

COMBINATORIAL DECOMPOSABLE STRUCTURES WITH RESTRICTED PATTERN

Li Dong

School of Mathematics and Statistics

Carleton University

ldong@math.carleton.ca

INTRODUCTION

Cycle index for permutations

It is well known that a permutation can be decomposed into combination of cycles. We are interested in the number of permutations with cycles of given length.

Let $\mathbf{a} = a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$ be a given sequence of nonnegative integers for which $n = a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + \dots$ is finite. How many permutations of n letters have exactly a_1 cycles of length 1, exactly a_2 cycles of length 2, and so on? In this case, \mathbf{a} is called the *pattern*.

Let $C(\mathbf{a})$ denote the number of permutations with pattern \mathbf{a} , and write

$$F_n(x) = \sum_{a_1+2a_2+\dots=n} C(\mathbf{a}) x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots$$

Then, $F_n(x)$ is called the **cycle index of the permutations**.

We are interested in

A. Other Decomposable Object

We are interested in generalizations of the cycle index to other decomposable structures: polynomials over finite fields, 2-regular graphs, random mappings, etc.

B. Restricted Patterns

A natural generalization of the cycle index is when we have partial information on the pattern. For example, how many permutations on n letters are there with 5 cycles of length 2 and 3 cycles of length 7?

In general, given a restricted pattern for a decomposable combinatorial object, how many objects are there with that pattern?

Furthermore, we can also study objects with restricted pattern and r th smallest or largest components. The smallest components case has been studied by Panario and Richmond; the largest case was studied by Gourdon.

COMBINATORIAL OBJECTS

Definitions and Examples

A combinatorial *object* decomposes into *components*; each component has a *size*. A permutation decomposes into cycles; the size of the cycles is its length. A polynomial over a finite field decomposes into irreducible factors; the size of an irreducible factor is its degree. A 2-regular graph decomposes into connected components; the size of a connected component is the number of vertices, and so on.

Let $C(z) = \sum_{i \geq 1} c_i z^i$ denote the generating function of components, that is, c_n , the coefficient of z^n is the number of distinct components of size n . Let $U(z)$ denote the generating function of unlabelled objects, and $L(z)$ denote the generating function of labelled ones.

RESTRICTED PATTERNS

Labelled Case

Theorem 1. Let α_i denote the number of components whose size is i and let $S = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_j}\}$ be a given restricted pattern. The exponential generating function for labelled objects of size n having restricted pattern S is

$$L_S(z) = e^{C(z) - \sum_{l=1}^j C_{i_l} \frac{z^{i_l}}{i_l!}} \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{C_{i_l}^{\alpha_{i_l}} z^{i_l \alpha_{i_l}}}{(i_l!)^{\alpha_{i_l}} \alpha_{i_l}!}.$$

Example

For the labelled case, the simplest example comes from permutations viewed as a product of cycles. The generating functions of permutations is well known to be

$$C(z) = \ln \frac{1}{1-z} = z + \frac{z^2}{2!} + 2! \frac{z^3}{3!} + 3! \frac{z^4}{4!} + \dots$$

Let us consider the pattern $\alpha_2 = 2$ and $\alpha_4 = 1$. So, from theorem 1, the generating function is

$$\left(1 + \left(z + 2 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots \right) + \frac{\left(z + 2 \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots \right)^2}{2!} + \dots \right) \cdot \frac{1^2 z^4}{2^2 2!} \cdot \frac{6 z^4}{4!}$$

If we ask for the number of different decomposition of permutations of size 11 with 2 cycle of length 2 and 1 cycle of length 4, we have

$$\left[\frac{z^{11}}{11!} \right] L(z) = 11! \frac{1}{64} = 623700$$

Unlabelled Case

Theorem 2. Let $S = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_j}\}$ be given. The generating function for unlabelled objects of size n having restricted pattern S is

$$U_s(z) = \left(\prod_{l=1}^j (1 - z^{i_l})^{C_{i_l}} \binom{C_{i_l} + \alpha_{i_l} - 1}{\alpha_{i_l}} z^{i_l \alpha_{i_l}} \right) \exp \left(C(z) + \frac{C(z^2)}{2} + \dots \right).$$

Example

The typical unlabelled structure is polynomials over finite fields. Consider the binary field \mathbb{F}_2 and assume $n = 6$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$, that is, we look for the number of polynomials of degrees 6 over \mathbb{F}_2 with exactly one irreducible factor of degree 2. The generating functions of irreducible polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 is well known to be

$$C(z) = 2z + z^2 + 2z^3 + 3z^4 + \dots$$

From Theorem 2, we have

$$U(z) = (z^2 + 2z^3 + 3z^4 + 6z^5 + 12z^6 + \dots)$$

Hence, $[z^6]U(z) = 12$. Namely there are 12 polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 of degree 6 with exactly one irreducible factor of degree 2.

