

# AdS Solutions and Some Deformations

Jerome Gauntlett

## Introduction

Much progress has been made in classifying supersymmetric solutions of supergravity theories.

Want:

1. A precise characterisation of solutions to the equations of motion admitting Killing spinors
2. Explicit solutions where possible.

Key Tool:  $G$ -Structures

Gauntlett, Martelli, Pakis, Waldram

Gauntlett, Pakis

Gauntlett, Gutowski, Hull, Pakis, Reall

....

Can apply the programme in 3 broad ways:

1. Classify the most general supergravity solutions in  $D=10/11$  supergravity

2. Lower-Dimensional Supergravities

Can be much more explicit in  $D = 4, 5, 6, 7$

Black rings, Gödel, ...

Black hole uniqueness theorems

3. Special classes of Solutions

Compactifications to  $M_4$  with flux

Compactifications to  $AdS_n$ .

## PLAN:

1. Classification of  $D = 11$   $AdS_5$  solutions

Gauntlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram

2. Construction of Sasaki-Einstein metrics in  $D = 5$  and  $D = 7$

Gauntlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram

3. Deformations of  $AdS_4$  solutions in  $D = 11$ .

Gauntlett, Lee, Mateos, Waldram

## Classifying $AdS_5$ solutions in $D = 11$

Until recently, surprisingly few explicit  $AdS_5$  solutions:

Type IIB:

$$ds^2 = AdS_5 \times Y_5$$

$$F_5 = Vol(AdS_5) + Vol(Y_5)$$

where  $Y_5$  is Sasaki-Einstein

Arise from D3-branes at the apex of Calabi-Yau three-fold cones:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 ds^2(Y_5)$$

Two explicit examples:  $S^5$  and  $T^{1,1}$  - both homogeneous, field theories known.

$D = 11$ :

$N = 1$  and  $2$  examples of Maldacena and Nunez. Field theories obscure.

We have classified the most general  $AdS_5$  solutions of  $D = 11$ :

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= e^{2\lambda(x)} [ds^2(AdS_5) + ds^2(M_6)(x)] \\ G_4 &= G_4(x) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $G$  is a 4-form on  $M_6$ . Ansatz preserves symmetries of  $AdS_5$ .

### Explicit Solutions

Assume that  $M_6$  is complex. Then can explicitly construct all compact regular solutions by solving ODEs.

★ Topology:  $S^2 \rightarrow M_6 \rightarrow B_4$

★ Metric for  $M_6$ : completely explicit given metric on  $B_4$  which can be in one of two classes:

(a)  $B_4$  is Kähler-Einstein with positive scalar curvature (Kähler and  $R_{ij} = \lambda g_{ij}$  with  $\lambda > 0$ ). These have been classified by Tian and Yau:  
explicit:  $S^2 \times S^2, CP^2$   
implicit: del Pezzo  $P_k$   $k = 3, \dots, 8$  ( $CP^2$  blown up at  $k$  points).

(b)  $B_4$  is a product.

All explicit:  $S^2 \times S^2, S^2 \times H^2, S^2 \times T^2$

A special case of the  $S^2$  bundle over  $S^2 \times H^2$  case gives the  $N = 1$  Maldacena Nunez solution.

Nice

★ What is dual conformal field theory? Something to do with M5-branes.

★ Where is the Maldacena  $N = 2$  solution?

Consider D=11 solution with  $S^2 \times T^2$  base:

Dimensional reduction on one of the  $S^1$ s of  $T^2$  and then T-dualise on the other  $S^1 \rightarrow$  type IIB solution:

$$AdS_5 \times X_5$$
$$F_5 \sim Vol(AdS_5) + Vol(X_5)$$

$\Rightarrow X_5$  must be Sasaki-Einstein, at least locally. In fact gives an infinite number of new explicit Sasaki-Einstein metrics on  $S^2 \times S^3$ !

