## 7. The unramified calculation

In this lecture I would like to calculate  $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$  when both  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are unramified, that is, they both have vectors fixed under their respective maximal compact subgroups  $GL_n(\mathcal{O})$  and  $GL_m(\mathcal{O})$ . We will do this by explicitly computing the local integral  $\Psi(s, W^{\circ}, W'^{\circ})$  for  $W^{\circ}$  and  $W'^{\circ}$  the normalized K-fixed Whittaker functions. This calculation is similar to and motivated by the calculation of the p-Euler factor for L(s, f) for f a classical cusp form. Recall that in the classical case of  $f \in S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  to be able to compute the p-Euler factor for L(s, f) we needed to know two things:

- (i) that f was an eigen-function for all Hecke operators  $T_p$  or  $T_n$ ;
- (ii) the recursion among the  $T_{p^r}$  for a fixed p.

Now again let k be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic 0 with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ , maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and uniformizer  $\varpi$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H}_K = \mathcal{H}(GL_n(k)//K) = C_c^{\infty}(GL_n(k)//GL_2(\mathcal{O}))$$

be the spherical Hecke algebra for  $GL_n(k)$  consisting of compactly supported functions on  $GL_n(k)$  which are bi-K-invariant, as in Lecture 3. This plays the role of the classical Hecke algebra in this context. It is a convolution algebra as before. For each i,  $0 \le i \le n$ , let  $\Phi_i$  be the characteristic function

$$\Phi_i = Char \left( GL_n(\mathcal{O}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi I_i & \\ & I_{n-i} \end{pmatrix} GL_n(\mathcal{O}) \right)$$

so that  $\varpi$  occurs in the first *i* diagonal entries. (For  $G = GL_2$  and  $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\Phi_1$  is the avatar of the classical Hecke operator  $T_p$ .) Then a standard fact is:

**Proposition 7.1.** The spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K$  is a commutative algebra and is generated by the  $\Phi_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

For any smooth representation  $(\pi, V_{\pi})$  of  $GL_n(k)$  we have an action of  $\mathcal{H}$  or  $\mathcal{H}_K$  on  $V_{\pi}$  as a convolution algebra via

$$\pi(\Phi)v = \int_{GL_n(k)} \Phi(g)\pi(g)v \ dg.$$

Note that since  $\pi$  is smooth and  $\Phi$  has compact support, this is really a finite sum. In the transition from classical modular forms to automorphic representations and back, this corresponds to the action of the classical Hecke operators on modular forms.

7.1. Unramified representations. Now let  $(\pi, V_{\pi})$  be an irreducible admissible smooth generic representation of  $GL_n(k)$  which is unramified. Then it is known that

$$\pi = Ind_{B(k)}^{GL_n(k)}(\mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_n)$$

is a full induced representation from the Borel subgroup B(k) of unramified characters  $\mu_i$  of  $k^{\times}$ . Here unramified means that each  $\mu_i$  is invariant under the maximal compact subgroup  $\mathcal{O}^{\times} \subset k^{\times}$ . Since  $k^{\times} = \coprod \varpi^j \mathcal{O}^{\times}$ , each character  $\mu_i$  is completely determined by its value  $\mu_i(\varpi) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . Thus in turn  $\pi$  will be completely determined by the n complex numbers

$$\{\mu_1(\varpi),\ldots,\mu_n(\varpi)\}$$

which can be encoded in a diagonal matrix

$$A_{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(\varpi) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mu_n(\varpi) \end{pmatrix} \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

These parameters, whether viewed as n non-zero complex numbers, the matrix  $A_{\pi} \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  or the conjugacy class  $[A_{\pi}] \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  are the Satake parameters of the unramified representation  $\pi$ .

Since  $(\pi, V_{\pi})$  is unramified, then there is a unique (up to scalar multiples) non-zero K-fixed vector  $v^{\circ} \in V_{\pi}$ . If  $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}_K$ , the spherical Hecke algebra, then  $\pi(\Phi)v^{\circ}$  will again be K-fixed. Thus we obtain

$$\pi(\Phi)v^{\circ} = \Lambda_{\pi}(\Phi)v^{\circ}$$

with  $\Lambda_{\pi}: \mathcal{H}_K \to \mathbb{C}$  a character of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  as a convolution algebra. Thus  $v^{\circ}$  is our local Hecke eigen-function.

