

Embedding of noncommutative L_p

Marius Junge

Joint work with J. Parcet

Embedding of noncommutative L_p

Marius Junge

Joint work with J. Parcet

Fields Institute, December 2007

Commutative theory

Commutative theory

☛ (Khintchine inequality) Let ε_k Bernoulli variables, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right\|_p \sim_{c_p} \|(\alpha_k)\|_2 .$$

Commutative theory

☛ (Khintchine inequality) Let ε_k Bernoulli variables, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right\|_p \sim_{c_p} \|(\alpha_k)\|_2 .$$

Here $a \sim_c b$ if $1/c \leq a/b \leq c$.

Commutative theory

☛ (Khintchine inequality) Let ε_k Bernoulli variables, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right\|_p \sim_{c_p} \|(\alpha_k)\|_2 .$$

Here $a \sim_c b$ if $1/c \leq a/b \leq c$. Hence $\ell_2 \subset L_p$.

Commutative theory

- ☛ (Khintchine inequality) Let ε_k Bernoulli variables, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right\|_p \sim_{c_p} \|(\alpha_k)\|_2 .$$

Here $a \sim_c b$ if $1/c \leq a/b \leq c$. Hence $\ell_2 \subset L_p$.

- ☛ (q -stable var.) $\mathbb{E} e^{it\theta_q} = \exp(-c_q |t|^q)$.

Commutative theory

- ☛ (Khintchine inequality) Let ε_k Bernoulli variables, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right\|_p \sim_{c_p} \|(\alpha_k)\|_2 .$$

Here $a \sim_c b$ if $1/c \leq a/b \leq c$. Hence $\ell_2 \subset L_p$.

- ☛ (q -stable var.) $\mathbb{E} e^{it\theta_q} = \exp(-c_q |t|^q)$. Then $\ell_q \subset L_p$

Commutative theory

- ☛ (Khintchine inequality) Let ε_k Bernoulli variables, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right\|_p \sim_{c_p} \|(\alpha_k)\|_2 .$$

Here $a \sim_c b$ if $1/c \leq a/b \leq c$. Hence $\ell_2 \subset L_p$.

- ☛ (q -stable var.) $\mathbb{E} e^{it\theta_q} = \exp(-c_q |t|^q)$. Then $\ell_q \subset L_p$

$$(\mathbb{E} |\sum_k \alpha_k \theta_k|^p)^{1/p} = c \|(\alpha_k)\|_q \quad 0 < p < q$$

Commutative theory

- ☛ (Khintchine inequality) Let ε_k Bernoulli variables, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right\|_p \sim_{c_p} \|(\alpha_k)\|_2 .$$

Here $a \sim_c b$ if $1/c \leq a/b \leq c$. Hence $\ell_2 \subset L_p$.

- ☛ (q -stable var.) $\mathbb{E} e^{it\theta_q} = \exp(-c_q |t|^q)$. Then $\ell_q \subset L_p$

$$(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_k \alpha_k \theta_k \right|^p)^{1/p} = c \|(\alpha_k)\|_q \quad 0 < p < q$$

- ☛ (Maurey-Pisier-Krivine)

For every infinite dimensional Banach space there exist p_0, q_0 such that ℓ_p^n is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to a subspace of X for all

$$p \in [p_0, 2] \cup \{q_0\} .$$

Independent variables

Independent variables

(behind the scene)

Independent variables

(behind the scene)

- ☛ Rosenthal inequality (~ 72) for mean 0

Independent variables

(behind the scene)

☛ Rosenthal inequality (~ 72) for mean 0

$$\left\| \sum_i f_i \right\|_p \sim \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad p \geq 2$$

Independent variables

(behind the scene)

☛ Rosenthal inequality (~ 72) for mean 0

$$\left\| \sum_i f_i \right\|_p \sim \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad p \geq 2$$

☛ Later: $p \leq 2$

Independent variables

(behind the scene)

☛ Rosenthal inequality (~ 72) for mean 0

$$\left\| \sum_i f_i \right\|_p \sim \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad p \geq 2$$

☛ Later: $p \leq 2$

$$\left\| \sum_i f_i \right\|_p \sim \inf_{f_i = g_i + h_i} \left(\sum_i \|g_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_i \|h_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2$$

Independent variables

(behind the scene)

☛ Rosenthal inequality (~ 72) for mean 0

$$\left\| \sum_i f_i \right\|_p \sim \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad p \geq 2$$