Are all  $S^1$  bundles over  $S^2 \times S^2$  (like  $T^{1,1}$ ), called  $Y^{p,q}$  with integers  $p > q$



## Sasaki-Einstein

A SE  $X_5$  is equivalent to the cone

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 ds^2(X_5)$$

being  $CY_3$ .

There is a canonical Killing vector:

$$(\partial_\psi)^j = r(\partial_r)^i J_i^j$$

This corresponds to the “U(1)” R-symmetry of the D=4 SCFT.

\*Locally\*, metric can be written

$$ds^2(X_5) = (d\psi + \sigma) + ds^2(B_4)$$

where  $B_4$  is Kähler-Einstein and  $d\sigma = 2J_4$

Three possibilities:

## 1. Regular SE:

Have a  $U(1)$  R-symmetry and it is free.

$B_4$  is globally defined and hence can classify using Tian and Yau:

Explicit:

$$B_4 = CP^2 \rightarrow S^5$$

$$B_4 = S^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow T^{1,1}$$

Implicit:  $B_4 = P_k$  del Pezzo  $k = 3, \dots, 8$ .

## 2. Quasi regular SE:

$U(1)$  R-symmetry with finite isotropy groups.

$B_4$  is an orbifold.

## 3. Irregular SE:

Have a non-compact  $\mathbb{R}$  R-symmetry.

$B_4$  is not a manifold.

The  $Y^{p,q}$  metrics obtained from  $D = 11$  provide the first explicit examples in the quasi-regular class, and the very first examples in the irregular class!

★ Isometry group  $\sim SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$

★ Topology:  $S^2 \times S^3$  just as for  $T^{1,1}$

Can generalise and construct new Sasaki-Einstein metrics in any odd dimension. Return to  $D = 7$  case later.

## Predictions for dual SCFTs

★ Symmetries:  $SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)_B$

★ Central charges:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a(Y^{p,q})}{a(S^5)} &= Vol(S^5)/Vol(Y^{p,q}) \\ &= \frac{3p^2[3q^2 - 2p^2 + p(4p^2 - 3q^2)^{1/2}]}{q^2[2p + (4p^2 - 3q^2)^{1/2}]} \end{aligned}$$

★ Baryons arise from D3-branes wrapped on supersymmetric 3-cycles [Martelli, Sparks; Herzog, Ejaz, Klebanov]. R-charges of baryons:

$$R \propto \frac{Vol(\Sigma_i)}{Vol(Y^{p,q})} = \dots$$

## Dual field theory

Quiver gauge theory plus superpotential is now known [Benvenuti, Franco, Hanany, Martelli, Sparks]

Using the procedure of  $a$ -maximisation [Intriligator, Wecht] can determine the central charge  $a$  and the  $R$ -charges of the baryons. Find exact agreement with that predicted from the geometry.

Now have an infinite number of AdS/CFT examples where both the geometry and the field theory are known. Further generalisations are being pursued.

## Deformations of $AdS_4$ solutions in $D = 11$

Supersymmetric conformal field theories can have exactly marginal deformations. Basic reason: beta functions depend on gamma functions.

e.g.  $N = 4$  SYM has three complex such deformations. One of them, the  $\beta$ -deformation, preserves  $U(1) \times U(1)$  and also exists in other CFTs with a  $U(1) \times U(1)$  symmetry such as  $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$  and  $AdS_5 \times Y^{p,q}$ .

If we know the dual AdS solution, can we find the corresponding deformed solution?

**Lunin and Maldacena:** found a very clever way of generating  $\beta$ -deformations.

**Idea:**

★ Consider  $AdS_5 \times X_5$  where  $X_5$  has  $U(1) \times U(1)$  isometry.

★ This is a solution of D=8 SUGRA which has  $Sl(2, R) \times Sl(3, R)$  duality symmetry. The  $Sl(2, R)$  acts on  $\tau = B_{12} + i\sqrt{G(T^2)}$ .

