\infty\}) \times G \cong \text{Prim}(B \otimes C_0(G))$ comes from some automorphism κ of $A := B \otimes C_0(G)$ because $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}$.

(d) Now define a G -action $\gamma: g \mapsto \kappa^{-1}(\text{id} \otimes \rho_g) \circ \kappa$ on A and apply the Reconstruction theorem to A , γ and lattice $\Omega := \{U \times G; U \in \text{top}_{new}\}$ (here top_{new} is as above):

Since $\Omega \cong \mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(B))$, the corresponding G -equivariant Hilbert A - A -module \mathcal{H} defined by $H_0: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ of the Reconstruction theorem produces the separable stable nuclear algebra $C := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ with primitive ideal space $\cong \text{Prim}(B)$ such that C is $\text{KK}(\text{Prim}(B); \cdot, \cdot)$ -equivalent to A , and with a G -action that induces on $\mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(C)) \cong \Omega$ the action of G on $\mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(B))$ given by ℓ . Since A absorbs \mathcal{O}_2 tensorially, we get $C \cong C \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K} \cong B$ and, thus, an action of G on B that induces the given action of G on $\text{Prim}(B) \cong G \cup \{\infty\}$.