

12. Normalization of intertwining operators.

Let π be a generic irreducible admissible representation of $\mathbf{M}(F)$, F local field. Let $A(s, \pi, w_0)$ be the intertwining operator for $I(s, \pi)$. We normalize it in the following way. Let

$$(12.1) \quad N(s, \pi, w_0) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{L(1 + is, \pi, r_i) \epsilon(is, \pi, r_i, \psi)}{L(is, \pi, r_i)} A(s, \pi, w_0).$$

Conjecture 12.1. $N(s, \pi, w_0)$ is holomorphic and non-vanishing for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

12.1 π is supercuspidal.

Proposition 12.2. $N(s, \pi, w_0)$ is holomorphic and non-vanishing except possibly at $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -1$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Write

$$N(s, \pi, w_0) = \prod_{i=1}^m L(1 + is, \pi, r_i) \epsilon(is, \pi, r_i, \psi) \frac{A(s, \pi, w_0)}{\prod_{i=1}^m L(is, \pi, r_i)}.$$

Here $\prod_{i=1}^m L(1 + is, \pi, r_i)$ has a pole possibly at $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -1$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{A(s, \pi, w_0)}{\prod_{i=1}^m L(is, \pi, r_i)}$ is entire and non-vanishing. \square

12.2 π is tempered, generic.

Proposition 12.3. $N(s, \pi, w_0)$ is holomorphic and non-vanishing for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$, except the 4 cases where Shahidi's conjecture (Conjecture 11.13) is not proved.

Proof. By Harish-Chandra, $A(s, \pi, w_0)$ is holomorphic and non-vanishing for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. By Shahidi's conjecture, $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. Hence our assertion follows. For $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$, it is a result of the theory of R -groups. \square

Proposition 12.4. Let σ, τ be tempered representations of GL_k, GL_l , resp. Then the normalized operator $N(s, \sigma \otimes \tau, w_0)$ is holomorphic and non-vanishing for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -1$.

12.3 π is non-tempered, generic.

Let π be a generic irreducible, non-tempered representation of $\mathbf{G}(F)$, F local. By Langlands' classification theorem, $\pi = J(\Lambda_0, \sigma)$, where σ is an irreducible generic tempered representation of $\mathbf{M}(F)$, and Λ_0 is in the positive Weyl chamber of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. We call $I(\Lambda_0, \sigma)$ the standard module.

Standard module conjecture. $\pi = I(\Lambda_0, \sigma)$, i.e., $I(\Lambda_0, \sigma)$ is irreducible.

Assume the standard module conjecture. Then

$$I(s, \pi) = \operatorname{Ind}_{P_1}^G \sigma \otimes \exp(\langle s\tilde{\alpha} + \Lambda_0, H_{P_1}(\cdot) \rangle), \quad A(s, \pi, w_0) = A(s\tilde{+}\Lambda_0, \sigma, w_0).$$

Lemma 12.5. *If $s\tilde{\alpha} + \Lambda_0$ is in the corresponding positive Weyl chamber for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and Shahidi's conjecture (Conjecture 11.13) is true for each rank-one situation, then $N(s, \pi, w_0)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.*

Lemma 12.6. *Assume Conjecture 11.13 for each rank-one situation. If $N(s\tilde{\alpha} + \Lambda_0, \sigma, w_0)$ is holomorphic at $s = s_0$, then it is non-zero at $s = s_0$.*

We need a result on bounds of Fourier coefficients.