For  $\pi = Ind(\mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_n)$  it is easy to compute this character on the generators  $\Phi_i$  of  $\mathcal{H}_K$ . As in the classical case, we will need to know how to decompose the associated double coset into single cosets.

For each  $J \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , say  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ , let

$$\varpi^{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{j_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \varpi^{j_n} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_n(k).$$

So if we set  $\eta_i = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with the first i entries of 1 and the others 0, then  $\Phi_i$  is the characteristic function of  $K\varpi^{\eta_i}K$ . To decompose this double coset into single ones, let us set

$$I_i = \left\{ \epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}, \sum \epsilon_j = i \right\}$$

and for each  $\epsilon \in I_i$  let

$$N(\mathcal{O}, \epsilon) = N(\mathcal{O}) \cap \varpi^{\epsilon} K \varpi^{-\epsilon}.$$

## Lemma 7.1.

$$K\varpi^{\eta_i}K = \coprod_{\epsilon \in I_i} \coprod_{n \in N(\mathcal{O})/N(\mathcal{O},\epsilon)} n\varpi^{\epsilon}K.$$

Now let  $f^{\circ}$  be the K-fixed vector in  $Ind(\mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_n)$  normalized so that  $f^{\circ}(e) = 1$ . Then we have

$$(\pi(\Phi_i)f^{\circ})(e) = \Lambda(\Phi_i)f^{\circ}(e) = \Lambda_{\pi}(\Phi_i).$$

On the other hand, we can do the explicit computation in the induced model. By definition

$$f^{\circ}(nak) = \delta_{B_n}^{1/2}(a) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i(a_i) f^{\circ}(e) = \delta_B^{1/2}(a) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i(a_i)$$

for 
$$n \in N_n(k)$$
,  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{pmatrix} \in A_n(k)$ , and  $k \in K_n$ . Then we can

compute

$$(\pi(\Phi_i)f^{\circ})(e) = \int_{GL_n(k)} \Phi_i(g) f^{\circ}(g) dg = \int_{K\varpi^{\eta_i}K} f^{\circ}(g) dg$$
$$= \sum_{\epsilon \in I_i} \sum_{n \in N(\mathcal{O})/N(\mathcal{O}, \epsilon)} f(n\varpi^{\epsilon})$$
$$= \sum_{\epsilon \in I_i} |N(\mathcal{O})/N(\mathcal{O}, \epsilon)| \delta_{B_n}^{1/2}(\varpi^{\epsilon}) \prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j(\varpi)^{\epsilon_j}.$$

An elementary computation then gives

$$|N(\mathcal{O})/N(\mathcal{O},\epsilon)|\delta_{B_n}^{1/2}(\varpi^{\epsilon})=q^{i(n-i)/2}$$

so that

$$(\pi(\Phi_i)f^\circ)(e) = q^{i(n-i)/2} \sum_{\epsilon \in I_i} \prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j(\varpi)^{\epsilon_j}$$
$$= q^{i(n-i)/2} \sigma_i(\mu_1(\varpi), \dots, \mu_n(\varpi))$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  elementary symmetric polynomial in the  $\mu_j(\varpi)$ .

Comparing our two expressions for  $(\pi(\Phi_i)f^{\circ})(e)$  we obtain

**Proposition 7.2.** For  $\pi = Ind(\mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_n)$  unramified

$$\Lambda_{\pi}(\Phi_i) = q^{i(n-i)/2} \sigma_i(\mu_1(\varpi), \dots, \mu_n(\varpi)).$$

This computes the Hecke eigen-values in terms of the Satake parameters of  $\pi$ .

7.2. Unramified Whittaker functions. The analogue of the classical recursion relation for the Hecke operators  $T_{p^r}$  can now be employed to compute a formula for the unramified Whittaker function  $W^{\circ}$  that occurs in our integrals. For  $GL_n$  this was first done by Shintani, who we follow.

