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## Gauss first "proof" of the fundamental theorem of algebra

For any degree $n$ complex polynomial $f(z)$, consider the plane algebraic curves:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(f) & :=\{(x, y) \mid \operatorname{Re}(f(x+i y))=0\}, \quad \text { and } \\
I(f) & :=\{(x, y) \mid \operatorname{Im}(f(x+i y))=0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
Z(f)=R(f) \cap I(f)
$$
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For example, if $f(z)=z^{5}-z^{4}-2 z^{3}+2 z^{2}+z+1$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(f)=\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{5}-10 x^{3} y^{2}+5 x y^{4}-x^{4}+6 x^{2} y^{2}-y^{4}\right. \\
&\left.-2 x^{3}+6 x y^{2}+2 x^{2}-2 y^{2}+x+1=0\right\} \\
& I(f)=\left\{(x, y) \mid 5 x^{4} y-10 x^{2} y^{3}+y^{5}-4 x^{3} y+4 x y^{3}\right. \\
&\left.-6 x^{2} y+2 y^{3}+4 x y+y=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example



Figure 1: $f(z)=z^{5}-z^{4}-2 z^{3}+2 z^{2}+z+1$.
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$$
x^{2}+y^{2}=\rho^{2} \gg 0
$$

## Imaginary part



Figure 2: $5 x^{4} y-10 x^{2} y^{3}+y^{5}-4 x^{3} y+4 x y^{3}-6 x^{2} y+2 y^{3}+4 x y+y=0$.

## Imaginary part



Figure 2: $\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \rightarrow \infty$.

## Imaginary part



Figure $2: e^{5 \theta i}-1 \approx 0$.

## Imaginary part



Figure 2: $e^{5 \theta i}-1 \approx 0$.

## Basketball



Figure 3: A basketball.

## Basketball



Figure 4: Imaginary part of $f(z)=z^{3}+6 z^{2}+1$.

## Non singular Basketballs

Jeremy Martin, David Savitt, and Ted Singer:
"Harmonic Algebraic Curves and Noncrossing Partitions"
To appear in: Discrete and Computational Geometry. arXiv:math.CO/0511248


## Simple reduction

We assume that $f$ is of the form

$$
f(z)=z^{n}+c_{2} z^{n-2}+\ldots+c_{n}
$$

In other words, $f$ is monic with the average of its roots equal to 0 .

## Evident symmetries

Letting $\rho_{n}$ be the rotation of the plane by an angle of $\pi / n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(f\left(e^{-\pi i / n} z\right)\right. & =\rho_{n}(R(f(z))), \\
I\left(f\left(e^{-\pi i / 2 n} z\right)\right. & =\rho_{n}(I(f(z)))
\end{aligned}
$$

also

$$
R(\overline{f(\bar{z})})=\tau(R(f(z)))
$$

with $\tau$ being the reflection in the real axis.

## Reformulation

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(f) & =\{z \mid f(z)=i t, \text { with } t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \text { and } \\
I(f) & =\{z \mid f(z)=t, \text { with } t \in \mathbb{R}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(f) & =R(g), & \text { iff } & f(z)-g(z) \in i \mathbb{R} \\
I(f) & =I(g), & \text { iff } & f(z)-g(z) \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, $R(f)$ decomposes into $n$ branches $\rho_{i}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$
f(z)-i t=\left(z-\rho_{1}(t)\right)\left(z-\rho_{2}(t)\right) \cdots\left(z-\rho_{n}(t)\right)
$$

Let us call them the real branches. Likewise

$$
f(z)-t=\left(z-\iota_{1}(t)\right)\left(z-\iota_{2}(t)\right) \cdots\left(z-\iota_{n}(t)\right)
$$

## $f(z)-t i$, with $t$ going from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$



## Real/Imaginary Intersections

Observe that:

1) Each real branch intersects one "and only one" imaginary branch.
2) Multiple intersections occur only at singular points of the respective components, with agreeing multiplicities.


Figure 5: $f(z)=(z+2 q)(z-q)^{2}$, with $q=e^{i \theta}$.

## One branch



Figure 6: $\rho_{2}(t)$.

## One branch



Figure 6: $\rho_{2}(t)$ and half of $\rho_{1}(t)$.

No singularity


Figure 7: $\rho_{1}(t)$ and $\rho_{2}(t)$.