EXTENSION OF RESTRICTED PATTERNS

For a more general case, we want to know the number of objects with a restricted pattern and such that the size of r th smallest component is bigger than or equal to m . For here, we only discuss the case of the smallest component.

We consider the generating function of components in the part $r_m(z)$.

In the unlabelled case, $r_m(z) = \sum_{k \geq m} C_k z^k$.

In the labelled case, $r_m(z) = \sum_{k \geq m} C_k \frac{z^k}{k!}$.

For later discussion, let S_n be a random variable indicating the size of the smallest component of an object of size n .

Moreover, we take $I_l = I(\alpha_{i_l} = 0) = 1$ if $\alpha_{i_l} = 0$ and 0 otherwise.

Unlabelled Case

Theorem 3. Given a restricted pattern $S = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_j}\}$ and $\alpha_{i_1} \leq m$. Let $U_m(z)$ be the ordinary generating function for unlabelled objects of size n having pattern S and $S_n \geq m$, $1 \leq m \leq n$.

We have

$$U_m(z) = \left(\prod_{l=1}^j (1 - z^{i_l})^{C_{i_l}} \binom{C_{i_l} + \alpha_{i_l} - 1}{\alpha_{i_l}} z^{i_l \alpha_{i_l}} \right) \left(\exp \left(r_m(z) + \frac{r_m(z^2)}{2} + \dots \right) - \prod_{l=1}^j I_l \right)$$

Labelled Case

Theorem 4. Given a restricted pattern $S = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_j}\}$ and $\alpha_{i_1} \leq m$. Let $L_m(z)$ be the exponential generating function for labelled objects of size n having $S_n \geq m$, $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then we have

$$L_m(z) = \left(\exp \left(r_m(z) - \sum_{l=1}^j C_{i_l} \frac{z^{i_l}}{i_l!} \right) - \prod_{l=1}^j I_l \right) \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{\left(C_{i_l} \frac{z^{i_l}}{i_l!} \right)^{\alpha_{i_l}}}{(\alpha_{i_l})!}$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (sketch).

It is well known the generating function of a labelled object without pattern is

$$L(z) = \exp(C(z)).$$

Given a pattern fixing the number of components of some sizes, we first remove those sizes from the original generating function $C(z)$. It is clear that the generating function of these pieces is $\sum_{l=1}^j C_{i_l} \frac{z^{i_l}}{i_l!}$.

Then we need to consider the possible different combination of these components which are given by the pattern, that is, $\prod_{l=1}^j \frac{C_{i_l}^{\alpha_{i_l}} z^{i_l \alpha_{i_l}}}{(i_l!)^{\alpha_{i_l}} \alpha_{i_l}!}$.

Then we combine them to get the generating function for the objects with the given pattern. □

ASYMPTOTICS

The Exp-Log Class

We focus on the exp-log class introduced by Flajolet and Soria.

We say that $C(z)$ is of logarithmic type with multiplicity constant $a > 0$ if

$$C(z) = a \log \left(\frac{1}{1 - z/\rho} \right) + R(z)$$

where $R(z)$ is analytic in certain region. We say that $e^{C(z)}$ is in the exp – log class if $C(z)$ is of logarithmic type.

Labelled examples in exp-log class are permutations, 2-regular graphs, permutations without cycles of certain length, children's yard, etc.

Unlabelled examples are polynomials over finite fields, random mapping patterns, squarefree polynomials, arithmetical groups, etc.

Let's consider a decomposable structure in the exp-log class with restricted pattern. Assume we have a pattern $S = \alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_j}$, where α_{i_j} denotes the number of components of size i_j .

Let $P(S_n)$ be the probability that a decomposable object of size n has pattern S .

Theorem 5.

With a finite pattern S , we have

$$P(S_n) = m^{a-1} R \exp \left(- \sum_{l=1}^q C_{i_l} \frac{\rho^{i_l}}{i_l!} \right)$$

where $m = \frac{n+1-\sum_{l=1}^j i_l \alpha_{i_l}}{n}$, $R = \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{C_{i_l}^{\alpha_{i_l}} \rho^{i_l \alpha_{i_l}}}{(i_l!)^{\alpha_{i_l}} \alpha_{i_l}!}$ and ρ is the singularity of $C(z)$.

Remark. We can expand the pattern to some infinite case, but not all.