Take  $\psi: k \to \mathbb{C}$  our additive character to also be unramified and non-trivial, so  $\psi(\mathcal{O}) = 1$  but  $\psi(\varpi^{-1}) \neq 1$ . Let  $W^{\circ} \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  be the K-fixed Whittaker function in  $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ . By the Iwasawa decomposition, any  $g \in GL_n(k)$  can be written

$$g = nak \in NAK$$
 with  $a = \varpi^J \in A$ 

for some  $J \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then

$$W^{\circ}(g) = W^{\circ}(n\varpi^{J}k) = \psi(n)W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J}).$$

So it suffices to compute the values  $W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J})$ . The same calculation that gave the "rapid decrease" of W on A in the  $GL_{2}(k)$  case now gives

$$W^{\circ}(\varpi^J) = 0$$
 unless  $j_1 > j_2 > \cdots > j_n$ .

We next do an explicit calculation of the action of each  $\Phi_i \in \mathcal{H}_K$  in the Whittaker model. We still have that

$$(\pi(\Phi_i)W^\circ)(\varpi^J) = \Lambda_\pi(\Phi_i)W^\circ(\varpi^J)$$

for all J, with an explicit formula for  $\Lambda(\Phi_i)$ . Computing in the Whittaker model we have

$$(\pi(\Phi_i)W^{\circ})(\varpi^J) = \int_{K\varpi^{\eta_i}K} W^{\circ}(\varpi^J g) \ dg$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon \in I_i} \sum_{n \in N(\mathcal{O})/N(\mathcal{O}, \epsilon)} W^{\circ}(\varpi^J n\varpi^{\epsilon})$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon \in I_i} \sum_{n \in N(\mathcal{O})/N(\mathcal{O}, \epsilon)} \psi(\varpi^J n\varpi^{-J})W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J+\epsilon}).$$

Since  $j_1 \geq \cdots \geq j_n$ , we have that  $\varpi^J n \varpi^{-J} \in N(\mathcal{O})$  so that the value of  $\psi$  on this element is 1. Hence

$$(\pi(\Phi_i)W^{\circ})(\varpi^J) = \sum_{\epsilon \in I_i} |N(\mathcal{O})/N(\mathcal{O}, \epsilon)|W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J+\epsilon})$$
$$= \sum_{\epsilon \in I_i} \delta_{B_n}^{-1/2}(\varpi^{\epsilon}) q^{i(n-i)/2} W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J+\epsilon}).$$

If we then combine our two expressions for  $(\pi(\Phi_i)W^{\circ})(\varpi^J)$  we obtain our recursion.

**Proposition 7.3.** For the unramified Whittaker function in  $W(\pi, \psi)$  we have the recursion

$$\Lambda_{\pi}(\Phi_{i})W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J}) = q^{i(n-i)/2} \sum_{\epsilon \in I_{i}} \delta_{B_{n}}^{-1/2}(\varpi^{\epsilon})W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J+\epsilon}).$$

The solution to this recursion is quite interesting. It involves the characters of finite dimensional representations of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The n-tuples  $J=(j_1,\ldots,j_n)$  with  $j_1\geq\cdots\geq j_n$  are the possible highest weights for the finite dimensional representations of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\rho_J$  denote the finite dimensional representation of highest weight J and let  $\chi_J=Tr(\rho_J)$  be its character. Then many things are known about these characters, for example, from the formula for the decomposition of the tensor product of two finite dimensional representations we also obtain a recursion

$$\chi_{\eta_i} \chi_{J} = \sum_{\epsilon \in I_i} \chi_{J+\epsilon}$$

similar to the recursion for  $W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J})$ . Since the  $\chi_{J}$  are class functions on  $GL_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ , it makes sense to evaluate them on our Satake class  $A_{\pi}$  for  $\pi$ . For example, since  $\rho_{\eta_{i}}$  is the  $i^{th}$  exterior power of the standard representation of  $GL_{n}$  we find that

$$\chi_{\eta_i}(A_{\pi}) = \sigma_i(\mu_1(\varpi), \dots, \mu_n(\varpi)) = q^{-i(n-i)/2} \Lambda_{\pi}(\Phi_i).$$

Utilizing these facts from finite dimensional representation theory it is then a simple matter to solve the recursion for the  $W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J})$  in terms of the  $\chi_{J}(A_{\pi})$  and obtain Shintani's formula.