Proposition 12.7. *Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be a unitary, generic cuspidal representation of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{A})$. Fix a place v where π_v is non-tempered. Assume the standard module conjecture and write π_v as $\pi_v = I_{M_0}(\Lambda_0, \pi_0)$. Assume Conjecture 11.13 for each rank-one situation so that Lemma 12.5 may be applied. Then the normalized operator $N(s, \pi_v, w_0)$ and the local L -function $L(s, \pi_v, r_1)$ are holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1$.*

Proof. Fix a place w where π_w is spherical. By checking the L -functions in section 6 (or use [Ki-Sh, Proposition 2.1]), we can take a grössencharacter χ such that

- (1) $\chi_v = 1$ and χ_w is highly ramified;
- (2) $w_0(\pi \otimes \chi) \not\cong \pi \otimes \chi$;
- (3) $w'_0(\pi'_i \otimes \chi) \not\cong \pi'_i \otimes \chi$ for all i , where π'_i is as in Theorem 7.1, namely, $L(s, \pi, r_i) = L(s, \pi'_i, r'_1)$, and w'_0 is the Weyl group element for π'_i .

Then $M(s, \pi \otimes \chi, w_0)$ and $M(s, \pi'_i \otimes \chi, w'_0)$ are holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ by Corollary 5.5. Hence by omitting χ , we can assume that $M(s, \pi, w_0)$ and $M(s, \pi'_i, w'_0)$ are holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Recall (See [Sh3, (2.7)])

$$(12.2) \quad M(s, \pi, w_0)f = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{L_S(is, \pi, r_i)}{L_S(1+is, \pi, r_i)} \otimes_{u \notin S} \tilde{f}_u \otimes \otimes_{u \in S} A(s, \pi_u, w_0)f_u,$$

where S is a finite set of places including archimedean places such that $v \in S$ and π_u is unramified for $u \notin S$, and $f = \otimes_u f_u$ is such that for each $u \notin S$, f_u is the unique K_u -fixed function normalized by $f_u(e_u) = 1$ and \tilde{f}_u is the K_u -fixed function in the space of $I(-s, w_0(\pi_u))$, normalized in the same way.

Now by induction, we show that for all i , $L_S(s, \pi, r_i)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and has no zeros for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1$. For each $u \in S$, $A(s, \pi_u, w_0)$ is not a zero operator. Since $M(s, \pi, w_0)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$, the quotient $\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{L_S(is, \pi, r_i)}{L_S(1+is, \pi, r_i)}$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Now starting at $\operatorname{Re}(s) > N_0$, where $\prod_{i=1}^m L_S(is, \pi, r_i)$ is absolutely convergent, and arguing inductively, we can see that $\prod_{i=1}^m L_S(is, \pi, r_i)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Next, recall from Corollary 9.5 that $\prod_{i=1}^m L_S(1+is, \pi, r_i)$ has no zeros for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Now we apply the induction on m : First, let $m = 1$. It is clear. Suppose our assertion is true for $L_S(s, \pi, r_i)$, $i = 2, \dots, m$, i.e., for all $2 \leq i \leq m$, $L_S(s, \pi, r_i)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and has no zeros for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1$. Since $\prod_{i=1}^m L_S(is, \pi, r_i)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$, $L_S(s, \pi, r_1)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Since

$\prod_{i=1}^m L_S(1 + is, \pi, r_i)$ has no zeros for $Re(s) \geq 0$, $L_S(s, \pi, r_1)$ has no zeros for $Re(s) \geq 1$. This finishes the induction step.

Applying the induction again on m , this time for the local L -functions, we can assume that $L(s, \pi_v, r_i)$, $i = 2, \dots, m$, is holomorphic for $Re(s) \geq 1$. Now we normalize $A(s, \pi_v, w_0)$ as in (12.1). Since for each $u \in S$, $u \neq v$, $A(s, \pi_u, w_0)$ is not a zero operator, pick f_u , $u \in S$, $u \neq v$, so that $A(s, \pi_u, w_0)f_u \neq 0$. Then (12.2) is written as

$$M(s, \pi, w_0)f = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{L_S(is, \pi, r_i)}{L_S(1 + is, \pi, r_i)} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{L(is, \pi_v, r_i)}{L(1 + is, \pi_v, r_i)} \otimes_{u \notin S} \tilde{f}_u \otimes \otimes_{u \in S, u \neq v} A(s, \pi_u, w_0)f_u \otimes \frac{N(s, \pi_v, w_0)}{\prod_{i=1}^m \epsilon(s, \pi_v, r_i, \psi_v)}.$$