CONCLUSION

- **What has been done?**

1. Generating functions for labelled and unlabelled cases of decomposable structures with restricted patterns.
2. Generating functions for a further developed case, which requires r th smallest (or largest) component bigger than or equal to m .

- **Future work**

1. Expand asymptotics of exp-log class from finite pattern case to the infinite pattern case.
2. Obtain asymptotics of exp-log class with restricted pattern such that r th smallest (or largest) component bigger (or smaller) than or equal to m .

- **Problems might be involved**

Approaching by typical singularity analysis, infinite pattern, especially the infinite pattern with infinite components of infinite sizes might bring up infinite amount of difficulties for asymptotics.

Workshops, Ottawa, May 12-16

http://www.fields.utoronto.ca/programs/scientific/05-06/discrete_math/
http://www.fields.utoronto.ca/programs/scientific/05-06/covering_arrays/

- Ottawa-Carleton
DISCRETE MATH DAY
- May 12-13 (Friday-Saturday)
- Plenary Speakers:
Bill Cook, Anthony Evans, Jonathan
Jedwab, Pierre Leroux, Kieka
Mynhardt

- Workshop on
COVERING ARRAYS
- May 14-16 (Sunday-Tuesday)
- Plenary Speakers:
Rick Brewster, Charlie Colbourn,
Peter Gibbons, Alan Hartman, Brett
Stevens, Doug Stinson.

DEADLINE APRIL 26

- Student financial support
to travel to Ottawa
- Submission of abstracts
for contributed talks



EXTENSION OF RESTRICTED PATTERNS

For a more general case, we want to know the number of objects with a restricted pattern and such that the size of r th smallest component is bigger than or equal to m .

We consider the generating function of components in two parts $r_m(z)$ and $s_m(z)$. In the unlabelled case, $r_m(z) = \sum_{k \geq m} C_k z^k$, and $s_m(z) = \sum_{k < m} C_k z^k$. In the labelled case, $r_m(z) = \sum_{k \geq m} C_k \frac{z^k}{k!}$, and $s_m(z) = \sum_{k < m} C_k \frac{z^k}{k!}$.

For later discussion, let $S_n^{[r]}$ be a random variable indicating the size of the r th smallest component of an object of size n .

Moreover, we take $I(i_l < m) = 1$ if $i_l < m$ and 0 otherwise, and $I(\alpha_{i_l} = 0) = 1$ if $\alpha_{i_l} = 0$ and 0 otherwise.

Unlabelled Case

Theorem 3. Given a restricted given pattern S , let $U_m^{[r]}(z)$ be the ordinary generating function for unlabelled objects of size n having pattern S and $S_n^{[r]} \geq m$, $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Let

$$I_l = I(i_l < m) + I(\alpha_{i_l} = 0) - I(i_l < m)I(\alpha_{i_l} = 0).$$

Let $f(z, u)$ be the function

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\prod_{l=1}^j (1 - u^{I_{i_l} < m} z^{i_l})^{C_{i_l}} \binom{C_{i_l} + \alpha_{i_l} - 1}{\alpha_{i_l}} z^{i_l \alpha_{i_l}} u^{\alpha_{i_l} I_{i_l} < m} \right) \\ &\quad \left(\exp \left(r_m(z) + \frac{r_m(z^2)}{2} + \cdots \right) - \prod_{l=1}^j I_l \right) \\ &\quad \exp \left(u s_m(z) + u^2 \frac{s_m(z^2)}{2} + \cdots \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f^{[j]}(z, u)$ be the coefficient of u^j in the function $f(z, u)$. Then we have

$$U_m^{[r]}(z) = f^{[0]}(z, u) + f^{[1]}(z, u) + \cdots + f^{[r-1]}(z, u).$$

Labelled Case

Theorem 4. Let S be a given restricted pattern and let $L_m^{[r]}(z)$ be the exponential generating function for labelled objects of size n having $S_n^{[r]} \geq m$, $1 \leq m \leq n$. Let $f(z, u)$ be the function

$$f(z, u) = \exp \left(us_m(z) - \sum_{l=1}^j u I(i_l < m) C_{i_l} \frac{z^{i_l}}{i_l!} \right) \left(\exp \left(r_m(z) - \sum_{l=1}^j I(i_l < m) C_{i_l} \frac{z^{i_l}}{i_l!} \right) - \prod_{l=1}^j I_l \right) \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{\left(u^{I_{i_l < m}} C_{i_l} \frac{z^{i_l}}{i_l!} \right)^{\alpha_{i_l}}}{(\alpha_{i_l})!}$$

Then we have

$$L_m^{[r]}(z) = f^{[0]}(z, u) + f^{[1]}(z, u) + \cdots + f^{[r-1]}(z, u)$$