☛ Later: $p \leq 2$

$$\left\| \sum_i f_i \right\|_p \sim \inf_{f_i = g_i + h_i} \left(\sum_i \|g_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_i \|h_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2$$

☛ Corollary: $L_p(0, \infty) \cap L_2(0, \infty) \cong L_p([0, 1])$ $p \geq 2$,

Independent variables

(behind the scene)

☛ Rosenthal inequality (~ 72) for mean 0

$$\left\| \sum_i f_i \right\|_p \sim \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_i \|f_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad p \geq 2$$

☛ Later: $p \leq 2$

$$\left\| \sum_i f_i \right\|_p \sim \inf_{f_i = g_i + h_i} \left(\sum_i \|g_i\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_i \|h_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2$$

☛ Corollary: $L_p(0, \infty) \cap L_2(0, \infty) \cong L_p([0, 1])$ $p \geq 2$,
 $L_p(0, \infty) + L_2(0, \infty) \cong L_p([0, 1])$ $p \geq 2$.

Operator-valued examples

Operator-valued examples

$$\left\| \sum_k x_k \otimes a_k \right\|_1 \sim \inf_{a_k = b_k + c_k} \left\| \left(\sum_k b_k b_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1 + \left\| \left(\sum_k c_k^* c_k \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1$$

holds for

Operator-valued examples

$$\left\| \sum_k x_k \otimes a_k \right\|_1 \sim \inf_{a_k = b_k + c_k} \left\| \left(\sum_k b_k b_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1 + \left\| \left(\sum_k c_k^* c_k \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1$$

holds for (due to Pisier, Pisier-Lust Picard)

Operator-valued examples

$$\left\| \sum_k x_k \otimes a_k \right\|_1 \sim \inf_{a_k = b_k + c_k} \left\| \left(\sum_k b_k b_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1 + \left\| \left(\sum_k c_k^* c_k \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1$$

holds for (due to Pisier, Pisier-Lust Picard)

✌ $x_k = \varepsilon_k$ or x_k independent gaussian ;

Operator-valued examples

$$\left\| \sum_k x_k \otimes a_k \right\|_1 \sim \inf_{a_k = b_k + c_k} \left\| \left(\sum_k b_k b_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1 + \left\| \left(\sum_k c_k^* c_k \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1$$

holds for (due to Pisier, Pisier-Lust Picard)

✌ $x_k = \varepsilon_k$ or x_k independent gaussian ;

✌ $x_k = \lambda(g_k)$, g_k free generators;

Operator-valued examples

$$\left\| \sum_k x_k \otimes a_k \right\|_1 \sim \inf_{a_k = b_k + c_k} \left\| \left(\sum_k b_k b_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1 + \left\| \left(\sum_k c_k^* c_k \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1$$

holds for (due to Pisier, Pisier-Lust Picard)

- ✌ $x_k = \varepsilon_k$ or x_k independent gaussian ;
- ✌ $x_k = \lambda(g_k)$, g_k free generators;
- ✌ $x_k = \lambda(g_i)$, g_i subsymmetric generators of discrete group;

Operator-valued examples

$$\left\| \sum_k x_k \otimes a_k \right\|_1 \sim \inf_{a_k = b_k + c_k} \left\| \left(\sum_k b_k b_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1 + \left\| \left(\sum_k c_k^* c_k \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1$$

holds for (due to Pisier, Pisier-Lust Picard)

- ✌ $x_k = \varepsilon_k$ or x_k independent gaussian ;
- ✌ $x_k = \lambda(g_k)$, g_k free generators;
- ✌ $x_k = \lambda(g_i)$, g_i subsymmetric generators of discrete group;
- ✌ $x_k = s_k$, $x_k = s_k + i s_{-k}$ for semi-circular s_k .

Starting point $\sim 98/99$

Starting point $\sim 98/99$

If OH cb-embeds in $L_1(N)$, then

$$S_{4/3} = C \hat{\otimes} OH \subset L_1(B(\ell_2) \otimes N)$$

embeds in noncommutative L_1 .

Starting point $\sim 98/99$

If OH cb-embeds in $L_1(N)$, then

$$S_{4/3} = C \hat{\otimes} OH \subset L_1(B(\ell_2) \otimes N)$$

embeds in noncommutative L_1 .

Famous Banach space expert:

Starting point $\sim 98/99$

If OH cb-embeds in $L_1(N)$, then

$$S_{4/3} = C \hat{\otimes} OH \subset L_1(B(\ell_2) \otimes N)$$

embeds in noncommutative L_1 .