Now pick $N_0 \geq 1$ so large that $L(1 + s, \pi_v, r_1)$ has no poles for $Re(s) \geq N_0$. If $Re(s) \geq N_0 - 1$, the left hand side is holomorphic and each term on the right hand side except possibly $N(s, \pi_v, w_0)$ is not zero there. Hence the normalized operator $N(s, \pi_v, w_0)$ is holomorphic for $Re(s) \geq N_0 - 1$. By Lemma 12.6, $N(s, \pi_v, w_0)$ is non-vanishing for $Re(s) \geq N_0 - 1$ (Apply it by identifying $N(s, \pi_v, w_0)$ with $N(\Lambda, \pi_0, w_0)$). Hence $L(s, \pi_v, r_1)$ has no poles for $Re(s) \geq N_0 - 1$. Arguing inductively, we see that $L(s, \pi_v, r_1)$ has no poles for $Re(s) \geq 1$. \square

The above proposition has a very important application when applied to $E_8 - 2$ case. Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be a cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$. Let $diag(\alpha_v, \beta_v)$ is the Satake parameter for an unramified π_v . Let $\pi_1 = Sym^3(\pi) \otimes \omega_\pi^{-1}$, constructed in [Ki-Sh], and $\pi_2 = Sym^4(\pi)$, constructed in [Ki5]. Then we obtain the L -function $L(s, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \rho_4 \otimes \wedge^2 \rho_5)$ in $E_8 - 2$ case. Let S be a finite set of places of finite places such that π_v is unramified for $v \notin S$, $v < \infty$. By standard calculation, we have

$$L_S(s, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \rho_4 \otimes \wedge^2 \rho_5) = L_S(s, \pi, Sym^9) \\ L_S(s, \pi, Sym^7 \otimes \omega_\pi) L_S(s, \pi, Sym^5 \otimes \omega_\pi^2)^2 L_S(s, Sym^3(\pi) \otimes \omega_\pi^3)^2 L_S(s, \pi \otimes \omega_\pi^4).$$

This immediately implies meromorphic continuation and the functional equation of the 9th symmetric power L -functions. Now Proposition 12.7 implies that for each v , $L(s, \pi_{1v} \otimes \pi_{2v}, \rho_4 \otimes \wedge^2 \rho_5)$ is holomorphic for $Re(s) \geq 1$, and so is $L(s, \pi_v, Sym^9)$. Therefore we have

Corollary 12.8. *Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be a cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$. Let π_v be a local (finite or infinite) spherical component, given by $\pi_v = Ind(| \cdot |_v^{s_{1v}} \otimes | \cdot |_v^{s_{2v}})$. Then*

$$|Re(s_{iv})| < \frac{1}{9}.$$

If $F = \mathbb{Q}$, $v = \infty$, this means

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - s^2) > \frac{77}{324} \approx 0.238,$$

where $s = 2s_{1v} = -2s_{2v}$ and λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator on the corresponding hyperbolic space.

We apply Proposition 12.7 to $\mathbf{G}_n = Sp(2n), SO(2n+1), SO(2n)$.

Proposition 12.9. *Let $\tau = \otimes_v \tau_v$ be a generic cuspidal representation of $\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbb{A})$. Let τ_v be a non-tempered component and write*

$$\tau_v = \text{Ind} |det|^{\beta_1} \tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |det|^{\beta_k} \tau_k \otimes \tau_0,$$

(This is the standard module conjecture, proved by Muić [Mu3]) where τ_1, \dots, τ_k are discrete series representations of $GL_{n_i}(F_v)$ and τ_0 is a generic tempered representation of $\mathbf{G}_r(F_v)$, and $0 < \beta_k \leq \cdots \leq \beta_1$. Then $\beta_1 < 1$.

We need a lemma.