**Proposition 7.4.** 
$$W^{\circ}(\varpi^J) = \delta_{B_n}^{1/2}(\varpi^J)\chi_J(A_{\pi}).$$

7.3. Calculating the integral. We now return to our local integral. We consider the case m < n and  $\pi$ ,  $\pi'$ , and  $\psi$  all unramified. Let  $W^{\circ} \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  and  $W'^{\circ} \in \mathcal{W}(\pi', \psi^{-1})$  be the normalized K-fixed Whittaker functions computed above. We have

$$\Psi(s, W^{\circ}, W'^{\circ}) = \int_{N_m(k)\backslash GL_m(k)} W^{\circ} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ I_{n-m} \end{pmatrix} W'^{\circ}(h) |\det(h)|^{s-\frac{n-m}{2}} dh.$$

Use the Iwasawa decomposition to write  $GL_m=N_mA_mK_m$  so that  $h=n\varpi^Jk$  and dh=dn  $\delta_{B_m}^{-1}(\varpi^J)$  dk. Then

$$\begin{split} &\Psi(s, W^{\circ}, W'^{\circ}) \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}^m} W^{\circ} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^J & \\ & I_{n-m} \end{pmatrix} W'^{\circ}(\varpi^J) |\det(\varpi^J)|^{s - \frac{n-m}{2}} \beta_{B_m}^{-1}(\varpi^J). \end{split}$$

Now  $W'^{\circ}(\varpi^{J}) = 0$  unless  $j_{1} \geq \cdots \geq j_{m}$  and  $W^{\circ}(\varpi^{J}) = 0$  unless  $j_{1} \geq \cdots \geq j_{m} \geq 0$ . Moreover,  $|\det(\varpi^{J})| = q^{-|J|}$  where  $|J| = j_{1} + \cdots + j_{m}$ . So our integral becomes

$$\begin{split} \Psi(s, & W^{\circ}, W'^{\circ}) \\ &= \sum_{i_1 > \dots > i_m > 0} W^{\circ} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^J & \\ & I_{n-m} \end{pmatrix} W'^{\circ}(\varpi^J) q^{-|J|(s - \frac{n-m}{2})} \beta_{B_m}^{-1}(\varpi^J). \end{split}$$

We next insert the formula from Proposition 7.4 and use the elementary fact that

$$\delta_{B_n}^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^J \\ I_{n-m} \end{pmatrix} \delta_{B_m}^{-1/2} (\varpi^J) = q^{-|J|\frac{n-m}{2}}$$

to obtain

$$\Psi(s, W^{\circ}, W'^{\circ}) = \sum_{i_1 > \dots > i_m > 0} \chi_{(J,0)}(A_{\pi}) \chi_J(A_{\pi'}) q^{-|J|s}$$

where  $(J,0) = (j_1,\ldots,j_m,0,\ldots,0)$  represents J filled out to be a vector in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

We next use some fairly standard facts from the finite dimensional representation theory of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , namely

$$\chi_{(J,0)}(D_n)\chi_J(D_m) = Tr(\rho_{(J,0)}(D_n) \otimes \rho_J(D_m)),$$

$$\sum_{j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_m \geq 0} Tr(\rho_{(J,0)}(D_n) \otimes \rho_J(D_m)) = Tr(S^r(D_n \otimes D_m)),$$

and

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} Tr(S^r(D))X^r = \det(1 - XD)^{-1},$$

where  $S^r(D)$  is the  $r^{th}$  symmetric power of D. Applying these with  $D_n = A_{\pi}$  and  $D_m = A_{\pi'}$  finishes our calculation of the local integral.