Famous Banach space expert: Maybe not.

Starting point $\sim 98/99$

If OH cb-embeds in $L_1(N)$, then

$$S_{4/3} = C \hat{\otimes} OH \subset L_1(B(\ell_2) \otimes N)$$

embeds in noncommutative L_1 .

Famous Banach space expert: Maybe not.

Warning: $S_{4/3}^c = C \hat{\otimes} OH$ is not the standard $S_{4/3}$,

Starting point $\sim 98/99$

If OH cb-embeds in $L_1(N)$, then

$$S_{4/3} = C \hat{\otimes} OH \subset L_1(B(\ell_2) \otimes N)$$

embeds in noncommutative L_1 .

Famous Banach space expert: Maybe not.

Warning: $S_{4/3}^c = C \hat{\otimes} OH$ is not the standard $S_{4/3}$, but

$$S_{4/3} = [S_{4/3}^c, S_{4/3}^r]_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Voiculescu's and Shlyakhtenko's inequality

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

**Weighted
inequalities**

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Voiculescu's and Shlyakhtenko's inequality

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

1) (Rosenthal in L_∞)

Voiculescu's and Shlyakhtenko's inequality

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

1) (Rosenthal in L_∞) Let $N = \ast_{i,B} A_i$ be the free product over B and $x_i \in A_i$ with $E_B(x_i) = 0$.

Voiculescu's and Shlyakhtenko's inequality

1) (Rosenthal in L_∞) Let $N = *_i A_i$ be the free product over B and $x_i \in A_i$ with $E_B(x_i) = 0$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_i x_i \right\| \sim \sup_i \|x_i\|_\infty + \left\| \sum_i E_B(x_i^* x_i + x_i x_i^*) \right\|^{1/2}$$

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Voiculescu's and Shlyakhtenko's inequality

1) (Rosenthal in L_∞) Let $N = *_{i \in B} A_i$ be the free product over B and $x_i \in A_i$ with $E_B(x_i) = 0$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_i x_i \right\| \sim \sup_i \|x_i\|_\infty + \left\| \sum_i E_B(x_i^* x_i + x_i x_i^*) \right\|^{1/2}$$

2) Let $s_k = \lambda_k l_k + \mu_k l_{-k}^*$ generalized semicircular.

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Voiculescu's and Shlyakhtenko's inequality

1) (Rosenthal in L_∞) Let $N = \ast_{i,B} A_i$ be the free product over B and $x_i \in A_i$ with $E_B(x_i) = 0$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_i x_i \right\| \sim \sup_i \|x_i\|_\infty + \left\| \sum_i E_B(x_i^* x_i + x_i x_i^*) \right\|^{1/2}$$

2) Let $s_k = \lambda_k l_k + \mu_k l_{-k}^*$ generalized semicircular. Then

$$\left\| \sum_k a_k \otimes s_k \right\| \sim \left\| \left(\sum_k |\lambda_k|^2 a_k^* a_k \right)^{1/2} \right\| + \left\| \left(\sum_k \mu_k^2 a_k a_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\| .$$

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Voiculescu's and Shlyakhtenko's inequality

1) (Rosenthal in L_∞) Let $N = *_i A_i$ be the free product over B and $x_i \in A_i$ with $E_B(x_i) = 0$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_i x_i \right\| \sim \sup_i \|x_i\|_\infty + \left\| \sum_i E_B(x_i^* x_i + x_i x_i^*) \right\|^{1/2}$$

2) Let $s_k = \lambda_k l_k + \mu_k l_{-k}^*$ generalized semicircular. Then

$$\left\| \sum_k a_k \otimes s_k \right\| \sim \left\| \left(\sum_k |\lambda_k|^2 a_k^* a_k \right)^{1/2} \right\| + \left\| \left(\sum_k \mu_k^2 a_k a_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|.$$

Moreover, the s_k are analytic vectors in a von Neumann algebra $N(\lambda, \mu)$ with faithful normal state given by the vacuum vector

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Voiculescu's and Shlyakhtenko's inequality

1) (Rosenthal in L_∞) Let $N = \ast_{i \in B} A_i$ be the free product over B and $x_i \in A_i$ with $E_B(x_i) = 0$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_i x_i \right\| \sim \sup_i \|x_i\|_\infty + \left\| \sum_i E_B(x_i^* x_i + x_i x_i^*) \right\|^{1/2}$$

2) Let $s_k = \lambda_k l_k + \mu_k l_{-k}^*$ generalized semicircular. Then

$$\left\| \sum_k a_k \otimes s_k \right\| \sim \left\| \left(\sum_k |\lambda_k|^2 a_k^* a_k \right)^{1/2} \right\| + \left\| \left(\sum_k \mu_k^2 a_k a_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|.$$

Moreover, the s_k are analytic vectors in a von Neumann algebra $N(\lambda, \mu)$ with faithful normal state given by the vacuum vector (modular group).