Lemma 12.10 (Rogawski [Ro]). *Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ be discrete series representations of $GL_n(F_{v_i})$. Then there exists a cuspidal representation $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ such that $\pi_{v_i} \simeq \sigma_i$ for each i .*

Proof of Proposition 12.9. Let $\sigma = \otimes_v \sigma_v$ be a cuspidal representation of $GL_{n_1}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\sigma_v \simeq \tilde{\tau}_1$. Then by Proposition 12.7, $L(s, \sigma_v \times \tau_v)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1$.

Note that

$$I(s, \sigma_v \otimes \tau_v) = \text{Ind} |det|^s \tilde{\tau}_1 \otimes |det|^{\beta_1} \tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |det|^{\beta_k} \tau_k \otimes \tau_0.$$

Hence

$$L(s, \sigma_v \times \tau_v) = L(s - \beta_1, \tilde{\tau}_1 \times \tau_1) L(s, \tilde{\tau}_1 \times \tau_0) \prod_{i=1}^k L(s - \beta_i, \tilde{\tau}_1 \times \tau_i) \prod_{i=1}^k L(s + \beta_i, \tilde{\tau}_1 \times \tilde{\tau}_i).$$

Here $L(s - \beta_1, \tilde{\tau}_1 \times \tau_1)$ has a pole at $s = \beta_1$. Therefore $\beta_1 < 1$. \square

We have

Theorem 12.11. *Conjecture 12.1 holds except possibly for the following 10 cases; (xxx) ($E_6 \subset E_7$), $E_8 - 4$ and (xxxi) ($E_7 \subset E_8$) (These 3 cases are where standard module conjecture is not available); (xviii) ($B_3 \subset F_4$), (xxii) ($C_3 \subset F_4$), (xxiv) ($D_5 \subset E_6$), $E_7 - 3$, (xxvi) ($D_6 \subset E_7$), $E_8 - 3$, and (xxviii) ($D_7 \subset E_8$) (These 7 cases are where the Levi subgroup contains a group of type B_3, C_3, D_n).*

By Proposition 12.3, we only have to show for non-tempered π_v . Using standard module conjecture, we denote

$$I(s, \pi_v) = I(s\tilde{\alpha} + \Lambda'_0, \sigma'_v) \subset I(s\tilde{\alpha} + \Lambda_0, \sigma_v),$$

where σ'_v is a generic tempered representation and σ_v is a generic discrete series. In what follows, we can assume that s is real. All we need to do is that for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$, rank-one normalized operators are holomorphic. We can see by checking case by case that in the cases under consideration, rank-one operators for the exceptional 4 cases which were excluded in Theorem 11.14 do not appear. By identifying roots of \mathbf{G} with respect to a parabolic subgroup, with those of \mathbf{G} with respect to the maximal torus, it is enough to check $\langle s\tilde{\alpha} + \Lambda_0, \beta^\vee \rangle > -1$ if the rank-one operators

are for those of $GL_k \times GL_l \subset GL_{k+l}$. If there are rank-one operators for other situation, we need to check $\langle s\tilde{\alpha} + \Lambda_0, \beta^\vee \rangle > -\frac{1}{2m}$. We check case by case.

We illustrate the proof in the case of $GL_k \times SO_{2l+1} \subset SO_{2n+1}$. Let σ, τ be generic, non-tempered, unitary representations of $GL_k(F)$, $SO_{2l+1}(F)$, resp. where F is a local field. Recall the classification of unitary representations of $GL_n(F)$ due to Tadic [Ta]: A generic, unitary representation σ is of the form

$$\sigma = \text{Ind} |det|^{r_1} \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |det|^{r_p} \sigma_p \otimes \sigma_{p+1} \otimes |det|^{-r_p} \sigma_p \otimes \cdots \otimes |det|^{-r_1} \sigma_1,$$

where $0 < r_p < \cdots < r_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}$ are tempered representations of $GL_{n_i}(F)$. By Proposition 12.9,

$$\tau = \text{Ind} |det|^{\beta_1} \tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |det|^{\beta_q} \tau_q \otimes \tau_0,$$