★ The action

$$\tau \rightarrow \frac{\tau}{1 + \gamma\tau}$$

generates a new **regular** solution which uplifts to a new  $AdS_5$  solution with additional fluxes.

★ If  $U(1) \times U(1)$  isometry commutes with susy (i.e. commutes with  $U(1)_R$ ) then the deformed solution preserves susy.

Applied to  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ,  $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$  and  $AdS_5 \times Y^{p,q}$ .

(Can also consider breaking susy and also deformations of non-conformal theories).

Can be generalised to  $AdS$  solutions of  $D=11$  that have a  $U(1)^3$  action on compact space. The important  $Sl(2, R)$  action of the  $D = 8$  SUGRA is now acting on  $\tau = C_{123} + i\sqrt{G(T^3)}$ .

Lunin and Maldacena applied this to  $AdS_4 \times S^7$ . We have generalised:

Consider the supersymmetric solutions of  $D=11$ :

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= AdS_4 \times H_7 \\ F_4 &\propto Vol_4 \end{aligned}$$

Dual to field theories on M2-branes sitting at the apex of special holonomy cones:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2(ds^2(H_7))$$

$Spin(7) \leftrightarrow H_7 \text{ is Weak } G_2 \leftrightarrow N=1 \text{ susy}$

$CY_8 \leftrightarrow H_7 \text{ is Sasaki-Einstein} \leftrightarrow N=2 \text{ susy}$

Hyper-Kähler  $\leftrightarrow H_7 \text{ is Tri-Sasaki} \leftrightarrow N=3 \text{ susy}$



To find supersymmetric deformed solutions using **Lunin and Maldacena** need examples with a  $U(1)^3$  isometry that preserve some supersymmetry.

### ★ Homogeneous examples

Weak  $G_2$ :

$N(k,l) = SU(3)/U(1)$

Squashed 7-sphere

Sasaki-Einstein:

$Q(1,1,1)$  -  $S^1$  bundle over  $S^2 \times S^2 \times S^2$

$M(3,2)$  -  $S^1$  bundle over  $CP^2 \times S^2$

tri-Sasaki:

$N(1,1)$

### ★ Inhomogeneous Examples

Our construction of D=5 SE  $Y^{p,q}$  can be generalised to all odd dimensions. For D=7 we find infinite new families of cohomogeneity one Sasaki-Einstein manifolds that generalise  $M(3,2)$  and  $Q(1,1,1)$ .

## Deformed solutions:

### Tri-Sasaki:

$$N(1, 1)$$

Has an exactly marginal deformation that breaks  
 $N = 3 \rightarrow N = 1$

### Sasaki-Einstein:

$M(3, 2)$ ,  $Q(1, 1, 1)$  and co-homogeneity one  
generalisations:

All have exactly marginal deformations that  
preserve  $N = 2$  susy

### Weak $G_2$ :

$N(k, l) = SU(3)/U(1)$  and squashed 7-sphere

Both have exactly marginal deformations that  
maintain  $N = 1$  susy

## Field Theory

For  $AdS_5 \times X_5$  solutions of type IIB with  $U(1) \times U(1)$  isometry, [Lunin and Maldacena](#) argued (using string field theory) that the dual version of the deformed geometries are obtained by adding some phases  $e^{i\pi\gamma}$  into the Lagrangian.

In some cases this leads to a modified superpotential.

$AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$  [Klebanov, Witten]

$SU(2)^2 \times U(1)_R$  global symmetry

$SU(N)^2$  quiver gauge theory

$A_i$  in  $(2,1)$  and  $(N, \bar{N})$

$B_i$  in  $(1,2)$  and  $(\bar{N}, N)$

$$W = \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{kl} \text{Tr}(A_i B_k A_k B_l)$$

Chiral primaries:

$\text{Tr}(A_{i_1} B_{j_1} \dots A_{i_k} B_{j_k})$  symmetrised over  $SU(2)$  indices, i.e. in  $(k+1, k+1)$ , and  $\Delta = 3k/2$

$\gamma$ -deformation: Lunin and Maldacena

$$W \rightarrow \text{Tr}(e^{i\pi\gamma} A_+ B_+ A_- B_- - e^{-i\pi\gamma} A_- B_+ A_+ B_-)$$

For small  $\gamma$ :

$$\Delta W \propto \text{Tr}(A_+ B_+ A_- B_- + A_- B_+ A_+ B_-)$$

Unique  $\Delta = 3$  chiral primary which breaks  $SU(2)^2 \rightarrow U(1) \times U(1)$ .