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

“Applications”

“Applications”

- ④ Pisier and Shlyakhtenko used this to prove a Grothendiek inequality for operator spaces.

“Applications”

- ① Pisier and Shlyakhtenko used this to prove a Grothendiek inequality for operator spaces.
- ② The weighted space $C(\lambda) \cap R(\mu)$ defined by the span of the s_k 's is completely complemented in $N(\lambda, \mu)$
(

“Applications”

- ① Pisier and Shlyakhtenko used this to prove a Grothendieck inequality for operator spaces.
- ② The weighted space $C(\lambda) \cap R(\mu)$ defined by the span of the s_k 's is completely complemented in $N(\lambda, \mu)$ (Haagerup/Pisier's work).

“Applications”

- ① Pisier and Shlyakhtenko used this to prove a Grothendieck inequality for operator spaces.
- ② The weighted space $C(\lambda) \cap R(\mu)$ defined by the span of the s_k 's is completely complemented in $N(\lambda, \mu)$ (Haagerup/Pisier's work).
- ③ The dual space $R(\lambda) + C(\mu)$ is contained in the predual of a von Neumann algebra.

“Applications”

- ① Pisier and Shlyakhtenko used this to prove a Grothendiek inequality for operator spaces.
- ② The weighted space $C(\lambda) \cap R(\mu)$ defined by the span of the s_k 's is completely complemented in $N(\lambda, \mu)$ (Haagerup/Pisier's work).
- ③ The dual space $R(\lambda) + C(\mu)$ is contained in the predual of a von Neumann algebra.
- ④ OH is cb-isomorphic to a subspace of a noncommutative L_1 space.

Pisier's exercise

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Pisier's exercise

The operator space OH is defined by complex interpolation

$$OH = [R, C]_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Pisier's exercise

The operator space OH is defined by complex interpolation

$$OH = [R, C]_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The complex interpolation functor can be realized as the subspace of constants in the quotient space

$$OH \subset L_2^c(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^r(1 + i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) / H_{1/2}^0$$

Pisier's exercise

The operator space OH is defined by complex interpolation

$$OH = [R, C]_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The complex interpolation functor can be realized as the subspace of constants in the quotient space

$$OH \subset L_2^c(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^r(1 + i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) / H_{1/2}^0$$

where $H_{1/2}^0$ is the space of analytic functions $f : \{0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 1\} \rightarrow \ell_2$ with $f(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$.

[Motivation](#)[Weighted inequalities](#)[Pisier's exercise](#)[New inequalities](#)[Main results](#)

Pisier's exercise

The operator space OH is defined by complex interpolation

$$OH = [R, C]_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The complex interpolation functor can be realized as the subspace of constants in the quotient space

$$OH \subset L_2^c(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^r(1 + i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) / H_{1/2}^0$$

where $H_{1/2}^0$ is the space of analytic functions

$f : \{0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 1\} \rightarrow \ell_2$ with $f(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$.

Corollary (Junge 06) OH is a subspace of $R(\lambda) + C(\mu)$ for suitable λ and μ .

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Pisier's exercise

The operator space OH is defined by complex interpolation

$$OH = [R, C]_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The complex interpolation functor can be realized as the subspace of constants in the quotient space

$$OH \subset L_2^c(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^r(1 + i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) / H_{1/2}^0$$

where $H_{1/2}^0$ is the space of analytic functions

$f : \{0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 1\} \rightarrow \ell_2$ with $f(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$.

Corollary (Junge 06) OH is a subspace of $R(\lambda) + C(\mu)$ for suitable λ and μ .

Xu's work made this very precise.

