



AFTER-TAX BENCHMARKS FOR INDIVIDUAL INVESTORS



© James M. Poterba

MIT

November 2002



CURRENT INTEREST IN MEASURING AFTER-TAX PERFORMANCE

- **RISING INDIVIDUAL INVESTOR DEMAND FOR “TAX EFFICIENT” PRODUCTS – RECENT TAX CHANGES MATTER**
- **SEC REQUIREMENT FOR AFTER-TAX RETURN DISCLOSURE FROM MUTUAL FUNDS**
- **AIMR PROPOSAL TO REDRAFT AFTER-TAX PROVISIONS OF PPS STANDARDS**

PRESENTATION OBJECTIVES

- **DESCRIBE TAX RATES AFFECTING AFTER-TAX PERFORMANCE**
- **DEFINE AFTER-TAX RETURN FOR AN INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNT**
- **DISCUSS AFTER-TAX BENCHMARKING**
- **OUTLINE ALTERNATIVE MEASURES OF “TAX EFFICIENCY”**

CALCULATING AFTER-TAX RETURNS AND REPORTING TO CLIENTS

- **Standards Promulgated by AIMR**
- **Choice of Tax Rate: Maximum Applicable Tax Rate vs. Anticipated Tax Rate**
- **Realized Capital Gains May Be Generated By Portfolio Manager Decisions or By Client Decisions Such as Cash Withdrawals**

AFTER-TAX RETURN MEASUREMENT: AIMR ALGORITHM

$$\text{Return} = \frac{\begin{aligned} &\text{Final Portfolio Value} + \text{Cash Distributions} \\ &- \text{Starting Portfolio Value} \\ &- \text{Realized Long-Term Gains} * t_{CG} \\ &- (\text{Realized Short-Term Gains} + \text{Dividends}) * t_{DIV} \\ &+ \text{Adjustment Factor for Client Withdrawals} \end{aligned}}{\text{Starting Portfolio Value}}$$

Source: AIMR Performance Presentation Standards Handbook 1997. Formula assumes no new cash infusions.

-
-
-

EXAMPLE OF AFTER-TAX RETURN COMPUTATION

Initial Portfolio Value	\$1000
Initial Unrealized Gains	500
Cash Dividends (Paid Out)	30
Realized Capital Gains (Long-Term)	90
Final Portfolio Value	1100
Final Unrealized Gains	510
Client Withdrawal (Before Final Valuation)	50

•
•
•

EXAMPLE OF RETURN CALCULATION (continued)

- Pretax Return:
 $(30 + 50 + 1100 - 1000)/1000 = 18\%$
- Post-Tax Return:
 $[30 + 50 + 100 - 30 \times .396 - 90 \times .20 + .20 \times 50 \times (600/1150)]/1000 = 15.53\%$
- Note Realized Long-Term Gains Are Not Assumed to Pass Through as Distributions

ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR CLIENT WITHDRAWALS

- Gain Ratio: $(\text{Realized Gains} + \text{End-of-Period Unrealized Gains}) / (\text{Net Client Withdrawals} + \text{End-of-Period Asset Value})$
- Adjustment Factor = $\text{Gain Ratio} * \text{Net Client Withdrawal} * \text{Capital Gains Tax Rate}$

AFTER-TAX RETURNS: MUTUAL FUND EXAMPLE

Beginning of Period Market Value	\$10.00
Realized Long Term Capital Gains	1.75
Realized Short Term Capital Gains	0.25
Dividend Income	0.50
Unrealized Capital Gains	0.50
Total Pretax Earnings	3.00

Pretax Return: $3.00/10.00 = 30\%$

After-Tax Return:

$$[1.75*(1-.20) + (.25 + .50)*(1-.396) + .50] / 10.00 \\ = 23.5\%$$

Effective Tax Rate = 21.7% ($=6.5/30$)

SPECIAL ISSUES IN THE MUTUAL FUND CONTEXT

- **Pass-Through of Realized Capital Gains**
- **No Adjustment for Client Withdrawals**
- **Debate on “Pre-Liquidation” vs. “Post-Liquidation” Measures of After-Tax Return**

•
•
•

OPEN ISSUES WITH AFTER-TAX RETURN COMPUTATIONS

- **Is Zero the Right Tax Rate on Gains that Are Not Realized? (“Contingent Future Taxes”)**
- **What About Provincial Taxes? Client-Specific Tax Variation?**
- **How Does a Manager Select the Accounts to Include in a Taxable Aggregate?**

•
•
•

WHAT IS THE APPROPRIATE BENCHMARK FOR AFTER-TAX RETURNS?