**Proposition 7.5.** If  $\pi$ ,  $\pi'$ , and  $\psi$  are all unramified, then

$$\Psi(s, W^{\circ}, W'^{\circ}) = \det(I - q^{-s} A_{\pi} \otimes A_{\pi'})^{-1} = \prod_{i,j} (1 - \mu_i(\varpi) \mu'_j(\varpi) q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Since  $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$  is the minimal inverse polynomial in  $q^{-s}$  killing all poles of the family of local integrals this implies

$$\det(I - q^{-s} A_{\pi} \otimes A_{\pi'}) | L(s, \pi \times \pi')^{-1}.$$

Then comparing the poles of this factor with the potential poles coming from the asymptotics of  $W^{\circ}$  and  $W'^{\circ}$  as the simple roots go to zero from Lecture 6 gives us our result.

**Theorem 7.1.** If  $\pi$ ,  $\pi'$ , and  $\psi$  are all unramified, then

$$L(s, \pi \times \pi') = \det(I - q^{-s} A_{\pi} \otimes A_{\pi'})^{-1} = \Psi(s, W^{\circ}, W'^{\circ}).$$

Note that the degree of this Euler factor is mn. Moreover,

$$L(s,\pi) = \det(I - q^{-s}A_{\pi})^{-1} = \prod (1 - \mu_i(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-1}$$

is an Euler factor of degree n. The same result holds for  $GL_n \times GL_n$ . One then takes for the Schwartz function  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(k^n)$  the characteristic function  $\Phi^{\circ}$  of  $\mathcal{O}^n \subset k^n$ .

Since the factor  $\varepsilon(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi)$  satisfies the local functional equation

$$\frac{\widetilde{\Psi}(1-s, R(w_{n,m})\widetilde{W}^{\circ}, \widetilde{W}'^{\circ})}{L(1-s, \widetilde{\pi} \times \widetilde{\pi}')} = \omega_{\pi'}(-1)^{n-1} \varepsilon(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) \frac{\Psi(s, W^{\circ}, W'^{\circ})}{L(s, \pi \times \pi')}$$

we can conclude the following corollary.

Corollary 7.1.1. If  $\pi$ ,  $\pi'$ , and  $\psi$  are all unramified, then

$$\varepsilon(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) \equiv 1.$$

In particular, taking  $\pi'$  to be the trivial character of  $GL_1$  we see that if  $\pi$  is unramified then its conductor  $f(\pi) = 0$ .

Finally, as a second corollary we obtain the Jacquet-Shalika bounds on the Satake parameters.

Corollary 7.1.2. Suppose that  $\pi$  is a irreducible unitary generic unramified representation of  $GL_n(k)$ ,  $\pi \simeq Ind(\mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_n)$ . Then the Satake parameters  $\mu_i(\varpi)$  satisfy

$$q^{-1/2} < |\mu_i(\varpi)| < q^{1/2}.$$

To see this, we apply the  $GL_n \times GL_n$  unramified calculation to  $\pi$  and  $\pi' = \overline{\pi}$ , the complex conjugate representation. Then  $A_{\pi'} = A_{\overline{\pi}} = \overline{A_{\pi}}$  and

$$\det(I - q^{-s} A_{\pi} \otimes \overline{A_{\pi}}) \Psi(s, W^{\circ}, W^{\circ}, \Phi^{\circ}) = 1.$$

The local integral is absolutely convergent for  $Re(s) \geq 1$  since  $\pi$  is unitary. Then

$$\det(I - q^{-s}A_{\pi} \otimes \overline{A_{\pi}}) \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad Re(s) \geq 1.$$

This determinant has as a factor  $(1 - |\mu_i(\varpi)|^2 q^{-s})$ , so this also cannot vanish for  $Re(s) \ge 1$ . Hence

$$|\mu_i(\varpi)| < q^{1/2}.$$

Applying the same argument to  $\widetilde{\pi}$  gives

$$|\mu_i(\varpi)|^{-1} < q^{1/2}$$

since  $A_{\widetilde{\pi}} = A_{\pi}^{-1}$ . Thus we have the result.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations, I & II. Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981), 499–588 & 777–815.
- [2] T. Shintani, On an explicit formula for class-1 "Whittaker functions" on  $GL_n$  over  $\mathfrak{P}$ -adic fields. Proc. Japan Acad. **52** (1976), 180–182.