Pisier's Exercise \otimes Pisier's Exercise (technical)

Pisier's Exercise \otimes Pisier's Exercise (technical)

Let $1 < p < 2$. Then the operator space

$$S_p = C_p \otimes_h R_p$$

is completely isomorphic to tensor product of the space of constants in

Pisier's Exercise \otimes Pisier's Exercise (technical)

Let $1 < p < 2$. Then the operator space

$$S_p = C_p \otimes_h R_p$$

is completely isomorphic to tensor product of the space of constants in

$$(L_2^c(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^{oh}(1+i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2)/H_\theta^0) \otimes_h (L_2^r(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^{oh}(1+i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2)/H_\theta^0) .$$

Pisier's Exercise \otimes Pisier's Exercise (technical)

Let $1 < p < 2$. Then the operator space

$$S_p = C_p \otimes_h R_p$$

is completely isomorphic to tensor product of the space of constants in

$$(L_2^c(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^{oh}(1+i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2)/H_\theta^0) \otimes_h (L_2^r(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^{oh}(1+i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2)/H_\theta^0) .$$

For general N we have $L_p(N) = [L_1, L_2]_\theta$ and L_p is cb-isomorphic to a subspace of

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_2^r(N; L_2(i\mathbb{R})) \oplus L_4^r(N; L_2^c(1+i\mathbb{R}))/H_\theta^0) \\ & \otimes_{N,h} (L_2^c(N; L_2(i\mathbb{R})) \oplus L_4^c(N; L_2^r(1+i\mathbb{R}))/H_\theta^0) . \end{aligned}$$

Pisier's Exercise \otimes Pisier's Exercise (technical)

Let $1 < p < 2$. Then the operator space

$$S_p = C_p \otimes_h R_p$$

is completely isomorphic to tensor product of the space of constants in

$$(L_2^c(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^{oh}(1+i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2)/H_\theta^0) \otimes_h (L_2^r(i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2) \oplus L_2^{oh}(1+i\mathbb{R}, \ell_2)/H_\theta^0) .$$

For general N we have $L_p(N) = [L_1, L_2]_\theta$ and L_p is cb-isomorphic to a subspace of

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_2^r(N; L_2(i\mathbb{R})) \oplus L_4^r(N; L_2^c(1+i\mathbb{R}))/H_\theta^0) \\ & \otimes_{N,h} (L_2^c(N; L_2(i\mathbb{R})) \oplus L_4^c(N; L_2^r(1+i\mathbb{R}))/H_\theta^0) . \end{aligned}$$

Here $L_4^c = [N, L_2^c]_{\frac{1}{2}}$ satisfies

$$C_m \otimes_h L_4^c \otimes_h C_m = L_4(M_m(N))S_4^m .$$

Application of the tensor product formula

Application of the tensor product formula

1) L_p is completely isomorphic to a subspace of

Application of the tensor product formula

1) L_p is completely isomorphic to a subspace of

$$L_1(N) + D^{1/4}L_{4/3}^c(N) + L_{4/3}^r(N)D^{1/4} + D^{1/4}L_2^{oh}(N)D^{1/4}$$

Application of the tensor product formula

1) L_p is completely isomorphic to a subspace of

$$L_1(N) + D^{1/4} L_{4/3}^c(N) + L_{4/3}^r(N) D^{1/4} + D^{1/4} L_2^{oh}(N) D^{1/4}$$

Here D is the density of strictly semifinite weight
(incorporating the unbounded operator from complex
interpolation).

Application of the tensor product formula

1) L_p is completely isomorphic to a subspace of

$$L_1(N) + D^{1/4} L_{4/3}^c(N) + L_{4/3}^r(N) D^{1/4} + D^{1/4} L_2^{oh}(N) D^{1/4}$$

Here D is the density of strictly semifinite weight (incorporating the unbounded operator from complex interpolation).

2) Let $E \in QS(R \oplus OH)$ (quotient of a subspace of) and $F \in QS(C \oplus OH)$.

Application of the tensor product formula

1) L_p is completely isomorphic to a subspace of

$$L_1(N) + D^{1/4} L_{4/3}^c(N) + L_{4/3}^r(N) D^{1/4} + D^{1/4} L_2^{oh}(N) D^{1/4}$$

Here D is the density of strictly semifinite weight (incorporating the unbounded operator from complex interpolation).

2) Let $E \in QS(R \oplus OH)$ (quotient of a subspace of) and $F \in QS(C \oplus OH)$. Then

$$E \otimes_h F \subset L_1(N) + D^{1/4} L_{4/3}^c(N) + L_{4/3}^r(N) D^{1/4} + D^{1/4} L_2^{oh}(N) D^{1/4}$$

for some strictly weight.