- **“Tax-Adjusted” Return on Benchmark Portfolio (Example: After-Tax Ibbotson Data)**
- **After-Tax Return on a Traded Security Tracking the Benchmark (ETFs? Index Fund?)**
- **Shadow Portfolio with Same Cash Flow Patterns**

• • • **KEY TRADEOFFS IN BENCHMARK CHOICE**

- **“Public” Benchmarks: Make Implicit Assumptions About Cash Flows That May Not Apply to Particular Investors**
- **“Custom” Benchmarks: Confusing (Two Investors Working with the Same Manager May Have Different Benchmark Returns Over the Same Time Period); Difficult to Verify**

•
•
•

HOW PORTFOLIO INCEPTION DATE AFFECTS AFTER-TAX RETURN ON S&P 500

- **After-Tax Return for Taxable Individual Investor in 2000 (Pretax Return = -8.282%)**
- **Portfolio Start on 1/1/2000: -8.853%**
- **Portfolio Start on 1/1/1995: -9.764%**
- **Portfolio Start on 1/1/1990: -9.817%**
- **Portfolio Start on 1/1/1980: -9.977%**

•
•
•

HOW SHOULD “TAX EFFICIENCY” BE MEASURED? SOME OPTIONS

- **Portfolio Turnover: Assets Sold/Total Assets**
- **Accountants’ Short-Term Gain Ratio: Short Term Gains/Total Realized Gains**
- **Capture Ratio: After-Tax Return/Before-Tax Return**
- **Gain Realization Rate: Realized Gains/Potential Realized Gains**

•
•
•

TURNOVER

- **Trading Can Trigger Realization-Based Capital Gains Tax Liability and it Voids the Possibility of Using Basis Step-Up**
- **BUT Not All Trading Triggers Gains -- Trading Is Also Higher When a Manager Is Harvesting Losses**

•
•
•

SHORT-TERM GAIN RATIO

- **A Manager Who Does Not Consider The Differential Tax Treatment of Long-Term and Short-Term Gains WILL Increase Client Tax Burdens**
- **BUT This Ratio Does Not Consider Whether the Manager Realized Gains (Long or Short Term) To Begin With!**

•
•
•

CAPTURE RATIO: After-Tax Return/Before-Tax Return

- **Manager A: Pretax 10% After-Tax 8%.
Capture Ratio = 80%**
- **Manager B: Pretax 7%, After-Tax 6.5%,
Capture Ratio = 92.9%**
- **Who Is Better Serving Client Goals?**
- **Capture Ratio Does Not Allow for Negative
Returns (Worse Becomes Better Given the
Formula!)**

•
•
•

GAIN REALIZATION RATIO

- **Attractive Because It Identifies Managers Who Are Not Deferring Gains**
- **Encourages Managers to Follow FIFO Strategy When Liquidating Positions**
- **Difficult to Apply When a Portfolio Has Net Unrealized Losses**

-
-
- **INVESTMENT GOAL: MAXIMIZE
LONG-TERM CLIENT WEALTH
ACCUMULATION**

- **MAXIMIZING AFTER-TAX RETURN MAY
NOT BE EQUIVALENT TO MINIMIZING THE
TAX BURDEN ON A PORTFOLIO**
- **AFTER-TAX RETURN OVER A SINGLE
QUARTER OR YEAR MAY NOT REFLECT
LONG-TERM EFFECTS OF TAX-RELATED
CHOICES**

• • • **IS A SINGLE “TAX EFFICIENCY MEASURE” THE HOLY GRAIL?**

- **All of the Commonly-Suggested Measures Have Difficulties**
- **Focus Should Be on Measuring After-tax Returns on a Portfolio, Educating Clients About Comparison of Actual After-Tax Return with Potential Benchmarks**