For the  $AdS_4 \times H_7$  solutions of  $D=11$  we know much less about the field theories living on the M2-branes which are strongly coupled gauge theories in the IR.

Nevertheless we have some understanding of the  $Q(1,1,1)$ ,  $M(3,2)$  [Fabbri, Fre, Gualtieri, Reina, Tomasiello, Zaffaroni, Zampa] and  $N(1,1)$  [Billo, Fabbri, Fre, Merlatti, Zaffaroni] cases.

Chiral spectrum agreeing with Kaluza-Klein modes.

Supersymmetric 5-cycles agreeing with baryons (we did the  $N(1,1)$  case).

In addition we can identify  $\gamma$ -deformation, for small  $\gamma$  by finding the unique superpotential that is:

Chiral with  $\Delta = 2$

Preserves  $U(1)^3$  global symmetry.

$AdS_4 \times Q(1, 1, 1)$

$SU(2)^3 \times U(1)_R$  global symmetry

$SU(N)^3$  quiver gauge theory

$A_i$  in  $(2, 1, 1)$  and  $(N, \bar{N}, 1)$

$B_i$  in  $(1, 2, 1)$  and  $(1, N, \bar{N})$

$C_i$  in  $(1, 1, 2)$  and  $(\bar{N}, 1, N)$

No superpotential!

Chiral primaries:

$Tr(ABC)^k$  symmetrised over all  $SU(2)$  indices, i.e. in  $(k+1, k+1, k+1)$ , and  $\Delta = k$

Note here we must *assume* that other  $SU(2)$  reps decouple in the IR.

For small  $\gamma$ , what is superpotential deformation?

$Tr(ABC)^2$  has  $\Delta = 2$  and is in  $(3, 3, 3)$  rep, which has an element that preserves  $U(1)^3$ .  
Unique.

Analogous story for  $M(3, 2)$  and  $N(1, 1)$  case.

$$AdS_4 \times M(3, 2)$$

$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$  global symmetry

$SU(N)^2$  quiver gauge theory

$U^i$  in (3,1) and  
 $V^A$  in (1,2) and

No superpotential.

Chiral primaries:

$Tr(U^3 V^2)^k$  symmetrised over all  $SU(3) \times SU(2)$   
indices,  $\Delta = 2k$

Again we must *assume* that other  $SU(3) \times SU(2)$  reps decouple in the IR.

For small  $\gamma$ , what is superpotential deformation?

$Tr(U^3 V^2)$  has  $\Delta = 2$  and is in (10,3) rep,  
which has an element that preserves  $U(1)^3$ .  
Unique.

## Conclusions

Classifying SUGRA solutions is a profitable endeavour. More to do on  $AdS$  side:

- ★ Gravity duals for more general deformations for e.g.  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ?
- ★ Field theories for  $AdS_4 \times Y_{SE}$  solutions of  $D=11$  with  $Y_{SE}$  generalising  $Q(1,1,1)$  and  $M(3,2)$ . Check consistency with deformations.
- ★ Field theories for  $N = 1$   $AdS_4$  solutions of  $D = 11$  e.g.  $AdS_4 \times H_7$  when  $H_7$  is weak  $G_2$ .
- ★ Could classify  $AdS_n$  for other  $n$  in type IIB/ $D=11$ .
- ★ New SE manifolds of [Cvetic, Lu, Page, Pope]
- ★ Geometries describing renormalisation group flows between different field theories?
- ★ Analogue of Calabi's theorem?