New Voiculescu inequality

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

New Voiculescu inequality

Theorem Let x_1, \dots, x_n be mean 0 variables in $N = *_i B A_i$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|^{1/2} \sim \sup_i \|x_i\| \\ & + \sup_{\|a\|_{L_2(B)} \leq 1, \|b\|_{L_2(B)} \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|ax_i b\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & + \sup_{\|a\|_{L_4(B)} \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|ax_i\|_4^4 \right)^{1/4} + \sup_{\|b\|_{L_4(B)} \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i b\|_4^4 \right)^{1/4} \end{aligned}$$

New Voiculescu inequality

Theorem Let x_1, \dots, x_n be mean 0 variables in $N = *_i B A_i$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\|^{1/2} \sim \sup_i \|x_i\| \\ & + \sup_{\|a\|_{L_2(B)} \leq 1, \|b\|_{L_2(B)} \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|ax_i b\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & + \sup_{\|a\|_{L_4(B)} \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|ax_i\|_4^4 \right)^{1/4} + \sup_{\|b\|_{L_4(B)} \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i b\|_4^4 \right)^{1/4} \end{aligned}$$

Remark: The L_p version is harder.

Sketch of proof

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Sketch of proof

Theorem (Pisier):

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Sketch of proof

Theorem (Pisier):

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\| &= \left\| \sum_i L_{x_i} R_{x_i}^* \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|_2 \leq 1} \left\| \sum_i x_i a x_i^* \right\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof

Theorem (Pisier):

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\| &= \left\| \sum_i L_{x_i} R_{x_i}^* \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|_2 \leq 1} \left\| \sum_i x_i a x_i^* \right\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Here a is an arbitrary positive element in $L_2(*_{i,B} A_i)$

Sketch of proof

Theorem (Pisier):

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\| &= \left\| \sum_i L_{x_i} R_{x_i}^* \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|_2 \leq 1} \left\| \sum_i x_i a x_i^* \right\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Here a is an arbitrary positive element in $L_2(*_{i,B} A_i)$ too much!

Want: $a \in L_2(B)$!

Sketch of proof

Theorem (Pisier):

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\| &= \left\| \sum_i L_{x_i} R_{x_i}^* \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|_2 \leq 1} \left\| \sum_i x_i a x_i^* \right\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Here a is an arbitrary positive element in $L_2(*_{i,B} A_i)$ too much!

Want: $a \in L_2(B)$!

Technique:

Sketch of proof

Theorem (Pisier):

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\| &= \left\| \sum_i L_{x_i} R_{x_i}^* \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|_2 \leq 1} \left\| \sum_i x_i a x_i^* \right\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Here a is an arbitrary positive element in $L_2(*_{i,B} A_i)$ too much!

Want: $a \in L_2(B)$!

Technique: Decompose a in elements which start with symbols in A_k , end with symbols in A_k , remainder terms.

Sketch of proof

Theorem (Pisier):

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\| &= \left\| \sum_i L_{x_i} R_{x_i}^* \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|_2 \leq 1} \left\| \sum_i x_i a x_i^* \right\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Here a is an arbitrary positive element in $L_2(*_{i,B} A_i)$ too much!

Want: $a \in L_2(B)$!

Technique: Decompose a in elements which start with symbols in A_k , end with symbols in A_k , remainder terms.

Use freeness:

Sketch of proof

Theorem (Pisier):

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \bar{x}_i \right\| &= \left\| \sum_i L_{x_i} R_{x_i}^* \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|_2 \leq 1} \left\| \sum_i x_i a x_i^* \right\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Here a is an arbitrary positive element in $L_2(*_{i,B} A_i)$ too much!

Want: $a \in L_2(B)$!

Technique: Decompose a in elements which start with symbols in A_k , end with symbols in A_k , remainder terms. Use freeness: input small-output free, input free -output small, input small-output small, input free-output free+error.

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Combining four terms

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Combining four terms

Theorem

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Combining four terms

Theorem The space

$$D^{1/4}ND^{1/4} \cap D^{1/4}L_4^c \cap D^{1/4}L_4^r \cap L_2^{oh}$$

is completely complemented in an ultra product of

$$L_\infty(OH) .$$

Combining four terms

Theorem The space

$$D^{1/4}ND^{1/4} \cap D^{1/4}L_4^c \cap D^{1/4}L_4^r \cap L_2^{oh}$$

is completely complemented in an ultra product of

$$L_\infty(OH) .$$

Using $OH \subset L_1$ and duality we get:

Combining four terms

Theorem The space

$$D^{1/4}ND^{1/4} \cap D^{1/4}L_4^c \cap D^{1/4}L_4^r \cap L_2^{oh}$$

is completely complemented in an ultra product of

$$L_\infty(OH) .$$

Using $OH \subset L_1$ and duality we get:

Theorem a) $E \in QS(R \oplus OH)$, $F \in QS(C \oplus OH)$, then there is a cb-embedding

$$E \otimes_h F \subset L_1(N) .$$

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Combining four terms

Theorem The space

$$D^{1/4}ND^{1/4} \cap D^{1/4}L_4^c \cap D^{1/4}L_4^r \cap L_2^{oh}$$

is completely complemented in an ultra product of

$$L_\infty(OH) .$$

Using $OH \subset L_1$ and duality we get:

Theorem a) $E \in QS(R \oplus OH)$, $F \in QS(C \oplus OH)$, then there is a cb-embedding

$$E \otimes_h F \subset L_1(N) .$$

b) Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$ and N a von Neumann algebra. Then for some M

$$L_p(N) \subset_{cb} L_q(M) .$$

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Comments

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Comments

Remark: (type *III* necessary) Pisier showed that OH does not embed in semifinite L_1 , neither does ℓ_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (recent).

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Comments

Remark: (type *III* necessary) Pisier showed that OH does not embed in semifinite L_1 , neither does ℓ_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (recent).

Remark: (transference) Free products can be avoided a posteriori:

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Comments

Remark: (type III necessary) Pisier showed that OH does not embed in semifinite L_1 , neither does ℓ_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (recent).

Remark: (transference) Free products can be avoided a posteriori: Let x_i be subsymmetric independent copies of x over B with mean 0.

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Remark: (type III necessary) Pisier showed that OH does not embed in semifinite L_1 , neither does ℓ_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (recent).

Remark: (transference) Free products can be avoided a posteriori: Let x_i be subsymmetric independent copies of x over B with mean 0. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|_1 \sim \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{\text{free}}(x_i) \right\|_1 .$$

Remark: (type III necessary) Pisier showed that OH does not embed in semifinite L_1 , neither does ℓ_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (recent).

Remark: (transference) Free products can be avoided a posteriori: Let x_i be subsymmetric independent copies of x over B with mean 0. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|_1 \sim \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{\text{free}}(x_i) \right\|_1 .$$

Voiculescu's inequality allows us to calculate the right hand side

Remark: (type III necessary) Pisier showed that OH does not embed in semifinite L_1 , neither does ℓ_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (recent).

Remark: (transference) Free products can be avoided a posteriori: Let x_i be subsymmetric independent copies of x over B with mean 0. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|_1 \sim \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{\text{free}}(x_i) \right\|_1 .$$

Voiculescu's inequality allows us to calculate the right hand side (misleading).

Remark: (type III necessary) Pisier showed that OH does not embed in semifinite L_1 , neither does ℓ_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (recent).

Remark: (transference) Free products can be avoided a posteriori: Let x_i be subsymmetric independent copies of x over B with mean 0. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|_1 \sim \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{\text{free}}(x_i) \right\|_1 .$$

Voiculescu's inequality allows us to calculate the right hand side (misleading). The embedding results can be reduced to norm estimates for independent copies

Remark: (type *III* necessary) Pisier showed that OH does not embed in semifinite L_1 , neither does ℓ_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (recent).

Remark: (transference) Free products can be avoided a posteriori: Let x_i be subsymmetric independent copies of x over B with mean 0. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|_1 \sim \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{\text{free}}(x_i) \right\|_1 .$$

Voiculescu's inequality allows us to calculate the right hand side (misleading). The embedding results can be reduced to norm estimates for independent copies (extra work).

Remark: (type *III* necessary) Pisier showed that OH does not embed in semifinite L_1 , neither does ℓ_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (recent).

Remark: (transference) Free products can be avoided a posteriori: Let x_i be subsymmetric independent copies of x over B with mean 0. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|_1 \sim \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{\text{free}}(x_i) \right\|_1 .$$

Voiculescu's inequality allows us to calculate the right hand side (misleading). The embedding results can be reduced to norm estimates for independent copies (extra work).