where τ_1, \dots, τ_q are tempered representations of $GL_{m_j}(F)$, τ_0 is a generic tempered representation of $SO_{2r+1}(F)$, and $0 < \beta_q < \cdots < \beta_1 < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(s, \sigma \otimes \tau) &= \text{Ind} |det|^s \sigma \otimes \tau = \text{Ind} |det|^{s+r_1} \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |det|^{s+r_p} \sigma_p \otimes |det|^s \sigma_{p+1} \otimes \\ &\quad |det|^{s-r_p} \sigma_p \otimes \cdots \otimes |det|^{s-r_1} \sigma_1 \otimes |det|^{\beta_1} \tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |det|^{\beta_q} \tau_q \otimes \tau_0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $N(s, \sigma \otimes \tau, w_0)$ is a product of rank-one normalized operators $N(s \pm r_i \pm \beta_j, \sigma_i \otimes \tau_j)$ and $N(s \pm r_i, \sigma_i \otimes \tau_0)$. Each of the operators are holomorphic and non-vanishing by Proposition 12.3 and 12.4, since $\text{Re}(s - r_1 - \beta_1) > -1$ (the worse case) if $\text{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

12.4 Application to reducibility criterion. Recall the following.

Theorem 12.12. *Let F be p -adic. Suppose π is a unitary supercuspidal representation of $\mathbf{M}(F)$ such that $w_0(\pi) \simeq \pi$. Then there exists a nonnegative number s_0 such that $I(s, \pi)$ is reducible at $s = \pm s_0$, and irreducible everywhere else.*

If π is generic, then $s_0 \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$.

Theorem 12.13. *Let π be a generic discrete series representation of $\mathbf{M}(F)$. Then $I(s, \pi)$ is irreducible at $s = 0$ if and only if there exists a unique i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ has a pole at $s = 0$. The pole is simple, i.e., $\prod_{i=1}^m L(is, \pi, r_i)$ has a simple pole at $s = 0$.*

Theorem 12.14. *Let π be a generic tempered representation of $\mathbf{M}(F)$ such that the standard module conjecture is true, namely, if $J(s, \pi)$ is generic, then $J(s, \pi) = I(s, \pi)$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. Then for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, $I(s, \pi)$ is irreducible if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^m L(1 - is, \pi, r_i)^{-1} \neq 0$.*

13. Holomorphy and bounded in vertical strips.

13.1 Holomorphy of L -functions. We prove

Proposition 13.1. *Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be a unitary, generic cuspidal representation of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{A})$. Assume Conjecture 12.1. Then there exists a grössencharacter χ such that for all i , $L(s, \pi \otimes \chi, r_i)$ is entire, and $L(s, \pi \otimes \chi, r_i)$ has no zeros for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1$.*

Proof. Fix a place w where π_w is spherical. By checking the L -functions in section 6 (or use [Ki-Sh, Proposition 2.1]), we can take a grössencharacter χ (by Grunwald-Wang theorem) such that

- (1) χ_w is highly ramified;
- (2) $w_0(\pi \otimes \chi) \not\cong \pi \otimes \chi$;
- (3) $w'_0(\pi'_i \otimes \chi) \not\cong \pi'_i \otimes \chi$ for all i , where π'_i is as in Theorem 7.1, namely, $L(s, \pi, r_i) = L(s, \pi'_i, r'_1)$, and w'_0 is the Weyl group element for π'_i .

Then $M(s, \pi \otimes \chi, w_0)$ and $M(s, \pi'_i \otimes \chi, w'_0)$ are holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ by Corollary 5.5. Hence by omitting χ , we can assume that $M(s, \pi, w_0)$ and $M(s, \pi'_i, w'_0)$ are holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Then

$$M(s, \pi, w_0)f = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{L(is, \pi, r_i)}{L(1 + is, \pi, r_i)\epsilon(is, \pi, r_i)} \otimes N(s, \pi_v, w_0)f_v.$$

By assumption, $N(s, \pi_v, w_0)$ is holomorphic and non-zero for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{L(is, \pi, r_i)}{L(1 + is, \pi, r_i)}$$

is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Now starting at $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq N_0$, where $\prod_{i=1}^m L(is, \pi, r_i)$ is absolutely convergent, and arguing inductively, we can see that $\prod_{i=1}^m L(is, \pi, r_i)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

By Corollary 9.5, $\prod_{i=1}^m L(1 + is, \pi, r_i)$ has no zeros for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. (This follows from the fact that the local L -functions $L(s, \pi_v, r_i)$ has no zeros at all.)