## With singularity



Figure 8: $\rho_{1}(t) \operatorname{crosses} \rho_{2}(t)$.

## The case $n=2$

The possible basketballs for $f(z)=z^{2}-(a+b i)$, are readily classified as follows:


Figure 9: $f(z)=z^{2}-(a+b i)$

## The generic (non singular) case



Figure 10: $f(z)=z^{5}-e^{\pi i / 5} z+1$

## The generic (non singular) case



Noncrossing Bimatching:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
3 & 2
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 4 \\
7 & 6
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
17 & 8 \\
19 & 18
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
9 & 10 \\
11 & 12
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
13 & 15 \\
14 & 16
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## A Result of Martin, Savitt and Singer

Defining non singular n-basketballs as pairs of noncrossing matchings, such that each edge of the first crosses one and only one edge from the second, then

Theorem (MSS-2005). The non singular n-basketball configurations number

$$
\frac{1}{3 n+1}\binom{4 n}{n}
$$

and each such configuration is realizable as a pair $(R(f), I(f))$, for some polynomial $f(z)$.

## Singular Basketballs

## Possible shapes for the real and imaginary parts

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(i f\left(e^{-\pi i / 2 n} z\right)\right. & =\rho_{2 n}(I(f)) \\
I\left(i f\left(e^{-\pi i / 2 n} z\right)\right. & =\rho_{2 n}(R(f))
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, we may exchange the role of the real and imaginary parts by a rotation of $\pi / 2 n$.

Non singular shapes for the real (or imaginary) part are noncrossing matchings. Recall that they number

$$
\frac{1}{2 n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}
$$

$$
f(z)=z^{3}-3 z
$$



## Critical values

If $f^{\prime}(w)=0$, it is said that $f(w)$ is a critical value for $f(z)$. It this case, $f(z)-f(w)$ has a multiple root.
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## Critical values

The passeport of a polynomial is the sequence of partitions of $n$ giving the multiplicities of the roots of $f(z)-f(w)$; one partition for each critical value. This notion appears in the study of Hurwitz problem.

This has given rise to many recent (and less recent) studies. For a very nice description of many aspects of these questions, see

> Graphs on Surfaces and Their Applications, S.K. Lando and A.K. Zvonkin

Part of the originality of the basketball approach lies in the study of the disposition of critical values, as well as giving a combinatorial description of how they relate.

## Critical values



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Con= } \\
& \text { Coses) } \\
& \text { Cos= } \\
& \text { Coses) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rigid classification of generic polynomials

On the space of degree $n$ complex polynomials consider the LL-mapping (Lyaschko-Looinjenga):

$$
L L: f(z) \mapsto \operatorname{Disc}_{z}(f(z)-t)
$$

normalized to be monic in $t$.
For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Disc}_{z}\left(z^{4}+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z+a_{4}-t\right) & =t^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{2}^{2}-6 a_{4}\right) t^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{16}\left(a_{2}^{4}+9 a_{2} a_{3}^{2}-16 a_{2}^{2} a_{4}+48 a_{4}^{2}\right) t \\
& -\frac{1}{256}\left(16 a_{2}^{4} a_{4}-4 a_{2}^{3} a_{3}^{2}-128 a_{2}^{2} a_{4}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+144 a_{2} a_{4} a_{3}^{2}-27 a_{3}^{4}+256 a_{4}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## An Enumeration Result

Theorem (Lyashko-Looijenga, 1974). The LL-mapping is of degree (number of preimages of a generic point)

$$
n^{n-2} .
$$

In other words, taking $n=4$, the system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{1}= & a_{2}^{2}-6 a_{4} \\
e_{2}= & a_{2}^{4}+9 a_{2} a_{3}^{2} 16 a_{2}^{2} a_{4}+48 a_{4}^{2} \\
e_{3}= & 16 a_{2}^{4} a_{4}-4 a_{2}^{3} a_{3}^{2}-128 a_{2}^{2} a_{4}^{2} \\
& \quad+144 a_{2} a_{4} a_{3}^{2}-27 a_{3}^{4}+256 a_{4}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

has 16 solutions ( $a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ ), for generic values of $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{3}$.