Comments II

Remark: Transference also allows to obtain weighted Khintchine inequalities for classical quasi free states

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Remark: Transference also allows to obtain weighted Khintchine inequalities for classical quasi free states

$$\left\| \sum_k a_k \otimes D^{1/2} v_k D^{1/2} \right\|_1 \sim \inf_{a_k = b_k + c_k} \left\| \left(\sum_k \mu_k b_k b_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1 + \left\| \left(\sum_k (1 - \mu_k) c_k^* c_k \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1$$

Remark: Transference also allows to obtain weighted Khintchine inequalities for classical quasi free states

$$\left\| \sum_k a_k \otimes D^{1/2} v_k D^{1/2} \right\|_1 \sim \inf_{a_k = b_k + c_k} \left\| \left(\sum_k \mu_k b_k b_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1 + \left\| \left(\sum_k (1 - \mu_k) c_k^* c_k \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1$$

where $\varphi(x) = \text{tr}(Dx)$ is the density of a quasi free state associated with μ , v_k the generators of the CAR.

Remark: Transference also allows to obtain weighted Khintchine inequalities for classical quasi free states

$$\left\| \sum_k a_k \otimes D^{1/2} v_k D^{1/2} \right\|_1 \sim \inf_{a_k = b_k + c_k} \left\| \left(\sum_k \mu_k b_k b_k^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1 + \left\| \left(\sum_k (1 - \mu_k) c_k^* c_k \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1$$

where $\varphi(x) = \text{tr}(Dx)$ is the density of a quasi free state associated with μ , v_k the generators of the CAR. Haagerup and Musat recently gave a more elementary proof.

Comments III

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Comments III

For the proof that ℓ_p can not embed into $L_1(N)$, N semifinite, we show that

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Comments III

For the proof that ℓ_p can not embed into $L_1(N)$, N semifinite, we show that

$$\ell_p \subset_{cb} L_1(N) \Rightarrow L_p([0, 1]) \subset L_1(\tilde{N})$$

Embedding theory

Marius Junge

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Comments III

For the proof that ℓ_p can not embed into $L_1(N)$, N semifinite, we show that

$$\ell_p \subset_{cb} L_1(N) \Rightarrow L_p([0, 1]) \subset L_1(\tilde{N})$$

such that N semifinite implies \tilde{N} semifinite using

Theorem (NC Rosenthal) $X \subset L_1(N)$ reflexive, then there exists $p > 1$, a state with density d , and $u : X \rightarrow L_p$ such that

$$x = d^{1-1/p} u(x) + u(x) d^{1-1/p} .$$

Comments III

For the proof that ℓ_p can not embed into $L_1(N)$, N semifinite, we show that

$$\ell_p \subset_{cb} L_1(N) \Rightarrow L_p([0, 1]) \subset L_1(\tilde{N})$$

such that N semifinite implies \tilde{N} semifinite using

Theorem (NC Rosenthal) $X \subset L_1(N)$ reflexive, then there exists $p > 1$, a state with density d , and $u : X \rightarrow L_p$ such that

$$x = d^{1-1/p} u(x) + u(x) d^{1-1/p}.$$

However, (Pisier/Xu) $C_p + R_p \subset L_p([0, 1])$ cannot embed in $L_1(N)$, N semifinite.

Comments III

For the proof that ℓ_p can not embed into $L_1(N)$, N semifinite, we show that

$$\ell_p \subset_{cb} L_1(N) \Rightarrow L_p([0, 1]) \subset L_1(\tilde{N})$$

such that N semifinite implies \tilde{N} semifinite using

Theorem (NC Rosenthal) $X \subset L_1(N)$ reflexive, then there exists $p > 1$, a state with density d , and $u : X \rightarrow L_p$ such that

$$x = d^{1-1/p} u(x) + u(x) d^{1-1/p}.$$

However, (Pisier/Xu) $C_p + R_p \subset L_p([0, 1])$ cannot embed in $L_1(N)$, N semifinite.

Thanks for coming and listening

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results

Comments III

For the proof that ℓ_p can not embed into $L_1(N)$, N semifinite, we show that

$$\ell_p \subset_{cb} L_1(N) \Rightarrow L_p([0, 1]) \subset L_1(\tilde{N})$$

such that N semifinite implies \tilde{N} semifinite using

Theorem (NC Rosenthal) $X \subset L_1(N)$ reflexive, then there exists $p > 1$, a state with density d , and $u : X \rightarrow L_p$ such that

$$x = d^{1-1/p} u(x) + u(x) d^{1-1/p}.$$

However, (Pisier/Xu) $C_p + R_p \subset L_p([0, 1])$ cannot embed in $L_1(N)$, N semifinite.

Thanks for coming and listening

Motivation

Weighted
inequalities

Pisier's exercise

New inequalities

Main results