Now we use the induction on m : If $m = 1$, it is clear. Suppose it is true for $m' < m$. The induction hypothesis is that for all $i \geq 2$, $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and has no zeros for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1$. Then clearly, $L(s, \pi, r_1)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and has no zeros for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1$. By the functional equation, $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ is entire. \square

13.2 Boundedness in vertical strips of L -functions.

In writing this section, I benefited from the conversation with M. McKee. In order to apply the converse theorem of Cogdell and Piatetski-Shapiro, it is necessary to establish the boundedness in vertical strips of automorphic L -functions. In this section, we summarize the result of Gelbart and Shahidi [Ge-Sh].

Note that $\zeta(s)$ is not bounded in vertical strips. This follows from

Proposition 13.2. *If $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$, $a_n \geq 0$ and $\sum \frac{a_n}{n^{\sigma_0}}$ is divergent, then $f(s)$ is not bounded in the region $\sigma > \sigma_0$, and $|t| \geq t_0 > 0$, where $s = \sigma + it$.*

But the completed L -function $\xi(s) = s(1-s)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\zeta(s)$ is bounded in vertical strips. The reason is that the Γ -function controls the growth of $\zeta(s)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 13.3. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\Gamma(\sigma + it)| e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi|t|} |t|^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} = \sqrt{2\pi}$. Hence

$$|\Gamma(\sigma + it)| \sim \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi|t|} |t|^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |t| \rightarrow \infty.$$

We obtain the boundedness in vertical strips by using the following theorem.

Phragmen-Lindelöf theorem. Let $f(s)$ be holomorphic in a half-strip, $s = \sigma + it$, $a \leq \sigma \leq b$, $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ with fixed a, b, t_0 .

Suppose that for some $\alpha \geq 1$, we have the crude bound

$$f(\sigma + it) = O(e^{t^\alpha}), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

(This means that there exists a constant K such that $|f(\sigma + it)| \leq K e^{t^\alpha}$; we call such f function of finite order in the half-strip.) and on the sides of the half-strip we have the better bound

$$f(a + it) = O(t^M), \quad f(b + it) = O(t^M), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Then $f(\sigma + it) = O(t^M)$ on the half-strip. In particular, if f is bounded on the sides of the half-strip, then f is bounded on the half-strip.

The following theorem is quite useful.

Theorem 13.4. Let $f(s)$ be holomorphic in a half-strip, $s = \sigma + it$, $a \leq \sigma \leq b$, with fixed a, b . Suppose that f is of finite order and

$$f(a + it) = O(|t|^{k_1}), \quad f(b + it) = O(|t|^{k_2}),$$

Then $f(\sigma + it) = O(|t|^{k(\sigma)})$ uniformly for $a \leq \sigma \leq b$, $k(\sigma)$ being the linear function of σ which takes the values k_1, k_2 for $\sigma = a, b$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, $\zeta(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + it) = O(1)$. By the functional equation, $\zeta(1 - s) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})} = O(|t|^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}})$ if $s = 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + it$. Hence $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) = O(|t|^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon})$. We call this convexity bound. If we assume Riemann hypothesis, we would have $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) = O(|t|^\epsilon)$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.