## Other Results in that direction

(1) A proof (not the simplest) of Cayley formula for the number of labelled trees (Looijenga,1974).
(2) A theorem of Lando-Zvonkine (1999) gives the degree of the LL-mapping restricted to the stratum consisting of polynomials with a given passeport.
(3) The enumerative content of (2) is equivalent to the Goulden-Jackson (1992) enumerative formula for "cacti".
(4) Formulas for the number of ramified coverings of the sphere by the torus. Formulas for Hurwitz numbers and Hodge integrals, etc.

## Singularity locus

$R(f)$ is singular if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Disc}_{z}(f(z)-i t)=0
$$

for some real value $t$. Similarly, $I(f(z))$ is singular if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Disc}_{z}(f(z)-t)=0,
$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
We want to classify the possible "shapes" for these singular situations. We will then construct basketballs by combining "compatible" shapes. Observe that

$$
I\left(f^{2}\right)=R(f) \cup I(f),
$$

so that there is a link between properties of the shapes, and these compatibility conditions.

## Even internal degree plane trees



## Enumeration, including degree sequence indicator

Let

$$
x \mathcal{A}(x, \mathbf{d}):=\sum_{n, \lambda} a_{n, \lambda} \mathbf{d}_{\lambda} x^{2 n}
$$

where $a_{n, \lambda}$ is the number of plane trees with $2 n$ leaves on a circumscribed circle ( $\mathcal{A}$-trees), and internal vertex of degree sequence $\lambda=\left(2 \lambda_{1}, \ldots, 2 \lambda_{k}\right)$. Then we have the functionnal equation

$$
\mathcal{A}=x+\sum_{k \geq 2} d_{2 k} \mathcal{A}^{2 k-1}
$$

Or simply

$$
\mathcal{A}=x+\frac{\mathcal{A}^{3}}{1-\mathcal{A}^{2}}
$$

if we set all $d_{k}=1$.

## Enumeration, including degree sequence indicator

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \mathcal{A}(x, \mathbf{z})=x^{2}+ & d_{4} x^{4}+\left(3 d_{4}^{2}+d_{6}\right) x^{6}+\left(12 d_{4}^{3}+8 d_{6} d_{4}+d_{8}\right) x^{8} \\
& +\left(55 d_{4}^{4}+55 d_{6} d_{4}^{2}+10 d_{8} d_{4}+5 d_{6}^{2}+d_{10}\right) x^{10}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

And specializing the $d_{k}$ parameters to $t$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \mathcal{A}(x ; t)=x^{2} & +t x^{4}+\left(3 t^{2}+t\right) x^{6}+\left(12 t^{3}+8 t^{2}+t\right) x^{8} \\
& +\left(55 t^{4}+55 t^{3}+15 t^{2}+t\right) x^{10} \\
& +\left(273 t^{5}+364 t^{4}+156 t^{3}+24 t^{2}+t\right) x^{12}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\ldots+\left(3 d_{4}^{2}+d_{6}\right) x^{6}+\ldots
$$



## Noncrossing partitions with even part

 size

## Noncrossing partitions, with even part sizes

They number:

$$
1,1,3,12,48, \ldots
$$

and taking into account the size of the parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1, \pi_{2}, \quad\left(2 \pi_{2}^{2}+\pi_{4}\right),\left(5 \pi_{2}^{3}+6 \pi_{2} \pi_{4}+\pi_{6}\right) \\
& \quad\left(14 \pi_{2}^{4}+24 \pi_{2}^{2} \pi_{4}+3 \pi_{4}^{2}+5 \pi_{2} \pi_{6}+\pi_{8}\right), \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The forests of $\mathcal{A}$-trees are obtained by choosing a noncrossing partition, with even part sizes, and then choosing an $\mathcal{A}$-tree of corresponding size for each part.

## Some results

Proposition (1). The shape of $R(f)$ is a tree, if and only if all critical values of $f(z)$ share the same real part.

Proposition (2). In general, the shape of $R(f)$ is a forest of noncrossing $\mathcal{A}$-trees.

Proposition (3). The possible shapes of $(R(f), I(f))$, for degree $n$ polynomials, is classified by the set of basketballs. These are the set of "compatible" pairs of forests of $\mathcal{A}$-trees.

Two forests of $\mathcal{A}$-trees are said to be compatible, if their union is a forest of $\mathcal{A}$-trees.

## Trois racines



Close to the singular locus


## Rational Fraction



## Meromorphic Case