Assume that $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ is entire, namely $M(s, \pi)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. In order to apply Phragmen-Lindelöf theorem to $L(s, \pi, r_i)$, we take a vertical strip, $a \leq \text{Re}(s) \leq b$ such that $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ is absolutely convergent for $\text{Re}(s) = b$, and hence $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ is bounded on $\text{Re}(s) = b$, and also by the functional equation, on $\text{Re}(s) = a$. If we can prove that $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ is of finite order, we can apply Phragmen-Lindelöf theorem. The starting point is the following proposition.

Proposition 13.5 (Harish-Chandra). $(M(s, \pi)f, f')$ is a function of finite order for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$.

Proof. This is Lemma 38 of [HC]. \square

Consider

$$M(s, \pi, w_0)f = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{L(is, \pi, r_i)}{L(1 + is, \pi, r_i)\epsilon(is, \pi, r_i)} \otimes N(s, \pi_v, w_0)f_v.$$

Proposition 13.6. (1) If $v|\infty$, $(N(s, \pi_v, w_0)f_v, f'_v)$ is a rational function of s .
 (2) If $v < \infty$, $(N(s, \pi_v, w_0)f_v, f'_v)$ is a rational function of q_v^{-s} .
 (3) $N(s, \pi_v, w_0) = id$ for almost all v .

We need the following theorem from complex analysis. (See [Ge-Sh, Theorem 5.7])

Theorem 13.7. Let $f(z), h(z)$ be analytic functions of finite order in the half-plane $x \geq 0$, $z = x + iy$. Let $g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{h(z)}$.

- (1) Assume $g(z)$ is analytic for $x \geq 0$ (for example, $h(z)$ has no zeros for $x \geq 0$). Then g is of finite order for $x \geq 0$.
- (2) Suppose further that $g(z)$ is entire and there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $C > 0$ such that $|g(-x + iy)| = Ce^{\alpha x} |g(x + iy)|$ for any $x > 0$. Then g is of finite order.

Hence $\frac{\otimes_v N(s, \pi_v, w_0)f_v}{\epsilon(is, \pi, r_i)}$ is a function of finite order and non-vanishing for $Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, by Theorem 13.7 (1), $\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{L(is, \pi, r_i)}{L(1+is, \pi, r_i)}$ is a function of finite order for $Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Now starting at $Re(s) = N_0 \gg 0$, where $\prod_{i=1}^m L(is, \pi, r_i)$ is absolutely convergent so that it is bounded, and moving to the left, we obtain

Proposition 13.8. $\prod_{i=1}^m L(is, \pi, r_i)$ is a function of finite order for $Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Use induction on m . If $m = 1$, it is clear from the above proposition and by the functional equation, $L(s, \pi, r_1)$ is of finite order for all of \mathbb{C} . Now if we have the following conjecture, we conclude that $L(s, \pi, r_1)$ is of finite order for $Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and by the functional equation, we are done.

Conjecture. $L(s, \pi, r_i)^{-1}$ is of finite order for $Re(s) \geq 1$.

Since we cannot prove the conjecture, we need to use Theorem 13.7 (2). Induction hypothesis is: For all $i \geq 2$, $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ is of finite order for $Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $L(s, \pi, r_1)$ is a ratio of two analytic functions of finite order for $Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Now we apply Theorem 13.8 (2) with $g(z) = L(z + \frac{1}{2}, \pi, r_1)$. By the functional equation, $L(s, \pi, r_1) = \epsilon(s, \pi, r_1)L(1-s, \pi, \tilde{r}_1)$. Here $\epsilon(s, \pi, r_1) = Ce^{\alpha s}$. Hence

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} - z, \pi, \tilde{r}_1\right) = \overline{L\left(\frac{1}{2} - \bar{z}, \pi, r_1\right)} = \overline{g(-\bar{z})} = \overline{g(-x + iy)}.$$

Therefore, $|g(-x + iy)| = Ce^{\alpha x} |g(x + iy)|$. So $L(s, \pi, r_1)$ is of finite order for all of \mathbb{C} .

So we have obtained

Theorem 13.9 (Gelbart-Shahidi [Ge-Sh]). Suppose $L(s, \pi, r_i)$ is entire. Then it is bounded in vertical strips.